

## LENGTH AND AREA ESTIMATES OF THE DERIVATIVES OF BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

SHINJI YAMASHITA

**ABSTRACT.** MacGregor [1] and Yamashita [5] proved the estimates of the coefficient  $a_n$  of the Taylor expansion  $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + \dots$  of  $f$  nonconstant and holomorphic in  $|z| < 1$  in terms of the area of the image of  $|z| < r < 1$  by  $f$  and the length of its outer or exact outer boundary. We shall consider some analogous estimates in terms of the non-Euclidean geometry for  $f$  bounded,  $|f| < 1$ , in  $|z| < 1$ . For example,  $2\pi r^n |a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2)$  is strictly less than the non-Euclidean length of the boundary of the image of  $|z| < r$ , the multiplicity not being counted.

**1. Introduction.** Unless otherwise specified, by  $f$  we always mean a function nonconstant, holomorphic, and bounded,  $|f| < 1$ , in the disk  $U = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ . The non-Euclidean metric in  $U$  is expressed by the differential form  $\mu(z) |dz|$ ,  $\mu(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}$ ,  $z \in U$ . Then  $\Delta(z, r) = \{w \in U; |w - z| / |1 - \bar{z}w| < r\}$  is the non-Euclidean disk of the non-Euclidean center  $z \in U$  and the non-Euclidean radius  $(1/2)\log[(1+r)/(1-r)]$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ).

By the image  $g(G)$  of a domain  $G$  by a function  $g$  holomorphic in  $G$  we mean the set of  $w$  in the  $w$ -plane such that  $w = g(z)$  for at least one  $z \in G$ ; simply,  $g(G)$  is the projection of the Riemannian image of  $G$  by  $g$ . The exact outer boundary  $C^\#(r, z)$  of  $D(r, z) \equiv D(r, z, f) = f(\Delta(z, r))$  is the boundary of the unbounded component of the complement of the closure of  $D(r, z)$  in the plane; see [5]. Roughly,  $C^\#(r, z)$  is the boundary  $\partial D(r, z)$  of  $D(r, z)$  minus the "shores" of the "bays" and the "lakes" of the "island"  $D(r, z)$ . Furthermore,  $C^\#(r, z)$  is a Jordan curve consisting of a finite number of analytic arcs. Let

$$\lambda(r, z) = \int_{C^\#(r, z)} \mu(w) |dw|$$

be the non-Euclidean length of  $C^\#(r, z)$ . The non-Euclidean length of  $\partial D(r, z)$  is thus not smaller than  $\lambda(r, z) > 0$ .

A non-Euclidean version of S. Yamashita's estimate [5, Theorem 2] is

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be nonconstant, holomorphic, and bounded,  $|f| < 1$ , in  $U$ . Let  $n \equiv n(z)$  be the first number such that  $f^{(n)}(z) \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $z \in U$ . Then, for each  $r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ ,*

$$(1.1) \quad 2\pi r^n (1 - |z|^2)^n |f^{(n)}(z)| / [n! (1 - |f(z)|^2)] \\ \leq \Phi(\lambda(r, z)) < \lambda(r, z),$$

where, for  $0 \leq x < +\infty$ ,  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  and  $\Phi(x)^2 = 2\pi(\pi^2 + x^2)^{1/2} - 2\pi^2$ .

---

Received by the editors March 24, 1982

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C99; Secondary 30C50, 30C80.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/82/0000-0971/\$02.00

We note that  $(0 <) \Phi(x) < x$  for  $x > 0$ , so that the second inequality in (1.1) is immediate.

In particular, (1.1) for  $z = 0$ , together with

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n + \cdots \quad (a_n \neq 0),$$

yields that

$$2\pi r^n |a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2) \leq \Phi(\lambda(r, 0)) < \lambda(r, 0);$$

this is a non-Euclidean counterpart of [5, Theorem 1].

For the proof of Theorem 1 we shall make use of the following Theorem 2; unfortunately, the formulation appears to be complicated.

Let  $E$  be the unbounded component of the complement of  $D(r, z)$ , not that of the closure of  $D(r, z)$ . Let  $\hat{D}(r, z)$  be the complement of  $E$ . Then  $\hat{D}(r, z)$  consists of the "island"  $D(r, z)$  plus its reclaimed "lakes". As is pointed out by T. H. MacGregor [1, p. 319; 2, Lemma 2], the domain  $\hat{D}(r, z)$  is simply connected whose boundary is called the outer boundary of  $D(r, z)$ . Thus, for  $f(z) = (z + 1/\sqrt{3})^3/8$ ,  $D(r, 0)$  is a proper subset of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$  if  $1/2 < r < 1$ , while  $D(r, 0) = \hat{D}(r, 0)$  if  $0 < r \leq 1/2$ .

Returning to our general  $f$  we let

$$\alpha(r, z) \equiv \alpha(r, z, f) = \iint_{\hat{D}(r, z)} \mu(w)^2 dx dy \quad (w = x + iy)$$

be the non-Euclidean area of  $\hat{D}(r, z)$  ( $0 < r < 1, z \in U$ ).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f$  and  $n(z)$  be as in Theorem 1. Then, for each  $r, 0 < r < 1$ ,*

$$(1.3) \quad \pi r^{2n} (1 - |z|^2)^{2n} |f^{(n)}(z) / [n! (1 - |f(z)|^2)]|^2 \leq \alpha(r, z).$$

Specifically, (1.3) for  $z = 0$  with (1.2) reads

$$(1.4) \quad \pi r^{2n} [|a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2)]^2 \leq \alpha(r, 0),$$

for all  $r, 0 < r < 1$ . This is a non-Euclidean counterpart of MacGregor's estimate [1, Theorem 1]:

$$(1.5) \quad \pi r^{2n} |a_n|^2 \leq a(r, 0),$$

where  $a(r, 0)$  is the Euclidean area of  $D(r, 0)$ , not that of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$  for  $f$  of (1.2) not necessarily bounded in  $U$ . Since  $a(r, 0) \leq \alpha(r, 0)$  for  $|f| < 1$ , (1.4) yields a better estimate than (1.5) if  $|a_0|$  is so near 1 that

$$(1 - |a_0|^2)^2 \alpha(r, 0) \leq a(r, 0).$$

**2. Proofs.** In the proof of his theorem [1, Theorem 1] MacGregor makes use of the following improvement of [3, Theorem 4.7, p. 80].

**MACGREGOR'S LEMMA.** *Let*

$$g(z) = b_0 + b_n z^n + \cdots \quad (b_n \neq 0, n \geq 1)$$

*be holomorphic in  $U$ , and let  $r_1$  be the inner radius [3, p. 79] of  $g(U)$  at  $b_0$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad |b_n| \leq r_1.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. First we prove (1.4), then (1.3). For the proof of (1.4) we let

$$g(z) \equiv f(rz) = a_0 + a_n r^n z^n + \dots \quad \text{in } U,$$

and let  $r_1$  be the inner radius of  $g(U) = D(r, 0)$  at  $a_0$ . Then the estimate (2.1), together with  $b_n = a_n r^n$ , yields

$$(2.2) \quad |a_n| r^n \leq r_1.$$

Let  $r_2$  be the inner radius of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$  at  $a_0$ . Then  $r_1 \leq r_2$  because  $D(r, 0) \subset \hat{D}(r, 0)$ ; see [3, p. 80].

Let  $D^*$  be the circular symmetrization [3, p. 69] of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$  with respect to the half-line  $\{ta_0; 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$  ( $= \{t; 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$ , if  $a_0 = 0$ ). Then  $D^*$  is simply connected because the same is true of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$ . Let  $h(z) = a_0 + c_1 z + \dots$  be a univalent holomorphic function in  $U$  with  $h(U) = D^*$ . Then the inner radius  $r_3$  of  $D^*$  at  $a_0$  satisfies  $r_3 = |c_1|$  [3, p. 79], and by [3, Theorem 4.8, p. 81],  $r_2 \leq r_3$ , so that, by (2.2),

$$|a_n| r^n \leq r_3 = |c_1| = |h'(0)|,$$

whence follows

$$(2.3) \quad r^{2n} [|a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2)]^2 \leq |h'(0)|^2 / (1 - |h(0)|^2)^2,$$

because  $h(0) = a_0$ .

Since  $|h'|^2 / (1 - |h|^2)^2$  is subharmonic in  $U$ , and since the non-Euclidean area of  $D^*$  is the same as that of  $\hat{D}(r, 0)$ , or,  $\alpha(r, 0)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(0)|^2 / (1 - |h(0)|^2)^2 &\leq (1/\pi) \iint_U |h'(z)|^2 / (1 - |h(z)|^2)^2 dx dy \\ &= \alpha(r, 0) / \pi, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.3), yields (1.4).

To prove (1.3) we consider the composed function

$$F(w) = f((w + z) / (1 + \bar{z}w))$$

of a variable  $w \in U$ . Since

$$F^{(n)}(0) / n! = (1 - |z|^2)^n f^{(n)}(z) / n!,$$

$F(0) = f(z)$ , and since  $\alpha(r, 0, F) = \alpha(r, z, f)$ , (1.3) is a consequence of (1.4) applied to  $F$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. The Gauss curvature  $K(z)$  of the non-Euclidean space  $U$  endowed with the metric  $\mu(z) |dz|$  is given by

$$K(z) = -4\mu(z)^{-2} (\partial^2 / \partial z \partial \bar{z}) \log \mu(z) \equiv -4 \quad \text{in } U.$$

Let  $D^*(r, z)$  be the domain bounded by the Jordan curve  $C^*(r, z)$ . Then  $D(r, z) \subset \hat{D}(r, z) \subset D^*(r, z)$ . Let  $A$  be the non-Euclidean area of  $D^*(r, z)$ . Then

$$\alpha(r, z) \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad 4\pi A + 4A^2 \leq \lambda(r, z)^2;$$

the latter is a consequence of [4, (4.25), p. 1206], together with  $K \equiv -4$ . Consequently,

$$2\alpha(r, z) \leq (\pi^2 + \lambda^2)^{1/2} - \pi.$$

The estimate (1.1) now follows from (1.3) after a short computation.

**3. Schwarz-Pick's lemma.** As applications of Theorems 1 and 2 we obtain improvements of Schwarz-Pick's lemma:

$$(1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| / (1 - |f(z)|^2) \leq 1, \quad z \in U.$$

For example, let

$$M(r, z) = \min(1, \Phi(\lambda(r, z)) / (2\pi r)),$$

for  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $z \in U$ . If  $f'(z) \neq 0$ , then we obtain by (1.1) that

$$(1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| / (1 - |f(z)|^2) \leq M(r, z),$$

while if  $f'(z) = 0$ , then the estimate is trivial. The estimate in terms of  $\alpha(r, z)$  is similar, and is left as an exercise.

**4. Concluding remarks.** As to the sharpness of (1.4) on which (1.3) depends we have poor information: (1.4) is sharp in the limiting case,  $r \rightarrow 0$ . More precisely, let us be given  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_0 \in D$ . We set

$$T(z) = (z + a_0) / (1 + \overline{a_0}z) = a_0 + bz + \dots,$$

and

$$f(z) = T(z^n) = a_0 + a_n z^n + \dots,$$

where  $a_n = b$ . Then,  $\alpha(r, 0) = \alpha(r, 0, f)$  is the non-Euclidean area of  $\Delta(a_0, r^n)$  which is the same as that of  $\Delta(0, r^n)$ , or  $\alpha(r, 0) = \pi r^{2n} / (1 - r^{2n})$ . Since

$$|a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2) = |b| / (1 - |a_0|^2) = 1,$$

(1.4) now reads

$$\pi r^{2n} = \pi r^{2n} [ |a_n| / (1 - |a_0|^2) ]^2 \leq \pi r^{2n} / (1 - r^{2n}),$$

whence, the fact that  $1 - r^{2n} \rightarrow 1$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  yields the sharpness in the limit.

Now, the situation explained at the end of §1 is obvious for the present  $f$ . For, given  $0 < r < 1$ , we choose a real  $a_0$  so that

$$0 < a_0 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - a_0^2 r^{2n})^2 < 1 - r^{2n}.$$

A calculation shows that

$$a(r, 0) = \pi r^{2n} (1 - a_0^2)^2 / (1 - a_0^2 r^{2n})^2,$$

so that  $(1 - a_0^2)^2 \alpha(r, 0) < a(r, 0)$ .

Conversely, given a complex number  $a_0$  with  $1/\sqrt{2} < |a_0| < 1$ , then for each  $r$  with

$$0 < r < |a_0| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - |a_0|^2 r^{2n})^2 < 1 - r^{2n},$$

the same argument as above again shows that

$$(1 - |a_0|^2)^2 \alpha(r, 0) < a(r, 0).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. T. H. MacGregor, *Length and area estimates for analytic functions*, Michigan Math. J. **11** (1964), 317–320.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *Translations of the image domains of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 1280–1286.
3. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1967.
4. R. Osserman, *The isoperimetric inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1182–1238.
5. S. Yamashita, *Length estimates for holomorphic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), 250–252.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA-KU, TOKYO (158), JAPAN