

ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A LINEAR ALGEBRAIC MONOID

MOHAN S. PUTCHA¹

ABSTRACT. Let S be a connected regular monoid with zero. It is shown that an automorphism of S is inner if and only if it sends each idempotent of S to a conjugate idempotent. In the language of semigroup theory, the automorphism group of S maps homomorphically into the automorphism group of the finite lattice of \mathcal{J} -classes of S , and the kernel of this homomorphism is the group of inner automorphisms of S . In particular, if the \mathcal{J} -classes of S are linearly ordered, then every automorphism of S is inner.

Throughout this paper Z^+ will denote the set of all positive integers and K an algebraically closed field. $\mathfrak{M}_n(K)$ denotes the monoid of all $n \times n$ matrices over K . $GL(n, K)$ denotes the group of units of $\mathfrak{M}_n(K)$, and $SL(n, K)$ the group of matrices of determinant 1 in $\mathfrak{M}_n(K)$. We will follow the notation and terminology of [2, 4] concerning linear algebraic monoids. Let S be a connected algebraic monoid with group of units G . By an *automorphism* of S is meant a semigroup automorphism σ of S such that both σ and σ^{-1} are polynomial maps. An automorphism σ of S is *inner* if there exists $g \in G$ such that $\sigma(a) = g^{-1}ag$ for all $a \in S$. We let $\mathfrak{U}(S)$ denote the finite lattice of all regular \mathcal{J} -classes of S , and $E(S)$ the partially ordered set of all idempotents of S . It follows from the work of the author [4, 5] and Renner [7, 8] that S is regular if and only if the closure of the radical of G is a Clifford semigroup. In particular, if S has a zero then S is regular if and only if G is a reductive group.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a connected regular monoid with zero and σ an automorphism of S . Then σ is an inner automorphism of S if and only if $\sigma(J) = J$ for all $J \in \mathfrak{U}(S)$ (i.e. $\sigma(e)$ is a conjugate of e for all $e \in E(S)$).*

PROOF. Suppose $\sigma(J)$ for all $J \in \mathfrak{U}(S)$. We must show that σ is inner. Let G denote the group of units of S , and let T be a maximal torus of G . Suppose first that $T = G$. Then $\sigma(e) = e$ for all $e \in E(\bar{T})$. We prove by induction on $\dim T$ that σ is the identity map. First suppose that $\dim T = 1$. Then by [1, Exercise 4, p. 57] either $\sigma(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$, or else $\sigma(t) = t^{-1}$ for all $t \in T$. In the latter case $t\sigma(t) = 1$ for all $t \in T$ and, hence, for all $t \in \bar{T}$. Since $0 \in \bar{T}$, this is a contradiction. So let $\dim T > 1$. Let $F = \{t \in T \mid \sigma(t) = t\}^c$. Let $e \in E(\bar{T})$, $e \neq 0$. Let

$$T_e = \{a \in T \mid ae = e\}^c.$$

Received by the editors September 20, 1982 and, in revised form, November 29, 1982.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20M10; Secondary 20G99.

Key words and phrases. Monoid, algebraic, automorphism, \mathcal{J} -class.

¹This research was partially supported by NSF Grant MCS8025597.

Since $\sigma(e) = e$, $\sigma(T_e) = T_e$. Since e is the zero of \bar{T}_e , we see by the induction hypothesis that $T_e \subseteq F$. Thus $e \in \bar{F}$. So $E(\bar{T}) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \bar{F}$. There exists $f \in E(\bar{T})$, $f \neq 1, 0$. So [2, Theorem 1.4] there exists $h \in E(\bar{T})$, $h \neq 0$, such that $fh = 0$. Since $f, h \in \bar{F}$, $0 \in \bar{F}$. So $E(\bar{T}) = E(\bar{F})$. By [2, Theorem 1.4], $\dim T = \dim F$. Thus $T = F$ and σ is the identity map. In particular, the automorphism group of \bar{T} is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of automorphisms of $E(\bar{T})$ and, hence, is a finite group.

Let us now consider the general case. Since all maximal tori of G are conjugate, we can assume without loss of generality that $\sigma(T) = T$. Let $\Lambda \subseteq E(\bar{T})$ be a cross-section lattice of S (see [6]). In other words, if $e, f \in \Lambda$, $f \in SeS$, then $e \geq f$, each idempotent of S is conjugate to an idempotent in Λ , and no two idempotents in Λ are conjugate. So $\sigma(\Lambda) \subseteq E(\bar{T})$ is also a cross-section lattice of S . By [6, Theorem 11] there exists $u \in W$, the Weyl group of G relative to T , such that $\sigma(\Lambda) = u^{-1}\Lambda u$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. Let $e \in \Lambda$. Then $e, \sigma(e) \in \Lambda$. By hypothesis, $e \not\sim \sigma(e)$. Since Λ is a cross-section lattice of S , $e = \sigma(e)$. So $\sigma(e) = e$ for all $e \in \Lambda$. Let $F = \{t \in T \mid \sigma(t) = t\}^c$. Since the automorphism group of \bar{T} is finite, we see that there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $\sigma^k(a) = a$ for all $a \in \bar{T}$. So by [3, Lemma 1.13], $\Lambda \subseteq \bar{F}$. Since Λ contains a maximal chain of $E(\bar{T})$, we see by [2, Theorem 1.4] that $\dim F = \dim T$, so $T = F$. Thus $\sigma(t) = t$ for all $t \in T$. Let Γ be a maximal chain in $E(\bar{T})$, and let $B = \{a \in G \mid ae = eae \text{ for all } e \in \Gamma\}$. Since $\sigma(\Gamma) = \Gamma$, we see that $\sigma(B) = B$. Since G is a reductive group, we see by [4, Theorem 4.5] that B is a Borel subgroup of G . Clearly $T \subseteq B$. We see by [1, Theorem 27.4(b) and 9, Theorem 11.4.3] that σ is an inner automorphism.

EXAMPLE. Let S denote the Zariski closure in $\mathfrak{M}_3(K) \times \mathfrak{M}_3(K)$ of $\{(\alpha A, \alpha(A^{-1})^T) \mid \alpha \in K, A \in \text{SL}(3, K)\}$. Then S is a connected regular monoid with zero, $\mathfrak{U}(S) = \{G, J, J_1, J_2, 0\}$ with $G > J > J_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Let e, e_1, e_2 , denote diagonal matrices with the respective diagonals being $((1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)), ((1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0)), ((0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1))$. Then $e \in J$, $e_1 \in J_1$, $e_2 \in J_2$ and $\{1, e, e_1, e_2, 0\}$ is a cross-section lattice of S . Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ be given by $\sigma(A, B) = (B, A)$. Then σ is an automorphism of S which is not inner. Note that σ induces a nontrivial automorphism of $\mathfrak{U}(S)$: $\sigma(G) = G$, $\sigma(J) = J$, $\sigma(0) = 0$, $\sigma(J_1) = J_2$, $\sigma(J_2) = J_1$.

Theorem 1 can be restated as follows.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a connected regular monoid with zero. Then the automorphism group of S maps homomorphically into the automorphism group of $\mathfrak{U}(S)$. The kernel of this homomorphism is the group of inner automorphisms of S .*

COROLLARY. *Let S be a connected regular monoid with zero such that the \mathfrak{J} -classes of S are linearly ordered. Then every automorphism of S is inner.*

REMARK. The above corollary applies to the multiplicative monoid $\mathfrak{M}_n(K)$. Note that the map $A \rightarrow (A^{-1})^T$ is an automorphism of $\text{GL}(n, K)$ which is not inner.

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1981.
2. M. S. Putcha, *Linear algebraic semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **22** (1981), 287–309.
3. _____, *Connected algebraic monoids*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **272** (1982), 693–709.

4. _____, *A semigroup approach to linear algebraic groups*, J. Algebra (to appear).
5. _____, *Reductive groups and regular semigroups*, J. Algebra (submitted).
6. _____, *Idempotent cross-sections of \mathcal{J} -classes*, Semigroup Forum (to appear).
7. L. Renner, *Algebraic monoids*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. of British Columbia, 1982.
8. _____, *Reductive monoids are von-Neumann regular* (to appear).
9. T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA
27650