

## ON QUOTIENT RINGS OF TRIVIAL EXTENSIONS

YOSHIMI KITAMURA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $M$  a two-sided  $R$ -module. It is shown that every right quotient ring in the sense of Gabriel of the trivial extension of  $R$  by  $M$  is a trivial extension of a right quotient ring of  $R$  by a suitable two-sided module in case  ${}_R M$  is flat and finitely generated by elements which centralize with every element of  $R$ .

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $M$  a two-sided  $R$ -module. The cartesian product  $R \times M$  with componentwise addition and with multiplication given by  $(r, m)(r', m') = (rr', rm' + mr')$  becomes a ring. This ring is called the trivial extension of  $R$  by  $M$  and denoted by  $R \bowtie M$ .

The purpose of this paper is to give a description of quotient rings of  $R \bowtie M$ . Here we shall use "quotient rings" in the sense of Gabriel [2]. In [4], Lambek showed that a maximal quotient ring of  $R$  is the biendomorphism ring of the injective envelope of the module  $R$  over itself. Later Morita proved in [5] that every quotient ring is the biendomorphism ring of a certain injective module. As every injective module  $V$  over  $R \bowtie M$  is induced by a certain injective module  $U$  over  $R$ , that is,  $V \cong \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie M, U)$ , we shall first examine biendomorphism rings of modules over  $R \bowtie M$  of the form  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie M, X)$ , and then investigate quotient rings of  $R \bowtie M$ , in particular, the maximal quotient ring of  $R \bowtie M$  restricting ourselves to a special class of trivial extensions. Our main result of the present paper is stated as follows: If  ${}_R M$  is flat and finitely generated by elements which centralize with every element of  $R$ , then every right quotient ring of  $R \bowtie M$  is a trivial extension of a right quotient ring of  $R$  by a two-sided module. It is to be noted that the conclusion of the result is not necessarily valid without some hypothesis (see the example below).

Throughout this paper,  $R$  denotes a ring with identity,  $M$  a two-sided  $R$ -module and  $T$  the trivial extension of  $R$  by  $M$ . All modules are unital and module homomorphisms are written on the side opposite the scalars. The notation  $r_Y(X)$  (resp.  $l_Y(X)$ ) denotes the right (resp. left) annihilator of  $X$  in  $Y$ .

Let  $X$  be any right  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(M, X)$  is a right  $R$ -module in a natural way:  $(fa)(m) = f(am)$  for  $a$  in  $R$ ,  $m$  in  $M$  and  $f$  in  $\text{Hom}_R(M, X)$ . Moreover a direct sum  $X \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, X)$  of right  $R$ -modules  $X$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(M, X)$  is a right  $T$ -module with multiplication given by  $(x, f) \cdot (a, m) = (xa + f(m), f \cdot a)$ , which is denoted by  $H(X)$ .  $H(X)$  is nothing but the right  $T$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(T, X)$ . This fact will be employed frequently in the sequel.

---

Received by the editors June 24, 1982 and, in revised form, November 17, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16A08, 16A65; Secondary 16A52.

*Key words and phrases*. Trivial extension, quotient ring, injective module, biendomorphism ring.

©1983 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/82/0000-1310/\$02.50

Let  $U$  and  $X$  be right  $R$ -modules. Following Morita [5], we say that  $U$  cogenerates  $X$  finitely provided that there exists a finite number of elements  $g_i$  in  $\text{Hom}_R(X, U)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $\bigcap \{\text{Ker}(g); g \in \text{Hom}_R(X, U)\} = \bigcap \{\text{Ker}(g_i); i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . In case  $U$  is injective,  $U$  cogenerates  $X$  finitely iff  $\text{Hom}_R(X, U)$  is finitely generated as a left  $\text{End}_R(U)$ -module.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $U$  be a right  $R$ -module. Then  $H(U)_T$  cogenerates  $T_T$  finitely if and only if  $U_R$  cogenerates  $M_R$  finitely and  $H(U)_R$  cogenerates  $R_R$  finitely.*

**PROOF.** Assume  $H(U)_T$  cogenerates  $T_T$  finitely and  $\bigcap \{r_T(u_i, m_i^*); i = 1, \dots, n\} = r_T(H(U))$  ( $u_i \in U, m_i^* \in M^*$ ), where  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, U)$ . Since  $\bigcap \{\text{Ker}(m_i^*); i = 1, \dots, n\} = \bigcap \{\text{Ker}(m^*); m^* \in M^*\}$  and  $\bigcap \{r_R(u_i, m_i^*); i = 1, \dots, n\} = r_R(H(U))$ ,  $U_R$  cogenerates  $M_R$  finitely and  $H(U)_R$  does so to  $R_R$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\bigcap \{r_R(u_i, m_i^*); i = 1, \dots, n\} = r_R(H(U))$  ( $u_i \in U, m_i^* \in M^*$ ) and  $\bigcap \{\text{Ker}(g_j); j = 1, \dots, s\} = \bigcap \{\text{Ker}(m^*); m^* \in M^*\}$  ( $g_j \in M^*$ ). Setting  $h_i = (u_i, m_i^*)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $h_{n+j} = (0, g_j)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ), we have  $\bigcap \{r_T(h_i); i = 1, \dots, n + s\} = r_T(H(U))$ .

Let  $U$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R(U)$ . Let  $R' = \text{BiEnd}_R(U)$  be the biendomorphism ring of  $U_R$ , that is,  $R' = \text{End}_S(U)$ . If a ring homomorphism  $\rho_U$  of  $R$  to  $R'$  defined by  $(u)(\rho_U(r)) = ur$  ( $r \in R, u \in U$ ) is surjective, then  $U_R$  is said to be balanced. For a right  $R$ -module  $X$ ,  $X^*$  denotes its  $U$ -dual module  $\text{Hom}_R(X, U)$ .  $X^*$  is a left  $S$ -module in a natural way and there is a mapping  $\sigma_X$  of  $X$  to its double dual module  $X^{**} = \text{Hom}_S(X^*, U)$  given by  $(f)(\sigma_X(x)) = f(x)$  ( $x \in X, f \in X^*$ ). If  $\sigma_X$  is injective, then we say that  $U_R$  cogenerates  $X_R$ . Let us set  $V = H(U)$ ,  $U'' = \text{Hom}_S(U, M^*)$  and  $R'' = \text{End}_S(M^*)$ . Then the set  $(\begin{smallmatrix} R' & U'' \\ M^{**} & R'' \end{smallmatrix})$  consisting of all matrices  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{smallmatrix})$  with  $\alpha$  in  $R'$ ,  $\beta$  in  $M^{**}$ ,  $\gamma$  in  $U''$  and  $\delta$  in  $R''$  is a ring with the usual addition and multiplication of matrices given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \gamma' \\ \beta' & \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \alpha' & \gamma + \gamma' \\ \beta + \beta' & \delta + \delta' \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & \gamma' \\ \beta' & \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\alpha' + \gamma\beta' & \alpha\gamma' + \gamma\delta' \\ \beta\alpha' + \delta\beta' & \beta\gamma' + \delta\delta' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Noting  $V = U \oplus M^*$  as an  $S$ -module,  $\text{End}_S(V) = (\begin{smallmatrix} R' & U'' \\ M^{**} & R'' \end{smallmatrix})$ . Setting  $N = \text{Hom}_R(M^*, U)$ , we have by the proof of [6, Theorem 10] that  $\text{End}_T(V) \cong S \ltimes N$  in a natural way;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_T(V) &= \text{Hom}_T(V, \text{Hom}_R(T, U)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(V \otimes_T T, U) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(V, U) \cong \text{Hom}_R(U, U) \times N = S \times N. \end{aligned}$$

We shall identify  $\text{End}_T(V)$  with  $S \ltimes N$ . Then the module action of  $V$  as a left  $S \ltimes N$ -module is given by  $(s, h) \cdot (u, m^*) = (su + h(m^*), s \cdot m^*)$  with  $(s, h)$  in  $S \ltimes N$  and  $(u, m^*)$  in  $V$ . Since  $S$  can be imbedded in  $S \ltimes N$  as a subring by a mapping  $s \rightarrow (s, 0)$ ,  $\text{End}_{S \ltimes N}(V)$  is a subring of  $\text{End}_S(V)$ . For convenience, a pair  $(\alpha, \delta)$  ( $\alpha \in R', \delta \in R''$ ) is called a  $(*)$ -pair provided that  $(h(m^*))\alpha = h((m^*)\delta)$  for all  $h$  in  $N$  and all  $m^*$  in  $M^*$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\text{End}_{S \ltimes N}(V)$  consists of all

elements  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{smallmatrix})$  in  $(\begin{smallmatrix} R' & U'' \\ M^{**} & R'' \end{smallmatrix})$  such that  $(\alpha, \delta)$  is a  $(*)$ -pair,  $P \subset \text{Ker}(\gamma)$  and  $\text{Im}(\gamma) \subset W$ , where  $P = \Sigma\{\text{Im}(h); h \in N\}$  and  $W = \cap\{\text{Ker}(h); h \in N\}$ . Assume  $V_T$  is balanced. Then a ring homomorphism  $\rho_V: T \rightarrow \text{BiEnd}_T(V)$  given by

$$\rho_V(a, m) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_U(a) & 0 \\ \sigma_M(m) & \rho_{M^*}(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

is surjective. Thus we have the following (a), (b) and (c).

(a) The natural homomorphism  $\sigma_M: M \rightarrow M^{**}$  is surjective.

(b) If  $(\alpha, \delta) \in R' \times R''$  is a  $(*)$ -pair, then there is some  $a$  in  $R$  with  $\alpha = \rho_U(a)$  and  $\delta = \rho_{M^*}(a)$ .

(c) For  $\gamma$  in  $U''$ , if  $P \subset \text{Ker}(\gamma)$  and  $\text{Im}(\gamma) \subset W$ , then  $\gamma = 0$ .

Conversely if (a), (b) and (c) hold, then  $V_T$  is balanced clearly. Hence we have the following.

**PROPOSITION. 2.** *Using the same notations as above,  $\text{BiEnd}_T(H(U))$  is the subring of  $(\begin{smallmatrix} R' & U'' \\ M^{**} & R'' \end{smallmatrix})$  consisting of all elements  $(\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{smallmatrix})$  such that  $(\alpha, \delta)$  is a  $(*)$ -pair,  $P \subset \text{Ker}(\gamma)$  and  $\text{Im}(\gamma) \subset W$ .  $H(U)_T$  is balanced if and only if (a), (b) and (c) are all valid.*

**COROLLARY.** *Assume  $U_R$  is balanced and cogenerates  $M_R^*$ . Then  $H(U)_T$  is balanced if (and only if) (a) is valid.*

**PROOF.** Since  $U_R$  cogenerates  $M_R^*$ , we have  $W = 0$ . Thus (c) is obvious. Suppose  $(\alpha, \delta)$  is a  $(*)$ -pair. Since  $U_R$  is balanced,  $\alpha = \rho_U(a)$  for some  $a$  in  $R$ . Then  $h((m^*)\delta) = (h(m^*))\alpha = h(m^*)a = h(m^*a)$  for all  $h$  in  $N$ , which yields that  $(m^*)\delta - m^*a \in W$ , and so  $\delta = \rho_{M^*}(a)$ , proving (b).

**LEMMA 3.** *Assume  $M = \Sigma_{i=1}^n Rm_i$  with  $rm_i = m_i r$  for all  $r$  in  $R$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).*

(a) *If  $U_R$  is injective, then there exist  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  in  $N = \text{Hom}_R(M^*, U)$  such that  $N = \Sigma Sh_i$  with  $sh_i = h_i s$  for all  $s$  in  $S$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).*

(b) *If  $M_R^*$  is injective, then there exists uniquely a ring homomorphism  $\lambda: R' \rightarrow R''$  such that  $(\alpha, \lambda(\alpha))$  is a  $(*)$ -pair for every  $\alpha$  in  $R'$ . Moreover  $M^{**}$  is a two-sided  $R'$ -module via  $\lambda$ ;  $\alpha \cdot x = \lambda(\alpha) \cdot x$  ( $\alpha \in R', x \in M^{**}$ ).*

**PROOF.** (a) For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  let  $h_i$  be a mapping of  $M^*$  to  $U$  given by  $h_i(m^*) = m^*(m_i)$  for  $m^*$  in  $M^*$ . Then  $h_i$  is in  $N$  and  $h_i s = sh_i$  for all  $s$  in  $S$ . A mapping  $\eta$  of  $M^*$  to  $U^{(n)}$  defined by  $\eta(m^*) = (h_i(m^*))_i$  is a left  $S$ - and right  $R$ -monomorphism, where  $U^{(n)}$  denotes the direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $U$ . This shows that  $N = \Sigma Sh_i$  because  $U_R$  is injective.

(b) Since  $M_R^*$  is injective, the above mapping  $\eta$  is split as an  $R$ -map and so there are  $R$ -maps  $g_i$  of  $U$  to  $M^*$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that  $\Sigma g_i h_i = \text{id}_{M^*}$ . For each  $\alpha$  in  $R'$ , let  $\lambda(\alpha)$  be a mapping of  $M^*$  to  $M^*$  given by  $(m^*)\lambda(\alpha) = \Sigma g_i((h_i(m^*))\alpha)$ . Since  $h_j g_i$  is in  $S$ ,

$$h_j((m^*)\lambda(\alpha)) = \sum_i (h_j g_i)((h_i(m^*))\alpha) = \left( h_j \left( \left( \sum_i g_i h_i \right) \cdot m^* \right) \right) \alpha = (h_j(m^*))\alpha,$$

which yields by  $h_j s = s h_j$  that  $h_j((s \cdot m^*)\lambda(\alpha)) = (h_j(s \cdot m^*))\alpha = (s h_j(m^*))\alpha = s((h_j(m^*))\alpha) = s h_j((m^*)\lambda(\alpha)) = h_j(s \cdot (m^*)\lambda(\alpha))$ . It follows that  $\eta((s \cdot m^*)\lambda(\alpha)) = \eta(s \cdot (m^*)\lambda(\alpha))$ , and so,  $(s \cdot m^*)\lambda(\alpha) = s \cdot (m^*)\lambda(\alpha)$ . Hence  $\lambda(\alpha)$  is an  $S$ -map and  $\lambda$  is a mapping of  $R'$  to  $R''$ . Since  $h_i$ 's generate  $N$  over  $S$ ,  $(\alpha, \lambda(\alpha))$  is a  $(*)$ -pair. If  $(\alpha, \delta)$  is a  $(*)$ -pair, then  $\eta((m^*)\delta) = (h_i((m^*)\delta))_i = ((h_i(m^*))\alpha)_i = \eta((m^*)\lambda(\alpha))$ , and so,  $\delta = \lambda(\alpha)$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is a unique ring homomorphism of  $R'$  to  $R''$  with  $(\alpha, \lambda(\alpha))$  a  $(*)$ -pair for every  $\alpha$ , because  $(\alpha\alpha', \lambda(\alpha)\lambda(\alpha'))$ ,  $(\alpha + \alpha', \lambda(\alpha) + \lambda(\alpha'))$  and  $(1, 1)$  are  $(*)$ -pairs. Noting  $M^{**}$  is an  $(R'', R')$ -bimodule in a natural way,  $M^{**}$  is a two-sided  $R'$ -module via  $\lambda$ , proving the lemma.

**THEOREM 4.** *Assume  ${}_R M$  is flat and finitely generated by elements which centralize with every element of  $R$ . Then every right quotient ring of  $T$  is a trivial extension of a right quotient ring of  $R$  by a two-sided module.*

**PROOF.** Let  $Q$  be any right quotient ring of  $T$ . By Morita [5],  $Q$  is the biendomorphism ring of a suitable injective  $T$ -module  $V$  which cogenerates  $T_T$  finitely. Let  $\bar{M} = \{(0, m) \in T; m \in M\}$  and  $U = l_V(\bar{M})$ . We shall first show that  $U$  is injective as a right  $R$ -module and  $V \cong \text{Hom}_R(T, U)$  as  $T$ -modules. Let  $I$  be any right ideal of  $R$  and  $f: I \rightarrow U$  any  $R$ -map. The subset  $J$  of  $T$  consisting of all elements  $(a, m)$  with  $a$  in  $I$  and  $m$  in  $M$  is clearly a right ideal of  $T$ . Since a mapping  $g$  of  $J$  to  $V$  given by  $g(a, m) = f(a)$  is a  $T$ -map and  $V$  is injective, there is some  $v$  in  $V$  such that  $g(a, m) = v(a, m)$  for all  $(a, m)$  in  $J$ . Moreover  $v$  is in  $U$  and  $f(a) = va$  for all  $a$  in  $I$ . It follows that  $U$  is injective. Thus there is an  $R$ -submodule  $U'$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U \oplus U'$ . Let  $p$  and  $p'$  be  $R$ -projections of  $V$  to  $U$  and  $U'$  respectively. The composition  $\phi: V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, U)$  of  $\psi: V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, V)$  and  $\text{Hom}(1, p): \text{Hom}_R(T, V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, U)$  is then a  $T$ -map, where  $\psi$  is defined by  $\psi(v)(t) = v \cdot t$  for  $v$  in  $V$  and  $t$  in  $T$ . We claim  $\phi$  is a bijection. Assume  $v = u + u'$  ( $u \in U, u' \in U'$ ) is in  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ . Since  $v \cdot (a, m) = u \cdot (a, m) + u' \cdot (0, m) + u' \cdot (a, 0)$  and  $u' \cdot (0, m) \in U$ , we have  $u \cdot (a, m) + u' \cdot (0, m) = \phi(v)(a, m) = 0$ , which yields  $u = 0$  and  $u' \cdot (0, m) = 0$ . Thus  $v = u' \in U \cap U' = 0$ , and so,  $\phi$  is injective. Recalling  $\text{Hom}_R(T, U) = H(U)$ , let  $(u, m^*)$  be any element in  $H(U)$ . Let  $f: \bar{M} \rightarrow V$  be a  $T$ -map given by  $f(0, m) = m^*(m)$ . Since  $V$  is injective, there is some  $v_1$  in  $V$  with  $f(0, m) = v_1(0, m)$ , that is, with  $m^*(m) = v_1(0, m)$  for all  $m$  in  $M$ . Setting  $u' = p'(v_1)$ ,  $\phi(u + u') = (u, m^*)$ , and so,  $\phi$  is surjective. Hence  $\phi$  is a bijection. We shall identify  $V$  with  $H(U)$ . Since  $U_R$  is injective and  ${}_R M$  is flat,  $M_R^*$  is injective. Thus we can use the preceding lemma and its proof. In particular,  $M^{**}$  is a two-sided  $R'$ -module. With the same meanings of notation as mentioned previously, the fact that  $\eta$  is monic shows that  $W = 0$ , which yields from Proposition 2 and Lemma 3 that  $\text{BiEnd}_T(V) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ \beta & \lambda(\alpha) \end{pmatrix}; \alpha \in R', \beta \in M^{**} \right\} (\cong R' \rtimes M^{**})$ . Since  $U_R$  generates and cogenerates  $M_R^*$ ,  $R' = \text{BiEnd}_R(U) \cong \text{BiEnd}_R(U \oplus M^*)$  over  $R$  by [1, Lemma 14.1, p. 158]. But  $(U \oplus M^*)_R$  cogenerates  $R_R$  finitely by Proposition 1 and is injective. Hence  $\text{BiEnd}_R(U \oplus M^*)$  and so  $R'$  is a right quotient ring of  $R$ . This proves the theorem.

**REMARK.** Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4, the proof shows that  $M^{**}$  is a two-sided  $R'$ -module and  $\text{BiEnd}_R(U) \rtimes M^{**}$  is a right quotient ring of  $T$  if  $U_R$  is injective such that  $U_R$  cogenerates  $M_R$  finitely and  $(U \oplus M^*)_R$  does  $R_R$  finitely.

In the rest of this paper,  $L$  will denote the left annihilator of  $M$  in  $R$ ,  $U$  the injective envelope of the right  $R$ -module  $L \oplus M$ ,  $i: M \rightarrow U$  the canonical injection and  $Q$  the maximal right quotient ring of  $T$ . We claim that  $H(U)$  is an injective envelope of  $T_T$ . Let  $K = \{(a, m) \in T; a \in L, m \in M\}$ . Then  $K = l_T(\overline{M})$  and  $K$  is essential in  $T$  as a right  $T$ -module. Let  $\psi: K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, K)$  be a mapping given by  $\psi(k)(t) = k \cdot t$ . Then  $\psi$  is clearly a  $T$ -monomorphism.  $\text{Im}(\psi)$  is further essential in  $\text{Hom}_R(T, K) (= H(K))$ , because if  $(k, g) \in H(K)$  ( $k \in K, g \in \text{Hom}_R(M, K)$ ) is nonzero, then in case  $g = 0$ ,  $\psi(k) = (k, 0) \neq 0$  and in case  $g \neq 0$ ,  $g(m) \neq 0$  for some  $m$  in  $M$ , and so,  $\psi(g(m)) = (k, g) \cdot (0, m) \neq 0$ . Similarly, we can see that  $H(K)$  is essential in  $H(U)$ . It follows that  $H(U)$  is an injective envelope of  $T_T$  and  $Q = \text{BiEnd}_T(H(U))$ . Hence we have the following from the proof of Theorem 4.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4,  $Q$  is the trivial extension  $\text{BiEnd}_R(U) \times M^{**}$ . In particular,  $Q$  is  $T$  itself if and only if  $U_R$  is balanced and  $\sigma_M: M \rightarrow M^{**}$  is surjective.*

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Assume  $L = 0$ . Then  $Q$  is right self-injective if and only if the following (i) and (ii) hold.*

(i)  $U = \{h(i); h \in N\}$  and  $h(i) = 0$  implies  $h = 0$ , where  $N = \text{Hom}_R(M^*, U)$ . (ii)  $M^*$  is a free  $S$ -module with  $i$  as a basis. When this is the case,  $Q$  is a trivial extension.

**PROOF.** As mentioned previously,  $\text{End}_T(H(U)) \cong S \times N$  canonically and the canonical mapping  $\psi: T \rightarrow H(U)$  defined by  $\psi(a, m) = (i(m), i \cdot a)$  is monic and  $\text{Im}(\psi)$  is essential in  $H(U)$ . In particular,  $H(U)$  is the injective envelope of  $T_T$ . By [4, Proposition 5],  $Q_Q$  is injective iff  $\text{End}_T(H(U)) \cong H(U)$  canonically, and in this case  $Q \cong \text{End}_T(H(U))$  canonically as rings. But the fact that  $S \times N \cong H(U)$  via  $(s, h) \rightarrow (s, h) \cdot \psi(1, 0) = (h(i), s \cdot i)$  is evidently equivalent to (i) and (ii). This completes the proof.

A typical example of a two-sided module  $M$  described in Theorem 4 is a two-sided module  $R^{(n)}$ , the direct sum of  $n$ -copies of  $R$ . Let  $M = R^{(n)}$ .  $U$  is then the injective envelope  $E(M_R)$  of  $M_R$ ;  $U = E(R_R)^{(n)}$ . Hence  $\text{BiEnd}_R(U) \cong \text{BiEnd}_R(E(R_R))$  over  $R$ . Therefore  $Q = Q_{\max}(R) \times M^{**}$  by Proposition 5, where  $Q_{\max}(R)$  denotes the maximal right quotient ring of  $R$ . Assume now that  $R$  has no 2 torsion, that is,  $2a = 0$  ( $a \in R$ ) implies  $a = 0$ . Then a ring automorphism  $g: T \rightarrow T$  given by  $g(a, m) = (a, -m)$  generates a finite group  $G$  of order  $|G| = 2$  and  $R$  is the fixed subring  $T^G = \{t \in T; g(t) = t \text{ for all } g \text{ in } G\}$  of  $T$  relative to  $G$ . But every ring automorphism of a ring  $A$  can be extended uniquely to that of  $Q_{\max}(A)$  [7, (1.17)]. Especially,  $G$  can be extended to a finite group of ring automorphisms of  $Q$ . Then  $Q^G = Q_{\max}(R) = Q_{\max}(T^G)$ . Thus the theorem gives a class of nonsemiprime rings  $A$  with finite group  $G$  of ring automorphisms and with no  $|G|$  torsion such that  $Q_{\max}(A)^G = Q_{\max}(A^G)$ . For a semiprime ring  $A$  with a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms and with no  $|G|$  torsion, it was proved by Kharchenko that  $A$  was Goldie iff  $A^G$  was Goldie and in this case  $Q_{\text{cl}}(A)^G = Q_{\text{cl}}(A^G)$  [3]. Here  $Q_{\text{cl}}(A)$  denotes the classical quotient ring of  $A$ .

Finally the following example shows that the conclusion of Theorem 4 is not valid even if  ${}_R M$  is finitely generated and projective.

EXAMPLE. Let  $k$  be a field and  $R = k \times k$  the ring of direct product of  $k$  and  $k$ . Let  $M = k$ . Then  $M$  is a two-sided  $R$ -module with operations given by  $(a, a') \cdot m = a'm$ ,  $m \cdot (a, a') = ma$ . Because  $R \rtimes M$  is isomorphic to the ring  $T_2(k)$  of lower triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $k$  and the maximal right quotient ring of  $T_2(k)$  is the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $k$ , that of  $R \rtimes M$  is not a trivial extension by any nonzero two-sided module.

The author would like to thank the referee for pointing out faults in the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.
2. P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.
3. V. K. Kharchenko, *Galois extensions and quotient rings*, Algebra i Logica **13** (1974), 460–484 (Russian); English transl., Algebra and Logic **13** (1974), 265–281 (1975).
4. J. Lambek, *On Utumi's rings of quotients*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 363–370.
5. K. Morita, *Localizations in categories of modules*. I, Math. Z. **114** (1970), 121–144.
6. B. Müller, *On Morita duality*, Canad. J. Math. **21** (1969), 1338–1347.
7. Y. Utumi, *On quotient rings*, Osaka Math. J. **8** (1956), 1–18.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, 4-1-1 NUKUI KITA-MACHI, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO 184, JAPAN