

HILBERT SPACES INDUCED BY HILBERT SPACE VALUED FUNCTIONS

SABUROU SAITOH

Dedicated to Professor Mitsuru Ozawa on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let E be an arbitrary set and $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ a linear space composed of all complex valued functions on E . Let \mathfrak{H} be a (possibly finite-dimensional) Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Let $\mathbf{h}: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ be a function and consider the linear mapping L from \mathfrak{H} into $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ defined by $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathfrak{H}}$. We let \mathfrak{K} denote the range of L . Then we assert that \mathfrak{K} becomes a Hilbert space with a reproducing kernel composed of functions on E , and, moreover, it is uniquely determined by the mapping L , in a sense. Furthermore, we investigate several fundamental properties for the mapping L and its inverse.

1. Introduction. The author [5, 6] developed a general theory of integral transforms of Hilbert spaces and investigated miscellaneous concrete integral transforms by a unified method. The situation is as follows:

Let dm denote a σ finite positive measure. Let $L_2(dm)$ denote a usual separable Hilbert space composed of dm integrable complex valued functions F on a dm measurable set T and with finite norms $\|F\|_{L_2(dm)}^2 = \int_T |F(t)|^2 dm(t)$. For an arbitrary set E and any fixed complex valued function $h(t, p)$ on $T \times E$ satisfying $h(t, p) \in L_2(dm)$ for any $p \in E$, we consider the integral transform of $F \in L_2(dm)$,

$$(1.1) \quad f(p) = \int_T F(t) \overline{h(t, p)} dm(t),$$

and we investigate this integral transform and its inverse. The basic method is based on the general theory of reproducing kernels using the direct integral theory established by Schwartz [7].

In this paper we show that we can develop by elementary methods the general theory [6] without the direct integral theory and, at the same time, with a much more general situation.

Further extensions of this paper will be considered in connection with the recent research of R. E. Curto and S. Salinas [4]. The referee gave the author this point of view.

Let E be an arbitrary set and $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ a linear space composed of all complex valued functions on E . Let \mathfrak{H} be a (possibly finite-dimensional) Hilbert space with inner

Received by the editors December 21, 1981 and, in revised form, September 16, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A05, 47A05; Secondary 30C40.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, linear mapping, linear operator, integral transform, reproducing kernel, isometry, completeness of functions.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/82/0000-1490/\$02.25

product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Let $\mathbf{h}: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ be a function. Then we consider the linear mapping L from \mathfrak{H} into $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad f(p) = (L\mathbf{F})(p) = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

We let $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ denote the range of L . Then we show that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ forms a Hilbert space admitting a reproducing kernel composed of functions on E , and, moreover, it is naturally induced from the mapping. Furthermore, we investigate several fundamental properties for the mapping L and its inverse.

2. Construction of the range $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ of L . We first introduce the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = \inf\{\|F\|_{\mathfrak{H}}; f = LF\}.$$

Then we obtain

THEOREM 2.1. $[\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}]$ is a (possibly finite-dimensional) Hilbert space admitting the reproducing kernel $K(p, q)$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad K(p, q) = (\mathbf{h}(q), \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Moreover, L is an isometry between \mathfrak{H} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ if and only if $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathfrak{H} .

PROOF. From (1.2), $\text{null}(L)$ is a closed subspace in \mathfrak{H} . Hence, for $f = LF$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = \inf\{\|\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{G}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}; \mathbf{G} \in \text{null}(L)\} = \|P_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Here, $P_{\mathfrak{G}}$ is an orthogonal projection from \mathfrak{H} onto $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H} \ominus \text{null}(L)$. When we restrict L on \mathfrak{G} , then $L|_{\mathfrak{G}}$ is an isometry between $[\mathfrak{G}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ and $[\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}]$, which implies that $[\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}]$ is a Hilbert space.

Next, we note that when $\mathbf{F} \in \text{null}(L)$, then

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathfrak{H}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in E.$$

Hence, for any $q \in E$, $\mathbf{h}(q) \in \mathfrak{G}$. From this fact we have, for any $f = LF$,

$$(2.5) \quad (f, K(\cdot, q))_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = (L\mathbf{F}, L\mathbf{h}(q))_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = (P_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathbf{F}, P_{\mathfrak{G}}\mathbf{h}(q))_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(q))_{\mathfrak{H}} = f(q),$$

which implies that $K(p, q)$ is the reproducing kernel for $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

In the last, we note that when $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathfrak{H} , then $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H}$ so we have, for $f = LF$,

$$(2.6) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathfrak{H}}$$

and vice versa. We thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. Direct construction of the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. In Theorem 2.1 the norm in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is given in terms of the norm in \mathfrak{H} by making use of the mapping L . Here we note that we can construct $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ directly, in principle. This point of view is essentially important in dealing with concrete integral transforms. See [5, 6]. In order to show this fact, we note that the function $K(p, q)$ on $E \times E$ is positive definite on E ; that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} K(p_i, p_j) \geq 0$$

for any finite set $\{p_i\}$ of E and for any complex numbers $\{\alpha_i\}$, as we see directly from (2.2). Then the theory of Moore and Aronszajn (see [1, 2]) implies that for this $K(p, q)$ there exists a *uniquely determined* Hilbert space composed of functions on E admitting $K(p, q)$ as the reproducing kernel. This space is just $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ stated.

We assume in the sequel that for $K(p, q)$, the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ can be realized in this sense. For miscellaneous concrete examples, see [6]. Then we have, of course, the following fundamental inequality for L :

THEOREM 3.1. *For the linear mapping*

$$(1.2) \quad f(p) = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathcal{H}},$$

we obtain the inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

When $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} , then equality in (3.1) always holds.

Let $\{\mathbf{F}_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\mathbf{h}(p) = \sum_j (\mathbf{h}(p), \mathbf{F}_j) \mathbf{F}_j = \sum_j \overline{f_j(p)} \mathbf{F}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathbf{h}(p)} = \sum_j f_j(p) \mathbf{F}_j.$$

Thus $\bar{\mathbf{h}} = \sum_j f_j(\cdot) \mathbf{F}_j$. We define

$$(f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \sum_j (f, f_j)_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \mathbf{F}_j.$$

Then we obtain

THEOREM 3.2. *We assume that for $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$,*

$$(3.2) \quad (f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \in \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad (f, (\mathbf{h}(q), \mathbf{h}(\cdot)))_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = ((f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \mathbf{h}(q))_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for all } q \in E.$$

Then we obtain the inequality

$$(3.4) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \|(f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

When $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} , then equality always holds in (3.4).

PROOF. We note that for any $q \in E$,

$$(3.5) \quad f(p) = (f(\cdot), K(\cdot, p))_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = (f(\cdot), (\mathbf{h}(p), \mathbf{h}(\cdot)))_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = ((f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

This shows that $f = L(f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = L\mathbf{F}$, so by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}$ (see Theorem 3.1) we have $\|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \leq \|(f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

4. The inverse of L . We consider the inverse of L . Following Theorem 2.1, we need the assumption that $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} . Then from the identity (3.5), we obtain

THEOREM 4.1. *We assume (3.2) and (3.3) are valid, and $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} . Then for $f(p) = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{h}(p))_{\mathcal{H}}$, we obtain its inverse*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{F} = (f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

When $\{\mathbf{h}(p); p \in E\}$ is not complete in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, for f we let \mathbf{F}^* be the vector satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \|\mathbf{F}^*\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

in (3.1). Then \mathbf{F}^* is, of course, uniquely determined in \mathcal{H} . As to this vector \mathbf{F}^* , we obtain directly

THEOREM 4.2. *We assume that (3.2) and (3.3) are valid and, further,*

$$(4.3) \quad (\mathbf{F}_0, (f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}})_{\mathcal{H}} = ((\mathbf{F}_0, \mathbf{h})_{\mathcal{H}}, f)_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \text{ for all } \mathbf{F}_0 \in \text{null}(L).$$

Then we have

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{F}^* = (f, \mathbf{h})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

As we see from concrete examples, conditions (3.2) and (3.3) are, in general, strong. For a more general and weak inverse formula in the case that the norm of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is realized by a positive measure, see [5, 6].

5. Generating functions and Hilbert space valued functions. We note that the starting point in our theory is, in fact, the identity (2.2). We now recall that there exists a general method which gives such an identity from a concrete Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with the reproducing kernel $K(p, q)$ and an isometry mapping \tilde{L} between $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and \mathcal{H} , conversely. See Shapiro and Shields [8] and Burbea [3] for many concrete examples. The image of $K(\cdot, q)$ under this isometry \tilde{L} is denoted by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(q) = \tilde{L}K(\cdot, q),$$

which is called “the generating vector of \tilde{L} ”. Then we have

$$(5.2) \quad K(p, q) = (K(\cdot, q), K(\cdot, p))_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = (\tilde{L}K(\cdot, q), \tilde{L}K(\cdot, p))_{\mathcal{H}} = (\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(q), \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(p))_{\mathcal{H}},$$

which is of type (2.2). From this identity and our theory, we obtain

THEOREM 5.1. *For the linear mapping*

$$(5.3) \quad f(p) = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(p))_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{H},$$

we have the identity

$$(5.4) \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = \|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Further, the mapping (5.3) gives the isometry \tilde{L} and the family of vectors $\{\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathcal{H} .

Moreover, when for $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$,

$$(5.5) \quad (f, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \in \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$(5.6) \quad (f, (\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(q), \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}})_{\mathcal{H}})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = ((f, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(q))_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ for all } q \in E$$

are valid, then we obtain the inverse of (5.3),

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbf{F} = (f, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}})_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}.$$

PROOF. We note that in (5.3), $\tilde{L}f = \mathbf{F}$ for any $\mathbf{F} \in \mathfrak{H}$. Indeed, since \tilde{L} is an isometry, for $\tilde{L}\tilde{f} = \mathbf{F}$, we have

$$(5.8) \quad f(p) = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(p))_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\tilde{L}\tilde{f}, \tilde{L}K(\cdot, p))_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\tilde{f}(\cdot), K(\cdot, p))_{\mathfrak{H}} = \tilde{f}(p).$$

Hence, $f \equiv \tilde{f}$ and we see that (5.3) gives the isometry \tilde{L} . Of course, we have (5.4). Further, from (5.8), we see that $\{\mathbf{g}_{\tilde{L}}(p); p \in E\}$ is complete in \mathfrak{H} . Moreover, from the argument in Theorem 3.2, we have the inverse formula (5.7).

For miscellaneous concrete examples, see [5, 6].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author wishes to thank Professors T. Ando, F. Beatrix, Jr., and the referee for their valuable advice and comments.

REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn, *La théorie des noyaux reproduisants et ses applications*. I, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **39** (1943), 118–153.
2. _____, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404.
3. J. Burbea, *Total positivity of certain reproducing kernels*, Pacific J. Math. **68** (1976), 101–130.
4. R. E. Curto and N. Salinas, *Generalized Bergman kernels and the Cowen-Douglas theory* (preprint).
5. S. Saitoh, *Integral transforms in Hilbert spaces (résumé)*, Proc. Japan Acad. **58** (1982), 361–364.
6. _____, *Integral transforms in Hilbert spaces* (submitted).
7. L. Schwartz, *Sous-espaces hilbertiens d'espaces vectoriels topologiques et noyaux associés (noyaux reproduisants)*, J. Analyse Math. **13** (1964), 115–256.
8. H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *On the zeros of functions with finite Dirichlet integral and some related function spaces*, Math. Z. **180** (1962), 229–249.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, GUNMA UNIVERSITY, TENJIN-CHO, KIRYU 376, JAPAN