

ARCHIMEDEAN, SEMIPERFECT
AND π -REGULAR LATTICE-ORDERED ALGEBRAS
WITH POLYNOMIAL CONSTRAINTS ARE f -ALGEBRAS

STUART A. STEINBERG

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a lattice-ordered algebra is embeddable in a product of totally ordered algebras provided (i) it is archimedean, contains a left superunit which is an f -element, and satisfies a polynomial identity $p(x) \geq 0$ or $f(x, y) \geq 0$ (for suitable $f(x, y)$); or (ii) it is unital, and semiperfect, π -regular, or left π -regular, and some power of each element is positive.

A torsion-free lattice-ordered algebra R over the commutative unital totally ordered domain F is called an l -algebra if for all $r, s \in R^+ = \{r \in R: r \geq 0\}$ and $\alpha \in F^+$,

$$r \wedge s = 0 \text{ implies } \alpha r \wedge s = 0.$$

Let

$$T = \{r \in R: u \wedge v = 0 \text{ implies } |r|u \wedge v = u|r| \wedge v = 0\}.$$

Then T consists of the f -elements of R ; T is a convex l -subalgebra of R which contains 1, if $1 \in R^+$; and R is an f -algebra precisely when $T = R$.

The variety of f -rings, which was introduced by Birkhoff and Pierce in [1], has been the most extensively studied class of l -rings. This is because an f -algebra is a subdirect product of a family of totally ordered algebras, and, hence, computations in f -algebras can frequently be reduced to the totally ordered case. However, larger classes and varieties of l -algebras have been studied by Birkhoff and Pierce [1], Diem [2], Shyr and Viswanathan [3], and Steinberg [4–7].

The l -algebra R is l -prime if the product of two of its nonzero l -ideals is nonzero, and an l -domain if the product of two nonzero positive elements is nonzero. R is reduced if $a^2 = 0$ implies $a = 0$. In [2, p. 79] Diem asked if an l -prime l -ring R in which the square of every element is positive must be an l -domain. In [7] we have shown that R must be a domain if it is unital or the left and right annihilator ideals of T vanish. More generally, the same conclusion follows if the identity $x^2 \geq 0$ (actually, $(x^2)^- = 0$) is replaced by more general polynomial constraints. Let $F[x, y]$ be the free noncommutative F -algebra in two variables x and y . A polynomial $f(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ is nice if

$$f(x, y) = -g(x, y) + p(y) + h(x, y)$$

Received by the editors July 12, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 06F25; Secondary 16A86.

Key words and phrases. Lattice-ordered ring, totally ordered ring, polynomial constraint.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/83 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

where $0 \neq g(x, y)$ is of degree 1 in x and has all its coefficients positive, and $h(x, y) = 0$ or each of its monomials has degree at least 2 in x . $f(x, y)$ is *left (right) nice* if $g(x, y)$ has a monomial which begins (ends) with x , and is k -nice if $h(x, y) \in F[x^k, y]$. For example, $-x$ and $(x - y)^2$ are left and right 2-nice polynomials. From [7] we have the

THEOREM. *Let R be an l -prime l -algebra over the totally ordered domain F .*

(1) *If $1 \in R^+$ and if $u \wedge v = 0$ implies there is a nice polynomial $f(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ with $f(u, v) \geq 0$, then R is an l -domain.*

(2) *Each of the following conditions implies that R is a reduced l -domain.*

(a) *$1 \in R$ and for each invertible element $u \in R$ there is a polynomial $p(x) \in F[x]$ with $p(u) \geq 0$ and $0 \neq p'(1) \in R^+$ ($p'(x)$ is the derivative of $p(x)$).*

(b) *If $r \in R$ and $rT = 0$ or $Tr = 0$, then $r = 0$; and there is a right and left k -nice polynomial $f(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ (with $k \geq 2$) such that R satisfies $f(x, y^+)^- = 0$.*

(3) *If F is a field and $1 \in R^+$, then each of the following implies that R is a domain.*

(a) *For each $r \in R$ there is a polynomial $p(x) \in xF[x]$ with $p(r) \in R^+$, $p(1)p'(1) \neq 0$, and $p'(1) \in R^+$.*

(b) *R satisfies the identity $p(x)^+ p(x)^- = 0$ where $p(x) \in F[x]$ has only odd terms and $p(1)p'(1) \neq 0$.*

In this note we investigate l -algebras with such polynomial constraints. In particular, we show that the squares positive hypothesis in [4 and 6] can be relaxed; that is, l -algebras with certain constraints that are archimedean, semiperfect, algebraic, π -regular or left π -regular must be f -algebras.

If r and s are two elements of the l -algebra R , then r is *infinitely smaller than s with respect to F* , written $r \ll s$, if $\alpha|r| \leq |s|$ for each $\alpha \in F$. R is *archimedean over F* if $r \ll s$ implies $r = 0$. R is a *PPI l -algebra over F* if R satisfies the identity $f(x, y)^- = 0$ where $f(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ and $f(x, y) \notin F$. By a *left superunit e* in R we mean an element $e \in R^+$ such that $ex \geq x$ for each x in R^+ . The element $a \in R$ is a *left f -element* if $b \wedge c = 0$ implies $|a|b \wedge c = 0$, and a *weak order unit* if $|a| \wedge b = 0$ implies $b = 0$. For notational convenience we note that $F[x, y]$ is an l -algebra with positive cone $F^+[x, y]$, and we will denote the positive part, negative part and absolute value of $f(x, y)$ by $f^+(x, y)$, $f^-(x, y)$ and $|f|(x, y)$, respectively.

1. Archimedean l -algebras. To show that archimedean PPI l -algebras are f -algebras we require two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $a, e \in R^+$ and suppose that there exists $p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^n \in F[x]$ of degree $n \geq 1$ with $\alpha_n > 0$ and $0 \leq p(\alpha e - a)$ for each α in a cofinal subset of F^+ . Suppose also that*

(a) *There exist $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \in F$ with*

$$\delta_1 a \leq \delta_2 \sum_{i+j=n-1} e^i a^j,$$

(b) $a \wedge e^n = 0$,

(c) $a \wedge e^{n-1} = 0$ if $\alpha_{n-1} > 0$.

Then there exists $0 < \rho \in F$ and $q(x, y) \in F^+[x, y]$ with $\rho a \ll q(a, e)$.

PROOF. We assume that $n \geq 2$. The coefficient of α^k in $p(\alpha e - a)$ comes from $\alpha_k(\alpha e - a)^k + \alpha_{k+1}(\alpha e - a)^{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n(\alpha e - a)^n$ and is

$$\alpha_k e^k + \sum_{m \geq k+1} (-1)^{m-k} \alpha_m \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_r = k \\ j_1 + \dots + j_r = m-k}} e^{i_1} a^{j_1} \dots e^{i_r} a^{j_r} = \alpha_k e^k + \mathcal{O}_k(a, e).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq p(\alpha e - a) &= p(-a) + \sum_{k=1}^n [\alpha_k e^k + \mathcal{O}_k(a, e)] \alpha^k \\ &= p(-a) + [p(\alpha e) - p(0)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \mathcal{O}_k(a, e) \alpha^k - \alpha_n \alpha^{n-1} \sum_{i+j=n-1} e^i a^j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \alpha_n \delta_1 \alpha^{n-1} a \\ &\leq \delta_2 \left[h^+(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (\alpha_k^+ e^k + \mathcal{O}_k^+(a, e)) \alpha^k + \alpha_{n-1}^+ \alpha^{n-1} e^{n-1} + \alpha_n^+ \alpha^n e^n \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = p(-x)$. So if $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq \alpha(\delta_1 \alpha_n a) \leq \delta_2 \left[h^+(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (\alpha_k^+ e^k + \mathcal{O}_k^+(a, e)) + \alpha_{n-1}^+ \alpha e^{n-1} + \alpha_n \alpha^2 e^n \right],$$

and by (b) and (c),

$$0 \leq \alpha \rho a \leq q(a, e) \quad \text{with } \rho = \alpha_n \delta_1.$$

COROLLARY. The following statements are equivalent for the archimedean l -algebra R over F .

(a) R is an f -algebra.

(b) For each $a \in \{u^+ v^+ \wedge v^-, v^+ u^+ \wedge v^-\}$; $u, v \in R$ there exists $p(x) \in F[x]$ and a left f -element $e \geq 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in F^+$

$$(a \wedge e) \vee (ea - a)^- \vee p(\alpha e - a)^- = 0.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $f(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ be a polynomial such that $f^-(x, y)$ has a monomial of positive degree in x whose degree in y exceeds the degree of $f^+(x, y)$ in y . Suppose that $a, e \in R^+$ with $a \leq ea$ and $f(a, \alpha e) \geq 0$ for each α in a cofinal subset of F^+ . Then there exist $0 < \rho \in F$ and $q(x, y) \in F^+[x, y]$ with $\rho a^n e^t \leq q(a, e)$ for some integers $n \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$.

PROOF. Write $f(x, y) = -\rho m(x, y) + h(x, y)$, where $\rho > 0$ and $m(x, y)$ is a monomial whose degree in y exceeds the degree in y of $h^+(x, y)$. Since $a^i \leq e^k a^i$ if $i \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, $a^n e^t \leq m(a, e)$ where $n \geq 1$ is the degree of x in $m(x, y)$ and $m(x, y)$ ends in y^t . If $m(x, y)$ has degree s in y , then $f(a, \alpha e) \geq 0$ implies

$$0 \leq \rho \alpha^s a^n e^t \leq \rho m(a, \alpha e) \leq h(a, \alpha e) \leq h^+(a, \alpha e).$$

If $\alpha \geq 1$, then

$$\rho \alpha a^n e^t \leq \alpha^{1-s} h^+(a, \alpha e) \leq h^+(a, e) = q(a, e),$$

since $s > \text{degree of } y \text{ in } h^+(x, y)$.

The equivalence of (a) and (b) in the following theorem is given in [6, Corollary 4, p. 206] for the case $p(x) = x^2$. Also, it is shown in Theorem 8 of [6] that e is a weak order unit precisely when R satisfies $x^+ x^- = 0$. Thus, the equivalence of (a) and (b) follows from Lemma 1.

THEOREM 1. *Let R be an archimedean l -algebra over F and suppose that R has a left superunit e which is an f -element. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) R is an f -algebra.
- (b) R is a PPI l -algebra and satisfies the identity $p(x)^- = 0$ for some $p(x) \in F[x]$.
- (c) R is a PPI l -algebra and satisfies the identity $f(x, y)^- = 0$, where $f(x, y) = -g(x, y) + p(y) + h(x, y)$ is a right k -nice polynomial with $k \geq 2$, and y has higher degree in $g(x, y)$ than in $h^+(x, y)$.
- (d) R satisfies $f(x^+, x^-)^- = 0$ where $f(x, y)$ is a polynomial satisfying the conditions in (c).

PROOF. (d) \rightarrow (a). Let $a \wedge e = 0$. Then if $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$0 \leq g(a, \alpha e) \leq p(\alpha e) + h(a, \alpha e) \leq |p|(\alpha e) + h^+(a, \alpha e).$$

Since $g(a, \alpha e) \wedge |p|(\alpha e) = 0$, $g(a, \alpha e) \leq h^+(a, \alpha e)$. By Lemma 2 $\alpha e' = 0$ and hence $a^2 = 0$ since $a \leq e'a$. By [7, Lemma 10], $a \in T$. But T is an archimedean f -algebra with a superunit and hence is reduced. So e is a weak order unit of R and by the remarks preceding the theorem, R is an f -algebra.

Since the equivalence of (a) and (b) has already been noted and since the implications (a) \rightarrow (c) and (c) \rightarrow (d) are trivial, the proof is complete.

In view of the theorem in the introduction one might conjecture that the identity $p(x)^- = 0$ could be localized in Theorem 1, namely, replaced by "for each $u \in R$ there exists $p(x)$ with $p(u) \geq 0$ ". The following example shows that this is not possible. Let $R = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}\sqrt{2}$ as l -groups. Then for $b \geq 0$ or $b \leq 0$, $p(b) \geq 0$ if $p(x) = x^2$; and if $b = p + q\sqrt{2}$ with $pq < 0$,

$$\text{then } p(b) \geq 0 \text{ if } p(x) = [(p^2 + 2q^2) - x^2]^2.$$

Using a polynomial $f(x, y)$ which satisfies the conditions in (c) it is possible to add the following statement as a third equivalence in the corollary.

For each $a \in \{u^+ v^+ \wedge v^-, v^+ u^+ \wedge v^-, u, v \in R\}$ there is an f -element $e \geq 0$ with

$$(a \wedge e) \vee (ea - a)^- \vee f(a, s^+)^- = 0$$

for each s in the convex l -subalgebra generated by e .

We also note that Diem's example [2, p. 72] shows that an archimedean l -domain with squares positive need not be an f -ring.

2. Chain conditions on the algebra. Recall that the unital l -ring R with Jacobson radical J is *local* if R/J is a division ring, and *semiperfect* if R/J is left artinian and idempotents may be lifted from R/J to R . Theorem 2 below is given in [4] for the case in which R has squares positive.

LEMMA 3. Let R be a local l -algebra with radical J . Then R is an f -algebra if and only if the inverse of each positive element is positive.

PROOF. Assume that $(R^+ \setminus J)^{-1} \subseteq R^+$. Let $a \in R^+$ and put $b = a \vee 2$; and suppose that $x \wedge y = 0$. If $b \notin J$, then $b^{-1} \in R^+$ and

$$0 \leq b^{-1}(bx \wedge by) \leq b^{-1}bx \wedge b^{-1}by = 0.$$

So $bx \wedge by = 0$. If $b \in J$, then $(b - 1)^{-1} \in R^+$ and again $(b - 1)x \wedge (b - 1)y = 0$. Thus

$$0 \leq (b - 1)x \wedge y \leq (b - 1)x \wedge (b - 1)y = 0$$

and so $bx \wedge y = [(b - 1)x + x] \wedge y = 0$. In either case

$$0 \leq ax \wedge y \leq bx \wedge by = 0.$$

Similarly, $xa \wedge y = 0$ and R is an f -algebra.

THEOREM 2. Let R be a unital l -ring such that for each $a \in R$ there is an integer $n \geq 1$ with $a^n \geq 0$. If R is semiperfect, π -regular, left π -regular or an algebraic algebra over a field, then R is an f -ring.

PROOF. Since the idempotents of R are all positive, they are central and contained in T . If R is semiperfect, then R/J is a direct sum of division rings and, hence, if $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_m$ is a lifting of the orthogonal idempotents of R/J , then $R = Re_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Re_m$ as l -rings. So we may assume that R is local. But if $u \in R^+$ is invertible and $u^{-n} \geq 0$, then $u^{-1} = u^{n-1}u^{-n} \geq 0$. So R is an f -ring by Lemma 3.

Suppose that R is π -regular. So for each $a \in R$ there is an integer t and $b \in R$ with $a^t = a^tba^t$; hence $e = ba^t$ is idempotent and $Ra^t = Re$. We may assume that R is a subdirectly irreducible l -ring. But then R is an indecomposable l -ring and hence $e = 0$ or 1 . Since $e = 1$ if and only if a is a unit, the nonunits form a nil ideal. In particular, R is local and hence an f -ring by Lemma 3.

If R is left π -regular, that is, each chain $Ra \supseteq Ra^2 \supseteq \dots$ is finite, and $a \in R^+$, put $b = a \vee 1$. Then for some integer m and $x \in R$, $b^m = xb^{m+1}$. Thus $(1 - xb)b^m = 0$. If $(1 - xb)^n \geq 0$, then $(1 - xb)^nb^m = 0$ and hence $(1 - xb)^n = 0$ since $b \geq 1$. But then $xb = 1 - (1 - xb)$ is a unit, and therefore so is b . Since $b, b^{-1} \in R^+$, as in the proof of Lemma 3, we see that $a \in T$ and hence R is an f -ring.

Since an algebraic algebra is π -regular the proof is complete.

Let F be a totally ordered field and let F_n be the canonically ordered $n \times n$ triangular matrix l -algebra over F . So

$$F_n = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i > j\}$$

and

$$F_n^+ = \{(a_{ij}) \in F_n : a_{ij} \geq 0 \text{ for each } i \text{ and } j\}.$$

It can be shown that the F - l -algebra R is isomorphic to F_2 if and only if R satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) R is noncommutative and 3-dimensional over F .
- (ii) $\{a \in R : a^m = 0\}$ is a 1-dimensional l -ideal.
- (iii) R satisfies the identity $((x^2)^-)^2 = 0$.

Using the identity $((x^2)^-)^n = 0$, what is the analogous characterization of F_n ?

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce, *Lattice-ordered rings*, Ann. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. **28** (1956), 41–69.
2. J. E. Diem, *A radical for lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 71–82.
3. H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, *On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math. **54** (1974), 257–260.
4. S. A. Steinberg, *On lattice-ordered rings in which the square of every element is positive*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **22** (1976), 362–370.
5. _____, *Radical theory in lattice-ordered rings*, Sympos. Math. **21** (1971), 379–400.
6. _____, *Identities and nilpotent elements in lattice-ordered rings*, Ring Theory (S. K. Jain, Ed.), Dekker, New York, 1977.
7. _____, *Unital l -prime lattice-ordered rings with polynomial constraints are domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 145–164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606