

CH AND OPEN SUBSPACES OF F -SPACES

ALAN DOW

ABSTRACT. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman showed that, if one assumes CH, each open subset of an F -space of weight c is an F -space. In this note it is shown that this fact is equivalent to CH.

0. Introduction. A Tychonoff space is an F -space if disjoint cozero subsets are contained in disjoint zero sets. Many interesting results about F -spaces of weight c have been shown to be consequences of the continuum hypothesis, CH; see for example [Pa, L, FG, W1, and W2]. Unfortunately most of these have also been shown to be equivalent to CH. For example, in [vDvM1] it is shown that Parovičenko's characterization of ω^* is equivalent to CH; in [vD1] it is shown that Woods' result, that countably compact normal F -spaces with only c continuous real-valued functions are compact, is equivalent to CH, and it has been shown that others are not theorems of ZFC [vDvM2 and vDvM3]. In this note it is shown that Fine and Gillman's result, each open subset of an F -space of weight c is an F -space, is also equivalent to CH. It is also true, under CH, that each locally compact subspace with weight c of an F -space is again an F -space. We show that, under \neg CH, every infinite compact F -space contains a locally compact subspace of weight c which is not an F -space.

Our notation and terminology follows that of the Gillman and Jerison text [GJ]. We list some necessary facts about F -spaces.

- 0.1. PROPOSITION. (i) X is an F -space iff each cozero subset of X is C^* -embedded.
(ii) X is an F -space iff βX is an F -space.
(iii) A C^* -embedded subspace of an F -space is an F -space.
(iv) Lindelöf subspaces of F -spaces are C^* -embedded. \square

We will be using the absolute $E(X)$ of a compact space X . There is a canonical continuous map k_X which maps $E(X)$, the space of maximal regular open ultrafilters, to X defined by $k_X(u) \in \bigcap \{cl_X U : U \in u\}$. For each regular open subset U of X , $k_X^{-1}(U)$ is a clopen subset of $E(X)$ and these form a base for $E(X)$. Also if g is a homeomorphism of X then there is a unique homeomorphism h of $E(X)$ such that $k_X \circ h = g \circ k_X$. The reader should consult the survey [W3] for these and other facts about the absolute.

Received by the editors November 23, 1981 and, in revised form, January 31, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54D35.

Key words and phrases. F -space, P -space.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/83 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

1. Assuming CH. In this section we shall list and prove the relevant results from [FG] for completeness. The first result does not require CH.

1.1. THEOREM [FG]. *Each union of ω_1 cozero subsets of an F -space is again an F -space.*

PROOF. Let X be an F -space and $U = \bigcup \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with each C_α a cozero subset of X . Let g be a bounded real-valued continuous function defined on a cozero subset C of U . We shall show that g extends continuously to \hat{g} on U . Recursively define bounded real-valued continuous functions g_α on $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$ such that for $\beta < \alpha$, $g_\beta \subset g_\alpha$ and g_α restricted to $C \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$ is equal to g . This recursion can be carried out since, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta \cup (C \cap C_\alpha)$ is a cozero subset of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$ and therefore is C^* -embedded in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$. Therefore $\hat{g} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} g_\alpha$ is the desired extension of g . \square

1.2. THEOREM (CH). *Each open subset of an F -space of weight c is an F -space.* \square

1.3. THEOREM (CH). *Each locally compact space of weight c which is a subspace of an F -space is itself an F -space.*

PROOF. Let X be an F -space and let Y be a locally compact subspace which has weight c . By Proposition 0.1, $K = \text{cl}_{\beta X} Y$ is an F -space. Since Y is locally compact and dense in K , Y is open in K . Clearly Y can be expressed as a union of $c = \omega_1$ cozero subsets of K . By Theorem 1.1 Y is an F -space. \square

2. The example. In this section we shall construct an example of an F -space K with weight $\omega_2 \cdot c$ which has an open subspace U which is not an F -space. We shall need the following much weakened version of a result of Negrepointis. A space X is a P -space if each countable intersection of open subsets of X is open.

2.1. PROPOSITION. *If X is a P -space, then $X \times \omega$ is an F -space and $X \times \omega$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times \beta\omega$.*

PROOF. In fact, $X \times \omega$ is a P -space since it is the product of two P -spaces. It easily follows from the fact that ω is countable (or Lindelöf) that the projection map from $X \times \omega$ to X is z -closed [Wa]. Therefore $X \times \omega$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times \beta\omega$ [Wa, p. 193]. \square

Let X be the set $\omega_2 + 1$ endowed with the G_δ -topology of the ordinal space $\omega_2 + 1$. Clearly X is a P -space and $L = \{\lambda \leq \omega_2 : \lambda \text{ has uncountable cofinality}\}$ is the set of nonisolated points of X . It is not difficult to show that $|C^*(X)| = \omega_2 \cdot c$ and that X is Lindelöf (see 9L in [GJ]). Let $K = \beta(X \times \omega^*)$.

2.2. Fact. K is a compact F -space with weight $\omega_2 \cdot c$.

PROOF. Since $|C^*(X \times \omega)| = \omega_2 \cdot c$, by Proposition 2.1 $|C^*(X \times \beta\omega)| = \omega_2 \cdot c$. Hence the weight of $\beta(X \times \beta\omega)$ is $\omega_2 \cdot c$. Now since X , and therefore, $X \times \omega^*$ are Lindelöf, $X \times \omega^*$ is C^* -embedded in $\beta(X \times \omega)$ by Proposition 0.1(iv). It follows that K is an F -space and has weight $\omega_2 \cdot c$. \square

Now let C be a nonclopen cozero subset of ω^* . Define $T = \text{cl}_K(L \times C)$ and $U = K - T$.

2.3. Fact. U is not an F -space.

PROOF. We shall exhibit disjoint cozero subsets C_0 and C_1 of U which are not contained in disjoint zero sets of U . Let E_0 and E_1 be disjoint subsets of $X - L$ such that $L \subset \bar{E}_0 \cap \bar{E}_1$, e.g. E_0 is the even isolated ordinals and E_1 is the odd ordinals. Let W_n , for $n \in \omega$, be clopen in ω^* such that $\bigcup W_n = C$. Define $V_n(i) = \text{cl}_U(E_i \times W_n)$ for $i = 0$ and $i = 1$. We show that each $V_n(i)$ is open. Suppose that $u \in \text{cl}_U V_n(i)$ for $i = 0$ or 1 .

Therefore $\text{cl}_K(X \times W_n)$ is a clopen neighborhood of u in K . Let W be a clopen K -neighborhood of u contained in $\text{cl}_K(X \times W_n)$ such that $W \cap (L \times C) = \emptyset$. Since W is compact there is a countable set I contained in $X \setminus L$ so that $W \subset \text{cl}_K(I \times W_n)$. Now $(I \cap E_i) \times W_n$ is clopen in $X \times \omega^*$ and so $\text{cl}_K((I \cap E_i) \times W_n)$ is a neighborhood of u which is contained in $V_n(i)$. Therefore $V_n(0)$ and $V_n(1)$ are clopen subsets of U for each $n \in \omega$. Let $C_i = \bigcup_n V_n(i)$ for $i = 0, 1$, these are clearly cozero subsets of U . We must consider two cases to show that C_0 and C_1 are not contained in disjoint zero sets of U .

Case 1. $\text{cl}_{\omega^*} C$ is not the intersection of ω_1 clopen subsets of ω^* . Let $X_1 = X \cap \{\alpha : \alpha \leq \omega_1\}$, $K_1 = \text{cl}_K(X_1 \times \omega^*)$ and $U_1 = K_1 \cap U$. Since X_1 is clopen in X , K_1 is clopen in K and U_1 is clopen in U . We can show in this case that not even U_1 is an F -space. Note that the weight of K_1 is c but, of course, by our current assumption $c \geq \omega_2$.

Suppose that $(U_1 \cap C_i) \subset Z_i$ for disjoint zero sets Z_i , $i = 0, 1$. It follows that for each $\alpha \in E_i \cap X_1$ there is a clopen subset, A_α , of ω^* with $\{\alpha\} \times A_\alpha \subset Z_i$ and $C \subset A_\alpha$. By assumption, there is a point $y \in \bigcap \{A_\alpha \setminus \text{cl}_{\omega^*} C : \alpha \in E_0 \cup E_1\}$. Therefore $(\omega_1, y) \in U_1$, and $(\omega_1, y) \in Z_0 \cap Z_1$ because $X_1 \times \omega^*$ has the product topology. This contradicts the fact that Z_0 and Z_1 are assumed to be disjoint.

Case 2. $\text{cl}_{\omega^*} C = \bigcap \{B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ where each B_ξ is a clopen subset of ω^* . We may assume that $\{B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ is closed under finite intersections and is therefore a neighborhood base for $\text{cl}_{\omega^*} C$. Note that in this case U_1 is an F -space because it can be expressed as an ω_1 union of clopen subsets of K_1 .

Suppose that $C_i \subset Z_i$ for disjoint zero sets Z_0 and Z_1 . Again it follows that for $\alpha \in E_i$ there is a clopen $A_\alpha \subset \omega^*$ such that $\{\alpha\} \times A_\alpha \subset Z_i$ and $C \subset A_\alpha$. For each $\alpha \in E_0 \cup E_1$ choose $\xi(\alpha) < \omega_1$ so that $B_{\xi(\alpha)} \subset A_\alpha$. Therefore, for $i = 0, 1$; there is a set $E'_i \subset E_i$ with cardinality ω_2 and a $\xi_i < \omega_1$ such that $\xi(\alpha) = \xi_i$ for each $\alpha \in E'_i$. Let $p \in (B_{\xi_0} \cap B_{\xi_1}) \setminus \bar{C}$. Then $(\omega_2, p) \in \bar{Z}_1 \cap \bar{Z}_2$ and $(\omega_2, p) \in U$, which contradicts the disjointness of Z_0 and Z_1 . \square

It is fairly well known that both Case 1 and Case 2 above are consistent with $\neg\text{CH}$. This is shown, for example, in [H].

REMARK. The underlying idea behind the construction of U is that U is like a product of a P -space X with an F -space Y where Y has the property that there are some cozero subsets of Y with no ' X -limits'. However, any zero sets containing them do have ' X -limits'. This idea is made more precise and is employed in [D] to find a necessary condition on F -spaces in order that their product with any P -space be F -spaces.

3. Assuming $\neg\text{CH}$. In this section we will show the opposite implications of our equivalences of CH. We shall need a result of van Douwen's [vD2] which we shall show in a pair of lemmas.

3.1. LEMMA. *The P-space $(\omega^*)_\delta$ embeds in $\beta\omega$.*

PROOF. Let $p \in \omega^*$ be arbitrary and recall the definition: $\{p\text{-lim}(x_n; n \in \omega)\} = \bigcap \{ \overline{\{x_n; n \in U\}} : U \in p \}$. It is well known that $\beta(\omega \times \omega^*)$ can be embedded in ω^* . For $q \in \omega^*$, define $f(q) = p\text{-lim}\{(n, q) : n \in \omega\} \in \beta(\omega \times \omega^*)$. We shall show that $Q = \{f(q) : q \in \omega^*\}$ is homeomorphic to $(\omega^*)_\delta$ and that f is a homeomorphism. Let $(B_n; n \in \omega)$ be clopen subsets of ω^* . Clearly $\bigcup(\{n\} \times \bigcup_{k \leq n} B_k; n \in \omega)$ is a clopen subset of $\omega \times \omega^*$ so $G = \bigcup_n \{n\} \times \bigcup_{k \leq n} B_k$ is a clopen subset of $\beta(\omega \times \omega^*)$. It is easy to check that $G \cap Q = f[\bigcap_n B_n]$; hence f is open. Conversely, let $U \subset Q$ be a neighborhood of $f(q)$ in Q . Choose W , a clopen subset of $\beta(\omega \times \omega^*)$, so that $f(q) \in W \cap Q \subset U$. Since $f(q) \in W$, $W' = \{n : (n, q) \in W\} \in p$. For $n \in W'$, choose B_n so that $\{n\} \times B_n = W \cap (\{n\} \times \omega^*)$. We observe that $f[\bigcap(B_n; n \in W')] \subset W$ which shows that f is continuous. \square

3.2. LEMMA. *The space $(2^c)_\delta$ embeds in $\beta\omega$.*

PROOF. Since 2^c is separable, $E(2^c)$ embeds in ω^* . Therefore $(E(2^c))_\delta$ is a subspace of $(\omega^*)_\delta$ and from the fact that $(\omega^*)_\delta$ embeds in ω^* it follows that $(E(2^c))_\delta$ embeds in ω^* . Hence it suffices to show that $(2^c)_\delta$ embeds in $(E(2^c))_\delta$. Let k be the canonical map from $E(2^c)$ to 2^c . We shall think of $E(2^c)$ as the set of ultrafilters of regular open subsets of 2^c . We will also let $+$ be coordinatewise addition modulo 2 on 2^c and $\mathbf{0}$ be the identity. Choose $u_0 \in k^{-}(\mathbf{0})$ arbitrarily. For each $x \in 2^c$ we have the homeomorphism g_x of 2^c defined by $g_x(y) = x + y$. There is a unique homeomorphism h_x of $E(2^c)$ such that $g_x \circ k = k \circ h_x$. Let $Y = \{h_x(u_0) : x \in 2^c\} \subset (E(2^c))_\delta$. To show that Y is homeomorphic to $(2^c)_\delta$ via k it suffices to show that if A is clopen in $E(2^c)$ then $k(A \cap Y)$ is open in $(2^c)_\delta$. To this end let $U \subset 2^c$ be regular open. Since 2^c is ccc (there are no uncountable cellular families of open sets), there are countably many basic clopen sets A_n such that $\bigcup A_n \subset U$ is dense. Let $F_n, n \in \omega$, be finite subsets of c such that A_n is only restricted on the coordinates in F_n and let $F = \bigcup_n F_n$. Suppose that $x, y \in 2^c$ are such that $x|_F = y|_F$, we shall show that $k^{-}(\overline{x}) \in k^{-}(U)$ iff $k^{-}(y) \in \overline{k^{-}(U)}$. Indeed, $k^{-}(x) \in \overline{k^{-}(U)}$ iff $U \in h_x(u_0)$ iff $\text{int} \bigcup_n A_n \in h_x(u_0)$ iff $\text{int} \bigcup (A_n + x) \in u_0$ iff $\text{int} \bigcup (A_n + x + y) \in h_y(u_0)$ iff $\text{int} \bigcup A_n \in h_y(u_0)$ (since $x|_F = y|_F$) iff $k^{-}(y) \in \overline{k^{-}(U)}$. Now F is countable so 2^F is homeomorphic to 2^ω which is first countable. It follows that $x|_F \times 2^{c-F}$ is clopen in $(2^c)_\delta$ for each $x \in 2^c$. Finally,

$$k(\overline{k^{-}(U)} \cap Y) = \bigcup_n A_n \cup \bigcup \{x|_F \times 2^{c-F} : x \in k(\overline{k^{-}(U)})\}$$

which is open by the above. \square

3.3. COROLLARY ($-\text{CH}$). *The space K constructed in §2 embeds in ω^* .*

PROOF. Recall that $X = (\omega_2 + 1)_\delta$ and $K = \beta(X \times \omega^*)$. As in §2, it suffices to show that $X \times \omega$ can be C^* -embedded in ω^* . However since X is Lindelöf and ω^* is an F -space it suffices to show that X embeds in ω^* . Since we are assuming $c > \omega_1$, we can embed X in 2^c . Now X is a P -space, hence X embeds in $(2^c)_\delta$ and by 3.2 in ω^* . \square

We can now state our main results.

3.4. THEOREM. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) CH ,
- (ii) *each open subset of a compact F -space of weight c is an F -space,*
- (iii) *each open subset of an F -space of weight c is an F -space,*
- (iv) *each locally compact subspace of an F -space of weight c is an F -space.*

PROOF. Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and the example in §2. \square

3.5. THEOREM ($\neg CH$). *Every infinite compact F -space contains a locally compact subspace which is not an F -space and has weight c .*

PROOF. Every infinite compact F -space contains ω^* and therefore, by Corollary 3.3, contains K . \square

REFERENCES

- [vD1] E. K. van Douwen, *A basically disconnected normal space Φ with $|\beta\Phi \setminus \Phi| = 1$* , Canad. J. Math. **31** (1979), 911–914.
- [vD2] _____, *P -spaces embed in $\beta\kappa$* , Notices Amer. Math. Soc.
- [vDvM1] E. K. van Douwen and J. van Mill, *Parovičenko's characterization of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ implies CH* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 539–541.
- [vDvM2] _____, *Subspaces of basically disconnected spaces or quotients of countably complete Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **259** (1980), 121–127.
- [vDvM3] _____, *There can be C^* -embedded dense proper subspaces in $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* , (preprint).
- [D] A. Dow, *On F and F' -spaces*, Pacific J. Math (to appear).
- [FG] N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in βN* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 376–381.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1960.
- [H] S. H. Hechler, *On a ubiquitous cardinal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 348–352.
- [L] A. Louveau, *Characterisation des sous-espaces compacts de βN* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1973), 259–263.
- [Pa] I. I. Parovičenko, *A universal bicomact of weight \aleph_1* , Soviet Math. Dokl. **4** (1963), 592–595.
- [Wa] R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Bd 83, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1974.
- [W1] R. G. Woods, *The structure of small normal F -spaces*, Topology Proc. **1** (1976), 173–179.
- [W2] _____, *Characterizations of some C^* -embedded subspaces of βN* , Pacific J. Math. **65** (1976), 573–579.
- [W3] _____, *A survey of absolutes of topological spaces*, Topological Structures. II, Math. Centre Tracts, No. 116, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979, pp. 323–362.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WINNIPEG, MANITOBA, CANADA R3T 2N2

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1