

EVENTUAL DISCONJUGACY OF A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

WILLIAM F. TRENCH

ABSTRACT. A sufficient condition is given for eventual disconjugacy of an n th order linear differential equation.

1. Introduction. If there is an interval $[a, \infty)$ on which no nontrivial solution of the scalar equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} + p_1(t)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_n(t)y = 0$$

has more than $n - 1$ zeros, counting multiplicities, then (1) is said to be *eventually disconjugate*. From a special case of a theorem of Willett [4], (1) is eventually disconjugate if $p_1, \dots, p_n \in C[0, \infty)$ and

$$(2) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{k-1}|p_k(t)|dt < \infty, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

By an easy argument based on Polya's disconjugacy condition [2], the author showed in [3] that (1) is eventually disconjugate if it has a fundamental system $\{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ such that, for $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$,

$$(3) \quad y_m^{(r)}(t) = \begin{cases} t^{m-r}(1 + o(1))/(m-r)!, & 0 \leq r \leq m, \\ o(t^{m-r}), & m+1 \leq r \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

By a theorem of Hartman and Wintner [1], (2) implies that (1) has such a fundamental system. In [3] the author gave a condition weaker than (2) which implies the same conclusion, but requires the existence of certain auxiliary functions which may not be easy to find. Here we give a condition which is weaker than (2) and imposes only readily verifiable requirements on p_1, \dots, p_n .

2. A preliminary lemma. To state and prove our main results, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose $p \in C(0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^\infty t^{k-1}p(t) dt$ converges (perhaps conditionally). Define*

$$(4) \quad I_0(t; p) = p(t)$$

and

$$(5) \quad I_j(t; p) = \int_t^\infty I_{j-1}(s; p) ds = \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} p(s) ds, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Received by the editors September 8, 1982 and, in revised form, January 3, 1983.
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34C10.

Then the integrals (5) converge and satisfy the inequalities

$$(6) \quad |I_j(t; p)| \leq \frac{2\delta(t)t^{j-k}}{(j-1)!}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where

$$(7) \quad \delta(t) = \sup_{T \geq t} \left| \int_T^\infty s^{k-1} p(s) ds \right| = o(1).$$

The integrals

$$(8) \quad \int_t^\infty t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; p) dt, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

all converge, and if this convergence is absolute for some j_0 in $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$, then it is absolute for $j_0 \leq j \leq k-1$.

PROOF. Our assumption and Abel's integral test imply that $\int_t^\infty s^r p(s) ds$ converges for $0 \leq r \leq k-1$; therefore, $I_j(t; p)$ converges for $1 \leq j \leq k$. With $U(t) = \int_t^\infty s^{k-1} p(s) ds$,

$$(9) \quad \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{j-1} p(s) ds = -\int_t^\infty \left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)^{j-1} s^{j-k} U'(s) ds.$$

If $2 \leq j \leq k$, integrating the right side by parts yields

$$\int_t^\infty (s-t)^{j-1} p(s) ds = \int_t^\infty U(s) \frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)^{j-1} s^{j-k} \right] ds.$$

This and routine estimates yield (6) for $2 \leq j \leq k$, since $|U(s)| \leq \delta(t)$ and

$$\left| \frac{d}{ds} \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)^{j-1} s^{j-k} \right] \right| \leq (k-j)s^{j-k-1} + t^{j-k} \frac{d}{ds} \left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)^{j-1}$$

if $s \geq t$ and $k \geq j$. (In fact, we may drop the 2 in (6) if $j = k$.) If $j = 1$, integrating the right side of (9) by parts yields

$$\int_t^\infty p(s) ds = t^{-k+1} U(t) - (k-1) \int_t^\infty s^{-k} U(s) ds,$$

which implies (6) with $j = 1$.

From (4) and (5), integration by parts yields

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; p) dt = \frac{t^{k-j}}{k-j} I_j(t; p) \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \frac{1}{k-j} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j} I_{j-1}(t; p) dt$$

if $1 \leq j \leq k-1$; hence, (6) and the convergence of (8) for $j = 0$ imply that the integrals in (8) all converge, by finite induction. If

$$(10) \quad \int_t^\infty t^{k-j-1} |I_j(t; p)| dt < \infty$$

for some $j < k-1$, then

$$(11) \quad \int_t^\infty |I_j(s; p)| ds = o(t^{-k+j+1}).$$

Now,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-2} \left(\int_t^\infty |I_j(s; p)| ds \right) dt = \frac{t^{k-j-1}}{k-j-1} \int_t^\infty |I_j(s; p)| ds \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \frac{1}{k-j-1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t^{k-j-1} |I_j(t; p)| dt.$$

Therefore, (10) and (11) imply that

$$\int^\infty t^{k-j-2} \left(\int_t^\infty |I_j(s; p)| ds \right) dt < \infty,$$

which in turn implies that

$$\int^\infty t^{k-j-2} |I_{j+1}(t; p)| dt < \infty,$$

since

$$|I_{j+1}(t; p)| \leq \int_t^\infty |I_j(s; p)| ds.$$

(See (5) with j replaced by $j + 1$.) This completes the proof.

To see that Lemma 1 has nontrivial applications, let

$$Q(t) = t^{-k} \exp \left[i |\log t|^{\gamma+1} \right] \quad (\gamma > 0).$$

The substitution $x = (\log t)^{\gamma+1}$ shows that if F is a polynomial with purely real or purely imaginary nonzero constant term, then the real and imaginary parts of

$$(12) \quad \int^\infty t^{k-1} (\log t)^{-j\gamma} F((\log t)^{-\gamma}) Q(t) dt$$

converge conditionally if $0 \leq j\gamma \leq 1$, or absolutely if $j\gamma > 1$. Moreover, if $t > 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, then

$$(13) \quad I_j(t; Q) = t^j (\log t)^{-j\gamma} F_j((\log t)^{-\gamma}) Q(t) + O(t^{-k+j} (\log t)^{-k\gamma}).$$

where F_j is a polynomial of the kind mentioned above. (From (4), (13) holds with $j = 0$. If $0 \leq r \leq k - 2$ and (13) holds with $j = r$, then it can be established for $j = r + 1$ by means of the first equality in (5) and repeated integration by parts, integrating the exponential factor at each step. Therefore, (13) holds for $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$, by finite induction.) If $j_0 \geq 1$ and $1/j_0 < \gamma < 1/(j_0 - 1)$, then multiplying the "0" term in (13) by t^{k-j-1} produces an absolutely integrable term (since $k\gamma > 1$). Therefore, (13) and the stated convergence properties of (12) imply that the real and imaginary parts of $\int^\infty t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; Q) dt$ converge conditionally if $0 \leq j \leq j_0 - 1$, or absolutely if $j_0 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Hence, if

$$p(t) = t^{-k} \cos [|\log t|^{\gamma+1}] \quad \text{or} \quad p(t) = t^{-k} \sin [|\log t|^{\gamma+1}],$$

then $\int^\infty t^{k-j-1} I_j(t; p) dt$ has these properties.

3. Main results. The following is our main theorem.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $p_1, \dots, p_n \in C(0, \infty)$. Let the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty t^{k-1} p_k(t) dt, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

converge, and

$$(14) \quad \int_0^\infty |I_{k-1}(t; p_k)| dt < \infty, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then (1) is eventually disconjugate.

Theorem 1 follows from the next theorem, by the argument given in [3].

THEOREM 2. The assumptions of Theorem 1 imply that (1) has a fundamental system $\{y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ which satisfies (3).

PROOF. Let m be a fixed integer, $0 \leq m \leq n-1$. (Some quantities below depend upon m , but we will not make this explicit in the notation.) For $t_0 > 0$, let $B(t_0)$ be the Banach space of functions y in $C^{(n-1)}[t_0, \infty)$ such that

$$y^{(r)}(t) = O(t^{m-r}), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1,$$

with norm

$$(15) \quad \|y\| = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} t^{r-m} |y^{(r)}(t)| \right\}.$$

Let $My = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k y^{(n-k)}$. We first show that the transformation T defined by

$$(16) \quad (Ty)(t) = 1 + \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (My)(s) ds \quad \text{if } m=0,$$

or by

$$(17) \quad (Ty)(t) = \frac{t^m}{m!} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-\lambda)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} d\lambda \int_\lambda^\infty \frac{(\lambda-s)^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} (My)(s) ds$$

if $m=1, \dots, n-1$, is a contraction mapping of $B(t_0)$ into itself if t_0 is sufficiently large. Consider the integral

$$(18) \quad J(t; h) = \int_t^\infty s^{n-m-1} (Mh)(s) ds, \quad h \in B(t_0), t \geq t_0.$$

Using (5) and repeated integration by parts yields

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_t^{\bar{t}} s^{n-m-1} (Mh)(s) ds &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_t^{\bar{t}} s^{n-m-1} p_k(s) h^{(n-k)}(s) ds \\ &= - \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} I_j(s; p_k) [s^{n-m-1} h^{(n-k)}(s)]^{(j-1)} \Big|_t^{\bar{t}} \\ &\quad + \int_t^{\bar{t}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n I_{k-1}(s; p_k) [s^{n-m-1} h^{(n-k)}]^{(k-1)} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using Leibniz' formula for the derivatives of a product, and rearranging terms, we can rewrite the integrand in the last member of (19) as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} [s^{n-m-1}]^{(j)} h^{(n-j-1)}(s) \sum_{k=j+1}^n \binom{k-1}{j} I_{k-1}(s; p_k).$$

Therefore, (14) implies that this integral converges absolutely at $\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty$, because

$$(20) \quad |[s^{n-m-1}]^{(j)} h^{(n-j-1)}(s)| \leq K_j \|h\|, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-m-1,$$

where K_j is a universal constant (see (1.5)). Moreover, from (6) with $p = p_k$,

$$(21) \quad |I_j(t; p_k)| \leq \frac{2\delta_k(t)t^{j-k}}{(j-1)!}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

with δ_k as in (7) with $p = p_k$. From (15),

$$(22) \quad |[s^{n-m-1} h^{(n-k)}(s)]^{(j-1)}| \leq B_{jk} \|h\| t^{k-j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

where B_{jk} is a universal constant. Therefore, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (19) and applying (14), (20), (21) and (22) shows that (18) converges and

$$(23) \quad |J(t; h)| \leq \sigma(t) \|h\|, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t) &= 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{B_{jk}}{(j-1)!} \right) \delta_k(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n-m-1} K_j \int_t^\infty \left| \sum_{k=j+1}^n \binom{k-1}{j} I_{k-1}(s; p_k) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $J(t; h)$ converges, Lemma 1 with $p = Mh$ and $k = n - m$ implies that the function \hat{h} defined by

$$(24) \quad \hat{h}(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (Mh)(s) ds \quad \text{if } m = 0,$$

or by

$$(25) \quad \hat{h}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-\lambda)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} d\lambda \int_\lambda^\infty \frac{(\lambda-s)^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} (Mh)(s) ds$$

if $m = 1, \dots, n-1$, is defined for $t \geq t_0$. We will now show that $\hat{h} \in B(t_0)$, and estimate $\|\hat{h}\|$. Differentiating (24) or (25) yields

$$(26) \quad \hat{h}^{(r)}(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t-s)^{n-r-1}}{(n-r-1)!} (Mh)(s) ds, \quad m \leq r \leq n-1,$$

so Lemma 1 and (23) imply that

$$(27) \quad |\hat{h}^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\|h\|\sigma(t)t^{m-r}}{(n-r-1)!}, \quad m \leq r \leq n-1.$$

If $m \geq 1$, then we must also estimate $\hat{h}^{(r)}(t)$, $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$. From (25) and (26) (the latter with $r = m$),

$$\hat{h}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t - \lambda)^{m-1}}{(m - 1)!} \hat{h}^{(m)}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Differentiating this and invoking (27) with $r = m$ yields

$$(28) \quad |\hat{h}^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\|h\|}{(m - r - 1)!(n - m - 1)!} \int_{t_0}^t (t - \lambda)^{m-r-1} \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

$0 \leq r \leq m - 1$. Since σ is nonincreasing, this implies that

$$(29) \quad |\hat{h}^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\|h\|\sigma(t_0)t^{m-r}}{(m - r)!(n - m - 1)!}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq m - 1.$$

Now (27) and (29) imply that $\hat{h} \in B(t_0)$. From (16) and (24) or (17) and (25), this implies that $Ty \in B(t_0)$ if $y \in B(t_0)$. Moreover, if y and \tilde{y} are in $B(t_0)$, then (27) and (29) with $h = y - \tilde{y}$ imply that $\|Ty - T\tilde{y}\| \leq K\sigma(t_0)\|y - \tilde{y}\|$, where K is a universal constant. Now choose t_0 so that $K\sigma(t_0) < 1$. Then T is a contraction mapping of $B(t_0)$ into itself, and therefore T has a fixed point (function) y_m such that, for $t \geq t_0$,

$$(30) \quad y_0(t) = 1 + \int_t^\infty \frac{(t - s)^{n-1}}{(n - 1)!} (My_0)(s) ds \quad \text{if } m = 0,$$

(see (16)), or

$$(31) \quad y_m(t) = \frac{t^m}{m!} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t - \lambda)^{m-1}}{(m - 1)!} d\lambda \int_\lambda^\infty \frac{(\lambda - s)^{n-m-1}}{(n - m - 1)!} (My_m)(s) ds$$

if $m = 1, \dots, n - 1$ (see (17)). Clearly, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} all satisfy (1) on (t_0, ∞) , and they can be extended over $(0, \infty)$ as solutions of (1). If $h = y_m$ and \hat{h} is the integral on the right of (30) or (31) (see (24) and (25)), then (27) implies (3) for $m \leq r \leq n - 1$. If $m \geq 1$, then (28) implies that

$$t^{r-m}|\hat{h}^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{2\|h\|t^{-1}}{(m - r - 1)!(n - m - 1)!} \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad 0 \leq r \leq m - 1,$$

which implies (3) for $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$, since the right side here approaches zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. (This is obvious if $\int^\infty \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$; if $\int^\infty \sigma(\lambda) d\lambda = \infty$, then it follows from l'Hospital's rule, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = 0$.) This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *Asymptotic integration of linear differential equations*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 45-87.
2. G. Polya, *On the mean-value theorem corresponding to a given linear homogeneous differential equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1924), 312-324.
3. W. F. Trench, *A sufficient condition for eventual disconjugacy*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 139-146.
4. D. Willett, *Disconjugacy tests for singular linear differential equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **2** (1971), 536-545.