

ALMOST-QUATERNION $(m - 1)$ -SUBSTRUCTURES ON S^{4m-3}

TURGUT ÖNDER

ABSTRACT. We prove that S^{4m-3} admits an almost-quaternion $(m - 1)$ -substructure if and only if $m = 2$, completing the missing case in our paper *On quaternionic James numbers and almost-quaternion substructures on the sphere*.

In [2], an almost-quaternion substructure on an orientable n -manifold M is defined as the reduction of the structure group of $T(M)$ from $SO(n)$ to $Sp(k) \times SO(n - 4k)$. This is equivalent to the existence of a $4k$ -dimensional subbundle of $T(M)$ together with two normalized almost complex structure maps F, G (see [1]), defined on the total space of the subbundle such that $FG = -GF$. In [2] we have given a theorem which describes the values of k and n such that S^n admits an almost-quaternion k -substructure. There, all the cases were covered except for the case $n = 4m - 3$ and $k = m - 1$ for some m . In this note we prove the following theorem about this case:¹

THEOREM. S^{4m-3} admits an almost-quaternion $(m - 1)$ -substructure if and only if $m = 2$.

PROOF. First let $m = 2$. Since $\pi_4(U(2)/Sp(1)) = 0$, it follows that the fibration

$$U(2)/Sp(1) \rightarrow U(3)/Sp(1) \rightarrow S^5$$

admits a cross section. But then the fibration

$$SO(5)/Sp(1) \rightarrow SO(6)/Sp(1) \rightarrow S^5$$

admits a cross section proving that S^5 admits an almost-quaternion 1-substructure.

Next assume $m > 2$ and let us assume that S^{4m-3} admits an almost-quaternion $(m - 1)$ -substructure. Then there exists a $(4m - 4)$ -dimensional subbundle ξ of $T(S^{4m-3})$ and normalized almost-complex substructure maps F, G defined on the total space $E(\xi)$ such $FG = -GF$. Also, there exists an orthonormal 1-frame $x \rightarrow w(x)$ such that the fiber of $E(\xi)$ at x is orthogonal to $w(x)$.

On the other hand let η be the subbundle of $T(S^{4m-3})$ whose fiber at x is the orthogonal complement of the 1-frame $x \rightarrow ix$ where i denotes the usual complex structure on \mathbf{R}^{4m-2} . On the total space $E(\eta)$ we will define two normalized almost complex substructures F_1, G_1 such that $F_1G_1 = -G_1F_1$.

Received by the editors January 28, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55S40; Secondary 53C15.

Key words and phrases. Sectioning fiber spaces and bundles, almost complex, contact, symplectic, almost product structures.

¹I have just been informed by Hideaki Ōshima that he had obtained another proof of the same result using homotopy exact sequences of certain fibrations.

Let $R(x)$ be the identity if $ix = w(x)$. Assume $ix \neq w(x)$. Let $\beta(x) = w(x) - (w(x) \cdot ix)ix$. Then $\{ix, \beta(x)/\|\beta(x)\|\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the subspace spanned by ix and $w(x)$. Let $R(x)$ be the orthogonal transformation taking ix to $w(x)$, $\beta(x)/\|\beta(x)\|$ to $-(w(x) \cdot \beta(x))ix/\|\beta(x)\| + (w(x) \cdot ix)\beta(x)/\|\beta(x)\|$, and leaving the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by ix and $w(x)$ invariant. Note that the restriction of $R(x)$ to the subspace spanned by ix and $w(x)$ is a rotation by the angle between ix and $w(x)$.

Now, on the total space $E(\eta)$ we define normalized almost complex substructures F_1, G_1 by

$$F_1(v) = R(x)^{-1}(F(R(x)v)), \quad G_1(v) = R(x)^{-1}(G(R(x)v))$$

for all $x \in S^n$ and $v \in T_x S^n \cap E(\eta)$. Then clearly $F_1 G_1 = -G_1 F_1$.

We will show that for $m > 2$ this leads to a contradiction. To do this we slightly change the proof of Kirchoff's theorem (Theorem 41.19 of [3]) as follows:

For each $y \in S^{4m-3}$ we define linear transformations

$$\tilde{F}(y): \mathbf{R}^{4m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{4m} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}(y): \mathbf{R}^{4m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{4m}$$

as follows:

Let $\tilde{F}(y)(v) = F_1(v)$ and $\tilde{G}(y)(v) = G_1(v)$ for $v \in E(\eta)$ and let

$$\tilde{F}(y)(e_{2m}) = e_{4m}, \quad \tilde{F}(y)(e_{4m}) = -e_{2m}, \quad \tilde{F}(y)(y) = iy, \quad \tilde{F}(y)(iy) = -y,$$

$$\tilde{G}(y)(e_{2m}) = y, \quad \tilde{G}(y)(e_{4m}) = -iy, \quad \tilde{G}(y)(y) = -e_{2m}, \quad \tilde{G}(y)(iy) = e_{4m}.$$

Here e_j 's denote the standard basis elements of \mathbf{R}^{4m} . Clearly, $\tilde{F}(y)^2 = -I$, $\tilde{G}(y)^2 = -I$, $\tilde{F}(y)\tilde{G}(y) = -\tilde{G}(y)\tilde{F}(y)$ for each $y \in \mathbf{R}^{4m}$, where I is the identity map of \mathbf{R}^{4m} .

\tilde{F} and \tilde{G} will be used in the construction of a cross section of the fibration

$$O(4m - 1) \rightarrow O(4m) \rightarrow S^{4m-1}.$$

Consider S^{4m-3} as the set of elements of S^{4m-1} which has 0 in $2m$ th entry and $4m$ th entry. Then each element $x \in S^{4m-1}$ can be written uniquely of the form

$$x = \alpha e_{2m} + \beta e_{4m} + \gamma y, \quad y \in S^{4m-3}, \gamma \geq 0, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 = 1.$$

Now for each $x \in S^{4m-1}$ let $\sigma(x) = \alpha I + \beta \tilde{F}(y) + \gamma \tilde{G}(y)$. Then we have $\sigma(x)\sigma(x)^t = [\alpha I + \beta \tilde{F}(y) + \gamma \tilde{G}(y)] [\alpha I - \beta \tilde{F}(y) - \gamma \tilde{G}(y)] = \alpha^2 I - \beta^2 \tilde{F}(y)^2 - \gamma^2 \tilde{G}(y)^2 = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2)I = I$ proving that $\sigma(x) \in O(4m)$. Also, we have

$$\sigma(x)(e_{2m}) = \alpha I(e_{2m}) + \beta \tilde{F}(y)(e_{2m}) + \gamma \tilde{G}(y)(e_{2m}) = \alpha e_{2m} + \beta e_{4m} + \gamma y = x,$$

which shows that $\sigma(x)$ is a cross section. Thus S^{4m-1} is parallelisable, which is a contradiction as we have $m > 2$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. İ. Dibağ, *Almost-complex substructures on the sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1976), 361-366. MR **54** #11228
2. T. Önder, *On quaternionic James numbers and almost-quaternion substructures on the sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 535-540.
3. N. E. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1951. MR **12** #522