

## A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR SEMILATTICES

D. A. BREDIKHIN

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that every semilattice  $(L, \wedge)$  admits an embedding  $Q$  into the set  $R(X)$  of all partial orders on some set  $X$  such that for all  $a, b \in L$ ,  $Q(a \wedge b) = Q(a) \cap Q(b)$  and if  $a \vee b$  exists then also  $Q(a \vee b) = Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ .

Denote by  $R(X)$  the set of all partial orders on  $X$ . The set  $R(X)$  is closed under the operation of intersection of binary relations. Therefore we can consider  $R(X)$  as a semilattice  $(R(X), \cap)$ , which we call the semilattice of partial orders on  $X$ . The relation product  $S \circ H$  of two partial orders  $H, S \in R(X)$  need not belong to  $R(X)$ . But if it does then  $S \circ H$  is the least upper bound of  $\{H, S\}$  in  $R(X)$  under the set-theoretical inclusion.

By a representation of a semilattice  $(L, \wedge)$  we mean an embedding  $F: L \rightarrow R(X)$  for some set  $X$  such that  $F(a \wedge b) = F(a) \cap F(b)$  for all  $a, b \in L$ . The set  $X$  is called the domain of the representation  $F$ .

The main result of this note is the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Every semilattice  $(L, \wedge)$  admits a representation  $Q$  such that for all  $a, b \in L$ , if  $a \vee b$  exists then  $Q(a \vee b) = Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ .*

The proof of this theorem uses some techniques of B. Jónsson [2]. Let  $(L, \wedge)$  be an arbitrary semilattice.

**LEMMA 1.**  *$(L, \wedge)$  has a representation.*

Consider the mapping  $F: L \rightarrow R(L)$  defined by

$$F(a) = \{(x, y) \in L \times L \mid x \leq y \text{ and } x, y \leq a\} \cup \Delta_L,$$

where  $\Delta_L$  denotes the identity relation on  $L$ . It is easy to see that  $F$  is a representation of the semilattice  $(L, \wedge)$ .

We shall say that the representation  $P$  with domain  $Y$  is an extension of a representation  $F$  with domain  $X$  if  $X \subset Y$  and  $F(a) = P(a) \cap X \times X$  for all  $a \in L$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $F$  is a representation of  $(L, \wedge)$ ,  $a \vee b$  exists, and  $(p, q) \in F(a \vee b)$  where  $p \neq q$ , then there exists an extension  $P$  of  $F$  such that  $(p, q) \in P(b) \circ P(a)$ .*

Let  $Y = X \cup \{r\}$  where  $X$  is the domain of representation  $F$  and  $r$  does not belong to  $X$ . Let  $x, y$  denote arbitrary elements of  $X$ , and define  $P$  by putting

$$(1) \quad (x, y) \in P(c) \Leftrightarrow (x, y) \in F(c),$$

---

Received by the editors January 24, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06A12; Secondary 06B10.

*Key words and phrases.* Semilattice, representation.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

- (2)  $(x, r) \in P(c) \Leftrightarrow (x, p) \in F(c) \text{ and } a \leq c,$   
 (3)  $(r, y) \in P(c) \Leftrightarrow (q, y) \in F(c) \text{ and } b \leq c,$   
 (4)  $(r, r) \in P(c).$

It is easy to see that  $P(c) \in R(Y)$  for all  $c \in L$ . From (1) we see that  $P(c) = F(c) \cap X \times X$ , and the fact that  $F$  is one-to-one implies the same for  $P$ . Using (1)–(4) it is easily seen that  $P(c \wedge d) = P(c) \cap P(d)$  for all  $c, d \in L$ . Therefore  $P$  is a representation. Since  $(p, r) \in P(a)$  and  $(r, q) \in P(b)$ , we have  $(p, q) \in P(b) \circ P(a)$ , which completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an inaccessible cardinal such that  $|L| < \alpha$  where  $|L|$  is the power of the set  $L$ . Denote by  $\Sigma$  the set of all representations  $F: L \rightarrow R(X)$  such that  $|X| < \alpha$ . By Lemma 1,  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\{F_i | i \in I\}$  is a family of representations belonging to  $\Sigma$  such that the family  $\{X_i | i \in I\}$  of the corresponding domains is a chain under set-theoretical inclusion and  $F_j$  is an extension of  $F_i$  if  $X_i \subset X_j$ . Then it is easy to see that the mapping  $F: L \rightarrow R(X)$  where  $X = \bigcup \{X_i | i \in I\}$  and  $F(c) = \bigcup \{F_i(c) | i \in I\}$  for all  $c \in L$ , is a representation belonging to  $\Sigma$ . Hence by the Zorn Lemma there exists a representation  $Q$  which is maximal in the sense that if  $P$  is an extension of  $Q$  then  $P$  equals  $Q$ .

Let  $a, b \in L$  and suppose that  $a \vee b$  exists. First we show that  $Q(a \vee b) \subset Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ . Suppose the contrary, i.e. that there exist  $x, y$  such that  $(x, y) \in Q(a \vee b)$  and  $(x, y) \notin Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ . Then, by Lemma 2, there exists an extension  $P$  of  $Q$  such that  $(x, y) \in P(b) \circ P(a)$ , but this contradicts the maximality of  $Q$ . Thus  $Q(a \vee b) \subset Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ . Since  $a \leq a \vee b$  and  $b \leq a \vee b$ , we have  $Q(a) \subset Q(a \vee b)$  and  $Q(b) \subset Q(a \vee b)$ . Therefore  $Q(b) \circ Q(a) \subset Q(a \vee b) \circ Q(a \vee b) \subset Q(a \vee b)$ . Thus  $Q(a \vee b) = Q(b) \circ Q(a)$ , and this completes the proof of the theorem.

From this theorem the following result of [1, 3] is obtained immediately.

**COROLLARY.** *Every lattice  $(L, \wedge, \vee)$  is isomorphic to an algebra of binary relations  $(\mathcal{P}, \cap, \circ)$ , where all elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  are partial orders.*

Note that the construction used in the proof of our theorem is simpler than the one in [1, 3].

This paper was written during the author's visit at the Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. The author thanks L. Márki for his help in preparing the final version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. A. Bredikhin and B. M. Schein, *Representations of ordered semigroups and lattices by binary relations*, Colloq. Math. **39** (1978), 1–12.
2. B. Jónsson, *On the representation of lattices*, Math. Scand. **1** (1953), 193–206.
3. B. M. Schein, *A representation theorem for lattices*, Algebra Universalis **2** (1972), 177–178.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY, EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY, H-1088 BUDAPEST, MUZEUM KRT. 6–8, HUNGARY

SARATOV, LERMONTOVA 7, KV. 22, USSR