

## TWO RESULTS CONCERNING CARDINAL FUNCTIONS ON COMPACT SPACES

I. JUHÁSZ AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY

ABSTRACT. We show that for  $X$  compact  $T_2$ : (i)  $d(X) \leq s(X) \cdot \hat{F}(X)$ ; (ii) if the pair  $(\kappa, \hat{F}(X))$  is a caliber of  $X$  then  $\pi(X) < \kappa$ .

These strengthen results of Šapirovskii from [3 and 5], respectively. Moreover, (i) settles a problem raised in [2] implying that there are no compact  $T_2$   $\kappa$ -examples for any singular cardinal  $\kappa$ .

In this note we follow the notation and terminology of [1]. In particular, we let  $\hat{F}(X)$  denote the smallest cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $|S| < \kappa$  for any free sequence  $S \subset X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $X$  is compact  $T_2$  then  $d(X) \leq s(X) \cdot \hat{F}(X)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us put  $s(X) \cdot \hat{F}(X) = \kappa$ . Given any nonempty open set  $U \subset X$  we can choose a family  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  of open  $F_\sigma$  sets in  $X$  such that  $U = \bigcup \mathcal{C}(U)$ . But  $X$  does not contain discrete subspaces of cardinality  $\kappa^+$ , hence, e.g. by 2.13 of [1], there is a subfamily  $\mathfrak{B}(U) \subset \mathcal{C}(U)$  and a subset  $S(U) \subset U$  such that  $|\mathfrak{B}(U)| \leq \kappa$ ,  $|S(U)| \leq \kappa$  and  $U \subset \bigcup \mathfrak{B}(U) \cup \overline{S(U)}$ .

Let us now assume, indirectly, that  $d(X) > \kappa$ . Then we also have  $\pi(X) > \kappa$ . Hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a family of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$  sets in  $X$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \kappa$ , then there is an open nonempty  $U \subset X$  such that  $A \setminus U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows easily from the compactness of  $X$  that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a chain of open sets with this property, then  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$  possesses it as well. Thus by Zorn's lemma, we can fix an open set  $W(\mathcal{A})$  which is maximal with respect to the above property. Observe that then for every nonempty set  $H$  open in  $X \setminus W(\mathcal{A})$ , there is an  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $A \subset H \cup W(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence  $\emptyset \neq A \setminus W(\mathcal{A}) \subset H$ , i.e.  $\{A \setminus W(\mathcal{A}) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a  $\pi$ -network in  $X \setminus W(\mathcal{A})$ . Consequently, we have

$$d(X \setminus W(\mathcal{A})) \leq |\mathcal{A}| \leq \kappa.$$

After these preparations we define by transfinite induction, families  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  of closed  $G_\delta$  subsets of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in \kappa$  with  $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq \kappa$  as follows. If  $\alpha \in \kappa$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_\beta$  has been defined for all  $\beta \in \alpha$ , we consider the open set  $W_\alpha = W(\bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\})$  and the family  $\mathfrak{B}(W_\alpha)$  of open  $F_\sigma$  subsets of  $W_\alpha$ . For every  $G \in \mathfrak{B}(W_\alpha)$  we may then choose closed  $G_\delta$  sets  $F_G^n$  for  $n \in \omega$  such that  $G = \bigcup \{F_G^n : n \in \omega\}$ .  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  is then defined as the set of all nonempty finite intersections of members of the family

$$\bigcup \{ \mathfrak{B}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha \} \cup \{ X \setminus G : G \in \mathfrak{B}(W_\alpha) \} \cup \{ F_G^n : G \in \mathfrak{B}(W_\alpha), n \in \omega \}.$$

Received by the editors January 31, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54A25, 54D30.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Clearly  $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq \kappa$ . This completes the induction.

Let us put

$$Y = \cup \{ \overline{S(W_\alpha)} \cup (X \setminus W_\alpha) : \alpha \in \kappa \};$$

since  $|S(W_\alpha)| \leq \kappa$  and  $d(X \setminus W_\alpha) \leq \kappa$ , then  $d(Y) \leq \kappa$  as well; hence, by our indirect assumption,  $X \neq Y$ .

We may thus pick a point  $p \in X \setminus Y$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in \kappa$  there are  $G_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}(W_\alpha)$  and  $n_\alpha \in \omega$  such that  $p \in F_\alpha = F_{G_\alpha}^{n_\alpha} \subset G_\alpha$ . Let us put, for  $\alpha \in \kappa$ ,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\alpha = \{F_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha\} \cup \{X \setminus G_\beta : \alpha < \beta < \kappa\}.$$

We claim that  $\mathfrak{Z}_\alpha$  is centered. Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{Z} \in [\mathfrak{Z}_\alpha]^{<\omega}$ , then  $\cap \mathfrak{Z} \neq \emptyset$  follows by an easy induction on the number of the  $X \setminus G_\beta$ 's in  $\mathfrak{Z}$  from our above construction.

Since the members of  $\mathfrak{Z}_\alpha$  are closed and nonempty, we can pick for each  $\alpha \in \kappa$  a point  $p_\alpha \in \cap \mathfrak{Z}_\alpha$ . But then  $\{p_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\} \subset X \setminus G_\alpha$  and  $\{p_\beta : \beta \in \kappa \setminus \alpha\} \subset F_\alpha$ ; hence

$$\overline{\{p_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}} \cap \overline{\{p_\beta : \beta \in \kappa \setminus \alpha\}} = \emptyset.$$

This, however is a contradiction since  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is a free sequence in  $X$  of size  $\kappa \geq \hat{F}(X)$ . Hence our proof is completed.

Theorem 1 is a strengthening of Šapirovsĳii's result saying that

$$d(X) \leq s(X) \cdot t(X)^+$$

for  $X$  compact  $T_2$ , since, as is well known (see e.g. [1, 3.12]), for  $X$  compact  $T_2$  we have  $F(X) = t(X)$ . However the proofs of this given in [3, 4 or 1] do not seem to be modifiable to yield our result for the case in which  $s(X) \cdot \hat{F}(X) = \hat{F}(X) = \kappa$  is a singular cardinal. That this case is of some independent interest is shown by the following result that solves a problem raised in [2] (and answered there only partially even for the case  $\text{cf}(\kappa) \leq \omega_1$ ).

**COROLLARY.** *If  $X$  is compact  $T_2$ ,  $\kappa$  is a singular cardinal, and  $\pi(Y) < \kappa$  holds for each subspace  $Y \subset X$  with  $|Y| \leq \kappa$ , then  $\pi(X) < \kappa$  as well (or in the terminology of [2] there are no compact  $T_2$   $\kappa$ -examples).*

**PROOF.** Clearly  $X$  may have no discrete subspaces of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Hence we have  $d(X) \leq s(X) \cdot \hat{F}(X) \leq \kappa$ . But if  $Y \subset X$  is dense with  $|Y| \leq \kappa$ , then by our assumption and 2.7 of [1],  $\pi(X) = \pi(Y) < \kappa$ .

To formulate our next result we recall that a pair  $\langle \kappa, \lambda \rangle$  of cardinals is said to be a caliber of a space  $X$  if for every family  $\{G_\xi : \xi \in \kappa\}$  of nonempty open sets in  $X$  there is a set  $A \subset \kappa$  with  $|A| = \lambda$  such that  $\cap \{G_\xi : \xi \in A\} \neq \emptyset$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is compact  $T_2$  and the pair  $\langle \kappa, \hat{F}(X) \rangle$  is a caliber of  $X$ , then  $\pi(X) < \kappa$ .*

**PROOF.** Since the proof is quite similar to, but actually even simpler than, that of Theorem 1, we give only a sketch.

First, for any nonempty open set  $U \subset X$  we fix a family  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  of open  $F_\sigma$ 's in  $X$  whose union is  $U$ . Second, assuming indirectly that  $\pi(X) \geq \kappa$  and using that  $\kappa > \omega$ , for any family  $\mathcal{C}$  of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$ 's with  $|\mathcal{C}| < \kappa$ , we pick a nonempty open  $F_\sigma$

set  $W(\mathcal{Q})$  such that  $A \setminus W(\mathcal{Q}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then, by transfinite induction, families  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  of nonempty closed  $G_\delta$  sets with  $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \omega < \kappa$  are defined for  $\alpha \in \kappa$  as follows. If  $\alpha \in \kappa$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_\beta$  have been chosen for  $\beta \in \alpha$ , put

$$W_\alpha = W\left(\bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}\right).$$

We can write

$$W_\alpha = \bigcup \{F_\alpha^n : n \in \omega\},$$

where the  $F_\alpha^n$  are closed  $G_\delta$  sets in  $X$ . Now,  $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha$  is defined as the set of all nonempty finite intersections of members of the family

$$\bigcup \{\mathfrak{B}_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\} \cup \{X \setminus W_\alpha\} \cup \{F_\alpha^n : n \in \omega\}.$$

Clearly,  $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| + \omega$ .

Considering the family  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  and using that, with  $\lambda = \hat{F}(X)$ , the pair  $\langle \kappa, \lambda \rangle$  is a caliber of  $X$ , we can find a set  $A \subset \kappa$  with  $|A| = \lambda$  such that

$$\bigcap \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $p \in \bigcap \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ , and for each  $\alpha \in A$  choose  $n_\alpha \in \omega$  such that  $p \in F_\alpha^{n_\alpha} = F_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$ . Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can see that for  $\alpha \in A$  the family

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\alpha = \{F_\beta : \beta \in A \text{ \& } \beta \leq \alpha\} \cup \{X \setminus W_\beta : \beta \in A \text{ \& } \alpha < \beta\}$$

is centered, and if  $p_\alpha \in \bigcap \mathfrak{Z}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in A$ , then  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a free sequence in  $X$  of size  $\lambda = \hat{F}(X)$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.

In [5] Šapirovsĳii proved that if  $t(X)^+$  is a caliber of a compact  $T_2$  space  $X$  then  $\pi(X) \leq t(X)$ . Since  $\hat{F}(X) \leq F(X)^+ = t(X)^+$  and, moreover, if  $F(X)^+$  is a caliber of  $X$ , clearly so is the pair  $\langle F(X)^+, \hat{F}(X) \rangle$  as well, this result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology—ten years later*, Math. Centre Tracts, Vol. 123, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
2. I. Juhász and W. Weiss, *Determination of  $\pi$ -weight by subspaces of singular cardinality*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **3** (1981), 257–260.
3. B. Šapirovsĳii, *Canonical sets and character. Density and weight in compact spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974), 1282–1287.
4. ———, *Special types of embeddings in Tychonoff cubes. Subspaces of  $\Sigma$ -products and cardinal invariants*, Topology, Vol. II (Proc. Fourth Colloq., Budapest, 1978), Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Vol. 23, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, pp. 1055–1086.
5. ———, *Cardinal invariants in compacta*, Seminar on General Topology, Moscow, 1981, pp. 162–187. (Russian)

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, REÁLTANODA 13–15, BUDAPEST 1479 PF 128, HUNGARY