

## THE EVENS-KAHN FORMULA FOR THE TOTAL STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASS

ANDRZEJ KOZŁOWSKI

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G(X)$  denote the (augmented) multiplicative group of classical cohomology ring of a space  $X$ , with coefficients in  $Z/2$ . The (augmented) total Stiefel-Whitney class is a natural homomorphism  $w: KO(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ . We show that the functor  $G(\ )$  possesses a 'transfer homomorphism' for double coverings such that  $w$  commutes with the transfer. This is related to a question of G. Segal. As a special case, we obtain a formula for the total Stiefel-Whitney class of a representation of a finite group induced from a (real) representation of a subgroup of index 2, which is analogous to the one obtained by Evens and Kahn for the total Chern class.

**1. Introduction.** In [4] L. Evens and D. Kahn obtained an interesting formula for the total Chern class of the representation of a finite group induced from a (complex) representation of a subgroup of prime index  $p$  (generalizing an earlier formula due to Evens [3]). The purpose of this note is to prove an analogous formula for the total Stiefel-Whitney class of the representation of a finite group induced from a (real) representation of a subgroup of index two. In fact, we formulate our statement in the context of homotopy theory, thus obtaining an interesting reformulation of a question of G. B. Segal [9].

Let  $X$  be a CW-complex and let  $G(X)$  denote the group of units of the commutative ring  $\prod_{i \geq 0} H^i(X; Z/2)$ . Let

$$\hat{G}(X) = H^0(X; Z) \oplus G(X).$$

This has a natural structure of a commutative ring (as in [5]) and the augmented total Stiefel-Whitney class can be viewed as a ring homomorphism  $KO(X) \xrightarrow{w} \hat{G}(X)$ . Recall that the functor  $KO(X)$  has a natural transfer homomorphism for finite coverings, [1 and 6]. Our theorem can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *The functor  $\hat{G}(X)$  admits a natural 'transfer homomorphism' for 2-coverings such that the total Stiefel-Whitney class commutes with the transfer, i.e. the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KO(X) & \xrightarrow{w} & \hat{G}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ KO(Y) & \xrightarrow{w} & \hat{G}(Y) \end{array}$$

*is commutative, where  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is a 2-covering and the vertical maps are the two transfer homomorphisms.*

---

Received by the editors May 24, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N20, 55R40, 55P47, 20J06.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized cohomology theory, transfer homomorphism, total Stiefel-Whitney class.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Since the transfer in  $\hat{G}(X)$  will be defined by an explicit formula, considering the covering  $BH \rightarrow BG$  (where  $H$  has index 2 in  $G$ ) gives the Evens-Kahn formula.

In [9] G. Segal shows that there is a connective cohomology theory  $G^*(X)$  with  $G^0(X) = G(X)$ . He also asks if there is a cohomology theory  $\hat{G}^*(X)$  with  $\hat{G}^0(X) = \hat{G}(X)$ , and such that the total Stiefel-Whitney class extends to a transformation of cohomology theories. In [10] Snaith showed that this is false if we take  $\hat{G}^*(X) = H^*(X; Z) \oplus G^*(X)$ , however, this does not mean that there cannot be a different  $\hat{G}^*(X)$  related to  $G^*(X)$  in some more complex way. Now, it is well known [6] that every generalized cohomology theory admits a transfer homomorphism for finite coverings such that transformations of cohomology theories commute with the transfer. We can ask: does the transfer in  $\hat{G}(X)$ , defined here for 2-coverings, extend to a transfer defined for all finite coverings and possessing all the usual properties of a transfer homomorphism (e.g. see [8])? If so, then it follows that  $w$  commutes with the transfer, thus providing strong support for the affirmative answer to Segal's question.

**2. Multiplicative transfers.** In this section we shall define the 'transfer homomorphism' in  $\hat{G}(X)$  which appears in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Our definition will be based on the results of [9]—the alternative approach is as in [2]. The two approaches are equivalent by [7].

Let  $G(X)$  be as in the Introduction. By [9] there is a cohomology theory  $G^*(X)$  with  $G^0(X) = G(X)$ . By [6] every generalized cohomology theory possesses a transfer homomorphism for finite coverings; in the above case it shall be called the Segal transfer. Explicitly, the Segal transfer is defined as follows. Let  $K_q = S^q \otimes (Z/2)$  as in [9]. Then  $K = \{K_q\}_{q \geq 0}$  is a graded topological  $Z/2$ -module. Let  $M(q, n)$  denote the space of maps  $K_q \times \cdots \times K_q \rightarrow K_{nq}$  which are  $n$ -linear over  $Z/2$  and induce the  $n$ -fold cup product on homotopy groups. Let  $M(n)$  denote the space of graded  $n$ -linear maps  $K \times \cdots \times K \rightarrow K$  which induce the graded cup product on homotopy groups. It now follows from [9] that  $M(q, n)$  and  $M(n)$  are contractible. In [7] it is shown that the natural  $\Sigma_n$  action on  $M(q, n)$  and  $M(n)$  is free. Hence either space can be taken as a model for  $E\Sigma_n$ . We can now define a map  $D: G^0(X) \rightarrow G^0(M(n) \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n)$  as follows. Let  $G = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} K(Z/2; i)$  represent  $G(X)$  (see [6]). Let  $f: X \rightarrow G$  represent  $[f] \in G(X)$ .  $D$  is defined by the formula  $D([f]) = [D(f)]$ , where  $D(f): E\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n \rightarrow G$  is given by

$$D(f)(\alpha, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)).$$

For every  $n$ -covering  $X \rightarrow Y$  we have a map  $\phi: Y \rightarrow E\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n$  unique up to homotopy, called the pretransfer [5]. The Segal transfer is defined by  $N = \phi^* D: G(X) \rightarrow G(Y)$ , where we identify  $E\Sigma_n$  with  $M(n)$ . Since  $N$  is the transfer in a generalised cohomology (Segal's cohomology) it must possess all the usual properties.

There is also another, closely related 'transfer', defined for elements of  $H^i(X; Z/2)$  and taking values in  $H^{ni}(Y; Z/2)$  (this 'transfer' has no obvious interpretation in terms of generalized cohomology theories).<sup>1</sup> In detail, we define a

<sup>1</sup>However, see J. P. May, *H<sub>\infty</sub> ring spectra and their applications*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978, p. 242

map, denoted also by  $D$ ,

$$D: H^i(X; Z/2) \rightarrow H^{ni}(E\Sigma_n \times_{\Sigma_n} X^n; Z/2),$$

where this time we identify  $E\Sigma_n$  with  $M(i, n)$  by the same formula as above with the obvious reinterpretation of symbols. Just as above, we define  $N: H^i(X; Z/2) \rightarrow H^{ni}(Y; Z/2)$  by  $N = \phi^*D$ . It is clear that  $N$  is multiplicative, in the sense that  $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$  for  $x \in H^i(X; Z/2)$  and  $y \in H^k(X; Z/2)$ .

Now let  $X \rightarrow Y$  be a 2-covering. We define a ‘transfer’  $\hat{N}: \hat{G}(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(Y)$  as follows. Let  $\hat{D}: G(X) \rightarrow G(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2)$  be given by the formula

$$\hat{D}(m, 1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n + \cdots) = \left( 2m, D(1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n + \cdots) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D(x_i)((1+t)^{m-i} + 1) + (1+t)^m + 1 \right),$$

where  $D$  is defined above and  $t$  is the element of  $H^1(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2; Z/2)$  which is the image of the nonzero element of  $H^1(BZ/2; Z/2)$ . The augmented transfer for 2-coverings  $\hat{N}$  is now defined by  $\hat{N} = \phi^*\hat{D}$ , where  $\phi$  is the pretransfer as above.

We have

PROPOSITION 2.1.  $\hat{N}$  is a homomorphism, natural with respect to pull-backs of 2-coverings.

To prove this proposition we need some lemmas. Let  $E\Sigma_2 \times X \rightarrow E\Sigma_2 \times X^2$  be given by  $(e, x) \mapsto (e, x, x)$ . This is a  $\Sigma_2$ -equivariant map, and hence induces a map  $\Delta: B\Sigma_2 \times X \rightarrow E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2$ . Let  $i: X^2 \rightarrow E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2$  be the inclusion  $(x, x) \mapsto [(e_0, x, x)]$ , where  $e_0$  is some chosen base point in  $E\Sigma_2$ .

LEMMA 2.2.

$$\Delta^* \oplus i^*: H^*(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2; Z/2) \rightarrow H^*(B\Sigma_2 \times X; Z/2) \oplus H^*(X^2; Z/2)$$

is injective.

PROOF. See [11].

LEMMA 2.3. For the covering  $X \simeq E\Sigma_2 \times X \rightarrow B\Sigma_2 \times X$  the pretransfer is given by (the homotopy class of)  $\Delta$ .

PROOF. Easy. For details see [7].

LEMMA 2.4. For the covering  $X \simeq E\Sigma_2 \times X \rightarrow B\Sigma_2 \times X$  the multiplicative transfers defined above are given by

- (i)  $N(1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_k \cdots) = 1 + \sum_{i,k} \text{Sq}^i(x_k)t^{k-i}$ ,
- (ii)  $N(x_k) = \sum_i \text{Sq}^i(x_k)t^{k-i}$ ,
- (iii)  $\hat{N}(m, 1 + x_1 + \cdots) = (2m, (1+t)^m + \sum_i N(x_i)(1+t)^{m-i})$ .

PROOF. See [7].

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. It is clear that  $\hat{N}$  is natural for pull-backs of 2-coverings. From Lemma 2.4(iii) we can easily check that  $\hat{N}$  is a homomorphism for the covering  $X \rightarrow B\Sigma_2 \times X$ . To prove that  $\hat{N}$  is a homomorphism for all 2-coverings

it is enough to show that  $\hat{D}: \hat{G}(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2)$  is a homomorphism. By Lemma 2.2 it suffices to prove that  $\Delta^* \hat{D}: \hat{G}(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(B\Sigma_2 \times X)$  and  $i^* \hat{D}: \hat{G}(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(X^2)$  are homomorphisms. However, the former follows from Lemma 2.3 and the above, and the latter is obvious from the definition of  $\hat{D}$ .

Now let  $F^*(X)$  be any generalized cohomology theory. If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite covering, let  $\text{tr}_F: F^0(X) \rightarrow F^0(Y)$  denote the Kahn-Priddy transfer.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $g: F^0(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(X)$  be a natural homomorphism. Then  $g$  commutes with the transfer for all 2-coverings i.e.  $g(\text{tr}_F(x)) = \hat{N}(g(x))$  if and only if  $g$  commutes with the transfer for the coverings  $X \rightarrow B\Sigma_2 \times X$ .*

**PROOF.** From the definition of the transfer in a generalized cohomology theory in [6] and from the definition of  $\hat{N}$  it follows that we only need to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^0(X) & \xrightarrow{D_F} & F^0(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2) \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ G(X) & \xrightarrow{\hat{D}} & G(E\Sigma_2 \times_{\Sigma_2} X^2) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $D_F$  is induced by the Dyer-Lashof map for  $F$  and  $\hat{D}$  is as above. However, this is clear from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Recall that the augmented total Stiefel-Whitney class is a natural ring homomorphism  $w: KO(X) \rightarrow \hat{G}(X)$ , which takes the real vector bundle  $E$  to  $(\dim E, 1+w_1(E)+w_2(E)+\dots)$ . By Proposition 2.5 it suffices to prove that the total Stiefel-Whitney class commutes with the transfer for coverings of the form  $X \rightarrow B\Sigma_2 \times X$ , for all spaces  $X$ . Using the naturality of  $\hat{N}$  and the fact that it is a homomorphism (Proposition 2.1) we easily see that the splitting principle in real  $K$ -theory implies that we only need to show that  $w \text{tr}_{KO}(x) = \hat{N}w(x)$  for a line bundle  $x$  over  $X$ . Suppose  $x$  has the first Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_1(x)$ . Then  $\text{tr}_{KO}(x) \in KO(B\Sigma_2 \times X)$  is the sum of two line bundles with first Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_1(x)$  and  $w_1(x) + t$ , where as above  $t$  denotes the image of the nonzero element of  $H^1(B\Sigma_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} w \text{tr}_{KO}(x) &= (2, (1 + w_1(x))(1 + w_1(x) + t)) \\ &= (2, 1 + t + w_1(x)^2 + tw_1(x)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}w(x) &= \hat{N}(1, 1 + w_1(x)) = (2, 1 + \text{Sq}^0(w_1(x))t + \text{Sq}^1(w_1(x)) + t) \\ &= (2, 1 + w_1(x)t + w_1(x)^2 + t). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

### REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *Characters and cohomology of finite groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **9** (1961), 23–64.
2. L. Evens, *A generalization of the transfer map in the cohomology of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 54–65.
3. ———, *On the Chern classes of representations of finite groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 180–193.

4. L. Evens and D. Kahn, *Chern classes of certain representations of symmetric groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978/79), 309–330.
5. A. Grothendieck, *La théorie des classes de Chern*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **86** (1958), 137–154.
6. D. Kahn and S. B. Priddy, *Applications of the transfer to stable homotopy theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 981–987.
7. A. Kozłowski, *The transfer in Segal's cohomology*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear)
8. F. Roush, Thesis, Princeton University, 1971.
9. G. B. Segal, *The multiplicative group of classical cohomology*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **26** (1975), 289–293.
10. V. Snaith, *The total Chern and Stiefel-Whitney classes are not infinite loop maps*, Illinois J. Math. **21** (1977), 300–303.
11. D. G. Quillen, *The Adams conjecture*, Topology **10** (1970), 67–80.

817-1 AZUMA 2 CHOME, SAKURA-MURA, NIIHARI-GUN, IBARAKI, JAPAN