

## A NOTE ON THE LUSIN-PRIVALOV RADIAL UNIQUENESS THEOREM AND ITS CONVERSE

ROBERT D. BERMAN

ABSTRACT. For  $f$  meromorphic on  $\Delta$ , let  $f^*$  denote the radial limit function of  $f$ , defined at each point of  $C$  where the limit exists. Let  $\mathcal{M}_R$  denote the class of functions for which  $f^*$  exists in a residual subset of  $C$ . We prove the following theorem closely related to the Lusin-Privalov radial uniqueness theorem and its converse. There exists a nonconstant function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}_R$  such that  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , if and only if  $E$  is not metrically dense in any open arc of  $C$ . We then show that sufficiency can be proved using functions whose moduli have radial limits at each point of  $C$ .

Let  $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $C = \{|z| = 1\}$ . For  $f$  a meromorphic function on  $\Delta$ , let  $f^*$  denote the radial limit function of  $f$ , that is,  $f^*(\eta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(r\eta)$  defined at each point  $\eta$  in  $C$  where the limit exists. The classical radial uniqueness theorem of Lusin and Privalov [7, pp. 187–189] along with its converse [3] can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM A.** *There exists a nonconstant meromorphic (resp. analytic) function  $f$  on  $\Delta$  with  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , if and only if for every open arc  $A$  of  $C$ , the set  $E$  is not both metrically dense and of second category in  $A$ .*

By definition, a subset  $E$  of  $C$  is said to be metrically dense in a (nonempty) open arc  $A$  if for every open subarc  $B$  of  $A$ , the set  $E \cap B$  has positive outer measure.

In this note, we consider the class  $\mathcal{M}_R$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_R$ ) of meromorphic (resp. analytic) functions  $f$  for which  $f^*$  is defined in a residual subset of  $C$  (that is, the complement of a first-category set). Since the class of functions under consideration has been restricted, we expect a larger class of sets of uniqueness. Theorem 1 shows that this is the case. In fact, it turns out that the topological condition on the sets of uniqueness in Theorem A is directly exchanged for the topological defining property of  $\mathcal{M}_R$  (and  $\mathcal{A}_R$ ). The proof of Theorem 1 is closely related to that of Theorem A. In Theorem 2 we show, using a result of Cahill [5, Theorem 5], that sufficiency in Theorem 1 can be proved with functions whose moduli have radial limits at each point of  $C$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *There exists a nonconstant function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{M}_R$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_R$ ) such that  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , if and only if  $E$  is not metrically dense in any open arc of  $C$ .*

In the proof we shall use the following cluster set generalization of the Lusin-Privalov radial uniqueness theorem [6, Theorem 8.3(i)] proved by Collingwood, and

---

Received by the editors September 22, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30D40.

*Key words and phrases*. Radial uniqueness, residual set, metric density.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

independently, by Bagemihl and Seidel. For  $f$  a continuous mapping of  $\Delta$  into the extended plane  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , the radial cluster set

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\{f(r\eta) : (n-1)/n \leq r < 1\}}$$

of  $f$  at  $\eta$  is denoted by  $C_\rho(f, \eta)$  for each  $\eta$  in  $C$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function on  $\Delta$  and  $A$  an open arc of  $C$ . If  $C_\rho(f, \eta) \neq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  for a set of  $\eta$  of second category in  $A$  and  $b \in C_\rho(f, \eta)$  for a set of  $\eta$  which is metrically dense in  $A$ , then  $f \equiv b$ .*

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

PROOF. Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{M}_R$  and  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , where  $E$  is metrically dense in an open arc  $A$ . Since  $f^*$  is defined in a residual subset of  $C$ , we have  $C_\rho(f, \eta) \neq \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  for a second-category set of  $\eta$  in  $A$ . Furthermore, since  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , and  $E$  is metrically dense in  $A$ , Lemma 1 implies that  $f \equiv 0$ .

For the converse, let  $E$  be a subset of  $C$  that is not metrically dense in any open arc of  $C$ . Suppose, without loss of generality, that  $E$  contains a residual set of measure 0. (Otherwise, replace  $E$  with  $E \cup V$  where  $V$  is a residual set of measure 0.) We can now apply Theorem A to conclude that there exists an analytic function  $f$  on  $\Delta$  such that  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ . Since  $E$  is residual we are assured that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_R$ . Theorem 1 is established.

For the next theorem we shall need two lemmas. The first is a result of Cahill cited earlier.

LEMMA 2. *If  $V$  is a  $G_\delta$  set of measure 0 in  $C$ , then there exists a nonvanishing bounded analytic function  $g$  on  $\Delta$  for which the modulus has radial limits at each point of  $C$  and  $g^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in V$ .*

The second lemma is a slightly refined form of a result of Bagemihl and Seidel [1] proved in [3].

LEMMA 3. *If  $W$  is a first-category subset of  $C$ , then there exists a nonvanishing analytic function  $h$  on  $\Delta$  such that  $h^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in W$ , and  $h$  is analytic at each point of  $C \setminus \overline{W}$ .*

We turn now to the second theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If  $E$  is not metrically dense in any arc, then there exists a non-constant nonvanishing function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_R$  such that the modulus of  $f$  has finite radial limits at each point of  $C$  and  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ .*

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can assume without loss of generality that  $E$  contains a residual set of measure 0. Then the set  $F$  of  $\eta$  in  $C$  for which  $E \cap A$  has positive outer measure for every open arc  $A$  containing  $\eta$  is a closed nowhere dense set. Furthermore,  $E \setminus F$  has zero measure by the countable basis property of  $C$  and the definition of  $F$ . Let  $V$  be a (residual)  $G_\delta$  subset of  $C$  of measure 0 that contains  $E \setminus F$ , and let  $g$  be as in Lemma 2. Let  $h$  be a function as in Lemma 3 with  $W = F$ . Define  $f = gh$ . Since  $g$  is bounded and  $h^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in F$ , we have  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in F$ . By the analyticity of  $h$  at each point of  $C \setminus F$  and the fact that  $g^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in V$ , it follows that  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in V$ . Thus  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,

$\eta \in F \cup V \supseteq (E \cap F) \cup (E \setminus F) = E$ , and  $f$  is nonvanishing since both  $g$  and  $h$  are. It also follows that  $f$  is nonconstant and in  $\mathcal{A}_R$  since  $f^*(\eta) = 0$ ,  $\eta \in E$ , and  $E$  is residual. Finally, the modulus of  $f$  has finite radial limits at each point of  $C$  since  $h$  and the modulus of  $g$  have finite radial limits at each point. This completes the proof.

REMARKS. (1) It is an open question whether the functions of Theorem 2 can be constructed so that the radial limits exist at each point of  $C$ . In view of the proof given above, this reduces to the question of whether the functions of Lemma 2 can be taken to have radial limits at each point.

(2) Theorem 1 remains valid when  $\mathcal{M}_R$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{M}'_R$ , the class of meromorphic functions on  $\Delta$  for which  $C_\rho(f, \eta) \neq \hat{C}$  for a residual set of  $\eta$  in  $C$ . This is a consequence of the fact that only Lemma 1 is needed to prove necessity in the theorem.

(3) Other recent work pertaining to  $\mathcal{M}_R$  includes a study of the boundary behavior of the level sets of the moduli of the functions in the class. It has been shown that for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_R$  and  $\inf |f| < r < \sup |f|$ , the level set  $\mathcal{L}(f, r) = \{|f| = r\}$  must "end at points"; cf. [2 and 4].

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel, *Some boundary properties of analytic functions*, Math. Z. **61** (1954), 186–199.
2. K. F. Barth and J. G. Clunie, *Level curves of functions of bounded characteristic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 553–559.
3. R. D. Berman, *A converse to the Lusin-Privalov radial uniqueness theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 103–106.
4. —, *Weak reflection*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **28** (1983), 339–349.
5. R. Cahill, *On bounded functions satisfying averaging conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **206** (1975), 163–174.
6. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1966.
7. N. N. Lusin and I. I. Privalov, *Sur l'unicité et la multiplicité des fonctions analytiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **42** (1925), 143–191.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202