INVARIANTS RELATED TO THE BERGMAN KERNEL OF A BOUNDED DOMAIN IN \mathbb{C}^n

TADAYOSHI KANEMARU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce biholomorphic invariants using the Bergman kernel function of a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n .

Let $K_D(z,\bar{t})$ be the Bergman kernel function of a bounded domain D in \mathbb{C}^n . As is well known, $K_D(z,\bar{t})$ admits the following transformation rule [1]:

Let D, Δ be bounded domains and w = w(z) a biholomorphic mapping from D onto Δ . Then

(1)
$$K_D(z, \bar{t}) = \overline{\det \frac{d\tau}{dt}} K_{\Delta}(w, \bar{\tau}) \det \frac{dw}{dz} \qquad (\tau = w(t)).$$

Moreover,

$$T_D(z,ar{t}) = rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^*\,\partial z}\,\log K_D(z,ar{t}),$$

which is defined when $K_D(z,\bar{t}) \neq 0$ and is uniquely determined by D, is a relative invariant under biholomorphic mappings, that is,

(2)
$$T_D(z,\overline{t}) = \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^* T_{\Delta}(w,\overline{\tau}) \frac{dw}{dz}.$$

In particular, the Bergman metric

$$ds^2 = dz^*T_D(z, \overline{z}) dz$$

is invariant under biholomorphic mappings.

Throughout this paper we use the following notation: $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)'$, $w = w(z) = (w_1(z), w_2(z), \ldots, w_n(z))'$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}\right), \quad \frac{dw}{dz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \times w,$$

where the symbols ', * and × stand for transposition, conjugated transposition and Kronecker product, respectively.

The above invariants make it possible to introduce some other biholomorphic invariants.

We define

$$egin{aligned} K_{D,(p,q)}(z,ar{t}) &= K_D^p(z,ar{t})(\det T_D(z,ar{t}))^q & (p,q \geq 0), \ T_{D,(p,q)}(z,ar{t}) &= rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^*\partial z}\log K_{D,(p,q)}(z,ar{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors September 20, 1983.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32H15.

Key words and phrases. Bergman kernel function, biholomorphic mapping.

Then we have the following formulas [3]:

$$(3) \hspace{1cm} K_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{t}) = \overline{\left(\det\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{p+q}} K_{\Delta,(p,q)}(w,\overline{\tau}) \left(\det\frac{dw}{dz}\right)^{p+q},$$

$$(4) \hspace{1cm} T_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{t}) = \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^* T_{\Delta,(p,q)}(w,\overline{\tau}) \left(\frac{dw}{dz}\right).$$

In particular,

$$d\sigma_D^2 = ds_{D,(p,q)}^2 = dz^* T_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{z}) dz$$

is a Kähler metric which is invariant under biholomorphic mappings.

We note that our metric $d\sigma^2$ gives the Bergman metric for p=1, q=0, and the Burbea metric for p=n+1, q=1 [2, 3].

Making use of (1) and (4), it can be shown that

$$J_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{z}) = rac{\det T_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{z})}{K_D(z,\overline{z})}$$

is a positive biholomorphic invariant.

Similarly, from (3) we also deduce that

$$H_{D,(p,q)}(z,\bar{t}) = \frac{K_{D,(p,q)}(z,\bar{t})K_{D,(p,q)}(t,\bar{z})}{K_{D,(p,q)}(t,\bar{t})K_{D,(p,q)}(z,\bar{z})}$$

is a positive biholomorphic invariant. This extends the result in [5] for the special case of p = 1 and q = 0.

We shall now define $R(z, \bar{t})$ by

$$R(z,\overline{t}) = \sqrt{\det T_{D,(p,q)}(t,\overline{t})} \left| \det SU(z,\overline{t}) \right|,$$

where

$$U(z, \bar{t}) = T_{D,(p,q)}^{-1}(t, \bar{t}) \int_{t}^{z} T_{D,(p,q)}(z, \bar{t}) dz,$$

and S is a vector differential operator such that

$$S = \left(\frac{d}{d\sigma}, \frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2}, \dots, \frac{d^n}{d\sigma^n}\right), \quad d\sigma = ds_{D,(p,q)} = \sqrt{dz^* T_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{z}) dz}.$$

Then we have the following

THEOREM. $R(z, \bar{t})$ is a nonnegative biholomorphic invariant.

PROOF. Let D, Δ be bounded domains and w=w(z) be a biholomorphic mapping from D onto Δ . Then from (4) we have

$$\begin{split} U(z,\overline{t}) &= T_{D,(p,q)}^{-1}(t,\overline{t}) \int_{t}^{z} T_{D,(p,q)}(z,\overline{t}) \, dz \\ &= \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{-1} T_{\Delta,(p,q)}^{-1}(\tau,\overline{\tau}) \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{*-1} \int_{\tau}^{w} \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{*} T_{\Delta,(p,q)}(w,\overline{\tau}) \frac{dw}{dz} \cdot \frac{dz}{dw} \, dw \\ &= \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{-1} T_{\Delta,(p,q)}^{-1}(\tau,\overline{\tau}) \int_{\tau}^{w} T_{\Delta,(p,q)}(w,\overline{\tau}) \, dw, \end{split}$$

where $\tau = w(t)$. Thus

$$U(z,\overline{t}) = (d\tau/dt)^{-1}U(w,\overline{\tau}).$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to the metric $d\sigma$, we obtain

(5)
$$U^{(n)}(z,\bar{t}) \equiv \frac{d^n}{d\sigma^n} U(z,\bar{t}) = \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right)^{-1} U^{(n)}(w,\bar{\tau}).$$

It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\begin{split} R(z,\overline{t}) &= \sqrt{\det T_{D,(p,q)}(t,\overline{t})} \left| \det SU(z,\overline{t}) \right| \\ &= \sqrt{\det \left(\left(\frac{d\tau}{dt} \right)^* T_{\Delta,(p,q)}(\tau,\overline{\tau}) \left(\frac{d\tau}{dt} \right) \right)} \left| \det \left(\left(\frac{d\tau}{dt} \right)^{-1} SU(w,\overline{\tau}) \right) \right| \\ &= \sqrt{\det T_{\Delta,(p,q)}(\tau,\overline{\tau})} \left| \det SU(w,\overline{\tau}) \right| \\ &= R(w,\overline{\tau}). \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof.

REMARK. This result agrees with that in [4] when p = 1 and q = 0.

REFERENCES

- S. Bergman, The kernel function and conformal mapping, Math. Surveys, No. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1950.
- J. Burbea, Minimum methods in Hilbert spaces with kernel function, Ph. D. Thesis, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1971.
- T. Kanemaru, Invariant metrics on a bounded domain in Cⁿ, Mem. Fac. Ed. Kumamoto Univ. Natur. Sci. 30 (1981), 1-4.
- S. Ozaki and Y. Tashiro, Mapping function onto the representative domain and its properties, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 10 (1969), 164-167.
- 5. M. Skwarczyński, Biholomorphic invariants related to the Bergman function, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Math.) 173 (1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, KUMAMOTO UNIVERSITY, KUMAMOTO 860, JAPAN