

## ON THE SECOND DUAL OF THE LORENTZ SPACE

PRATIBHA G. GHATAGE AND BRIAN M. SCOTT

**ABSTRACT.** If  $\phi(t) = t^{1/p}$  ( $p > 1$ ) and  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  is a completely nonatomic finite measure space, then the dual of the Lorentz space  $N_\phi$  is denoted by  $M_\phi$  and the closure of the simple functions in  $M_\phi$  by  $M_\phi^0$ . It is known that  $(M_\phi^0)^* = N_\phi$ . In this note we show that given a positive number  $\beta < 1$  it is possible to construct a set of contractive embeddings of  $(l_\infty/c_0)^*$  into  $(M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$ , each of which is bounded below by  $M = M(\beta) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ . The union of the ranges of these embeddings is a total set in  $(M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$ .

In this note we study the bounded linear functionals on  $M_\phi$  which annihilate the simple functions in  $M_\phi$ . The dual space of  $M_\phi^0$  (= the closure of the simple functions in  $M_\phi$ ) has been shown to be isometrically isomorphic to  $N_\phi$ , and thus the present results contribute toward a somewhat better understanding of  $M_\phi^*$ .

**NOTATION.** Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$  is a completely nonatomic finite measure space, and  $\phi(t) = t^{1/p}$  ( $p > 1$ ) is a concave function. Then the Lorentz space  $N_\phi$  is defined by

$$N_\phi = \left\{ f: f \text{ is measurable \& } \int_0^\infty \phi(\mu(F_y)) dy < \infty \right\},$$

where  $F_y = \{x \in X: |f(x)| > y\}$ ;

$$M_\phi = \left\{ f: f \text{ is measurable \& } \sup_{\mu(E) > 0} \frac{1}{\phi(\mu(E))} \int_E |f(x)| d\mu < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$M_\phi^0 = \left\{ f \in M_\phi: \lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\phi(\mu(E))} \int_E |f(x)| d\mu = 0 \right\}.$$

It is known that  $N_\phi^* = M_\phi$ ,  $M_\phi^0$  is the closure of the simple functions in  $M_\phi$ , and  $(M_\phi^0)^* = N_\phi$ . For more details see [2 and 3]. Finally,  $q$  is defined as usual by  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

**REMARK.** It is easy to verify that  $N_\phi$  is an (AL)-space, since the simple functions are dense in  $N_\phi$ ;  $M_\phi$  is therefore isometrically isomorphic to  $C(S)$ , where the compact Hausdorff space  $S$  may be taken to be the extreme points of the positive face of the unit sphere of  $M_\phi^*$  with the weak\* topology. However, this abstract characterization seems not to help in finding a concrete description of a single element of  $(M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$ . (See [1, Chapter 6, §§1, 2].) We have instead the following construction.

Fix a positive number  $\beta < 1$ . The nonatomicity of  $\mu$  allows us to choose a sequence  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}$  of pairwise disjoint, measurable sets with  $\mu(E_n) = \beta^{np}$  (provided,

Received by the editors September 15, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Lorentz space.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

of course, that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{np} = \beta^p / (1 - \beta^p) \leq \mu(X)$ . We denote the set of all such sequences by  $\mathbf{E}_\beta$ .

LEMMA 1. For each  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_\beta$  define  $T_{\mathcal{E}}: (l_\infty/c_0)^* \rightarrow (M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$  by  $T_{\mathcal{E}}(L) = \Lambda$ , where

$$\Lambda(g + M_\phi^0) = L \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(E_n)^{1/p}} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu + c_0 \right\};$$

then  $T_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a contractive embedding.

PROOF. Note first that for each  $g \in M_\phi$ ,

$$\left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(E_n)^{1/p}} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu \right\} \right\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_{M_\phi}.$$

Moreover,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(E_n)^{1/p}} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu \right\} \in c_0 \quad \text{whenever } g \in M_\phi^0.$$

We now prove that  $T_{\mathcal{E}}$  is bounded below by a number  $M(\beta)$  that (i) is independent of  $E$ , and (ii) approaches 1 as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ .

For any  $\{r_n\} \in l_\infty$ ,  $g = \sum (r_n/\mu(E_n)^{1/q})\psi_{E_n}$  is a well-defined function. To prove that  $g \in M_\phi$  we need only look at

$$\frac{1}{\mu(E)^{1/p}} \int_E |g| \, d\mu \quad \text{for } E \subseteq \bigcup_n E_n.$$

If we write  $\mu(E \cap E_n) = \alpha_n \mu(E_n)$ , then  $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu(E)^{1/p}} \int_E |g| \, d\mu &= \frac{1}{(\sum \alpha_n \beta^{np})^{1/p}} \sum \frac{|r_n| \mu(E \cap E_n)}{\mu(E_n)^{1/q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sum \alpha_n \beta^{np})^{1/p}} \sum |r_n| \alpha_n \mu(E_n)^{1/p} \\ &= \sum \frac{|r_n| \alpha_n \beta^n}{(\sum \alpha_n \beta^{np})^{1/p}} \leq \|\{r_n\}\|_\infty \frac{\sum \alpha_n \beta^n}{(\sum \alpha_n \beta^{np})^{1/p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$M(\beta) = \sup_{\substack{\{\alpha_n\} \\ 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1}} \frac{\sum \alpha_n \beta^n}{(\sum \alpha_n \beta^{np})^{1/p}}.$$

Then  $\|g\|_{M_\phi} \leq M(\beta) \|\{r_n\}\|_\infty$ , and the desired result follows from the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.  $M(\beta) = (1 - \beta^p)^{1/p} / (1 - \beta)$ .

PROOF. We start by proving a slightly more general result. Let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum \beta_n < \infty$ . Let  $D = [0, 1]^\infty \setminus \{\vec{0}\}$ , where  $\vec{0} = \langle 0, 0, \dots \rangle$ . For  $\vec{\alpha} = \{\alpha_n\} \in D$  define

$$f(\vec{\alpha}) = \sum \beta_n \alpha_n / \left( \sum \beta_n^p \alpha_n \right)^{1/p}.$$

Finally, for  $k \geq 1$  define  $\vec{z}^k \in D$  by

$$z_i^k = \begin{cases} 0, & i < k, \\ 1, & i \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Our result will follow easily once we establish the following important claim.

*Claim 1.*  $\sup_{\vec{\alpha} \in D} f(\vec{\alpha}) = \sup_k f(\vec{z}^k)$ .

To prove the claim we need some extra notation. For  $\vec{\alpha} \in D$  and  $n \geq 1$  let

$$t_n(\vec{\alpha}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k \alpha_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_n(\vec{\alpha}) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k^p \alpha_k \right)^{1/p}.$$

If  $b_n(\vec{\alpha}) \neq 0$  let  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}) = t_n(\vec{\alpha})/b_n(\vec{\alpha})$ ; clearly  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}) \rightarrow f(\vec{\alpha})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It suffices, therefore, to prove for any  $\vec{\alpha} \in D$  and  $n \geq 1$  that there is a  $k \leq n$  such that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}) \leq f_n(\vec{z}^k) \leq f(\vec{z}^k)$ . The existence of such a  $k$  depends on the following elementary observations.

*Fact 1.* Suppose  $0 < b \leq t$  and  $\beta > 0$ , and let  $h(x) = (t + \beta x)/(b^p + \beta^p x)^{1/p}$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then  $h(x)$  attains its maximum at  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ . Moreover,  $h(x)$  attains its maximum at  $x = 1$  whenever  $\beta^{p-1} \leq b^p/t$ .

*Fact 2.* If  $0 < x < b \leq t$  and  $(t + x)/(b^p + x^p)^{1/p} < t/b$ , then

$$(t - x)/(b^p - x^p)^{1/p} > t/b.$$

*Fact 3.* If  $0 < x \leq y < b \leq t$  and  $(t + x)/(b^p + x^p)^{1/p} < t/b$ , then

$$(t - y)/(b^p - y^p)^{1-p} > t/b.$$

The proof of Fact 1 is trivial. If the conclusion of Fact 2 fails, then

$$(1 + x/t)^p < 1 + (x/b)^p \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - x/t)^p \leq 1 - (x/b)^p,$$

so

$$(1 + x/t)^p + (1 - x/t)^p < 2.$$

But  $g(y) = (1+y)^p + (1-y)^p$  is an increasing function for  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ , and  $g(0) = 2$ , so, in particular,  $g(x/t) > 2$ ; this contradiction establishes the result. Fact 3 generalizes and follows from Fact 2. To see this, note that as a function of  $x$ ,  $(t+x)/(b^p+x^p)^{1/p}$  is increasing if  $0 \leq x < (b^p/t)^{1/(p-1)}$ , decreasing if  $x > (b^p/t)^{1/(p-1)}$ , and equal to  $t/b$  when  $x = 0$ . The hypothesis of Fact 3 therefore implies that  $x > (b^p/t)^{1/(p-1)}$ , so the conclusion is immediate from Fact 2.

We can now prove Claim 1.

Fix  $\vec{\alpha} \in D$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\vec{\alpha}^1 \in D$  be obtained from  $\vec{\alpha}$  by changing  $\alpha_n$  to 1. Since

$$\beta_n^{p-1} < \beta_{n-1}^{p-1} \leq \frac{(b_{n-1}(\vec{\alpha}))^p}{t_{n-1}(\vec{\alpha})} \leq 1,$$

Fact 1 implies that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^1) \geq f_n(\vec{\alpha})$ . Now replace  $\alpha_{n-1}^1$  by 1 or 0 to get  $\vec{\alpha}^2$ , whichever makes  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^2)$  larger; Fact 1 shows that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^2) \geq f_n(\vec{\alpha}^1)$ . Continue in this way to obtain  $\vec{\alpha}^n \in D$  such that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^n) \geq f_n(\vec{\alpha})$ ,  $\alpha_1^n, \alpha_2^n, \dots, \alpha_n^n \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\alpha_n^n = 1$ .

Suppose there are  $m$  and  $l$  such that  $1 \leq m < l < n$ ,  $\alpha_m^n = 1$ ,  $\alpha_l^n = 0$ , and  $\alpha_{i+1}^n = \dots = \alpha_n^n = 1$ . Let  $\vec{u}$  be obtained from  $\vec{\alpha}^n$  by replacing  $\alpha_m^n$  by 0, let  $\vec{v}$  be obtained from  $\vec{\alpha}^n$  by replacing  $\alpha_l^n$  by 1, and assume that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^n) > f_n(\vec{v})$ .

It follows from Fact 3 that  $f_n(\vec{u}) > f_n(\vec{\alpha}^n)$ : just set  $t = t_n(\vec{\alpha}^n)$ ,  $b = b_n(\vec{\alpha}^n)$ ,  $x = \beta_l$ , and  $y = \beta_m$ . In short, we may replace  $\vec{\alpha}^n$  by either  $\vec{u}$  or  $\vec{v}$  without decreasing the value of  $f_n$ . It now follows by an easy finite induction that there is some  $k \leq l$  such that  $f_n(\vec{\alpha}^n) \leq f_n(\vec{z}^k)$ ; and repeated applications of Fact 1,  $f_n(\vec{z}^k) \leq f_{n+1}(\vec{z}^k) \leq f_{n+2}(\vec{z}^k) \leq \dots$ , whence  $f_n(\vec{z}^k) \leq f(\vec{z}^k)$ , and the proof of Claim 1 is complete.

To finish the proof of Lemma 2, just note that if  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $\beta_n = \beta^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$f(\vec{z}^k) = \frac{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \beta^n}{(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \beta^{np})^{1/p}} = \frac{\beta^k/(1-\beta)}{[\beta^{kp}/(1-\beta^p)]^{1/p}} = \frac{(1-\beta^p)^{1/p}}{1-\beta},$$

and hence,

$$\sup_{\vec{\alpha} \in D} f(\vec{\alpha}) = \frac{(1-\beta^p)^{1/p}}{1-\beta}.$$

This is an increasing function of  $\beta$ , so for any  $M > 1$  we can choose  $\beta$  to make  $M(\beta) = M$ . This completes the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2.

LEMMA 3. *For any  $M > 1$  there is a  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , such that the family  $\{T_{\mathcal{E}}: \mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_{\beta}\}$  of embeddings of  $(l_{\infty}/c_0)^*$  into  $(M_{\phi}/M_{\phi}^0)^*$  satisfies the following condition: If  $L \in (l_{\infty}/c_0)^*$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_{\beta}$ , and  $\Lambda = T_{\mathcal{E}}(L)$ , then  $\|\Lambda\| \leq \|L\| \leq M\|\Lambda\|$ .*

PROOF. Note that

$$\{r_n\} \mapsto g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_n}{\mu(E_n)^{1/q}} \chi_{E_n}$$

defines a bounded map from  $l_{\infty}$  into  $M_{\phi}$ . Moreover, if  $r_n \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{r_k}{\mu(E_k)^{1/q}} \chi_{E_k} \rightarrow g \quad \text{in the } M_{\phi}\text{-norm,}$$

since  $\|g - g_n\|_{M_{\phi}} \leq M\|\{r_k\}_{k=n}^{\infty}\|_{\infty}$ ; thus,  $g \in M_{\phi}^0$ . (Of course,  $\beta$  is chosen so that  $M(\beta) = M$ .) Hence the map

$$j_{\mathcal{E}}: l_{\infty}/c_0 \rightarrow M_{\phi}/M_{\phi}^0: \{r_n\} + c_0 \mapsto g + M_{\phi}^0$$

is well defined and  $\|j_{\mathcal{E}}\| \leq M$ . Also, if  $j_{\mathcal{E}}(\{r_n\}) = g$ , then

$$\frac{1}{\mu(E_n)^{1/q}} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu = r_n;$$

thus, the adjoint  $j_{\mathcal{E}}^*: (M_{\phi}/M_{\phi}^0)^* \rightarrow (l_{\infty}/c_0)^*$  satisfies  $j_{\mathcal{E}}^* \circ T_{\mathcal{E}} = \text{id}$  and  $\|j_{\mathcal{E}}^*\| \leq M$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

We now prove a result about elements of  $M_{\phi} \setminus M_{\phi}^0$ ; this will help us prove that the union of the ranges of all the  $T_{\mathcal{E}}$  ( $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_{\beta}$ ) is a total set in  $(M_{\phi}/M_{\phi}^0)^*$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $g \in M_{\phi} \setminus M_{\phi}^0$ , there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of pairwise disjoint, measurable sets such that  $\mu(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ , but*

$$\inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{1/p}} \int_{A_n} |g| \, d\mu > 0.$$

PROOF. Recall that

$$M_\phi^0 = \left\{ g \in M_\phi : \lim_{\mu(E) \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(E)^{1/p}} \int_E |g| d\mu = 0 \right\}.$$

Thus if  $g \notin M_\phi^0$ , we may assume  $g \geq 0$  and there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of measurable sets of pairwise measure such that if  $\alpha_n = \mu(A_n)$ , then  $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$ , but  $\inf_n a_n = \varepsilon > 0$ , where  $a_n = \alpha_n^{-1/p} \int_{A_n} g d\mu$ .

Let

$$A_{n,m} = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{k \geq m} A_k, \quad \alpha_{n,m} = \mu(A_{n,m}), \quad a_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{n,m}^{1/p}} \int_{A_{n,m}} g d\mu,$$

$$B_{n,m} = A_n \setminus A_{n,m}, \quad \beta_{n,m} = \mu(B_{n,m}), \quad \text{and} \quad b_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\beta_{n,m}^{1/p}} \int_{B_{n,m}} g d\mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq a_n = \alpha_n^{-1/p} \left( \int_{A_{n,m}} g d\mu + \int_{B_{n,m}} g d\mu \right) \\ &= \alpha_n^{-1/p} (\alpha_{n,m}^{1/p} a_{n,m} + \beta_{n,m}^{1/p} b_{n,m}) \leq \alpha_n^{-1/p} (\alpha_{n,m}^{1/p} a_{n,m} + \beta_{n,m}^{1/p} \|g\|_{M_\phi}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_n^{1/p} \varepsilon - \beta_{n,m}^{1/p} \|g\|_{M_\phi} \leq \alpha_{n,m}^{1/p} a_{n,m} \quad \text{or} \quad a_{n,m} \geq \left( \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n,m}} \right)^{1/p} \varepsilon - \left( \frac{\beta_{n,m}}{\alpha_{n,m}} \right)^{1/p} \|g\|_{M_\phi}.$$

By passing, if necessary, to a subsequence, we may assume  $\sum \alpha_n < \infty$ , in which case  $\lim_m (\beta_{n,m}/\alpha_{n,m}) = 0$ , so  $a_{n,m} \geq \varepsilon/2$  for sufficiently large  $m$  (and a fixed  $n$ ).

For each  $n$  let  $m(n)$  be such an  $m$ . Let  $A'_1 = A_{1,m(1)}$  and  $n_1 = 1$ . Given  $n_k$ , let  $A'_k = A_{n_k, m(n_k)}$  and  $n_{k+1} = m(n_k)$ . Let  $\alpha'_k = \mu(A'_k)$  and  $a'_k = \alpha_k^{-1/p} \int_{A'_k} g d\mu$ . Clearly  $\lim_k \alpha'_k = 0$ ,  $\inf_k a'_k \geq \varepsilon/2$ , and the sets  $A'_k$  are pairwise disjoint.

**THEOREM 1.** *The subset of  $(M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$  consisting of the union of the ranges of all the embeddings  $T_\mathcal{E}$  ( $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_\beta$ ) is total.*

PROOF. Suppose  $g \in M_\phi$  is such that whenever  $L \in l_\infty^* \cap c_0^\perp$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_\beta$ , and  $\Lambda = T_\mathcal{E}(L)$ , then  $\Lambda(g) = 0$ . We show that  $g \in M_\phi^0$ .

Suppose not. Without loss of generality we may assume  $g \geq 0$ . By Lemma 4 there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of pairwise disjoint sets of positive measure such that if  $\alpha_n = \mu(A_n)$  and  $a_n = \alpha_n^{-1/p} \int_{A_n} g d\mu$ , then  $\lim_n \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\inf_n a_n = \varepsilon > 0$ . Clearly, we may further assume that  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots$ , and indeed that for each  $n$  there is a  $k(n)$  such that (i)  $\beta^{pk(n)} > \alpha_n > \beta^{p(k(n)+1)}$ , and (ii)  $n < m$  implies  $k(n) < k(m)$ . (Pass to a subsequence if necessary.) Once again because  $\mu$  is completely nonatomic we may choose sets  $B_n$ , disjoint from one another and from the  $A_n$ 's so that  $\mu(B_n) = \beta^{pk(n)} - \alpha_n$ ; let  $E_n = A_n \cup B_n$ . Then  $\mu(E_n) = \beta^{pk(n)}$ , and  $\{E_n\}$  is a subsequence of some  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_\beta$ . Moreover, if  $r_n = \beta^{-k(n)} \int_{E_n} g d\mu$ , then  $\{r_n\} \in l_\infty$ . We now claim that  $\{r_n\} \in c_0$ .

By hypothesis,  $L \in l_\infty^* \cap c_0^\perp$  implies  $T(L)(g) = 0$  and, hence,  $L\{s_n\} = 0$  for some sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of which  $\{r_n\}$  is a subsequence. But then  $\{s_n\} \in c_0$ , so  $\{r_n\} \in c_0$  also.

But

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{-k(n)} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu &= \beta^{-k(n)} \left[ \int_{A_n} g \, d\mu + \int_{B_n} g \, d\mu \right] = \beta^{-k(n)} \left[ \alpha_n^{1/p} a_n + \int_{B_n} g \, d\mu \right] \\ &\geq \beta^{-k(n)} \alpha_n^{1/p} a_n > \frac{\beta^{k(n)+1}}{\beta^{k(n)}} a_n \geq \beta \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\{r_n\} \notin c_0$ . This contradiction shows that  $g \in M_\phi^0$  and completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 leaves open the question of how much of  $(M_\phi/M_\phi^0)^*$  can be filled up with the ranges of the embeddings  $T_\mathcal{E}$ ; we do not know the answer even if  $\beta$  is allowed to range over  $(0, 1)$ . For a fixed  $\beta$ , at least, we suspect there are bounded linear functionals on  $M_\phi/M_\phi^0$  that do not arise as linear combinations of functionals  $T_\mathcal{E}(L)$ ,  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{E}_\beta$  and  $L \in (l_\infty/c_0)^*$ .

We do know that if  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{E}_\beta$  are eventually disjoint ( $\bigcup_{n=k}^\infty E_n \cap \bigcup_{n=k}^\infty F_n = \emptyset$  for some  $k \geq 1$ ), then the ranges of  $T_\mathcal{E}$  and  $T_\mathcal{F}$  make a positive angle (depending on  $\beta$  but not on  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ ) with each other. (In fact, a somewhat weaker hypothesis will suffice.) The idea is to construct a positive  $g \in M_\phi$  that is annihilated by every functional in the range of  $T_\mathcal{F}$  (because  $\{\mu(F_n)^{-1/p} \int_{F_n} g \, d\mu\} \in c_0$ ) but is such that  $\{\mu(E_n)^{-1/p} \int_{E_n} g \, d\mu\}$  converges to 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
2. P. Ghatage, *The Lorentz space as a dual space*, preprint.
3. M. S. Steigerwalt and A. J. White, *Some function spaces related to  $L_p$  spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **22** (1971), 137-163.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115