

## MULTIPLE POINTS OF A RANDOM FIELD

NARN RUEIH SHIEH<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We prove that a  $d$ -dimensional random field  $X \equiv \{X(t)\}_{t \in R_+^N}$  has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points a.s. when it satisfies Pitt's  $(A_r)$  condition [9]. Those  $t$ 's for which  $X(t)$  hits the multiple point can be separated by any given positive number, and multiple points can occur while  $t$  is restricted to any given "time interval". Two corollaries concerning Gaussian fields and fields with independent increments are also presented.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we shall establish a new connection between local times and multiple points of a random field. Roughly speaking, our result shows that a random field has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points a.s. when it has  $L^r$  local times a.s. We say that a point  $x \in R^d$  is an  $r$ -multiple point of a function  $X: R_+^N \rightarrow R^d$  if there exists distinct  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad x = X(t_1) = \dots = X(t_r).$$

Assume that  $X \equiv \{X(t)\}_{t \in R_+^N}$  is an  $(N, d)$  random field, i.e., a family of  $R^d$ -valued random variables parametrized by  $t \in R_+^N$ . We like to determine whether for almost all  $\omega$ ,  $X(\cdot, \omega)$  has at least one  $r$ -multiple point, for given  $r \geq 2$ . This self-intersection problem has received the attention of various authors over the years. It was solved for one-parameter Brownian motions by Dvoretzky et al., which was also extended to processes with independent increments. A recent paper in this area with a satisfactorily complete bibliography is that of Hendricks [7]. On the other hand, recent works of Kono [8], Goldman [6], Cuzick [4] and Rosen [10] concern this problem for Gaussian random fields. Our current work is related to them, in which local time theory plays a dominant role. An excellent survey on local times is that of Geman and Horowitz [5]. Let us state our main result. Assume that  $X = \{X(t)\}$  is an  $(N, d)$  random field. For an integer  $k \geq 1$ , let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  be arbitrary distinct points in  $R_+^N$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  arbitrary points in  $R^d$ . Put  $\bar{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ ,  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , and let  $p_k(\bar{x}, \bar{t})$  be the joint density function of  $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_k)$  at  $\bar{x}$ , where the latter density is assumed to exist.<sup>1</sup>

**THEOREM 1.** *For some given integer  $r \geq 2$  suppose that the  $p_r(\cdot, \cdot)$  of  $X$  satisfies the following Pitt's condition (Pitt [9]):*

*There exists a function  $g_r(\cdot)$  such that*

- (i)  $p_r(\bar{x}, \bar{t}) \leq g_r(\bar{t})$  for almost all  $\bar{x}$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_{I^r} g_r(\bar{t}) d\bar{t} < \infty$  for every compact "box"  $I \subset R_+^N$ .

---

Received by the editors August 1, 1983 and, in revised form, October 22, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60G17, 60G60.

*Key words and phrases.* Multiple points, local times, random fields, Pitt's condition.

<sup>1</sup>This work is supported in part by the National Science Council, Taiwan, China.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Then,  $X$  has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points along almost all paths. Moreover, for each given  $h > 0$ , we may require that  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  in (1.1) satisfy  $|t_j - t_{j-1}| \geq h, j = 1, \dots, r, t_0 = 0$ .

Furthermore, some minor modification on the proof of Theorem 1 yields the following

**THEOREM 2.** For any given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^N, 0 \leq a < b$  (coordinatewise), under the hypotheses of Theorem 1,  $X$  has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points along almost all paths while the "time" parameter  $t$  belongs to  $[a, b]$  only.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is related to the arguments in Berman [1, 2]. It should be mentioned that Berman's request in [2] only works for the case  $r$  being a power of 2, and is based on the existence of local times which belong to  $L^r(\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega)$ . However, our results in this paper, assuming Pitt's condition, work for any integer  $r \geq 2$  and, in fact, rely only on local times which are a.s. "locally"  $L^r$ -integrable. See also the Remark at the end of §2.

The author is grateful to Professor S. M. Berman for giving him valuable pre-prints and discussions on local time theory. Furthermore, the advice from the referee is sincerely appreciated.

**2. The proof of Theorems 1 and 2.** We proceed in four steps.

1°. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N), i_j \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$ , define

$$J_{n, \bar{i}} = (i_1 h, \dots, i_N h) + \prod_{j=1}^N \left[ \frac{i_j - 1}{2^n}, \frac{i_j}{2^n} \right].$$

Put  $I_n = \bigcup_{\bar{i}} J_{n, \bar{i}}$ . According to Pitt [9, Theorem 3.1], for almost all  $\omega, X(\cdot, \omega)$  has a local time  $\alpha_n(x) \equiv \alpha(x, \omega, I_n)$  over  $I_n$  and  $\alpha_n(x)$  can be chosen to be jointly measurable in  $(x, \omega)$  and satisfying  $E \int_K \alpha_n^r(x) dx < \infty$  for each compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

2°. Since  $\alpha_n(x) = \sum_{\bar{i}} \alpha(x, J_{n, \bar{i}})$ , we may write  $(\alpha_n(x))^r = A_n(x) + B_n(x)$ , where  $A_n(x) \equiv A_n(x, \omega)$  and  $B_n(x) \equiv B_n(x, \omega)$  are defined, respectively, by the sums of those  $(\prod_{k=1}^r \alpha(x, \omega, J_{n, \bar{i}(k)}))$ 's in which the indices  $(\bar{i}(1), \dots, \bar{i}(r))$  are all distinct and are not all distinct. We claim that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \int_K B_n(x) dx = 0$  for each compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Set  $\Lambda_n = \bigcup \{ \prod_{k=1}^r J_{n, \bar{i}(k)} : \bar{i}(1), \dots, \bar{i}(r) \text{ are not all distinct} \}$ . Then by the Fubini Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_K B_n(x) dx &= \int_K \int_{\Lambda_n} \underbrace{p_r(x, \dots, x, \bar{t})}_{r \text{ terms}} d\bar{t} dx \\ &\leq \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^d}(K) \int_{\Lambda_n} g_r(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Leb}_{\mathbb{R}^{Nr}}(\Lambda_n) = 0$ , by Pitt's condition (ii),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda_n} g_r(\bar{t}) d\bar{t} = 0.$$

3°. Using a diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  and a sequence of compact sets  $K_l$  increasing to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K_l} B_{n_k}(x, \omega) dx = 0$$

for all  $l$  and all  $\omega \notin E$ ,  $E$  a set of probability zero. For each  $\omega \notin E$ , we can choose a large compact set  $K = K(\omega)$  (one of  $\{K_l\}$  above) and a large integer  $n = n(\omega)$  such that  $\int_K A_n(x, \omega) dx > 0$ . Then there exists at least one term in  $A_n(x, \omega)$ , say  $\prod_{k=1}^r \alpha(x, \omega, J_{n, \bar{i}(k)})$ , such that  $\int_K \prod_{k=1}^r \alpha(x, \omega, J_{n, \bar{i}(k)}) dx > 0$ . Therefore, the set  $\bigcap_{k=1}^r X(J_{n, \bar{i}(k)}; \omega)$  must be of positive  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure, and thus this set contains uncountably many points. Since  $\bar{i}(1), \dots, \bar{i}(r)$  are all distinct and the distance between different  $J_{n, \bar{i}(k)}$ 's is at least  $h$ , all the points in  $\bigcap_{k=1}^r X(J_{n, \bar{i}(k)}; \omega)$  are our desired multiple points. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4°. To prove Theorem 2, instead of fixed  $h > 0$  and intervals of length  $1/2^n$ , we choose appropriate  $h_n$  and  $2^n$  subintervals of  $[a_j, b_j]$  such that the total lengths of these subintervals plus  $2^n h_n$  are equal to  $b_j - a_j$ . Define

$$J_{n, \bar{i}} = (i_1 h_n, \dots, i_N h_n) + \prod_{j=1}^N (\text{subintervals of } [a_j, b_j]).$$

Then, the rest of the arguments follow as above.

REMARKS. As one can see from the proof given above, we have in fact proved the existence of multiple images (see Berman [2]) which are separated by a preassigned  $h$ . We prefer to state our results in terms of multiple points since it seems that the latter is a more prevailing concept.

**3. Corollaries.** First, we assume that  $X \equiv \{X(t)\}$  is an  $(N, d)$  mean zero, stochastically continuous Gaussian field. Let  $D_k(\bar{t})$  denote the determinant of the covariance matrix of  $X(t_1), \dots, X(t_k)$ . Then, the following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1 and the fact that the joint density  $p_r(\bar{t}, \bar{x})$  is dominated by  $(2\pi)^{-dr/2} D_r^{-1/2}(\bar{t})$ .

COROLLARY 1. *If  $\int_{I_r} D_r^{-1/2}(\bar{t}) d\bar{t} < \infty$  for every box  $I \subset R_+^N$ , then  $X$  has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points along almost all paths.*

Next, we assume that  $X$  is an  $(N, d)$  field with stationary, independent  $N$ -parameter increments. Assume further that  $X$  is stochastically continuous and that  $X(t) = 0$  if one component of  $t$  is zero. Then, as the one-parameter case, there exists an infinitely divisible law  $\psi$  on  $R^d$  such that  $E(e^{iu \cdot X(t)}) = e^{-(\prod_{j=1}^N t_j) \psi(u)}$  for  $u \in R^d$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_N)$ . Recall that the lower index  $\beta$  of  $\psi$  defined by Blumenthal and Gettoor [3] is equal to  $\beta = \sup\{\alpha \geq 0: |u|^{-\alpha} \text{Re } \psi(u) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } |u| \rightarrow \infty\}$ .

COROLLARY 2. *If  $d < \beta N$ , then for any given  $r \geq 2$ ,  $X$  has uncountably many  $r$ -multiple points along almost all paths.*

PROOF. In [11, Theorem B], we have shown that if  $d < \beta N$ , then there exist a  $\delta: 0 < \delta < 1$ , such that for every positive even integer  $k$  and every compact box  $I \subset R_+^N$  bounded away from 0

$$\int_{I^k} \left\{ \int_{(R^d)^k} |E e^{i \sum_{j=1}^k u_j \cdot X(t_j)}| \prod_{j=1}^k |u_j|^\delta du_1 \cdots du_k \right\} dt_1 \cdots dt_k < \infty.$$

By the Fourier Inversion Theorem, we see that Pitt's condition actually holds for any given  $r \geq 2$  when  $d < \beta N$ .

In the case that  $X$  is an index  $\beta$  field (including Lévy's multiparameter Brownian motion) or a Brownian sheet (see [5] for definitions), more results about the existence and nonexistence of multiple points of  $X$  have been recently obtained by Cuzick [4] and Rosen [10]. However, their results, in general, concern only "with positive probability".

## REFERENCES

1. S. M. Berman, *Local nondeterminism and local times of general stochastic processes*, preprint.
2. ———, *Multiple images of stochastic processes*, preprint.
3. R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Gettoor, *Sample functions of stochastic processes with stationary independent increments*, *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 493–516.
4. J. Cuzick, *Multiple points of a Gaussian vector field*, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **61** (1982), 431–436.
5. D. Geman and J. Horowitz, *Occupation densities*, *Ann. Probab.* **8** (1980), 1–67.
6. A. Goldman, *Points multiples des trajectoires de processus Gaussiens*, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **57** (1981), 481–494.
7. W. J. Hendricks, *Multiple points for transient symmetric Lévy processes in  $R^d$* , *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **49** (1979), 13–21.
8. N. Kono, *Double points of a Gaussian sample path*, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **45** (1978), 175–180.
9. L. D. Pitt, *Local times for Gaussian vector fields*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **27** (1978), 309–390.
10. J. Rosen, *Self-intersections of random fields*, preprint.
11. N. R. Shieh, *Joint continuity of local times for random fields*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI, TAIWAN, CHINA