

## JET-DETECTABLE EXTREMA

ANGELO BARONE NETTO<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Given a real polynomial  $f$  with  $f(0) = 0$ , we define a finite family of germs of smooth one-variable functions that can be used to test if  $f$  has an extreme value at 0. A generalization is obtained for functions that have  $k$ -jets in a suitable sense.

**0. Introduction.** We consider germs  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$ . As usual, we also denote by  $f$  any representative of the germ. Our aim is to indicate conditions on the punctual jet of  $f$  at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  in order to decide if this point is a local extremum of  $f$ .

The idea is to reduce the study to the local behavior at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  of finitely many germs  $f_i: (\mathbf{R}^+; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  of functions of a single variable. We start with the case in which  $f$  is a polynomial and give a complete characterization. Then we go to the case in which  $f$  has "generalized  $k$ -jet"; the characterization now is no longer complete, but is the best one in the sense that all germs are classified except those whose  $k$ -jets do not contain the desired information.

### 1. Extremum of polynomials.

1.0 DEFINITION. Let  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  be a polynomial of degree  $\leq k$  and  $g(x) = |x|^2$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . We denote by  $V(f)$  the set of those  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , where  $\text{grad } f$  is radial. It is easy to see that

$$V(f) = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{rank} \begin{vmatrix} \text{grad } f(x) \\ \text{grad } g(x) \end{vmatrix} < 2 \right\}.$$

Let  $S_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| = \varepsilon\}$  and  $B_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| < \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $V(f) \cap S_\varepsilon$  is precisely the set of the critical points of  $f|_{S_\varepsilon}$ . In particular, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $V(f) \cap S_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ , which shows that  $V(f)$  is a semialgebraic variety of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  adherent to the origin.

We need

1.1. SARD'S ALGEBRAIC THEOREM. *Let  $V$  be a semialgebraic variety of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  a polynomial. Then the set of critical values of  $f|_V$  is finite.*

PROOF.  $V$  admits a stratification with finitely many strata, each one semialgebraic [G]. It is enough to consider the case where  $V$  is a semialgebraic submanifold of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

The set  $A = \{x \in V \mid x \text{ is a critical point of } f|_V\}$  is semialgebraic by the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem [M], since  $A = V \setminus \pi\{TV \setminus \ker Df\}$ , where  $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$

---

Received by the editors September 21, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57R45, 58E15.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by the Conselho Nacional para o Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil and by the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, Brazil.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

is the first projection, and  $TV$  and  $\ker Df$  are semialgebraic in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore,  $A$  has finitely many connected components [G]. Since  $f|_A$  is locally constant, it is constant in each connected component.  $\square$

Assume that  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  is a polynomial. Hence  $V(f)$  admits a canonical Whitney stratification in finitely many connected strata, each of which is a semialgebraic submanifold of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . By the above theorem there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\forall \varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \Rightarrow S_\varepsilon \pitchfork V_i, \forall i$ . This proves

1.2. PROPOSITION. *For  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ ,  $V(f) \cap B_\varepsilon$  has finitely many connected components  $K_i$ , each one semialgebraic and adherent to the origin.*

We proceed to define the germs  $\phi_i: (\mathbf{R}^+; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  whose behavior will detect the existence of an extremum of  $f$ .

Corresponding to each  $K_i$ , let  $\phi_i: (\mathbf{R}^+; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  be defined by  $\phi_i(0) = 0$  and, for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\phi_i(\varepsilon) = f(x)$ , where  $x \in K_i \cap S_\varepsilon$ .  $\phi_i$  is well defined since  $f|_{K_i \cap S_\varepsilon}$  is constant, because  $K_i \cap S_\varepsilon$  is connected and  $\text{grad}(f|_{K_i \cap S_\varepsilon}) = 0$ .

We have thus associated to the polynomial  $f$  a finite number of germs  $\phi_i$  of a single variable.

1.3. THEOREM. (a) *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to admit a minimum (a strong minimum, a maximum, a strong maximum) at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is that the same holds true for each  $\phi_i$  at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .*

(b) *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  not to admit an extremum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is that there exist  $i, j$  such that  $\phi_i$  has a strong maximum and  $\phi_j$  a strong minimum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .*

PROOF. We prove only that the condition of (a) is sufficient; the rest follows easily. Suppose, for example, that all  $\phi_i$  have a minimum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Since we have a finite number of  $\phi_i$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  ( $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$ ) such that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1, \forall i \phi_i(\varepsilon) \geq 0$ . The set of the critical points of  $f|_{S_\varepsilon}$  is  $V(f) \cap S_\varepsilon$ . Therefore the minimum value of  $f|_{S_\varepsilon}$  is  $\phi_i(\varepsilon)$  for some  $i$ , which shows that  $f|_{B_{\varepsilon_1}} \geq 0$ , so  $f$  has a minimum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . The other cases may be treated similarly.  $\square$

1.4. REMARK.  $K_i$  is semialgebraic and  $0 \in \bar{K}_i$ . Hence, by the curve selection lemma [M], there exists an analytic curve  $\gamma_i: [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $\gamma_i(0) = 0$  and  $\gamma_i(t) \in K_i$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Note that

$$\gamma_i(t) = a_{m_i}t^{m_i} + \sum_{k=m_i+1}^{\infty} a_k t^k$$

with  $a_{m_i} \neq 0, m_i \geq 1$  and  $a_k \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Thus  $h_i = |\gamma_i|$  is a germ of homeomorphism at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  because  $h_i$  is continuous and locally strictly increasing. Since  $f \circ \gamma_i = \phi_i \circ h_i$  and  $f \circ \gamma_i$  is analytic, we have  $\phi_i \equiv 0$ , or  $0$  is an isolated zero of  $\phi_i$ , and so a strong extremum. Moreover, since  $h_i$  is increasing, if  $\phi_i$  has a minimum (maximum), so has  $f \circ \gamma_i$ , and conversely. This fact can be detected by the sign of the least nonzero derivative of  $f \circ \gamma_i$  at  $0$ . Furthermore, we have

$$h_i(t) = |a_{m_i}|t^{m_i}(1 + \psi(t))^{1/2}$$

for some analytic function  $\psi(t)$  with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Then

$$(|a_{m_i}|/2)t^{m_i} \leq h_i(t) \leq 2|a_{m_i}|t^{m_i},$$

or, equivalently,

$$(|a_{m_i}|/2)[h_i^{-1}(u)]^{m_i} \leq u \leq 2|a_{m_i}|[h_i^{-1}(u)]^{m_i}.$$

These inequalities will be used in §3.

**2. Generalized jets.**

2.0. DEFINITION. We say that the germ  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  has *punctual  $k$ -jet* at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  if there is polynomial  $P: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  of degree less than  $k$  ( $\leq k, \text{dg } 0 = -\infty$ ) such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} ((f(x) - P(x))/|x|^k) = 0$ .

Obviously such a polynomial, if it exists, is unique and is the Taylor polynomial of  $f$  when  $f$  has one. We denote it by  $j^k f$  and call it the  $k$ -jet of  $f$ .

The set of all germs  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  which have a  $k$ -jet will be denoted by  $\mathcal{G}^k(n)$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{C}^k(n) \subset \mathcal{G}^k(n) \subset \mathcal{G}^{k-1}(n)$ . These inclusions are trivial and strict since the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & x \in \mathbf{Q}, \\ x^2 \exp(-x^{-2}), & x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}, \end{cases}$$

is discontinuous except at 0 and belongs to  $\mathcal{G}^k(1)$  for any  $k$ .

2.1. REMARKS. The concept of  $k$ -jet has a natural extension to germs  $f: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^p; 0)$  and, in this context, the general theorems of the usual differential calculus, such as the chain-rule, the local forms of immersions and submersions, Morse's lemma, etc.

As illustrations we present the local form of submersions, when  $p = 1$ , and the splitting lemma.

2.2. LOCAL FORM OF SUBMERSIONS. Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}^k(n), k \geq 1$ . If  $j^1 f \neq 0$ , then for all  $r$  and  $s$  ( $1 \leq r \leq k; r \leq s \leq \omega$ ) there exists a germ of diffeomorphisms  $\phi: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n; 0)$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^s$  such that  $j^r(f \circ \phi) = \pi_1$ .

PROOF. It is enough to consider the case  $r = k, s = \omega$ . Since  $j^k f$  is a polynomial,  $j^1(j^k f) = j^1 f \neq 0$ , and therefore there exists  $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\omega(\mathbf{R}^n; 0)$  such that  $(j^k f) \circ \phi = \pi_1$ . Hence

$$j^k(f \circ \phi) = j^k((j^k f) \circ (j^k \phi)) = j^k((j^k f) \circ \phi) = j^k \pi_1 = \pi_1. \quad \square$$

2.3. SPLITTING LEMMA. Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}^k(n), k \geq 2$ . If  $j^1 f = 0$  then there exist a splitting  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^u \times \mathbf{R}^v \times \mathbf{R}^w$  and, for all  $r$  and  $s$  ( $2 \leq r \leq k; r \leq s \leq \infty$ ), a germ of diffeomorphism  $\phi: (\mathbf{R}^n; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n; 0)$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^s$  such that  $j^r(f \circ \phi)(x; y; z) = |x|^2 - |y|^2 + p_r(z)$ , where  $p_r(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq r$  satisfying  $j^2 p_r = 0$ .

PROOF. We consider only the case  $r = k, s = \infty$ . Using the fact that  $j^1(j^k f) = 0$  and the classical splitting lemma for the polynomial  $j^k f$ , we can find a splitting  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^u \times \mathbf{R}^v \times \mathbf{R}^w$  and a  $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n; 0)$  such that

$$((j^k f) \circ \phi)(x; y; z) = |x|^2 - |y|^2 + g(z),$$

where  $j^2 g = 0$ . But  $j^k(f \circ \phi) = j^k((j^k f) \circ \phi)$ , which implies

$$j^k(f \circ \phi)(x; y; z) = |x|^2 - |y|^2 + j^k g(z). \quad \square$$

**3. Jet-detectable extrema.** Now we extend Theorem 1.3, with the obvious adaptations, to functions which have generalized  $k$ -jets.

3.0. DEFINITION. For  $f \in \mathcal{G}^r(n)$  we say that  $f$  is  $k$ -decidable ( $k \leq r$ ) if it is possible, using only  $j^k f$ , to decide if the origin is a maximum, a minimum, or not an extremum of  $f$ .

Note that if  $f$  is  $k$ -decidable and  $k \leq s \leq r$ , then  $f$  is  $s$ -decidable.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}^r(n)$ . We fix a  $k \leq r$  and apply to the polynomial  $j^k f$  the process described before. We get a finite family of germs  $\phi_i: (\mathbf{R}^+; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$ .

We now proceed to define the germ  $f_i: (\mathbf{R}^+; 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}; 0)$  whose behavior at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  will possibly allow us to decide about the existence of an extremum of  $f$  at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

With the notation of 1.4 we have  $\phi_i \circ h_i = (j^k f) \circ \gamma_i$ , which is analytic, and

$$\gamma_i = a_{m_i} t^{m_i} + \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{\infty} a_j t^j,$$

where  $a_{m_i} \neq 0$ . We put  $f_i = j^{m_i k}(\phi_i \circ h_i)$ .

The germ  $f_i$  so defined is the natural substitute for the  $k$ -jet of  $\phi_i$  when  $\phi_i$  does not have such a jet. We remark that the theorem below holds if we replace  $f_i$  by  $j^k \phi_i$  when this latter exists.

3.1. THEOREM. (a) *If all  $f_i$  have a strong minimum (maximum) at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , so does  $f$  at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .*

(b) *If among the  $f_i$  there is one which has a strong minimum and another which has a strong maximum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$ , then  $f$  does not have an extremum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .*

(c) *In the remaining cases,  $f$  is not  $k$ -decidable.*

PROOF. (a) We consider only the minimum case. Since  $f_i$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m_i k$  we can choose  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\tau_i > 0$  such that  $\forall t$ ,

$$0 < t < \tau_i \Rightarrow f_i(t) > \alpha_i t^{m_i k} > \beta_i [h_i(t)]^k,$$

where  $\beta_i > 0$  is obtained by using inequalities 1.4. Since the family is finite we may take  $\beta > 0$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that  $\forall i, \forall t, 0 < t < \tau \Rightarrow f_i(t) > \beta [h(t)]^k$ .

We also have  $\forall \lambda > 0, \exists \tau > 0$  such that  $\forall i \tau \geq h_i(\tau)$  and  $\forall u$

$$0 < u < \tau \Rightarrow |\phi_i(u) - f_i[h_i^{-1}(u)]| < \lambda [h_i^{-1}(u)]^{m_i k} < \beta u^k / 2$$

for  $\lambda$  small enough.

Finally, we choose  $\varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon < \zeta \forall x, |x| = \delta < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |f(x)j^k f(x)| < \beta \delta^k / 4$ . So, we have  $\forall x$ ,

$$|x| = \delta < \varepsilon \Rightarrow f(x) \geq \min j^k f|S_\delta - \beta \delta^k / 4.$$

By Theorem 1.3  $\exists i |\min j^k f|S_\delta = \phi_i(\delta)$ , therefore  $\forall x$

$$|x| = \delta < \varepsilon \Rightarrow f(x) \geq \phi_i(\delta) - \beta |\delta|^k / 4 \geq \beta \delta^k / 4,$$

which shows (a).

(b) We take  $\alpha, \tau > 0$  such that  $\forall t$

$$0 < t < \tau \Rightarrow f_i(t) > \alpha t^{m_i k} > \beta_i [h_i(t)]^k$$

and

$$f_j(t) < -\alpha t^{m_j k} < -\beta_j [h_j(t)]^k.$$

Let  $\beta = \min(\beta_i, \beta_j) > 0$  and  $\zeta > 0$ ,  $\zeta < h_i(\tau)$  and  $\zeta < h_j(\tau)$  such that  $\forall u$ ,

$$0 < u < \zeta \Rightarrow |\phi_r(u) - f_r(h_r^{-1}(u))| < \beta u^k/2, \quad r = i, j.$$

Finally we take  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon < \zeta$  such that  $\forall x$ ,

$$|x| < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |f(x) - j^k f(x)| < \beta |x|^k/4.$$

We claim that for any  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ ,  $f|_{S_\delta \cap K_i} > 0$  and  $f|_{S_\delta \cap K_j} < 0$ . For, if  $x_j \in S_\delta \cap K_j$ ,

$$f(x_j) \leq \phi(|x_j|) + \frac{\beta}{4}|x_j|^k \leq f_j[h_j^{-1}(|x_j|)] + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{\beta}{4}\right)|x|^k \leq -\frac{\beta}{4}|x_j|^k < 0.$$

Similarly, if  $x_i \in S_\delta \cap K_i$ ,

$$f(x_i) \geq \beta |x_i|^k/4 > 0,$$

which proves (b).

(c) Suppose, for example, that all  $f_i$  have a minimum but  $f_j$  has a weak minimum at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  (hence  $f_j \equiv 0$ ). It is enough to construct two germs,  $g_0$  and  $g_1$ , having the same  $k$ -jet as  $f$  such that  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is a strong minimum for  $g_0$  and is not a minimum (not even a weak one) for  $g_1$ . To do that, for each  $j$ , such that  $f_j \equiv 0$ , we choose  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  (small enough) and  $\alpha_j > 0$  (big enough) in order that  $\forall t$ ,

$$0 < t < \varepsilon_j \Rightarrow |\phi_j[h_j(t)]| < \alpha_j t^{m_j k+1} < \beta_j [h_j(t)]^{k+(1/m_j)}$$

( $\beta_j$  as in 1.4). Since there are finitely many such  $j$ 's we take  $\varepsilon, \beta > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$  such that for all those  $j$ 's we have  $\forall t$ ,

$$0 < t < \varepsilon \Rightarrow |\phi_j(h_j(t))| < \beta [h_j(t)]^{k+(1/m)}$$

and put

$$g_\nu(x) = j^k f(x) - (-1)^\nu \beta |x|^{k+1/m}, \quad \nu = 0, 1.$$

It is clear that  $j^k g_\nu = j^k f$  and the critical points of  $g_\nu|_{S_\delta}$  are precisely those of  $j^k f|_{S_\delta}$ ,  $\forall \delta > 0$ . Moreover,  $\forall i$ ,

$$g_\nu(\gamma_i(t)) = \phi_i(h_i(t)) - (-1)^\nu \beta [h_i(t)]^{k+1/m}.$$

Let us show that  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is not even a weak minimum for  $g_0$ . For if  $f_j \equiv 0$  and  $x_i \in K_j$  with  $|x_j| < h_j(\varepsilon)$ , then

$$g_0(x_j) = g_0(\gamma_j(t)) = \phi_j(h_j(t)) - \beta [h_j(t)]^{k+1/m} < 0.$$

Let us show finally that  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is a strong minimum for  $g_1$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall j$ ,  $f_j \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \delta < h_j(\varepsilon)$ . We have  $\min g_1|_{S_\delta} = g_1(\gamma_i(t))$  for some  $i$ , and then

$$\min g_1|_{S_\delta} = \phi_i(h_i(t)) + \beta [h_i(t)]^{k+1/m}.$$

If  $f_i \equiv 0$  then  $\beta [h_i(t)]^{k+1/m} > 0$ , otherwise  $\phi_i(h_i(t)) > \mu_i t^k$  for  $\mu_i$  small enough and any  $t$  nearby 0, and again  $g_1(\gamma_i(t)) > 0$ .  $\square$

REFERENCES

[G] C. Gibson et al., *Construction of canonical stratifications: topological stability of smooth mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 552, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1976.  
 [M] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex surfaces*, Ann. of Math. Stud., no. 61, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1968.