

## ISOMETRIES OF THE DISC ALGEBRA

MOHAMAD EL-GEBEILY AND JOHN WOLFE

ABSTRACT. The linear isometries  $u: A \rightarrow A$  of the disc algebra  $A$  into itself are completely described. Such isometries  $u$  must be one of two distinct types. The first type is  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$ , where  $\psi \in A$  and  $\phi \in H^\infty$  satisfy certain described conditions. The second type is  $uf = E(\psi \cdot f(\phi))$ , where  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  is any continuous function from a closed zero measure subset  $Q$  of the unit circle  $T$  onto itself,  $\psi \in C(Q)$  is unimodular, and  $E: Y \rightarrow A$  is a norm 1 extension operator, where  $Y = \{\psi \cdot f(\phi): f \in A\} \subset C(Q)$ . Isometries of  $C(K)$  spaces into the disc algebra are also described.

**1. Introduction.** A linear operator  $u: X \rightarrow X$  on a Banach space  $X$  is an *isometry* if  $\|ux\| = \|x\|$  for each  $x \in X$ . The isometries of most of the well-known Banach spaces have been described. The isometries of  $C(K)$  spaces were described by Banach and Stone (onto case) and Holsztynski [12] (into case). Isometries of  $L^p(\mu)$  spaces and  $H^p$  were worked out by Lamperti [16] and Forelli [9], respectively. The onto isometries of the disc algebra  $A$  and  $H^\infty$  were determined by de Leeuw, Rudin, and Wermer [17]. Several further papers dealing with isometries on various spaces are listed in the bibliography. In this paper we describe the isometries of the disc algebra  $A$  into itself. This answers a question raised by Phelps [30, p. 354].

Our notation follows Rudin [26] and Hoffman [11]. We use  $D$  for the open unit disc in the complex plane,  $\bar{D} = \{z: |z| \leq 1\}$ , and  $T = \{z: |z| = 1\}$ . We use  $C(T)$ ,  $C(\bar{D})$ , and  $C(K)$  to denote the sup norm Banach spaces of continuous complex valued functions on  $T$ ,  $\bar{D}$ , or a general compact Hausdorff space  $K$ , respectively. The disc algebra  $A = \{f \in C(\bar{D}): f \text{ is analytic on } D\}$ , and  $H^\infty$  is the sup norm Banach space of bounded analytic functions on  $D$ . Lebesgue measure on  $T$  is denoted by  $m$ . We identify  $A$  in its natural way as a subspace of  $C(T)$ .

We begin by discussing Propositions 1 and 2 which describe two types of isometries of the disc algebra into itself. The main result (Theorem A) is that any isometry on  $A$  must be of the form described in either Propositions 1 or 2. Theorem B describes the isometries of  $C(K)$  spaces into  $A$ .

These results are proved in §2. §3 contains a few further remarks and open questions.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose  $\phi \in H^\infty$  and  $\|\phi\| \leq 1$ , where  $\phi = h_1/h_2$  with  $h_1, h_2 \in A$ . Let  $S = \{t \in T: h_2(t) = 0\}$ . Suppose  $\psi \in A$  and  $\psi(s) = 0$  for  $s \in S$ .*

- (a) *Then  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$  defines a bounded linear operator from  $A$  into  $A$ .*
- (b) *The operator  $u$  is an isometry  $\Leftrightarrow \|\phi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$ , and there is a closed set  $Q$  in  $T$  such that  $Q \cap S = \emptyset$ ,  $\phi(Q) = T$  and  $|\psi(q)| = 1$  for all  $q \in Q$ .*

An isometry  $u: A \rightarrow A$  of the form described in Proposition 1(b) will be called a *Type 1 isometry* on  $A$ .

Received by the editors December 12, 1983 and, in revised form, May 9, 1984.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30H05, 46E25.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

McDonald [33, Proposition 1.1] shows that isometries as in part (b) are precisely the ones satisfying  $(u1)u(fg) = (uf)(ug)$ .

Type 1 isometries are quite natural and expected in this situation, since for many function spaces  $X$  (e.g., on  $H^p$  or  $L^p$ ) all isometries are of the form  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$ , where the conditions on  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  depend on the nature of  $X$ .

A wide variety of allowable functions  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , for which  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$  is an isometry of  $A$ , can be imagined. For example, if  $\phi_1 \in A$  is a ‘‘Riemann map’’ of  $\bar{D}$  to the quarter annulus  $\{z: 1/2 \leq z \leq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \arg z \leq \pi/2\}$ , then some proper arc  $Q$  of  $T$  is mapped onto  $\{z: |z| = 1 \text{ and } 0 < \arg z < \pi/2\}$ . Thus  $uf = \psi f(\phi)$  is an isometry, where  $\phi = (\phi_1)^4$  and  $\psi \in A$  is any norm 1 function which is unimodular on  $Q$ .

We also note that  $\phi \in H^\infty$  is of the form  $\phi = h_1/h_2$ , where  $h_1, h_2 \in A \Leftrightarrow$  the radial limit of  $\phi$  is continuous off some closed subset of  $T$  with measure zero.

The next proposition is trivial.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $Q$  be a closed subset of  $T$  of measure zero, let  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  be any continuous onto map, and let  $\psi \in C(Q)$  satisfy  $|\psi(q)| = 1$  for each  $q \in Q$ . Define the subspace  $Y$  of  $C(Q)$  by  $Y = \{\psi \cdot f(\phi): f \in A\}$ . Let  $E: Y \rightarrow A$  be a linear extension operator with  $\|E\| = 1$ , i.e.,  $E$  is a bounded linear operator of norm 1 such that, for each  $f \in Y$  and  $q \in Q$ ,  $E(f)(q) = f(q)$ . Then  $uf = E(\psi \cdot f(\phi))$  defines an isometry of  $A$  into  $A$ .*

An isometry  $u: A \rightarrow A$  of the form described in Proposition 2 will be called a *Type 2 isometry* on  $A$ .

We are now in a position to state our main result.

**THEOREM A.** *Any isometry of  $A$  is either of Type 1 or of Type 2, i.e., of the form described in either Proposition 1 or Proposition 2.*

One reason for the existence of what we have called Type 2 isometries on  $A$  is that  $A$  contains isometric copies of  $C(T)$  (see Pelczynski [21]). This second type of isometry previously appeared (in the context of Banach spaces of the type  $C(K)$ ,  $K$  a compact Hausdorff space) in Holsztynski [12] (see also Proposition 1 of Pelczynski [23]).

In order to illuminate the nature of Type 2 isometries, we make the following observations.

We note that for any closed subset  $Q$  of  $T$  of measure zero there are many norm 1 extension operators  $E: C(Q) \rightarrow A$  as shown by Pelczynski [21] and Michael and Pelczynski [18] (also see Rudin [25], Carleson [6], and Bishop [4]).

We also note that if  $Q \subset T$  has measure zero then there exist continuous maps  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  of  $Q$  onto  $T \Leftrightarrow Q$  is uncountable (e.g.,  $Q$  is a homeomorph of the Cantor set). This argument goes as follows: (1)  $m(Q) = 0$  implies  $Q$  is totally disconnected (it cannot contain intervals); (2)  $Q$  uncountable implies that it contains a homeomorph of the Cantor set which must be a retract of  $Q$ ; (3) there are well-known maps of the Cantor set onto  $T$ .

Thus we see that Type 2 isometries exist in profusion. Unfortunately, it seems that Type 2 isometries can never be described very explicitly, since, firstly, the maps  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$ , in the few cases in which they are explicit, are rather ugly, and, secondly, the extension operators  $E: C(Q) \rightarrow A$ , which are constructed by Michael and Pelczynski using a limiting process, always seem to be illusive.

Our final result describes the isometries of a  $C(K)$  space into  $A$ .

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $K$  be a compact metric space, and let  $u: C(K) \rightarrow A$  be an isometry. Then  $u$  is Type 2. More precisely,  $uf = E(\psi \cdot f(\phi))$ , where  $Q$  is a closed subset of  $T$  of measure zero,  $\phi: Q \rightarrow K$  is continuous and onto,  $\psi \in C(Q)$  satisfies  $\psi(q) = 1$  for all  $q \in Q$ , and  $E: Y \rightarrow A$  is a norm 1 extension operator, where  $Y = \{\psi \cdot f(\phi): f \in C(K)\}$ .*

**2. Proof of the results.** Our basic tool for proving Theorems A and B is the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $u: A \rightarrow A$  be an isometry. Then there exist a closed subset  $Q$  of  $T$ , a continuous map  $\rho: Q \rightarrow T$ , and a continuous onto map  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  such that  $\rho(q)u(g)(q) = g(\phi(q))$  for all  $g \in A$  and  $q \in Q$ .*

We identify  $A^*$  as a quotient space of  $M(T)$ , where  $M(T) = C(T)^*$  denotes the space of regular Borel measures on  $T$ . The notation  $B(A^*)$ ,  $B(C(T)^*)$ , and  $\text{ext } B(A^*)$  and  $\text{ext } B(C(T)^*)$  denote, respectively, the unit balls and extreme points of the unit balls of  $A^*$  and  $C(T)^* = M(T)$ . For  $t \in T$ ,  $\delta_t \in A^*$  denotes the point evaluation. Since  $t \rightarrow \delta_t$  is a homeomorphism of  $T$  into  $A^*$  equipped with the weak\* topology, we identify  $T$  with the subset  $\{\delta_t: t \in T\}$  of  $A^*$ .

The following proof is a straightforward adaptation of Pelczynski's proof [23, Proposition 1] of a result of Holsztynski [12] on isometries of  $C(K)$  spaces.

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.** We first establish that for each  $t \in T$  the set  $K_t \neq \emptyset$ , where  $K_t = ((u^*)^{-1}\delta_t) \cap \text{ext } B(A^*)$ . First of all,  $\tilde{K}_t = ((u^*)^{-1}\delta_t) \cap B(A^*) \neq \emptyset$  because  $u$  is an isometry and, thus,  $u^*(B(A^*)) = B(A^*)$ . But  $\tilde{K}_t$  (using the terminology of §V.8 of Dunford and Schwartz [7]) is a weak\* compact extremal subset of  $B(A^*)$ , so it has extreme points which will also be extreme points of  $B(A^*)$ , which shows that  $K_t \neq \emptyset$ .

Now for each  $\lambda \in T$  let  $Q_\lambda = (u^{*-1}(\lambda T)) \cap T$  and let  $Q = \bigcup_{\lambda \in T} Q_\lambda$ . Define  $\rho: Q \rightarrow T$  by  $\rho(q) = \lambda^{-1}$  if  $q \in Q_\lambda$ , and define  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  by  $\phi(q) = \rho(q)u^*(\delta_q)$ . The last paragraph shows that  $\phi$  maps  $Q$  onto  $T$  (since  $\text{ext } B(A^*) = \{\alpha\delta_t: t \in T, |\alpha| = 1\}$ ). Also, by definition, for  $q \in Q$  and  $g \in A$ ,

$$\rho(q)(ug)(q) = \rho(q)(u^*(\delta_q))g = \delta_{\phi(q)}g = g(\phi(q)).$$

To see that  $Q$  is closed and  $\rho$  is continuous, let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1}(F) &= \bigcup_{\lambda \in F} Q_{\lambda^{-1}} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in F} (u^{*-1}(\lambda^{-1}T) \cap T) \\ &= \left( u^{*-1} \left( \bigcup_{\lambda \in F} \lambda^{-1}T \right) \right) \cap T = (u^{*-1}(F^{-1} \times T)) \cap T \end{aligned}$$

is weak\* closed since

$$F^{-1} \times T = \{\lambda^{-1}t: \lambda \in F, t \in T\}$$

is closed and  $u^*$  is weak\* continuous. Then  $\rho$  is continuous and  $Q = \rho^{-1}(T)$  is closed. This proves Proposition 3.

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.** To see  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$  is bounded from  $A$  to  $A$  observe that, for  $f \in A$ ,  $uf$  is analytic on  $D$  and, for  $z \in D$ ,  $|uf(z)| \leq \|\psi\| \|f\|$ , so  $u$  is bounded from  $A$  into  $H^\infty$ . To get  $u(A) \subset A$  we need  $u(z^n) \in A$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

But  $uz^n = \psi h_1^n/h_2^n$ , and for  $t \in T \setminus S$  this is certainly continuous. If  $t_0 \in S$  then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} |(uz^n)(t)| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} |\psi(t)| \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow t_0} |\phi^n(t)| = 0,$$

since  $\phi \in H^\infty$  and  $\psi(t_0) = 0$ . This proves (a).

For (b) we first note that, since  $Q \cap S = \emptyset$ , although  $\phi \in H^\infty$ , its radial limit is continuous on  $T - S$  so that  $\phi(Q)$  makes sense. The proof that a Type 1 operator is an isometry is straightforward. Conversely, if  $uf = \psi \cdot f(\phi)$  is an isometry, then the set  $Q$  is obtained from Proposition 3. Taking  $g$  in Proposition 3 to be 1 gives  $|\psi(q)| = |\rho(q)^{-1}| = 1$  for  $q \in Q$  (hence,  $Q \cap S$  is void). Taking  $g$  to be  $z$  gives the desired conclusion  $\phi(Q) = T$ , and the rest of the proof is apparent.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. Given the isometry  $u: A \rightarrow A$  let  $Q, \rho: Q \rightarrow T$  and  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  be as given in Proposition 3, i.e., for  $q \in Q, \rho(q)(uf)(q) = f(\phi(q))$ . We will show that if  $m(Q) > 0$  then  $u$  is a Type 1 isometry, and if  $m(Q) = 0$  then  $u$  is a Type 2 isometry.

So first assume  $m(Q) > 0$ . The proof of this case is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1 of McDonald [33].

Letting  $f = 1$  we get, for  $q \in Q, \rho(q)(u1)q = 1$  or  $u1 = 1/\rho$  on  $Q$ . Thus,

$$(1) \quad uf(q) = (u1)(q)f(\phi(q)) \quad \text{for } f \in A \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

Next we establish

$$(2) \quad (u1)(u(fg)) = (uf)(ug) \quad \text{for } f, g \in A.$$

For from (1), for  $q \in Q$ ,

$$(u1)(q)u(fg)(q) = (u1(q))^2 f(\phi(q))g(\phi(q)) = (uf)(q)(ug)(q).$$

Thus, (2) holds on  $Q$ . But  $m(Q) > 0$  and the functions involved are in  $A$ , so (2) holds on  $\overline{D}$ .

It follows immediately from (2) that

$$(3) \quad (u1)^{n-1}u(z^n) = (uz)^n \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Now define  $\phi_1(\xi) = uz(\xi)/u1(\xi)$ . We now show that  $\phi_1$  is analytic on  $D$  and  $\|\phi_1\| = 1$ .

Suppose  $u1$  has a zero of order  $n \geq 1$  at  $\xi_0 \in D$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} [(u1)(\xi)/(\xi - \xi_0)^n]$  exists. So by (3),

$$[u(z)(\xi)/(\xi - \xi_0)^{n-1}]^n = [u1(\xi)/(\xi - \xi_0)^n]^{n-1}u(z^n)(\xi).$$

But  $u(z^n)(\xi_0) = 0$  since, by (2),

$$0 = (u1)(\xi_0)u(z^{2n})(\xi_0) = [(uz^n)(\xi_0)]^2.$$

Thus  $\lim_{\xi \rightarrow \xi_0} [u(z)(\xi)/(\xi - \xi_0)^{n-1}] = 0$ , and  $uz$  has a zero of order at least  $n$  at  $\xi_0$ , so  $\phi_1$  is analytic on  $D$ .

To get  $\|\phi_1\| \leq 1$  note that, by (3),  $(uz^n) = (u1)(\xi)\phi_1^n(\xi)$ . Thus, if  $u1(\xi) \neq 0$ , then

$$|\phi_1(\xi)|^n \leq \|uz^n\|/|u1(\xi)| \leq 1/|u1(\xi)| \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Thus,  $|\phi_1(\xi)| \leq 1$ . Since the zeros of the  $u1$  are isolated, we get  $\|\phi_1\| \leq 1$ . That  $\|\phi_1\| = 1$  will be noted later.

Finally, putting  $f = z$  in (1) we get, for  $q \in Q$ ,  $(uz)(q) = (u1)(q)\phi(q)$ . Thus  $\phi = \phi_1$  on  $Q$ . This implies  $\|\phi_1\| = 1$ . It also implies from (1) that, for  $f \in A$ ,  $uf = (u1)f(\phi_1)$  holds on  $Q$ . Again since these functions are in  $H^\infty$ ,  $uf = (u1)f(\phi_1)$  holds on  $D$ , so  $u$  is a Type 1 operator.

This finishes the case where  $m(Q) > 0$ . Now assume  $m(Q) = 0$ , and we will show that  $u$  is a Type 2 isometry. This falls immediately out of Proposition 3. Let  $Q, \rho: Q \rightarrow T$  and  $\phi: Q \rightarrow T$  be as given in Proposition 3. Then

$$(4) \quad \rho(q)u(f)(q) = f(\phi(q)) \quad \text{for } f \in A \text{ and } q \in Q.$$

Let  $\psi = \rho^{-1}$ . We must show that  $uf = E(\psi \cdot f(\phi))$ , where  $E: Y \rightarrow A$  is an extension operator on  $Y = \{\psi \cdot f(\phi): f \in A\}$ . We simply define the operator  $E$  on  $Y$  by  $E(\psi \cdot f(\phi)) = uf$ . Then (4) shows that  $E$  is an extension operator, and this finishes the proof of Theorem A.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. The construction of  $Q \subset T$ ,  $\phi: Q \rightarrow K$ , and  $\psi \in C(Q)$  is the same argument as Proposition 3. It only remains to show that  $m(Q) = 0$ . We suppose  $m(Q) > 0$  and get a contradiction. First we show that if  $F$  is a closed subset of  $Q$  with  $m(F) > 0$  then  $\phi(F) = K$ . For if  $k_0 \in K$  and  $k_0 \notin \phi(F)$ , we can choose  $f \in C(K)$  such that  $f = 1$  on  $\phi(F)$  and  $f(k_0) = 0$ . Then  $u1$  and  $uf$  are identical on  $F$ , which is impossible since  $m(F) > 0$ .

Now fix  $k_0 \in K$ . Then  $m(\phi^{-1}(k_0)) = 0$ . So there is an open subset  $U$  of  $T$  with  $\phi^{-1}(k_0) \subset U$  and  $m(U) < m(Q)/2$ . But, letting  $F = Q \setminus U$ ,  $m(F) > 0$ , and  $\phi(F) \neq K$  since  $k_0 \notin \phi(F)$ . This contradiction shows that  $m(Q) = 0$  and Theorem B is proved.

**3. Some further remarks.** We remarked earlier that one reason for additional isometries on  $A$ , besides the natural Type 1 isometries, is that the disc algebra contains subspaces isometric to  $C(T)$  which naturally contains  $A$ . However, not all Type 2 isometries are restrictions to  $A$  of isometries of  $C(T)$ , as illustrated by the following example (which appears in Rochberg [31]).

EXAMPLE. Let  $Q$  be a closed subset of  $T$  which is homeomorphic to the Cantor set and has Lebesgue measure 0. Let  $\phi$  be a continuous map of  $Q$  onto  $T$ . By Rudin's Theorem [25] there are functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  in  $A$  such that  $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi$  on  $Q$ , but  $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$  and  $\|\phi_1\| = \|\phi_2\| = 1$ . Now define  $u: A \rightarrow A$  by  $uf = \frac{1}{2}(f \circ \phi_1 + f \circ \phi_2)$ .

It is not difficult to check that  $u$  is an isometry which is not Type 1, so it must be Type 2. But there can be no isometry  $w: C(T) \rightarrow A$  such that  $w = u$  on  $A$ , since then  $w(1) = u(1) = 1$ , and this is impossible (the argument here is exactly that used in the proof of Example 9.1 in Michael and Pelczynski [18]).

We close with a related problem about isometries which seems to be open.

*Problem.* Describe the isometries of  $H^\infty$  into itself.

## REFERENCES

1. D. E. Asplach, *Small into isomorphisms on  $L_p$  spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **27** (1983), 300–314.
2. J. Arazy, *The isometries of  $C_p$* , Israel J. Math. **22** (1975), 247–256.
3. Y. Benyamini, *Small into isomorphisms between spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981), 479–485.
4. E. Bishop, *A general Rudin-Carleson theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1958), 283–290.
5. M. Cambern, *Isometries of certain Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **25** (1965), 217–225.

6. L. Carleson, *Representations of continuous functions*, Math. Z. **66** (1957), 447–451.
7. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
8. J. A. Erdos, *A simple proof of Arazy's theorem*, unpublished manuscript.
9. F. Forelli, *The isometries of  $H^p$* , Canad. J. Math. **16** (1964), 721–728.
10. —, *A theorem on isometries and the application of it to the isometries of  $H^p(S)$  for  $2 < p < \infty$* , Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 284–289.
11. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
12. W. Holsztyński, *Continuous mappings induced by isometries of spaces of continuous functions*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 133–136.
13. C. Kolaski, *Isometries of Bergman spaces on bounded Runge domains*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 1157–1164.
14. —, *Isometries of weighted Bergman spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **33** (1981), 1156–1163.
15. A. Koranyi and S. Vagi, *Isometries of  $H^p$  spaces of bounded symmetric domains*, Canad. J. Math. **28** (1976), 334–340.
16. J. Lamperti, *On the isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 459–466.
17. K. de Leeuw, W. Rudin and J. Wermer, *The isometries of some function spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 694–698.
18. E. Michael and A. Pelczyński, *A linear extension theorem*, Illinois J. Math. **11** (1967), 563–579.
19. V. D. Pathak, *Isometries of  $C^{(n)}[0, 1]$* , Pacific J. Math. **94** (1981), 211–222.
20. —, *Linear isometries of spaces of absolutely continuous functions*, Canad. J. Math. **34** (1982), 298–306.
21. A. Pelczyński, *On simultaneous extension of continuous functions*, Studia Math. **24** (1964), 286–304.
22. —, *Addendum to: On simultaneous extensions of continuous functions*, Studia Math. **25** (1964), 157–161.
23. —, *On  $C(S)$ -subspaces of separable Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **31** (1968), 513–522.
24. N. V. Rao and A. K. Roy, *Linear isometries of some function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 177–192.
25. W. Rudin, *Boundary values of continuous analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1956), 808–811.
26. —, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
27. —,  *$L^p$ -isometries and equimeasurability*, Indiana J. Math. **25** (1976), 215–228.
28. R. Schneider, *Isometries of  $H^p(U)$* , Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 92–95.
29. K. W. Tam, *Isometries of certain function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 233–246.
30. F. T. Birtel, editor, *Function algebras* (Proc. Internat. Sympos. Function Algebras, Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott, Foresman, Glenview, Ill., 1966.
31. R. Rochberg, *Which linear maps of the disc algebra are multiplicative?*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 207–212.
32. J. N. McDonald, *Isometries of function algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **17** (1973), 579–583.
33. —, *Isometries of the disc algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **58** (1975), 143–154.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74076

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, KANSAS NEWMAN COLLEGE, WICHITA, KANSAS 67213