PADÉ TABLES OF A CLASS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

D. S. LUBINSKY

Abstract. It is shown that if the Maclaurin series coefficients \( \{ a_j \} \) of an entire function satisfy a certain explicit condition, then there exists a sequence \( S \) of integers such that \( \left[ \frac{L}{M} \right] \rightarrow f \) locally uniformly in \( \mathbb{C} \) as \( L \rightarrow \infty \), \( L \in S \), for all nonnegative integer sequences \( \{ M_j \} \). In particular, this condition is satisfied if the \( \{ a_j \} \) approach 0 fast enough, or if a subsequence of the \( \{ a_j \} \) behaves irregularly in a certain sense. Further, the functions satisfying this condition are dense in the space of entire functions with the topology of locally uniform convergence. Consequently, the set of entire functions satisfying the Baker-Gammel-Wills Conjecture is of the second category.

1. Introduction. Let \( f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \) be a formal power series and \( \left[ \frac{L}{M} \right] = \frac{P_{LM}}{Q_{LM}} \) be the \( L/M \) Padé approximant to \( f \) with \( Q_{LM}(0) = 1 \). In 1961 [2, p. 188], Baker, Gammel and Wills conjectured that if \( f \) is analytic in \( |z| < 1 \), except for a finite number of poles, then a subsequence of \( \{ \left[ \frac{M}{M} \right] \} \) converges locally uniformly in \( C \), uniformly in compact subsets of \( |z| < 1 \).

At present there are no counterexamples to this conjecture. In view of a recent paper of Buslaev, Goncar and Suetin [5] on the rows of the Padé table, it seems likely that the conjecture is false in the above form, but possibly true when restricted to entire functions. The most general positive result on pointwise convergence of diagonal Padé sequences is due to Baker [3]. He shows that, for a large class of meromorphic functions with simple poles, at most two subsequences of \( \{ \frac{N-1}{N} \} \) will produce locally uniform convergence in \( C \), except at poles of \( f \). Because of duality theory, this implies convergence of subsequences of \( \{ \frac{N}{N-1} \} \) for a class of entire functions of order \( < 1 \). Diagonal Padé sequences are also known to converge for Stieltjes series [2], Polya frequency series [1] and other special classes [2, 6]. In this note, we prove

**Theorem.** Let \( f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j \) be entire and transcendental. Assume we are given \( K > 0 \) and nondecreasing positive numbers \( \{ q_j \} \) such that

\[
(1) \quad |a_L| \leq K / \prod_{k=1}^{L} q_k, \quad L = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

(We show that there exist \( K, \{ q_j \} \) satisfying (1) with equality for infinitely many \( L \).)
(a) Assume there exists an infinite sequence $\mathcal{S}$ of positive integers such that
\begin{align}
q_L/q_{L+1} &\leq 1/9, \quad L \in \mathcal{S}, \\
|a_L| &= K/ \prod_{k=1}^{L} q_k, \quad L \in \mathcal{S}.
\end{align}
Then $\lim_{L \to \infty; L \in \mathcal{S}} [L/M_L](z) = f(z)$ locally uniformly in $C$ for all nonnegative integer sequences $\{M_L\}_{L=1}^\infty$. If further,
\begin{align}
\lim_{L \to \infty} M_L/(q_L q_{L+1})^{1/2} &= 0,
\end{align}
then, locally uniformly in $C$, $\lim_{L \to \infty; L \in \mathcal{S}} Q_{LM}(z) = 1$ and $\lim_{L \to \infty; L \in \mathcal{S}} P_{LM}(z) = f(z)$.

(b) There exist $K, \{q_L\}, \mathcal{S}$ satisfying (1)-(3) if
\begin{align}
\lim_{L \to \infty} \sup |a_L|^{1/L^2} &= \rho < 1/3.
\end{align}

(c) The class of entire functions for which there exist $K, \{q_L\}, \mathcal{S}$ satisfying (1)-(3) is dense in the metric space $\mathcal{A}$ of entire functions equipped with the topology of locally uniform convergence. Consequently the set $\mathcal{B}$ of entire functions satisfying (6) is of the second category in $\mathcal{A}$:
\begin{align}
\text{There exists a}\mathcal{S}\text{ such that } \lim_{L \to \infty; L \in \mathcal{S}} [L/M_L](z) = f(z) \text{ locally uniformly in } C \text{ for all sequences } \{M_L\} \text{ with } 0 \leq M_L \leq e^L, \quad L = 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{align}

As far as the author can determine, (b) of the theorem is not contained in Baker's result [2], even when $M_L = L + 1, L = 0, 1, \ldots$. Further, (c) substantially strengthens Theorem 1 in Borwein [4], where $\mathcal{A}$ and sets of the first and second category were applied for the first time in the context of Padé approximation. We note that $\{e^L\}$ in (6) can be replaced by an arbitrary sequence.

Regarding weaker types of convergence of diagonal sequences, the Nuttall-Pommerenke theorem on convergence in capacity [14] is known to be best possible in various senses [10, 11, 15], as is Wallin’s theorem on convergence a.e. [16]. It seems that no one has yet exhibited an entire function of order 2 (or even of finite order) for which $[M/M] \to f$ a.e. in $C$, thereby showing, as is likely, that Edrei’s result [7] is best possible. It is noteworthy that the counterexamples in [10, 11, 15, 16], although different in construction, all deal with sparse diagonal sequences like $\{[2^M/2^M]\}$ and cannot resolve the Baker-Gammel-Wills Conjecture. Other recent results for diagonal sequences appear in [8, 9, 12, 17].

2. Proof of the Theorem. For $L, M = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let
\begin{align}
V(L/M; z) &= \det \begin{pmatrix}
    a_L & a_{L+1} & \cdots & a_{L+M} \\
    a_{L-1} & a_L & \cdots & a_{L+M-1} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    a_{L-M+1} & a_{L-M+2} & \cdots & a_{L+1} \\
    z^M & z^{M-1} & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix} \\
D(L/M) &= \det(a_{L-i+j})^{M}_{i,j=1}.
\end{align}
If \( D(L/M) \neq 0 \), then \( Q_{L,M}(z) = V(L/M; z)/D(L/M) \) is the denominator in \([L/M]\) normalized by \( Q_{L,M}(0) = 1 \). The \( D(L/M) \) are row rearrangements of the \( C(L/M) \) used in [2]. This note is based on the observation that if \( |a_{L+1}|, |a_{L+2}|, \ldots \) are much smaller than \( |a_{L}| \), then both \( D(L/M), V(L/M; z) = a_{L}^{M} \), and both can be transformed into determinants of matrices with dominant diagonal, to which an inequality of Ostrowski [13] can be applied.

**Lemma.** Assume (1) and (3). Let

\[
\theta_{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{L}^{j/2} \left\{ r_{L+1}^{j-1} r_{L+2}^{j-2} \cdots r_{L+j-2}^{2} r_{L+j-1} \right\},
\]

whenever finite, let

\[
\mu_{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{L}^{j/2} \left\{ r_{L+1}^{j-1} r_{L+2}^{j-2} \cdots r_{L-j+2}^{2} r_{L-j+1} \right\}.
\]

Assume

\[
\theta_{L} + \mu_{L} \leq 1, \quad L \in \mathcal{S}.
\]

Then for \( L \in \mathcal{S} \), and \( M = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \),

(a) \( 2^{-M+1} < |D(L/M) a_{L}^{-M}| < (3/2)^{M-1} \),

(b) \( 3^{-2M+1} < |Q_{L,M}(z)| < 3^{M}, \quad |z| \leq (q_{L} q_{L+1})^{1/2}/2. \)

**Proof.** Let \( \xi_{i} = (q_{L} q_{L+1})^{i/2}, i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \).

(a) Multiplying the \( i \)th row in \( D(L/M) \) by \( a_{L}^{-1} \xi_{i} \) and multiplying the \( j \)th column by \( \xi_{j}, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, M \), we see

\[
D(L/M) a_{L}^{-M} = \det \left( \frac{a_{L-i+j} \xi_{j}}{a_{L} \xi_{i}} \right)^{M}_{i,j} = (b_{ij})^{M}_{i,j=1},
\]
say. Then (1) and (3) yield, for \( L \in \mathcal{S} \),

\[
\sum_{j=1: j \neq i}^{M} b_{ij} \leq \xi_{i}^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{L} \xi_{j} \prod_{k=L-i+j+1}^{L} q_{k} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{M} \xi_{j} \prod_{k=L+1}^{L-i+j} q_{k}^{-1} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \xi_{j}^{-1} \prod_{k=L-j+1}^{L-j+1} q_{k} + \sum_{j=i}^{M-i} \xi_{j} \prod_{k=L+1}^{L+i} q_{k}^{-1}.
\]

Now for \( k \leq L-1 \), \( q_{k} = q_{L} r_{L-1} \cdots r_{k} \) and, for \( k \geq L+2 \), \( q_{k}^{-1} = q_{L+1}^{-1} r_{L+1}^{-1} \cdots r_{k-2}^{-1} \). Since \( \xi_{j} q_{L}^{1} = \xi_{j} q_{L+1}^{-1} = r_{L}^{1/2} \), we see

\[
\sum_{j=1: j \neq i}^{M} b_{ij} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} r_{L}^{j/2} \left\{ r_{L+1}^{j-1} r_{L+2}^{j-2} \cdots r_{L+j-1} \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^{M-i} r_{L}^{j/2} \left\{ r_{L+1}^{j-1} r_{L+2}^{j-2} \cdots r_{L+j-1} \right\} < \theta_{L} + \mu_{L} \leq 1.
\]
Thus, $\sigma = \max \sum_{j=1}^{M} |b_j|/|b_j| < 1$. Now, either $\theta_L \leq 1/2$ or $\mu_L \leq 1/2$. Assuming the former is true, apply [13, (8), p. 27] with $n = k = M$ to obtain
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(1 - \sigma \sum_{j=i+1}^{M} |b_j|\right) \leq |D(L/M) a_L^{-M}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(1 + \sigma \sum_{j=i+1}^{M} |b_j|\right)
\]
and (10) follows, as $\sum_{j=i+1}^{M} |b_j| < \theta_L \leq 1/2$. When $\mu_L \leq 1/2$, apply [13, (8), p. 27] with $n = M, k = 1$.

(b) Now, $Q_{LM}(z) = V(L/M; z)/D(L/M)$, as $D(L/M) \neq 0$. We estimate $V(L/M; z)$ as in (a): Multiply the $i$th row in $V(L/M; z)$ by $a_{i-1}^{-1}\xi_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ and multiply the $(M + 1)$th row by $\xi_{M+1}^{-1}$. Further multiply the $j$th column by $\xi_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M + 1$. Then
\[
V(L/M; z) a_L^{-M} = \det(c_{ij}),
\]
where $c_{ii} = 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots, M + 1$ and, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, M$,
\[
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{M+1} |c_{ij}| < \theta_L + \mu_L \leq 1
\]
as before, while for $i = M + 1$,
\[
\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{M+1} |c_{ij}| = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(|z|/\xi_1\right)^{M+1-j} \leq 1 - 2^{-M}
\]
if $|z| < \xi_1/2$. Applying [13, (8), p. 27] with $n = M + 1$ and $k = M + 1$ if $\theta_L \leq 1/2$ but with $k = 1$ if $\mu_L \leq 1/2$, we obtain, for $|z| \leq \xi_1/2$,
\[
(1/2)^{2M-1} < |V(L/M; z) a_L^{-M}| < 2(3/2)^{M-1}.
\]
Applying (10) yields (11). □

Proof of (a) of the Theorem. As $\{q_j\}$ is nondecreasing, $r_j \leq 1$ for all $j$. Then if $L \in \mathcal{S}$, (2), (7) and (8) imply $\theta_L + \mu_L \leq 1$. Thus (9) holds, and (b) of the Lemma shows $[L/M]$ has no poles in $|z| \leq (q_L q_{L+1})^{1/2}/2$ for all $M \geq 0$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is entire, $\lim_{L \to \infty} q_L = \infty$. Using standard techniques [2], the locally uniform convergence of $[L/M]$, $L \in \mathcal{S}$, follows. When (4) holds, we note that we can write $Q_{LM}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{M'}(1 - z/z_{LM,j})$ where $M' \leq M_L$; $|z_{LM,j}| \geq (q_L q_{L+1})^{1/2}/2$, and so uniformly in a bounded subset of $C$, for $L \in \mathcal{S}$,
\[
Q_{LM}(z) = \left(1 + O\left((q_L q_{L+1})^{-1/2}\right)\right)^{M_L} = 1 + o(1).
\]

Proof of (b) of the Theorem. We first show that for any transcendental $f$, one can choose $K, \{q_j\}$ satisfying (1) with equality for infinitely many integers. Let
\[
K = \max\{1, |a_0|\}.
\]
Define integers $0 = j_0 < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots$ and numbers $\{q_j\}$ as follows:
\[
q_{j+1} = \left(|a_{j+1}|/K\right)^{1/j_1} = \max\left\{\left(|a_j|/K\right)^{1/j}; j \geq 1\right\},
\]
\[
q_{j+1} = \left|a_{j_{k+1}}/a_{j_k}\right|^{1/(j_{k+1} - j_k)} = \max\left\{|a_j/a_{j_k}|^{1/(j-j_k)}; j > j_k\right\},
\]

If there is more than one choice for some \( j_k, k \geq 1 \), we always choose the largest possible value. Define
\[
q_j = q_{j+1}, \quad j_k + 1 \leq j \leq j_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]

It is not hard to see (1) holds, with equality at least if \( L \in \{j_1, j_2, j_3, \ldots\} \). Further by (14), and choice of \( j_{k+1} \),
\[
q_j^{-1} = |a_{j+1}/a_j|^{1/(j+1-j_k)} > |a_{j+2}/a_j|^{1/(j+2-j_k)}
\]
\[
= \left\{ q_{j+2}^{-1}q_j^{-1} \right\}^{1/(j+2-j_k)}.
\]

We deduce
\[
q_{j_1} < q_{j_2} < q_{j_3} < \cdots.
\]

As \( f \) is entire, we see \( q_j \to \infty \) as \( j \to \infty \).

Now let us assume (5). Let \( \beta = \limsup_{L \to \infty} q_{L+1}/q_L \). Given \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have for
\( L \geq L_0(\epsilon) \), and some constant \( c(\epsilon) \),
\[
K/\prod_{j=1}^L q_j \geq c(\epsilon) \prod_{j=1}^L (\beta(1 + \epsilon))^{-j} = c(\epsilon)(\beta(1 + \epsilon))^{-L(L+1)/2}.
\]
Raising to the power \( L^{-2} \) and letting \( L \to \infty \) through \( \{j_1, j_2, \ldots\} \), we obtain \( \rho \geq (\beta(1 + \epsilon))^{-1/2} \), so that \( \beta \geq \rho^2 \). It follows that \( \liminf_{L \to \infty} q_L/q_{L+1} \leq \rho^2 < 1/9 \), and we can choose \( \mathcal{F} \) for which (2) holds. But (15) shows that whenever \( q_L < q_{L+1} \), necessarily \( q_L = q_{j_k} \) for some \( k \). Thus (3) also holds.

**Proof of (c) of the Theorem.** For entire \( f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j z^j, g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty b_j z^j \), let \( d^*(f, g) = \max\{|a_j - b_j|^{1/(j+1)}: j \geq 0\} \). This metric is equivalent to that used by Borwein [4], and \( \mathcal{F} \) is complete and also a Baire space with respect to \( d^* \). We first show that the set \( \mathcal{F} \) of \( f \) for which there exist \( K, \{q_j\}, \mathcal{F} \) satisfying (1)–(3) is dense in \( \mathcal{F} \). Let \( K(f), q_j(f), j_k(f) \) denote the numbers defined by (12)–(15) for any transcendental \( f \). Now fix transcendental \( f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j z^j \) and choose \( L = j_n(f) \), some \( n \geq 1 \). Choose inductively integers \( L = L_0 < L_1 < L_2 < \cdots \) such that, for \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \)
\[
|a_{L_k+1}/a_{L_k}|^{1/(L_{k+1}-L_k)} \leq (1/9)|a_{L_k}/a_{L_{k+1}}|^{1/(L_{k+1}-L_k)}.
\]

Let \( g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^L a_j z^j + \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{L_k} z^{L_k} \). We see from (12)–(15) that \( K(g) = K(f), q_j(g) = q_j(f), j = 1, 2, \ldots, L \). Further by (17) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \)
\[
|a_{L_k+j}/a_{L_k}|^{1/(L_{k+j}-L_k)} = \left\{ \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} |a_{L_k+l+j}/a_{L_k+l}| \right\}^{1/(L_{k+j}-L_k)}
\]
\[
< \left\{ \prod_{l=0}^{j-1} |a_{L_k+l+j}/a_{L_k+l}|^{(L_{k+j+1}-L_k)/(L_{k+1}-L_k)} \right\}^{1/(L_{k+j}-L_k)}
\]
\[
= |a_{L_k+1}/a_{L_k}|^{1/(L_{k+1}-L_k)}.
\]
We deduce $j_{n+k}(g) = L_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $q_L(g)/q_{L+1}(g) \leq 1/9$, $L \in \{L_1, L_2, L_3, \ldots\}$. Thus $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Further,

$$d^*(f, g) \leq \max\{|a_j|^{1/(j+1)} : j > L\},$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by choosing $L$ large enough. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in the transcendental entire functions and so in $\mathcal{S}$.

Finally, we show, following [4], that the set $\mathcal{B}$ of entire functions satisfying (6) is of the second category. Let $\mathcal{B}_{L,j} = \{f : D(L/M) \neq 0$ and $[L/M]$ has no poles in $|z| < j$, $M = 1, 2, \ldots, e^L\}$, $L, j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{k,j} = \bigcup_{L > k} \mathcal{B}_{k,j}$, $k, j = 1, 2, \ldots$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B} \supset \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{k,j}$.

Now, by the Lemma, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}_{k,j}$ for all $k$ and $j$. Further, as $Q_{LM}(z)$ is continuous in $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{L+m}$ whenever $D(L/M) \neq 0$, we see $\mathcal{B}_{L,j}$ is open for all $L, j$. Hence $\mathcal{B}_{k,j}$ is open and dense, for all $k, j$. As $\mathcal{A}$ is a Baire space, it follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is of the second category. $\square$

**Remark.** One can improve on $1/3$ in (5) in some cases. Assume

$$|a_L| \leq K \{\rho (1 + \delta_L)\}^{L^2}, \quad L = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

with equality for $L \in \mathcal{S}$, where $\{\delta_L\}$ satisfies

$$(L + 1)^2 \log(1 + \delta_{L+1}) + (L - 1)^2 \log(1 + \delta_{L-1}) - 2L^2 \log(1 + \delta_L) \leq 0,$$

$L = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Set

$$q_L = \{\rho (1 + \delta_{L-1})\}^{(L-1)^2}/\{\rho (1 + \delta_L)\}^{L^2}, \quad L = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Then (1), (3) hold, and we see $r_L \leq \rho^2$, $L = 1, 2, \ldots$. By (7) and (8),

$$\theta_L + \mu_L \leq G(\rho) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho^{j^2}, \quad L = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Clearly, if $\rho_0 = 0.4559 \cdots$ is the root of $G(\rho_0) = 1$, then the conclusions of (a) of the Theorem remain true if $\rho \leq \rho_0$.

**Note added in proof.** Further results of this type are given in *Padé tables of entire functions of very slow and smooth growth*, to appear in Constructive Approximation.
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