

## TEST MODULES FOR PROJECTIVITY

P. JOTHILINGAM

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian local ring with identity. Modules over  $R$  will be assumed to be finitely generated and unitary. A nonzero  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be a strong test module for projectivity if the condition  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = (0)$ , for an arbitrary module  $P$ , implies that  $P$  is projective. This definition is due to Mark Ramras [5]. He proves that a necessary condition for  $M$  to be a strong test module is that  $\text{depth } M \leq 1$ . This is also easy to see. In this note it is proved that, over a regular local ring, this condition is also sufficient for  $M$  to qualify as a strong test module.

By  $R$  we mean a commutative noetherian local ring with identity. Module means a finitely generated unitary  $R$ -module. We use [6] as a standing reference for unexplained terms and basic facts on local algebra.

In [5], Mark Ramras introduced the notion of strong test module.

DEFINITION. Let  $M$  be a nonzero  $R$ -module.  $M$  is said to be a strong test module if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = (0)$ , for an arbitrary module  $P$ , implies that  $P$  is projective.

It is known that the residue field and the maximal ideal of  $R$  are examples of strong test modules. Besides, a necessary condition for  $M$  to be a strong test module is that  $\text{depth } M \leq 1$ . This is well known and easy [5]. It is the purpose of this note to show that over a regular local ring this condition is also sufficient for  $M$  to qualify as a strong test module. This is Theorem 1. The proof depends on several lemmas which are well known. As usual  $*$  denotes duals and p.d. stands for projective dimension.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are nonzero  $R$ -modules. Let p.d.  $A = n$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^n(A, B) \neq (0)$ .*

PROOF. See [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be modules over the regular local ring  $R$ ,  $B \neq (0)$ . If  $\text{Ext}_R^1(A, B) = (0)$ , then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(A, R) = (0)$  and the natural map  $A^* \otimes B \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B)$  is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. See [3].

We also need a fundamental exact sequence proved by M. Auslander [1]. Before stating it, let us explain some notations. Given  $A$  an  $R$ -module, let

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

---

Received by the editors August 13, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13C10, 13D05; Secondary 13H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Projective dimension, Ext, Tor, commutative noetherian local ring, depth, Krull dimension, artinian module.

be a free resolution of  $A$ . We let  $F_{-1} = A$ . Set  $\Omega^0 A = A$ ,  $\Omega^p A = \text{Kernel}(F_{p-1} \rightarrow F_{p-2})$  and  $D\Omega^p A = \text{Cokernel}(F_p^* \rightarrow F_{p+1}^*)$ . Although these definitions depend on the chosen resolution of  $A$ , still they are well defined up to "projective equivalence." A consequence will be that the functors  $\text{Ext}_R^i(D\Omega^p A, \ )$  and  $\text{Tor}_i^R(D\Omega^p A, \ )$  are unambiguously defined, provided  $i \geq 1$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *For every pair of modules  $A, B$  and every integer  $p \geq 0$ , there exists an exact sequence  $\text{Tor}_2^R(D\Omega^p A, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^p(A, R) \otimes B \rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^p(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(D\Omega^p A, B) \rightarrow 0$ .*

**PROOF.** See [1].

Finally, we quote a theorem of S. Lichtenbaum which means that modules over regular local rings are "rigid."

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring and let  $M, N$  be any pair of  $R$ -modules. If  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = (0)$  for some  $i \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Tor}_j^R(M, N) = (0)$  for all  $j \geq i$ .*

**PROOF.** See [4].

An easy consequence will be

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $M, N$  be modules over the regular local ring  $R$ . Assume  $N \neq (0)$  and that  $\text{depth } N = 0$ ; then  $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = (0)$  implies that  $M$  is free.*

**PROOF.** See [4].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring. A nonzero  $R$ -module  $M$  is a strong test module, if and only if  $\text{depth } M \leq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** What remains to prove is that  $\text{depth } M \leq 1$  implies  $M$  is a strong test module. Accordingly, let  $P$  be an arbitrary module satisfying  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = (0)$ .

Krull dimension is abbreviated as  $\text{dim}$ . If  $\text{dim } R = 0$ ,  $R$  is a field and any  $R$ -module is projective. Next, if  $\text{dim } R = 1$ , then  $\text{p.d. } P \leq 1$ . Lemma 1 rules out  $\text{p.d. } P = 1$ . Hence,  $P$  is projective. Hereafter, we are permitted to assume  $\text{dim } R \geq 2$ . We distinguish two cases according as  $\text{depth } M = 0$  or  $\text{depth } M = 1$ .

*Case (i).*  $\text{depth } M = 0$ . Proposition 1 implies  $\text{Tor}_1^R(D\Omega^1 P, M) = (0)$ . By Proposition 3,  $D\Omega^1 P$  is free. Since  $\Omega^1 P$  is projectively equivalent to  $DD\Omega^1 P$ ,  $\Omega^1 P$  must be free, i.e.  $\text{p.d. } P \leq 1$ . Referring to Lemma 1, we conclude that  $P$  is free.

*Case (ii).*  $\text{depth } M = 1$ . This implies that the maximal ideal of  $R$  is not associated to the zero submodule of  $M$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_r$  is any finite set of prime ideals each different from  $m$ . Then by standard arguments one can find an element  $x$  in  $m \setminus m^2$ , which is outside of every one of the prime ideals  $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_r$ . Taking, for  $\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{z}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{z}_r$ , the associated prime ideals of the zero submodule of  $M$ , such an element  $x$  has the properties (i)  $x$  is not a zero divisor on  $M$ , (ii)  $R/xR$  is a regular local ring. For a  $R$ -module  $\Lambda$ , denote  $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda/x\Lambda$ . We first show that  $\bar{P}$  is  $\bar{R}$ -projective. Lemma 2 means that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, R) = (0)$  and that the natural map

$$(1) \quad P^* \otimes M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \cdots$$

is an isomorphism. A further consequence is that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^* \xrightarrow{x} P^* \rightarrow \bar{P}^* \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where the dual at the right extreme is over  $\bar{R}$ . This results by applying  $\text{Ext}_R(P, \_)$  to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \bar{R} \rightarrow 0$ . This means, tensoring with  $M$ , the sequence

$$P^* \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{x} P^* \otimes_R M \rightarrow \bar{P}^* \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Next, applying  $\text{Ext}_R(P, \_)$  to the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$  and making use of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = (0)$ , we find

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{P}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Noticing that  $\bar{P}^* \otimes_R M \cong \bar{P}^* \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{M}$ , we get the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P^* \otimes_R M & \rightarrow & P^* \otimes_R M & \rightarrow & \bar{P}^* \otimes_R \bar{M} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(P, M) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{P}, \bar{M}) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The first two vertical maps from the left are isomorphisms in view of (1). Hence by the snake lemma, the natural homomorphism

$$\bar{P}^* \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{P}, \bar{M})$$

is an isomorphism of  $\bar{R}$ -modules. Referring to Proposition 1, we conclude that  $\text{Tor}_1^{\bar{R}}(D\bar{P}, \bar{M}) = (0)$ . Since  $\bar{R}$  is regular local and  $\text{depth } \bar{M} = 0$ ,  $D\bar{P}$  must be  $\bar{R}$ -projective by Proposition 3. From this it follows easily that  $\bar{P}$  is  $\bar{R}$ -projective.

To complete the proof, we can certainly assume  $P \neq (0)$ . Now  $P$  cannot be an artinian module; for if it were, then so would  $\bar{P}$  be, as an  $\bar{R}$ -module; being free over  $\bar{R}$  and  $\dim \bar{R} \geq 1$ , we would arrive at  $\bar{P} = (0)$ , i.e.  $P = xP$  and so  $P = (0)$ , by Nakayama lemma, which would be a contradiction. Let  $L$  be the maximal artinian submodule of  $P$  and let  $N$  be the quotient  $P/L$ . Note that  $N \neq (0)$  and  $\text{depth } N > 0$ . Using  $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, M) = (0)$  in the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , we get a surjective homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \text{Hom}(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) \rightarrow 0 \dots$$

The data,  $\text{depth } M = 1$ ,  $L$  is artinian immediately yields  $\text{Hom}(L, M) = (0)$ . Hence, from (2), we find that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = (0)$ . Now since  $\text{depth } N$  and  $\text{depth } M$  are both positive, by the procedure employed in the beginning of the proof of case (ii), we can find  $y \in m \setminus m^2$  which is not a zero divisor of both  $N$  and  $M$ . Then starting from  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = (0)$ , we find as before, that  $N/yN$  is a projective  $R/yR$ -module. Now the additional information that  $y$  is not a zero divisor on  $N$  clearly means that  $N$  is  $R$ -projective. Hence, the sequence,  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  splits and so there is an isomorphism  $P = L \oplus N$ , i.e.,  $\bar{P} = \bar{L} \oplus \bar{N}$  (notice that  $\bar{P} = P/xP$ , etc.),  $\bar{P}$  being  $\bar{R}$ -free,  $\bar{L}$  is  $\bar{R}$ -free as well.  $\bar{L}$  being a finite length  $\bar{R}$ -module, this is possible only when  $\bar{L} = (0)$ , i.e. only when  $L = (0)$ , by Nakayama lemma. Hence  $P = N$ , i.e.,  $P$  is free  $R$ -module. This finishes the proof.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring. Let  $M$  be a nonzero  $R$ -module. For an arbitrary  $R$ -module  $P$ , and integer  $i \geq 1$ , the condition  $\text{Ext}_R^i(P, M) = (0)$  implies  $p. d. P < i$  if and only if  $\text{depth } M \leq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows from Theorem 1 by easy dimension shifting argument.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander, *Coherent functors*, Proceedings of a Conference on Categorical Algebra, La Jolla, 1965, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
2. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 1–24.
3. P. Jothilingam, *A note on grade*, Nagoya Math. J. **59** (1975), 149–152.
4. S. Lichtenbaum, *On the vanishing of Tor in regular local rings*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 220–226.
5. M. Ramras, *On the vanishing of Ext*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 451–462.
6. J.-P. Serre, *Algèbre locale multiplicités*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 11, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957; 3rd. ed., 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH - EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY, BIJNI CAMPUS, LAITUMKHAH,  
SHILLONG - 793003 (MEGHALAYA), INDIA