

## ON RANDOM APPROXIMATIONS AND A RANDOM FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR SET VALUED MAPPINGS

V. M. SEHGAL AND S. P. SINGH

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a random fixed point theorem in a Banach space for set valued mappings and then derive a corollary that yields a fixed point theorem of Bharucha-Reid and Mukherjea, as a special case.

In a recent paper [1], Bharucha-Reid and Mukherjea proved the following stochastic analogue of the well-known Schauder's fixed point theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S$  be a compact and convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $T: \Omega \times S \rightarrow S$  be a continuous random operator. Then  $T$  admits a random fixed point.*

In this paper, we shall show that Theorem 1 can be derived from a more general result. For detailed definitions and terminologies we refer to Bharucha-Reid [1] or a recent paper of Itoh [3]. Throughout, this paper,  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  is a measurable space with  $\Sigma$  a sigma algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ . The symbol  $2^E$  denotes the class of nonempty subsets of a Banach space  $E$ . A mapping  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^E$  is called measurable iff for each open set  $G$  of  $E$ ,

$$F^{-1}(G) = \{ \omega \in \Omega: F(\omega) \cap G \neq \emptyset \} \in \Sigma.$$

It may be pointed out that if  $F(\omega)$  is compact for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then  $F$  is measurable iff  $F^{-1}(C) \in \Sigma$  for each closed subset  $C$  in  $E$  (see Himmelberg [2] or Itoh [3]).

Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $E$ . Let  $T: \Omega \times S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a mapping.  $T$  is called

(a) a random operator iff for each fixed  $x \in S$ , the mapping  $T(\cdot, x): \Omega \rightarrow 2^E$  is measurable,

(b) upper (lower) semicontinuous (u.s.c, l.s.c) iff for each fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $T(\omega, \cdot): S \rightarrow 2^E$  is u.s.c (l.s.c), that is  $(T(\omega, \cdot))^{-1}(C)$  is closed (open) subset of  $S$  for each closed (open) subset  $C$  of  $E$ ,

(c) continuous iff  $T$  is both u.s.c and l.s.c.

A single valued measurable mapping  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow E$  is a random fixed point of the random operator  $T: \Omega \times S \rightarrow 2^E$  iff  $\phi(\omega) \in T(\omega, \phi(\omega))$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

The following selection theorem due to Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [4] is used in the proof of our result.

---

Received by the editors May 18, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Random fixed point, random operator, set valued mappings.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $S$  be a separable closed subset of a Banach space  $E$  and let  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^S$  be a measurable function such that  $F(\omega)$  is compact for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then there exists a single valued measurable function  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow S$  with  $\phi(\omega) \in F(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*

For subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a normed space, we shall write

$$d(A, B) = \inf\{\|x - y\|: x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

The following result is a special case of a well-known result of Reich [5, Lemma 1.6].

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $S$  be a compact and convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $F: S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a continuous multifunction such that  $F(x)$  is compact and convex for each  $x$  in  $S$ . Then there exists an  $x \in S$  with  $d(x, Fx) = d(Fx, S)$ .*

The main result of this paper is

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $S$  be a compact and convex subset of  $E$  and  $T: \Omega \times S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a continuous random operator with compact and convex values. Then there exists a single valued measurable map  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow S$  satisfying*

$$d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), \phi(\omega)) = d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), S),$$

for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

We first prove a few lemmas simplifying the proof of Theorem 2.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of a normed space  $E$  and  $T: S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a l.s.c. multifunction. If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S$  converges to an  $x_0$  in  $S$ , then for any  $y_0 \in Tx_0$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and a sequence  $\{y_{n_i}\}$  with  $y_{n_i} \in T(x_{n_i})$  such that  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow y_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $N(y_0, 1/n)$  be a neighborhood of  $y_0$  of radius  $1/n$ . Then  $y_0 \in N(y_0, 1/n) \cap Tx_0$ . Consequently, for each  $n$ , there exists an  $\epsilon_n > 0$  such that  $Tz \cap N(y_0, 1/n) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $z \in N(x_0, \epsilon_n) \cap S$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , it follows that for each positive integer  $k$ , there exists  $x_{n_k}$ ,  $n_k > n_{k-1}$ , such that  $Tx_{n_k} \cap N(y_0, 1/k) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $y_{n_k} \in Tx_{n_k} \cap N(y_0, 1/k)$ , then  $\{y_{n_k}\}$  satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $S$  be a compact subset of a normed space  $E$  and  $T: S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a continuous multifunction with compact values. Then the real valued functions  $f(x) = d(x, Tx)$  and  $g(x) = d(Tx, S)$  are continuous on  $S$ .*

**PROOF.** We show that  $f$  is continuous. The proof that  $g$  is continuous is similar.

Suppose  $f$  is not continuous at some point  $x_0 \in S$ . This implies the existence of an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $S$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  but

$$(1) \quad |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| > \epsilon$$

for each  $n$ . Choose a  $y_0 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\|y_0 - x_0\| = d(Tx_0, x_0)$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a sequence  $y_{n_i} \in Tx_{n_i}$  with  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow y_0$ . Thus,

$$f(x_{n_i}) = d(x_{n_i}, Tx_{n_i}) \leq \|x_{n_i} - y_{n_i}\| \leq \|x_{n_i} - x_0\| + f(x_0) + \|y_0 - y_{n_i}\|.$$

This implies that  $\lim(f(x_{n_i}) - f(x_0)) \leq \epsilon$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\lim(f(x_n) - f(x_0)) \leq \epsilon$ . Now, for each  $n$ , choose a  $y_n \in Tx_n$  such that  $d(x_n, Tx_n) = \|x_n - y_n\|$ . Since  $T$  is u.s.c,  $TS$  is compact and hence  $\{y_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{y_{n_i}\} \rightarrow y_1 \in Tx_0$  for some  $y_1$ . Consequently,

$$f(x_0) = d(x_0, Tx_0) \leq \|x_0 - y_1\| \leq \|x_0 - x_{n_i}\| + f(x_{n_i}) + \|y_{n_i} - y_1\|.$$

This yields  $\lim(f(x_0) - f(x_{n_i})) \leq \epsilon$ . Thus  $|f(x_{n_i}) - f(x_0)| \leq \epsilon$  eventually. This contradicts (1). Hence  $f$  is continuous.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty compact subset of a normed vector space  $E$  and  $T: \Omega \times S \rightarrow 2^E$  be a multivalued random operator. Then, for each fixed  $x \in S$ , the mappings  $g_x$  and  $h_x$  defined by*

$$g_x(\omega) = d(T(\omega, x), x) \quad \text{and} \quad h_x(\omega) = d(T(\omega, x), S)$$

*are measurable.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\alpha$  be a real. Then it is easy to verify that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega: g_x(\omega) < \alpha\} = \{\omega \in \Omega: T(\omega, x) \cap N(x, \alpha) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

This implies that  $g_x$  is measurable. To show that  $h_x$  is measurable, let  $D$  be a countable dense subset of  $S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega: h_x(\omega) < \alpha\} &\equiv \bigcup_{y \in D} \{\omega: d(T(\omega, x), y) < \alpha\} \\ &= \bigcup_{y \in D} \{\omega: T(\omega, x) \cap N(y, \alpha) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $h_x$  is measurable.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Define a mapping  $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^S$  by

$$F(\omega) = \{x \in S: d(T(\omega, x), x) = d(T(\omega, x), S)\}.$$

Then it follows by Proposition 2 that  $F(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ . Further by Lemma 2,  $F(\omega)$  is closed and hence a compact subset of  $S$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We show that  $F$  is measurable. Let  $C$  be a closed subset of  $S$  and  $D$  a countable dense subset of  $S$ . For each  $n$ , let  $D_n = \{x \in D: d(x, C) < 1/n\}$  and

$$C_n = \bigcup_{x \in D_n} \left\{ \omega \in \Omega: d(T(\omega, x), x) < d(T(\omega, x), S) + \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 3,  $C_n$  is measurable for each  $n$ . We show that  $F^{-1}(C) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . If  $\omega \in F^{-1}(C)$ , then  $F(\omega) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that there exists an  $x_0 \in C$  with  $d(T(\omega, x_0), x_0) = d(T(\omega, x_0), S)$ . Since  $D$  is dense in  $S$ , it follows by Lemma 2, that for each fixed  $n$ , there exists an  $x_n \in D$  such that  $d(x_n, C) < 1/n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(\omega, x_n), x_n) &\leq d(T(\omega, x_0), x_0) + \frac{1}{2n} = d(T(\omega, x_0), S) + \frac{1}{2n} \\ &\leq d(T(\omega, x_n), S) + \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Conversely, if  $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ , then for each  $n$ , there exists an  $x_n \in D_n$  with  $d(T(\omega, x_n), x_n) < d(T(\omega, x_n)) + 1/n$ . Since  $\{x_n\} \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x_0 \in C$ . This implies that  $d(T(\omega, x_0), x_0) = d(T(\omega, x_0), S)$ . Thus  $x_0 \in F(\omega) \cap C$ , that is,  $\omega \in F^{-1}(C)$ . This proves that  $F$  is measurable. Consequently, by Proposition 1 there exists a single valued measurable function  $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow S$  with  $\phi(\omega) \in F(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . This yields  $d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), \phi(\omega)) = d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), S)$ .

The following, a special case of the above result, contains Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, if in addition  $T(\omega, x) \subseteq S$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $x \in \partial S$  (boundary of  $S$ ), then  $\phi$  therein in Theorem 2, is a random fixed point of  $T$ .*

**PROOF.** If for some  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $T(\omega, \phi(\omega)) \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $\phi(\omega) \notin \partial S$ . Since  $\phi(\omega) \in S$ , it follows that  $\phi(\omega)$  is an interior point of  $S$ . Choose a  $y \notin T(\omega, \phi(\omega))$  such that  $\|y - \phi(\omega)\| = d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), \phi(\omega))$ . Since  $y \notin S$ , there exist a  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that  $(1 - \lambda)y + \lambda\phi(\omega) \in S$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), S) &\leq \|y - ((1 - \lambda)y + \lambda\phi(\omega))\| \\ &= \lambda\|y - \phi(\omega)\| < d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), S). \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible. Thus, for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $T(\omega, \phi(\omega)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . This implies  $d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), S) = 0$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Hence by Theorem 2,  $d(T(\omega, \phi(\omega)), \phi(\omega)) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi(\omega) \in T(\omega, \phi(\omega))$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

## REFERENCES

1. A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), 641–657.
2. C. J. Himmelberg, *Measurable relations*, Fund. Math. **87** (1975), 53–72.
3. S. Itoh, *Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **67** (1979), 261–273.
4. K. Kuratowski and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *A general theorem on selectors*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **13** (1965), 397–403.
5. Simeon Reich, *Fixed points in locally convex spaces*, Math. Z. **125** (1972), 17–31.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING, LARAMIE, WYOMING 82070

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND, CANADA A1C 5S7