

## A FURTHER RESULT ON EXTENDING EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISMS

J. D. WINE

**ABSTRACT.** In this note we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a self-homeomorphism defined on an open subset of a compact metric space to be extended to the whole space using the concept of a generator. Two examples of homeomorphic extensions of expansive homeomorphisms are given, one examining the equivalence of the theorem and the other showing that a previous result's sufficient condition for the extension of expansive homeomorphisms is not necessary.

**1. Introduction.** Since 1962 there have been works by Bryant [2], Williams [7], and Wine [10] wherein the extension of expansive homeomorphism was investigated. The result of this note gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the extension of an expansive homeomorphism using the concept of a generator introduced by Keynes and Robertson [3].

**DEFINITION 1.** A homeomorphism  $h$  of a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself is *expansive* with expansive constant  $\delta$  if given any two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there is an integer  $n$  such that  $\rho(h^n(x), h^n(y)) > \delta$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of the compact metric space  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself. A finite open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  is a *generator* for  $(X, h)$  if for each bisequence  $\{A_i\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h^{-i}(A_i)$  is at most one point.

**DEFINITION 3.** If  $h$  is a homeomorphism of the metric space  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself and  $x$  is a point of  $X$ , then the *orbit* of  $x$  is  $\bigcup\{h^n(x) | n \text{ is an integer}\}$ . Notation is  $O(x)$ .

**DEFINITION 4.** For a homeomorphism of the metric space  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself, the set  $\{x_\alpha \in X | \alpha \in A\}$  is an *orbital basis* of  $(X, \rho)$  with respect to  $h$  if  $\bigcup\{O(x_\alpha) | \alpha \in A\} = X$  and  $\alpha$  not equal to  $\beta$  implies  $O(x_\alpha)$  not equal to  $O(x_\beta)$ .

The following theorem, characterizing expansiveness is found in [3 and 4].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $h$  be a homeomorphism of the compact metric space  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself. Then  $h$  is expansive if and only if there is a generator for  $(X, h)$ .*

**2. Result.** We now use Theorem 1 to prove the following result concerning the extension of expansive homeomorphisms.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be an open subspace of the compact metric space  $(Y, \rho)$ , let  $h$  be an expansive homeomorphism of  $(X, d)$  onto itself with expansive constant  $\delta$ , and let*

---

Received by the editors August 28, 1984 and, in revised form, November 12, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C10; Secondary 54H20.

*Key words and phrases.* Expansive homeomorphisms, extending homeomorphisms, generator.

©1985 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$\hat{h}$  be a homeomorphic extension of  $h$  to  $Y$  such that  $\hat{h}$  restricted to  $Z = Y - X$  is expansive with expansive constant  $\delta$ . Then  $\hat{h}$  will be expansive on  $Y$  with expansive constant  $\delta$  if and only if every generator of  $(Z, \hat{h})$  which has the form  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha \cap Z | A_\alpha$  is open in  $Y, \text{diam}(A_\alpha) < \delta, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  has the property that, for every bisequence of elements of  $\mathcal{U}, \{A_i \cap Z\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty, \bigcap_{i=-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{A}_i)$  is at most one point.

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Suppose  $\hat{h}$  is expansive on  $Y$ , and that  $\mathcal{U}$  has the form given in the statement of the theorem. Extend  $\{A_\alpha | A_\alpha$  is used in  $\mathcal{U}\}$  to an open cover  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $Y$ , where

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_\beta | \text{diam}(G_\beta) < \delta, \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and  $G_\beta$  not in  $\mathcal{U}$  implies  $G_\beta$  is disjoint from  $Z$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  be a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Return to  $\mathcal{G}^*$  any of the  $A_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  that may have been removed from  $\mathcal{G}$  by the taking of the finite subcover, and call the new cover  $\mathcal{H}$ . The cover  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite with all elements having diameter less than  $\delta$ . Since  $\hat{h}$  is expansive on  $Y$  with expansive constant  $\delta$ , the cover  $\mathcal{H}$  is a generator for  $(Y, \hat{h})$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{H}$  has the property that for any bisequence  $\{A_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$  of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{A}_i)$  consists of at most one point. Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ , it must be the case that  $\mathcal{U}$  has the desired property also.

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  be an open cover of  $Y$  by the open balls of radius  $\delta/2$  and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{G}^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{G}$  which consists of all elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  which have nonvoid intersection with  $Z = Y - X$ . Then the family  $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \{G_\lambda \cap Z | G_\lambda$  is in  $\mathcal{U}\}$  is a generator for  $\hat{h}$  restricted to  $Z$ , since  $\hat{h}$  is expansive on  $Z$  with expansive constant  $\delta$ .

Now let  $S = \{A_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty$  be any bisequence from  $\mathcal{G}$  and suppose that the intersection  $\bigcap \{\hat{h}^{-k}(\bar{A}_k) | A_k$  is in  $S\}$  contains distinct points  $x$  and  $y$ . By hypothesis, if  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ , the intersection would contain at most one point. Therefore, it must be the case that at least one of the  $A_k$  in  $S$  must not be in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence, the intersection must be contained in  $X$ . However,  $h$  is expansive on  $X$  with expansive constant  $\delta$ , so there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $d(h^n(x), h^n(y)) > \delta$ . This implies that  $\hat{h}^n(x)$  and  $\hat{h}^n(y)$  cannot both be elements of the set  $\bar{A}_n$ . A contradiction has been reached, and it must be that the intersection can contain at most one point. Hence  $\mathcal{G}$  is a generator for  $\hat{h}$  on  $Y$ , and  $\hat{h}$  is expansive on  $Y$  with expansive constant  $\delta$ .

**3. Examples.** In [10] the following theorem is proved. We give an example which shows that the condition given in this theorem is not necessary.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a subspace of the metric space  $(Y, d)$  and let  $h$  be an expansive homeomorphism of  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself with expansive constant  $\delta$ . Suppose  $\hat{h}$  is a homeomorphic extension of  $h$  to  $(Y, d)$ . Then  $f$  is expansive with expansive constant  $\delta$  if*

(1)  *$f$  restricted to  $Y - X$  is expansive with expansive constant  $\delta$ , and*

(2) *there is an orbital basis  $B$  of  $X$  with respect to  $h$  such that  $d(x, Y - X) > \delta$  for every  $x$  in  $B$ .*

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $S = \{u|u = 1 - 1/k, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots\} \cup \{u|u = 1/k, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ , let  $X = S \times S$ , and let  $(X, \rho)$  be  $X$  considered as a subspace of  $R^2$ . If  $p$  is in  $S$ , let  $p'$  be the least element of  $S$  greater than  $p$ . Define the homeomorphism  $h$  taking  $(X, \rho)$  onto itself by  $h(p, q) = (p', q')$  for every point  $(p, q)$  in  $X$ . The homeomorphism  $h$  is expansive on  $(X, \rho)$  with expansive constant  $\delta$  for any  $\delta$  less than  $1/6$ .

Let  $(Y, d)$  be the closure of  $(X, \rho)$  considered as a subspace of  $R^2$ , and let  $\hat{h}$  be the extension of  $h$  to  $(Y, d)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(x, 0) &= (x, 0), & x = 0, 1, \\ \hat{h}(x, 1) &= (x, 1), & x = 0, 1, \\ \hat{h}(x, p) &= (x, p'), & x = 0, 1 \text{ and } p \text{ in } S, \\ \hat{h}(p, y) &= (p', y), & y = 0, 1 \text{ and } p \text{ in } S. \end{aligned}$$

The extension  $\hat{h}$  is a homeomorphism on  $(Y, d)$ , and  $\hat{h}$  is expansive on  $Y - X$  with the expansive constant  $\delta$  for  $\delta$  less than  $1/6$ . It is also the case that  $h$  is expansive on  $(Y, d)$  for the same  $\delta$ 's, however, the orbit  $O(1/k, 1 - 1/k)$ , where  $1/k < \delta$ , is always within  $\delta$  of  $Y - X$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** We finally consider a simple example in which all the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied except the equivalence condition on the generators.

For  $n$  a positive integer less than or equal to nineteen, define  $C_n$  to be a subset of  $R^2$  by

$$C_n = \left\{ (r, \theta) \mid r = \frac{1}{n}, \theta = 0, \pi, \text{ and } \pm \frac{k\pi}{2(k+1)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

and define

$$C = \left\{ (r, \theta) \mid r = \frac{1}{20}, \theta = 0, \pi, \text{ and } \pm \frac{k\pi}{2(k+1)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 19 \right\}.$$

Let  $Y = \{\cup_{n=1}^{19} C_n\} \cup C$  and obtain the space  $(Y, \rho)$  as a subspace of  $R^2$ .

Let the subspace  $(X, d)$  be given by the union of the  $C_n$ ,  $X = \cup_{n=1}^{19} C_n$ . Define the homeomorphism  $h$  taking  $(X, d)$  onto itself by  $h(p) = h(1/n, \theta) = (1/n, \theta')$ , where  $(1/n, \theta')$  is the next point counterclockwise from  $(1/n, \theta)$ . Then  $h$  is expansive with expansive constant  $\delta = \sqrt{2}/20$ .

The extension  $\hat{h}$  of  $h$  defined on  $Z = Y - X$  by  $\hat{h}(1/20, \theta) = (1/20, \theta')$  is homeomorphic and expansive on  $Z$  with expansive constant  $\delta = \sqrt{2}/20$ , but  $h$  is not expansive on  $Y$  for that expansive constant.

Let  $A_\alpha = \{(1/19, \alpha), (1/20, \alpha)\}$  for each  $\alpha$  an angle for  $C_{19}$ . Then  $\text{diam}(A_\alpha) < \delta$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha \cap Z \mid \alpha \text{ an angle for } C_{19}\}$  is a generator for  $\hat{h}$  on  $Z$ . Now define the bisequence  $\{B_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  of elements of  $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an angle for } C_{19}\}$  by  $B_0 = A_0$ ,  $B_i \cap Z = \hat{h}^i(B_0 \cap Z)$ ,  $i$  an integer. Then

$$\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{B}_i) = \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{20}, 0 \right), \left( \frac{1}{19}, 0 \right) \right\}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. B. F. Bryant and P. Walters, *Asymptotic properties of expansive homeomorphisms*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 60–66.
2. B. F. Bryant, *Expansive self-homeomorphism of a compact metric space*, Amer. Math. Monthly **69** (1962), 386–391.
3. H. B. Keynes and J. B. Robertson, *Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 51–59.
4. W. L. Reddy, *Lifting expansive homeomorphisms to symbolic flows*, Math. Systems Theory **2** (1968), 91–92.
5. W. R. Utz, *Unstable homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 769–774.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *Expansive mappings*, Topology Proc. **3** (1978), 221–226.
7. R. K. Williams, *On expansive homeomorphisms*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 176–178.
8. \_\_\_\_\_, *Some results on expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 655–663.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *Further results on expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 284–288.
10. J. D. Wine, *Extending expansive homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 531–534.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - LACROSSE, LACROSSE, WISCONSIN 54601