

A FURTHER RESULT ON EXTENDING EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISMS

J. D. WINE

ABSTRACT. In this note we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a self-homeomorphism defined on an open subset of a compact metric space to be extended to the whole space using the concept of a generator. Two examples of homeomorphic extensions of expansive homeomorphisms are given, one examining the equivalence of the theorem and the other showing that a previous result's sufficient condition for the extension of expansive homeomorphisms is not necessary.

1. Introduction. Since 1962 there have been works by Bryant [2], Williams [7], and Wine [10] wherein the extension of expansive homeomorphism was investigated. The result of this note gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the extension of an expansive homeomorphism using the concept of a generator introduced by Keynes and Robertson [3].

DEFINITION 1. A homeomorphism h of a metric space (X, ρ) onto itself is *expansive* with expansive constant δ if given any two distinct points x and y of X there is an integer n such that $\rho(h^n(x), h^n(y)) > \delta$.

DEFINITION 2. Let h be a homeomorphism of the compact metric space (X, ρ) onto itself. A finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X is a *generator* for (X, h) if for each bisequence $\{A_i\}$ of elements of \mathcal{U} , the intersection $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h^{-i}(\bar{A}_i)$ is at most one point.

DEFINITION 3. If h is a homeomorphism of the metric space (X, ρ) onto itself and x is a point of X , then the *orbit* of x is $\bigcup\{h^n(x) | n \text{ is an integer}\}$. Notation is $O(x)$.

DEFINITION 4. For a homeomorphism of the metric space (X, ρ) onto itself, the set $\{x_\alpha \in X | \alpha \in A\}$ is an *orbital basis* of (X, ρ) with respect to h if $\bigcup\{O(x_\alpha) | \alpha \in A\} = X$ and α not equal to β implies $O(x_\alpha)$ not equal to $O(x_\beta)$.

The following theorem, characterizing expansiveness is found in [3 and 4].

THEOREM 1. *Let h be a homeomorphism of the compact metric space (X, ρ) onto itself. Then h is expansive if and only if there is a generator for (X, h) .*

2. Result. We now use Theorem 1 to prove the following result concerning the extension of expansive homeomorphisms.

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be an open subspace of the compact metric space (Y, ρ) , let h be an expansive homeomorphism of (X, d) onto itself with expansive constant δ , and let*

Received by the editors August 28, 1984 and, in revised form, November 12, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C10; Secondary 54H20.

Key words and phrases. Expansive homeomorphisms, extending homeomorphisms, generator.

©1985 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/85 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

\hat{h} be a homeomorphic extension of h to Y such that \hat{h} restricted to $Z = Y - X$ is expansive with expansive constant δ . Then \hat{h} will be expansive on Y with expansive constant δ if and only if every generator of (Z, \hat{h}) which has the form $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha \cap Z | A_\alpha \text{ is open in } Y, \text{diam}(A_\alpha) < \delta, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ has the property that, for every bisequence of elements of \mathcal{U} , $\{A_i \cap Z\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty, \bigcap_{i=-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{A}_i)$ is at most one point.

PROOF. *Necessity.* Suppose \hat{h} is expansive on Y , and that \mathcal{U} has the form given in the statement of the theorem. Extend $\{A_\alpha | A_\alpha \text{ is used in } \mathcal{U}\}$ to an open cover \mathcal{G} of Y , where

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G_\beta | \text{diam}(G_\beta) < \delta, \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

and G_β not in \mathcal{U} implies G_β is disjoint from Z . Let \mathcal{G}^* be a finite subcover of \mathcal{G} . Return to \mathcal{G}^* any of the A_α in \mathcal{U} that may have been removed from \mathcal{G} by the taking of the finite subcover, and call the new cover \mathcal{H} . The cover \mathcal{H} is finite with all elements having diameter less than δ . Since \hat{h} is expansive on Y with expansive constant δ , the cover \mathcal{H} is a generator for (Y, \hat{h}) . Therefore, \mathcal{H} has the property that for any bisequence $\{A_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$ of elements of \mathcal{H} the intersection $\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^\infty \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{A}_i)$ consists of at most one point. Since \mathcal{U} is a subset of \mathcal{H} , it must be the case that \mathcal{U} has the desired property also.

Sufficiency. Let \mathcal{G}^* be an open cover of Y by the open balls of radius $\delta/2$ and let \mathcal{G} be a finite subcover of \mathcal{G}^* . Let \mathcal{U} be the subset of \mathcal{G} which consists of all elements of \mathcal{G} which have nonvoid intersection with $Z = Y - X$. Then the family $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \{G_\lambda \cap Z | G_\lambda \text{ is in } \mathcal{U}\}$ is a generator for \hat{h} restricted to Z , since \hat{h} is expansive on Z with expansive constant δ .

Now let $S = \{A_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty$ be any bisequence from \mathcal{G} and suppose that the intersection $\bigcap \{\hat{h}^{-k}(\bar{A}_k) | A_k \text{ is in } S\}$ contains distinct points x and y . By hypothesis, if S is a subset of \mathcal{U} , the intersection would contain at most one point. Therefore, it must be the case that at least one of the A_k in S must not be in \mathcal{U} . Hence, the intersection must be contained in X . However, h is expansive on X with expansive constant δ , so there exists an integer n such that $d(h^n(x), h^n(y)) > \delta$. This implies that $\hat{h}^n(x)$ and $\hat{h}^n(y)$ cannot both be elements of the set \bar{A}_n . A contradiction has been reached, and it must be that the intersection can contain at most one point. Hence \mathcal{G} is a generator for \hat{h} on Y , and \hat{h} is expansive on Y with expansive constant δ .

3. Examples. In [10] the following theorem is proved. We give an example which shows that the condition given in this theorem is not necessary.

THEOREM 3. *Let (X, ρ) be a subspace of the metric space (Y, d) and let h be an expansive homeomorphism of (X, ρ) onto itself with expansive constant δ . Suppose \hat{h} is a homeomorphic extension of h to (Y, d) . Then f is expansive with expansive constant δ if*

(1) *f restricted to $Y - X$ is expansive with expansive constant δ , and*

(2) *there is an orbital basis B of X with respect to h such that $d(x, Y - X) > \delta$ for every x in B .*

EXAMPLE 1. Let $S = \{u|u = 1 - 1/k, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots\} \cup \{u|u = 1/k, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, let $X = S \times S$, and let (X, ρ) be X considered as a subspace of R^2 . If p is in S , let p' be the least element of S greater than p . Define the homeomorphism h taking (X, ρ) onto itself by $h(p, q) = (p', q')$ for every point (p, q) in X . The homeomorphism h is expansive on (X, ρ) with expansive constant δ for any δ less than $1/6$.

Let (Y, d) be the closure of (X, ρ) considered as a subspace of R^2 , and let \hat{h} be the extension of h to (Y, d) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(x, 0) &= (x, 0), & x &= 0, 1, \\ \hat{h}(x, 1) &= (x, 1), & x &= 0, 1, \\ \hat{h}(x, p) &= (x, p'), & x &= 0, 1 \text{ and } p \text{ in } S, \\ \hat{h}(p, y) &= (p', y), & y &= 0, 1 \text{ and } p \text{ in } S. \end{aligned}$$

The extension \hat{h} is a homeomorphism on (Y, d) , and \hat{h} is expansive on $Y - X$ with the expansive constant δ for δ less than $1/6$. It is also the case that h is expansive on (Y, d) for the same δ 's, however, the orbit $O(1/k, 1 - 1/k)$, where $1/k < \delta$, is always within δ of $Y - X$.

EXAMPLE 2. We finally consider a simple example in which all the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied except the equivalence condition on the generators.

For n a positive integer less than or equal to nineteen, define C_n to be a subset of R^2 by

$$C_n = \left\{ (r, \theta) \mid r = \frac{1}{n}, \theta = 0, \pi, \text{ and } \pm \frac{k\pi}{2(k+1)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\},$$

and define

$$C = \left\{ (r, \theta) \mid r = \frac{1}{20}, \theta = 0, \pi, \text{ and } \pm \frac{k\pi}{2(k+1)}, k = 1, 2, \dots, 19 \right\}.$$

Let $Y = \{\cup_{n=1}^{19} C_n\} \cup C$ and obtain the space (Y, ρ) as a subspace of R^2 .

Let the subspace (X, d) be given by the union of the C_n , $X = \cup_{n=1}^{19} C_n$. Define the homeomorphism h taking (X, d) onto itself by $h(p) = h(1/n, \theta) = (1/n, \theta')$, where $(1/n, \theta')$ is the next point counterclockwise from $(1/n, \theta)$. Then h is expansive with expansive constant $\delta = \sqrt{2}/20$.

The extension \hat{h} of h defined on $Z = Y - X$ by $\hat{h}(1/20, \theta) = (1/20, \theta')$ is homeomorphic and expansive on Z with expansive constant $\delta = \sqrt{2}/20$, but h is not expansive on Y for that expansive constant.

Let $A_\alpha = \{(1/19, \alpha), (1/20, \alpha)\}$ for each α an angle for C_{19} . Then $\text{diam}(A_\alpha) < \delta$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha \cap Z \mid \alpha \text{ an angle for } C_{19}\}$ is a generator for \hat{h} on Z . Now define the bisequence $\{B_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ of elements of $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an angle for } C_{19}\}$ by $B_0 = A_0$, $B_i \cap Z = \hat{h}^i(B_0 \cap Z)$, i an integer. Then

$$\bigcap_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{h}^{-i}(\bar{B}_i) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{20}, 0 \right), \left(\frac{1}{19}, 0 \right) \right\}.$$

REFERENCES

1. B. F. Bryant and P. Walters, *Asymptotic properties of expansive homeomorphisms*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 60–66.
2. B. F. Bryant, *Expansive self-homeomorphism of a compact metric space*, Amer. Math. Monthly **69** (1962), 386–391.
3. H. B. Keynes and J. B. Robertson, *Generators for topological entropy and expansiveness*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 51–59.
4. W. L. Reddy, *Lifting expansive homeomorphisms to symbolic flows*, Math. Systems Theory **2** (1968), 91–92.
5. W. R. Utz, *Unstable homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 769–774.
6. _____, *Expansive mappings*, Topology Proc. **3** (1978), 221–226.
7. R. K. Williams, *On expansive homeomorphisms*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 176–178.
8. _____, *Some results on expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 655–663.
9. _____, *Further results on expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1976), 284–288.
10. J. D. Wine, *Extending expansive homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 531–534.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - LACROSSE, LACROSSE, WISCONSIN 54601