

CONSTRUCTION OF A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION $y'' + Ay = 0$ WITH SOLUTIONS HAVING THE PRESCRIBED ZEROS

LI-CHIEN SHEN

ABSTRACT. We show that an entire function $A(z)$ can be constructed so that the differential equation $y'' + Ay = 0$ has two linearly independent solutions having the prescribed points as their only zeros.

The main purpose of this brief note is to prove

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two given sequences with no finite limit point. If the two sequences have no points in common, that is $a_n \neq b_m$ for any n and m ($n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$), then there exists an entire function $A(z)$ such that the differential equation $y'' + Ay = 0$ has two linearly independent solutions w_1 and w_2 whose only zeros are $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, respectively.*

1. Preliminaries. Consider the differential equation (D.E.)

$$(1.1) \quad y'' + A(z)y = 0,$$

where A is entire. It is well known that

- (i) all the solution of (1.1) are entire;
- (ii) if w_1 and w_2 are two linearly independent solutions, then the Wronskian $W(z; w_1, w_2) = w_1 w_2' - w_1' w_2$ is constant, with no loss of generality, we assume that this constant is 1;
- (iii) if z_0 is a zero of a solution, then its multiplicity is always equal to 1.

We say that an entire function f has the BL property if, for each one of its zeros, say a , we have either $f'(a) = 1$ or $f'(a) = -1$.

Set

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = w_1(z) \cdot w_2(z).$$

It is easy to derive that f satisfies the D.E.

$$(1.3) \quad -4Af^2 = 1 - (f')^2 + 2ff''.$$

From (1.3) we readily conclude

LEMMA 1.1. *Let w_1 and w_2 be two linearly independent solutions of (1.1). Then $f = w_1 w_2$ has the BL property.*

It is an elementary exercise to show that if an entire function f has the BL property, then the function A defined by

$$(1.4) \quad -4A(z) = (1/f^2) - (f'/f)^2 + 2(f''/f)$$

Received by the editors October 4, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30A20, 30D35; Secondary 34A30.

Key words and phrases. Entire function, multiplicity, zeros of solutions, linearly independent solution.

is entire. With this fact in mind, we now establish

LEMMA 1.2. *Let f be an entire function with the BL property. Then $f = w_1 w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 are some linearly independent solutions of D.E. with A defined by (1.4).*

PROOF. Let z_0 be a point such that $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Then we can choose a disk $D = \{z: |z - z_0| < r_0\}$ with the property that $f(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in D$. In D , we define (by choosing a branch)

$$(1.5) \quad w_1(z) = (f)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^z \frac{1}{f(s)} ds\right),$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad w_2(z) = (f)^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_0}^z \frac{1}{f(s)} ds\right).$$

Although it is not clear at the outset that w_1 and w_2 can be analytically continued uniquely to the entire complex plane, by a straightforward substitution, however, it can be easily shown that w_1 and w_2 both satisfy the D.E. (1.1) with A defined by (1.4). Thus, from (i), we conclude that w_1 and w_2 are both entire and $f = w_1 w_2$.

From Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we conclude

COROLLARY 1.3. *An entire function f has the BL property iff f is a product of two linearly independent solutions of D.E. (1.1).*

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Choose an entire function $g(z)$ so that its only zeros are $\{a_n\} \cup \{b_n\}$ and the multiplicity of each zero is one. We also choose an entire function h such that

$$(2.1) \quad \exp(h(z)) = \begin{cases} -1/g'(a_n) & \text{if } z = a_n, \\ 1/g'(b_n) & \text{if } z = b_n. \end{cases}$$

The algorithms to construct g and h are well known; however, such g and h are not unique [1, pp. 295 and 298].

Define

$$(2.2) \quad f = g \exp(h).$$

Then, from (2.1), f has the BL property and

$$(2.3) \quad f'(z) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } z = a_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } z = b_n. \end{cases}$$

Thus, from Lemma 1.2, there exists an entire function A and $f = w_1 w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 are two linearly independent solutions of the D.E. $y'' + A(z)y = 0$ defined by (1.5) and (1.6) respectively. We now show that w_1 and w_2 have the desired property.

Let

$$(2.4) \quad F(z) = \exp\left(\int^z 1/f(s) ds\right).$$

From (1.5), $F(z) = f/w_1^2$. Therefore, $F(z)$ is meromorphic. From (2.3), we see that in a small neighborhood U of $z = a_n$

$$(2.5) \quad 1/f(z) = -1/(z - a_n) + H_n(z),$$

where H_n is holomorphic in U . From (2.4) and (2.5),

$$(2.6) \quad F'/F = 1/f = -1/(z - a_n) + H_n(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Therefore, (2.6) implies that F has a simple pole at $z = a_n$. A similar argument shows that F has a simple zero at $z = b_n$. Since the only zeros of f are $\{a_n\} \cup \{b_n\}$, hence $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are the only poles and zeros of F , respectively. This immediately implies that F' ($= F/f$) has no zeros and has double poles at $\{a_n\}$. Thus $w_1 = 1/(F')^{1/2}$ is an entire function whose zeros are precisely $\{a_n\}$. Since $w_2 = w_1 F$, the only zeros of w_2 are $\{b_n\}$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611