

ON THE UNIVERSALITY OF WORDS FOR THE ALTERNATING GROUPS

MANFRED DROSTE

ABSTRACT. We prove the following theorem on the finite alternating groups A_n : For each pair (p, q) of nonzero integers there exists an integer $N(p, q)$ such that, for each $n \geq N$, any even permutation $a \in A_n$ can be written in the form $a = b^p \cdot c^q$ for some suitable elements $b, c \in A_n$. A similar result is shown to be true for the finite symmetric groups S_n provided that p or q is odd.

1. Results. Let F be a free group and $W = W(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F$ a word in free variables $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$. For a group G , we say that W is G -universal if for any $g \in G$ the equation $g = W$ can be solved in G , i.e. there are $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that $g = W(g_1, \dots, g_n)$.

In [14], Silberger asked whether for each pair (p, q) of nonzero integers there exists an integer $N(p, q)$ such that the word $W = W(x, y) = x^p \cdot y^q$ is universal for each finite alternating group A_n with $n \geq N(p, q)$. For $p = q$ this was shown to be true in [11]. Ehrenfeucht et al. [5] proved that if both p and q are not divisible by 3, then W is A_n -universal for indeed any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following, if p, q are nonzero integers, we let $m(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the product of all primes dividing $p \cdot q$, with the convention that $m(p, q) = 1$ if $p, q \in \{-1, 1\}$. Using a result of Bertram [1], we show that Silberger's question can in general be answered positively:

THEOREM 1. *Let p, q be nonzero integers and $W(x, y) = x^p \cdot y^q$. Then W is A_n -universal for any $n \geq 4m + 1$ where $m = m(p, q)$. Moreover, for any $n \geq \max\{4m + 1, 9\}$ there exists $l = l(n, m) \leq n - 2$ with the following property: For any $a \in A_n$ there are $b, c \in A_n$ such that $a = b^p \cdot c^q$, and b and c each consist only of one cycle of length l and $n - l$ fixed points; in particular, b and c are conjugate to each other in A_n .*

Note that the conjugacy class of the elements b, c in Theorem 1 is independent of the special choice of $a \in A_n$.

We remark that Silberger [15] also obtained, independently, that $W = x^p \cdot y^q$ is A_n -universal for all sufficiently large n . An essential improvement of the bound $4m + 1$ is contained in a forthcoming paper by Brenner, Evans and Silberger [2].

Received by the editors July 30, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D06; Secondary 20B30; 20F05.

Key words and phrases. Alternating groups, finite symmetric group, permutation groups, universal words, conjugacy classes.

As is well known, already Ito [9] and Ore [12] showed that any element of A_n ($n \geq 5$) can be expressed as a single commutator. This was reproved and strengthened by various authors, cf. e.g. Hsü Ch'eng-hao [8], Bertram [1]. As a first immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

COROLLARY 1. *Let $0 \neq p \in \mathbf{Z}$, $m = m(p, p)$ and $n \geq \max\{4m + 1, 9\}$. Then there exists a conjugacy class C in A_n such that for any $a \in A_n$ there exists elements $c \in C$, $b \in A_n$ satisfying $a = [c^p, b]$.*

Ehrenfeucht and Silberger [6] characterized all pairs (p, q) of integers for which $W = x^p \cdot y^q$ is universal for each finite symmetric group S_n ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Of course, this is the case whenever both p and q are odd, since any permutation is a product of two involutions. Moreover, also for some, but not all, pairs (p, q) with p odd and q even, W is S_n -universal for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. For instance, for any $2 \leq n \in \mathbf{N}$ the word $x^n \cdot y^{n!}$ is not universal for S_n . This situation is different if we consider " S_n -universality for each sufficiently large $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ":

THEOREM 2. *Let p, q be nonzero integers such that p or q is odd and $W = x^p \cdot y^q$. Then W is S_n -universal for any $n \geq \max\{4m - 4, 6\}$ where $m = m(p, q)$. Moreover, in this case, for any $a \in S_n$ there exist $b, c \in S_n$ such that $a = b^p \cdot c^q$ and b and c each consist only of precisely one nontrivial cycle (and, possibly, fixed points).*

Next we consider arbitrarily long and complex words which can be written as a product of three nontrivial words with disjoint sets of variables; here a word is called nontrivial if it does not reduce identically to 1. Using results of Hall [7] and Moran [10] we show

THEOREM 3. *Let $W_1 = W_1(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, $W_2 = W_2(y_1, \dots, y_q)$, $W_3 = W_3(z_1, \dots, z_r)$ be three nontrivial words in free pairwise different variables x_i, y_j, z_k , and $W = W(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{z}_k) = W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdot W_3$. Then there exists an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for each $n \geq N$, A_n has the following property: For each $g \in A_n$ there are permutations $a_i, b_j, c_k \in A_n$ ($1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, 1 \leq k \leq r$) with orders all powers of 2 such that $g = W(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_j, \mathbf{c}_k)$. In particular, W is A_n -universal.*

P. Hall calls a group G W -elliptic of degree d , if any $g \in G$ is a product of at most d W -elements $W(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ with $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$. (Hence W -ellipticity of degree 1 coincides, in the present notation, with universality of W .) As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 we obtain

COROLLARY 2. *Let $W = W(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be any nontrivial word. Then, for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbf{N}$, A_n is W -elliptic of degree k for any $k \geq 3$.*

Here, as well as in Theorem 3, it remains an open problem whether the number 3 may be replaced by 2 or even by 1.

If M is an infinite set, we denote by S_M^0 the group of all permutations of M with finite support and by A_M the infinite alternating group on M , i.e. the (simple) group of all elements of S_M^0 which are, if restricted to their support, even permutations. As

an obvious consequence of Theorems 1–3 we have

COROLLARY 3. *Let M be an infinite set.*

(a) *If W is a word of the kind described in Theorem 1 or in Theorem 3, then W is A_M -universal.*

(b) *If W is a word of the kind described in Theorem 2, then W is S_M^0 -universal.*

For related results on this topic, in particular concerning universal words for infinite symmetric groups, we refer the reader to [3, 4, 14] and the literature cited there.

2. Proof of our results. As usual, we let $[x]$ denote the integer part of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since $n \geq 4m + 1$, an easy calculation shows that the interval $[[\frac{3}{4}n] - 1, n - 1]$ contains at least $m + 2$ elements, in particular some multiple M of m . Again by $n \geq 4m + 1$ (and $n \geq 9$ if $m = 1$), we can choose an odd number $l \in \{M - 2, M - 1, M + 1, M + 2\}$ (and possibly $l = M$ if $m = 1$) with $[\frac{3}{4}n] \leq l \leq n - 2$. Note that l is relatively prime with m . Now if $a \in A_n$, by Bertram [1] there exist two permutations $d, e \in S_n$, each consisting of precisely one cycle of length l and $n - l$ fixed points, such that $a = d \cdot e$. Since l is odd, we have $d, e \in A_n$, and since l, p and l, q are relatively prime, respectively, d^p and e^q each have again precisely one cycle of length l and are hence conjugate to d and e . Thus $d = b^p$, $e = c^q$ for some elements $b, c \in A_n$ which each have precisely one cycle of length l and $n - l \geq 2$ fixed points. As is well known (cf. [13, 11.1.5]), b and c are conjugate to each other in A_n . Since $a = d \cdot e = b^p \cdot c^q$, the result follows.

The following proof uses similar ideas as the previous one:

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Assume $n \geq \max\{4m - 4, 6\}$. If $n \geq 9$, choose some multiple M of m in the interval $[[\frac{3}{4}n], n]$; if $n = 6$ (7, 8), let $M = 4$ (4, 6), respectively. Now let $a \in S_n$. If $a \in A_n$, choose $l \in \{M - 1, M + 1\}$ with $[\frac{3}{4}n] \leq l \leq n$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain $a = b^p \cdot c^q$ for some permutations $b, c \in S_n$ which have only one cycle of length l . Now assume $a \notin A_n$. Choose $l \in \{M - 2, M + 1\}$ such that $[\frac{3}{4}n] \leq l \leq n - 1$. We distinguish between two cases:

Case I. Assume p is even (hence q odd) and $l = M + 1$, or p is odd and $l = M - 2$. In this case, by Bertram [1, Corollary 3.1] there are $d, e \in S_n$ such that $a = d \cdot e$ and d (e) consists only of one cycle of length l ($l + 1$); note that l, p ($l + 1, q$) are relatively prime, respectively.

Case II. Assume p is odd and $l = M + 1$, or p is even (hence q odd) and $l = M - 2$. Again by Bertram [1, Corollary 3.1], there are $d, e \in S_n$ such that $a = d \cdot e$ and d (e) consists only of one cycle of length $l + 1$ (l); here $l + 1, p$ (l, q) are relatively prime, respectively.

In any case we can find permutations $b, c \in S_n$, each consisting only of one nontrivial cycle of length l or $l + 1$, such that $d = b^p, e = c^q$. Thus $a = d \cdot e = b^p \cdot c^q$ as claimed.

For the convenience of the reader, let us note two results of the literature which we will need for the proof of Theorem 3.

LEMMA 2.1 (HALL [7, LEMMA 7]). *Let $W = W(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be any nontrivial word. Then there exists a finite 2-group G with elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$ such that $a = W(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in G$ has order 2.*

LEMMA 2.2 (MORAN [10, THEOREM 0]). *Let $5 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in S_n$ such that $s^2 = 1$ and s has l fixed points. Then each permutation in A_n is a product of three conjugates of s if and only if the following three conditions hold:*

- (1) $l \equiv n \pmod{2}$;
- (2) $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (n - l) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$;
- (3) $0 < l \leq \frac{1}{3}(n + 4)$ if n is even, and $0 < l \leq \frac{1}{3}(n + 2)$ if n is odd.

Now we give the

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. By Lemma 2.1, we can find a finite 2-group G with $|G| \geq 4$ and elements $a_i, b_j, c_k \in G$ ($1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, 1 \leq k \leq r$) such that $a = W_1(\mathbf{a}_i)$, $b = W_2(\mathbf{b}_j)$, $c = W_3(\mathbf{c}_k)$ all have order 2 in G . We claim that $N = 3 \cdot |G| - 5$ satisfies the assertion of the theorem. Indeed, let $n \geq N$ and $g \in A_n$. Find $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \cdot |G| + l = n$ and $1 \leq l \leq |G|$; then l, n satisfy conditions (1)–(3) of Lemma 2.2 since 4 divides $|G|$ and by our choice of N . Hence by Lemma 2.2 there are (conjugate) involutions $x, y, z \in S_n$, each having precisely l fixed points and hence $m \cdot |G|/2$ cycles of length 2, such that $g = x \cdot y \cdot z$.

Now embed $\varphi: G \hookrightarrow S_G$ via Cayley's right-regular representation. Then $a_i^\varphi, b_j^\varphi, c_k^\varphi \in S_G$ all have orders powers of 2 and satisfy $a^\varphi = W_1(\mathbf{a}_i^\varphi)$, $b^\varphi = W_2(\mathbf{b}_j^\varphi)$, $c^\varphi = W_3(\mathbf{c}_k^\varphi)$, where $a^\varphi, b^\varphi, c^\varphi$ are permutations of G each consisting of $|G|/2$ cycles of length 2. By taking m copies of (G, S_G) and an additional set with l elements, we can construct permutations $d, e, f, d_i, e_j, f_k \in S_n$ such that $d = W_1(\mathbf{d}_i)$, $e = W_2(\mathbf{e}_j)$, $f = W_3(\mathbf{f}_k)$ each consist of $m \cdot |G|/2$ cycles of length 2 and l fixed points and d_i, e_j, f_k have the same orders as $a_i^\varphi, b_j^\varphi, c_k^\varphi$, respectively, and also l fixed points (in fact, we may perform our construction such that all these elements have identical fixed point sets), for $1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, 1 \leq k \leq r$. Now x, y, z are conjugate to d, e, f , respectively. So we obtain $x = W_1(\mathbf{x}_i)$, $y = W_2(\mathbf{y}_j)$, $z = W_3(\mathbf{z}_k)$ for suitable conjugates $x_i, y_j, z_k \in S_n$ of d_i, e_j, f_k , respectively; thus x_i, y_j, z_k all have orders powers of 2. Hence $g = x \cdot y \cdot z = W(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{z}_k)$, as claimed.

REFERENCES

1. E. A. Bertram, *Even permutations as a product of two conjugate cycles*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. (A) **12** (1972), 368–380.
2. J. L. Brenner, R. J. Evans and D. M. Silberger, *The universality of words $x^r y^s$ in alternating groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 23–28.
3. M. Droste, *Classes of words universal for the infinite symmetric groups*, Algebra Universalis (to appear).
4. M. Droste and S. Shelah, *On the universality of systems of words in permutation groups*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
5. A. Ehrenfeucht, S. Fajtlowicz, J. Malitz and J. Mycielski, *Some problems on the universality of words in groups*, Algebra Universalis **11** (1980), 261–263.
6. A. Ehrenfeucht and D. Silberger, *Universal terms of the form $B^n A^m$* , Algebra Universalis **10** (1980), 96–116.
7. P. Hall, *Some constructions for locally finite groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **34** (1959), 305–319.
8. Hsü Ch'eng-hao, *The commutators of the alternating group*, Sci. Sinica **14** (1965), 339–342.

9. N. Itô, *A theorem on the alternating group A_n ($n \geq 5$)*, Math. Japon. **2** (1951), 59–60.
10. G. Moran, *Reflection classes whose cubes cover the alternating group*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **21** (1976), 1–19.
11. G. Moran and others, *Product of p^m th powers in A_n , solution of advanced problem 6315*, Amer. Math. Monthly **89** (1982), 705.
12. O. Ore, *Some remarks on commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 307–314.
13. W. R. Scott, *Group theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964.
14. D. M. Silberger, *Are primitive words universal for infinite symmetric groups?*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 841–852.
15. D. M. Silberger, *For k big the word $x^m y^n$ is universal for A_k* , Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1982), 293.

FACHBEREICH 6, MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT GHS ESSEN, 4300 ESSEN 1, FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY