

F_σ -SET COVERS OF ANALYTIC SPACES AND FIRST CLASS SELECTORS

R. W. HANSELL

ABSTRACT. Let X be an analytic space (e.g., a complete metric space). We prove that any point-countable F_σ -set cover of X either has σ -discrete refinement, or else there is a compact subset of X not covered by any countable subfamily of the cover. It follows that any point-countable F_σ -additive family in X has a σ -discrete refinement. This is used to show that any weakly F_σ -measurable multimap, defined on X and taking nonempty, closed and separable values in a complete metric space, has a selector of the first Baire class.

1. Introduction. A classical theorem due to Souslin states that any analytic subset of a complete separable metric space is either countable or contains an uncountable compact set (and thus a copy of the Cantor set) [11, §32]. For nonseparable complete metric spaces, this theorem remains true if we replace “countable” by “ σ -discrete” (i.e., a countable union of closed discrete subsets). This was first shown by A. H. Stone [18] for Borel subsets, and then by A. G. El’kin [1] for analytic sets. An immediate corollary is that any subset of a complete metric space, all of whose subsets are analytic, must be σ -discrete.

A useful “set version” of this last result was obtained in [6]: Any disjoint family of subsets of a complete metric space X with the property that the union of every subfamily is analytic in X has a σ -discrete refinement¹ (equivalently, is σ -discretely decomposable²). This result was subsequently generalized to point-finite families [13] and to certain nonmetrizable spaces [5]. (See also [2 and 3] for consistency results along this line.) It is natural to ask whether there is a set version of El’kin’s result: Does each partition \mathcal{E} of a complete metric space into analytic subsets have the property that either \mathcal{E} has a σ -discrete refinement, or there is a compact set meeting uncountably many members of \mathcal{E} ? The answer turns out to be negative even for G_δ -set partitions (see [14 and 18, §5]). However, G. Koumoullis [14] has recently obtained the following interesting result.

Received by the editors December 12, 1984 and, in revised form, April 15, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54H05, 54C65, 28A05.

Key words and phrases. Analytic space, σ -discrete refinement, first class selectors, F_σ -additive family.

¹It is important to note that any family of subsets of a space X which is discrete relative to its union has a σ -discrete refinement relative to the space X , provided that all open subsets of X are F_σ -sets or X has a σ -discrete network [7, Lemma 2.2].

² $\{E_a: a \in A\}$ is σ -discretely decomposable if, for each $a \in A$, $E_a = E_{a,n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $\{E_{a,n}: a \in A\}$ is discrete for each n .

THEOREM 1.1 [14]. *Let \mathcal{E} be an F_σ -set partition of an analytic space X .³ Then either \mathcal{E} is σ -discretely decomposable, or X contains a compact set meeting uncountably many members of \mathcal{E} .*

The primary purpose of this note is to give the following extension of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be a point-countable⁴ F_σ -set cover of an analytic space X . Then, either \mathcal{E} has a σ -discrete refinement, or X contains a compact subset that is not covered by any countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} .*

Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1, since a disjoint family having a σ -discrete refinement is easily seen to be σ -discretely decomposable. Note also that the two possible properties of \mathcal{E} in Theorem 2.1 are mutually exclusive: For if \mathcal{E} has a σ -discrete refinement and C is any compact subset of X , then C meets at most countably many members of the refinement, and so is covered by some countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} . Further, we note that Theorem 2.1 cannot be sharpened by replacing “ σ -discrete refinement” with “ σ -discretely decomposable”: Hausdorff [12] has shown that \mathbf{R} is the union of a strictly decreasing sequence of F_σ -sets indexed by the countable ordinals. Such a family is point-countable but could not be σ -discretely decomposable, since this would imply the existence of an uncountable discrete subset of \mathbf{R} . That point-countability in Theorem 2.1 cannot be omitted follows from [17, Example 1.4].

If \mathcal{L} is any collection of sets, we say that a family of sets is \mathcal{L} -additive if the union of each subfamily belongs to \mathcal{L} . In §3 we prove the following consequence of Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let X be an analytic space. Then every point-countable F_σ -additive family of subsets of X has a σ -discrete refinement.*

In contrast to the situation with Theorem 2.1, Theorem 3.3 is believed to hold for Borel sets of arbitrary class, although no proof is known even for G_δ -additive families. R. Pol [17] has shown that a point-countable (extended) Borel-additive family in an analytic space has a σ -discrete refinement, provided the members of the family have weight at most \aleph_1 . Theorem 3.3 lends support to the conjecture that the weight restriction can be omitted. Under additional axioms of set theory this holds true even for arbitrary metric spaces (see [3, §4]).

We conclude with an application of Theorem 3.3 to the study of measurable selections by proving the following.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let T be a regular analytic space, X a metric space, and $F: T \rightarrow X$ a multimap having nonempty, separable and ρ -complete values, where ρ is a metric for*

³Analytic spaces are defined in §2.

⁴A collection is *point-countable* if no point belongs to more than countably many members of the collection.

X . Assume that F is weakly F_σ -measurable; i.e., for each open U in X ,

$$F^{-1}(U) = \{t \in T: F(t) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

is an F_σ -set of T . Then F has a selector of the first class (i.e., there is a map $f: T \rightarrow X$ such that $f(t) \in F(t)$, for all $t \in T$, and $f^{-1}(U)$ is an F_σ -set of T for all open sets U of X).

2. Analytic spaces and the proof of Theorem 2.1. By an *analytic space* we mean any Hausdorff space X that is a continuous, base- σ -discrete image of a complete metric space. A map $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is *base- σ -discrete* [16] if to each discrete family \mathcal{A} in Z there corresponds a σ -discrete family \mathcal{B} in X such that $f(A)$ is the union of some subfamily of \mathcal{B} for each A in \mathcal{A} (\mathcal{B} is said to be a *base* for $\{f(A): A \in \mathcal{A}\}$). It is easy to see that any (Hausdorff) continuous image of a complete separable metric space is an analytic space (discrete families are countable). Also, any analytic subset of a complete metric space is an analytic space [9].

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. We first consider the case when X has a complete metric d . Let F denote the largest closed subset of X such that no nonempty open subset of F is covered by countably many sets from \mathcal{E} . The existence of F follows from [19, Theorem 1] where F is called the “non-locally- P kernel of X ”, P being the collection of all subsets of X which are covered by countably many sets from \mathcal{E} . If $F = \emptyset$, then by [19, Theorem 4'] X is the union of a σ -discrete family of closed sets each of which is covered by countably many sets from \mathcal{E} . Clearly, this yields a σ -discrete refinement of \mathcal{E} . Assuming $F \neq \emptyset$, we now construct a compact subset of X that is not covered by any countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} .

Let S denote the set of all finite sequences of natural numbers (including \emptyset), and define $\|\emptyset\| = 0$, and

$$\|s\| = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i, \quad \text{where } s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S.$$

For $s \in S$, $l(s)$ denotes the length of s . For each $s \in S$ we now define by induction on $l(s)$ points $x_s \in F$ and sets $E_s \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying the following:

- (i) $x_s \in E_s$;
- (ii) if $l(r) < l(s)$ and $x_r \in E \in \mathcal{E}$, then $x_s \notin E$;
- (iii) if $s = (t, n)$ for some $t \in S$, then $d(x_t, x_s) < 1/2^{\|s\|}$.

Choose $x_\emptyset \in F$ arbitrarily. Suppose x_s and E_s are known for all $s \in S$ with $l(s) \leq m$ for some $m \geq 0$. Given $s = (t, n)$ of length $m + 1$, let B be the basic neighborhood about x_t of d -radius $1/2^{\|s\|}$, and let

$$\mathcal{E}_s = \{E \in \mathcal{E}: x_r \in E \text{ for some } r \text{ with } l(r) < l(s)\}.$$

Since \mathcal{E}_s is countable and $x_t \in F$, $B \cap F - \cup \mathcal{E}_s$ is not empty, so there is some $E_s \in \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_s$ and some point $x_s \in B \cap F \cap E_s$. Properties (i)–(iii) are clearly satisfied by x_s and E_s .

We let $Q = \{x_s: s \in S\}$. Property (iii) above ensures that Q is d -totally bounded, and so $K = \text{cl}_X Q$ is compact. Now, for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$, the interior of $E \cap K$ relative to K must be empty; otherwise, by the denseness of Q and since $x_{(s,n)}$ converges to x_s , we would have both x_s and $x_{(s,n)}$ belonging to E , for some s and n , in contradiction with (ii) above. Since $E \cap K$ is also an F_σ -set in K , this implies that each $E \cap K$ is of first category in K . Since K is a Baire space, it follows that K cannot be covered by countably many sets from \mathcal{E} . This completes the proof in the case when X is completely metrizable.

If X is analytic, then we can find a complete metric space Z and a continuous surjection $f: Z \rightarrow X$ with the property that the image of any σ -discrete family in Z has a σ -discrete base (and hence refinement) relative to X . Now $\{f^{-1}(E): E \in \mathcal{E}\}$ is a point-countable cover of Z by F_σ -sets, and so must either have a σ -discrete refinement, or else Z contains a compact set C not covered by countably many sets of the form $f^{-1}(E)$, $E \in \mathcal{E}$. But then, by the properties of f , \mathcal{E} either has a σ -discrete refinement, or the compact set $f(C)$ exists and is not covered by any countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} . \square

We remark that the above proof makes use of several techniques suggested by [14], some of which G. Koumoullis attributes to D. H. Fremlin.⁵

3. Weakly discrete and extended Borel-additive families. Let \mathcal{E} be a family of subsets of X . Following R. Pol [17] we say that $A \subset X$ is \mathcal{E} -discrete provided, for each $a \in A$, there is an $E_a \in \mathcal{E}$ satisfying $E_a \cap A = \{a\}$; if X is a topological space, we say that \mathcal{E} is *weakly discrete* if every \mathcal{E} -discrete set is a σ -discrete set in X . Our interest in weakly discrete families stems from the following.

3.1 LEMMA. *If \mathcal{E} is a point-countable weakly discrete family of subsets of a space X and L is a Lindelöf subspace of X , then $L \cap (\cup \mathcal{E})$ is covered by a countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} .*

PROOF. Suppose $L \cap (\cup \mathcal{E})$ is not covered by any countable subfamily of \mathcal{E} . Then, by induction over the countable ordinals, we can easily define a set $A = \{x_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$ contained in L such that, for all $\beta < \alpha$, if $x_\beta \in E \in \mathcal{E}$, then $x_\alpha \notin E$. Then for any $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ with $x_\alpha \in E_\alpha$, we have $A \cap E_\alpha = \{x_\alpha\}$. Thus A is \mathcal{E} -discrete, and so A can be written as a countable union of closed discrete subsets of X . But this implies that L has an uncountable closed discrete subset, contradicting the fact that L is Lindelöf. \square

By the *extended Borel sets* of a topological space X we mean the smallest σ -algebra of subsets of X which contains the open sets and is closed to the operation of discrete union [9]. By a Souslin set of X we mean, as usual, a subset of X obtained by applying the Souslin operation to the closed sets of X . For an analytic space X it can be shown that the extended Borel sets coincide with the family of all subsets A of X such that A and $X - A$ are Souslin sets of X (see, e.g., [10]).

⁵I would like to thank Professor G. Koumoullis for providing a preprint of [14].

We now prove a slight refinement of a result due to R. Pol in the metrizable case [17], although the proof given here is considerably less technical.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let X be an analytic space, and let \mathcal{E} be an extended Borel-additive family of subsets of X . Then \mathcal{E} is weakly discrete.*

PROOF. First assume that X is a complete metric space. Let $A \subset X$ be such that, for each $a \in A$, $A \cap E_a = \{a\}$ for some E_a in \mathcal{E} . For any nonempty subset B of A , we have

$$B = A \cap \left(\bigcup_{b \in B} E_b \right),$$

so B is a Souslin set relative to A . Thus, if we can show that A is a Souslin set in X , then A will be an analytic metric space all of whose subsets are analytic, and hence a σ -discrete set by the theorem of El'kin. Since

$$A = \bigcup_{a \in A} E_a - \bigcup_{a \in A} E_a \cap (X - \{a\}),$$

we need only show that the set $C = \bigcup_{a \in A} E_a \cap (X - \{a\})$ is extended Borel in X . Since X is metrizable, let $\bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) be an open base for X with each \mathcal{B}_n a discrete family in X . For each B in $\bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$ define

$$E_B = \bigcup \{ E_a : a \in A \text{ and } B \subset X - \{a\} \},$$

and note that

$$C = \bigcup_n \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} E_B \cap B.$$

Since $\{E_B \cap B : B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$ is a discrete family of extended Borel sets for each n , it follows that C is extended Borel. This proves that \mathcal{E} is weakly discrete when X is completely metrizable.

For X an analytic space, let Z be a complete metric space, and let $f: Z \rightarrow X$ be a continuous, base- σ -discrete surjection. It is clear that

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) = \{ f^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{E} \}$$

is an extended Borel-additive family in Z . Now let $A \subset X$ and E_a be as before, and let $Z_A = \{z_a : a \in A\}$ be such that $z_a \in f^{-1}(a)$ for each a in A . Then Z_A is $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ -discrete, and thus a σ -discrete set by the above. But then $A = f(Z_A)$ is σ -discrete, since f is a base- σ -discrete map. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3. Let \mathcal{E} be a point-countable, F_σ -additive family of subsets of the analytic space X , and let $Y = \bigcup \mathcal{E}$. Then Y is an analytic space, and \mathcal{E} is a weakly discrete family in X by Theorem 3.2. In view of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.1, it follows that \mathcal{E} must have a refinement \mathcal{R} that is σ -discrete relative to Y . Since Y is an F_σ -set in X , \mathcal{R} is easily seen to have a σ -discrete refinement relative to X . \square

4. Proof of Theorem 4.1. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, let \mathcal{U}_n be a locally finite cover of X by open sets having ρ -diameter $< 1/n$. Since each separable subset of X can meet at most countably many members of a locally finite family, our assumptions imply that

$\{F^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$ is a point-countable F_σ -additive cover of T , and so has a σ -discrete refinement \mathcal{M} . We may assume that \mathcal{M} is the union of \mathcal{M}_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), where each \mathcal{M}_m is a discrete family of F_σ -sets in T . Then $M_m = \bigcup \mathcal{M}_m$ is an F_σ -set of T for each m , and, applying the countable reduction principle [15, p. 350], there exists a sequence $\{H_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ of pairwise disjoint F_σ -sets such that

$$H_m \subset M_m \quad \text{and} \quad T = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} H_m.$$

(Here we have used the fact that in a regular analytic space, open sets are F_σ -sets, so the above reduction property is valid.) It follows that

$$\mathcal{H} = \{M \cap H_m: M \in \mathcal{M}_m, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a disjoint, σ -discrete, F_σ -additive refinement of $\{F^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$. For each $H \in \mathcal{H}$ choose some $U_H \in \mathcal{U}_1$ such that $H \subset F^{-1}(U_H)$, and let $H(U) = \bigcup \{H: U_H = U\}$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Then the family $\{H(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$ is also a disjoint, σ -discrete, F_σ -additive cover of T and $H(U) \subset F^{-1}(U)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Now define the multimap $F_1: T \rightarrow X$ by

$$F_1(t) = F(t) \cap U \quad \text{iff} \quad t \in H(U), U \in \mathcal{U}_1.$$

For any open $V \subset X$, one has

$$F_1^{-1}(V) = \bigcup \{F^{-1}(U \cap V) \cap H(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_1\},$$

and this is an F_σ -set of T as the union of a σ -discrete collection of F_σ -sets. Thus F_1 is weakly F_σ -measurable and has nonempty separable values. It follows that $\{F_1^{-1}(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_2\}$ is a point-countable F_σ -additive cover of T , and we may apply the above argument again to obtain a family $\{K(U): U \in \mathcal{U}_2\}$ that is disjoint, σ -discrete, F_σ -additive, covers T , and is such that $K(U) \subset F_1^{-1}(U)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}_2$. We proceed to define $F_2: T \rightarrow X$ by

$$F_2(t) = F_1(t) \cap U \quad \text{iff} \quad t \in K(U), U \in \mathcal{U}_2,$$

and observe as before that F_2 is weakly F_σ -measurable and has nonempty separable values. In this way we generate a sequence of weakly F_σ -measurable multimaps $F_n: T \rightarrow X$ satisfying $F(t) \supset F_1(t) \supset \dots \supset F_n(t) \supset \dots$, and $F_n(t)$ is nonempty and has ρ -diameter $< 1/n$.

By the ρ -completeness of the values of F , we can define a map $f: T \rightarrow X$ by taking $f(t)$ to be the unique member of $\bigcap_n \overline{F_n(t)}$. Now, for any open $U \subset X$, we have

$$f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{-1}(U_n),$$

where $U_n = \{x \in U: \rho\text{-dist}(x, X - U) > 1/n\}$. Thus $f^{-1}(U)$ is an F_σ -set of T , proving f is a selector for F of the first class. \square

REMARK. For recent measurable selection theorems along lines similar to the above, see [4 and 8].

We conclude with an example which shows the assumption that F has separable values in Theorem 4.1 cannot be omitted, even when T is completely metrizable.

EXAMPLE. There exists a weakly F_σ -measurable multimap F from the Baire space $B(\omega_1)$ to the space ω_1 with the discrete topology, having no extended Borel measurable selector.

PROOF. Let $B(\omega_1) = \omega_1^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the product topology, and define, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$,

$$S_\alpha = \{x \in B(\omega_1) : x(n) \leq \alpha \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is easy to check that $\{S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is an increasing, F_σ -additive cover of $B(\omega_1)$ by closed, separable subsets. Thus, defining $F: B(\omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_1$ by

$$F(x) = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : x \in S_\alpha\},$$

we have $F^{-1}(\alpha) = S_\alpha$, for each α , and so F is weakly F_σ -measurable. Now, if f were an extended Borel measurable selector for F , then $\{f^{-1}(\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ would be a disjoint extended Borel-additive family in $B(\omega_1)$, and so σ -discrete by [6, Theorem 2]. Since this family refines $\{S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, it would follow that the latter has a σ -discrete refinement and, hence, that $B(\omega_1)$ can be covered by a σ -discrete collection of separable subsets. But this would imply that $B(\omega_1)$ is σ -locally of weight $< \omega_1$, in contradiction to a theorem of A. H. Stone [20, 2.1(7)]. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. G. El'kin, *A-sets in complete metric spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **175** (1967), 517–520 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 874–877.
2. W. G. Fleissner, *An axiom for nonseparable Borel theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **251** (1979), 309–328.
3. W. G. Fleissner, R. W. Hansell and H. J. K. Junnila, *PMEA implies Proposition P*, Topology Appl. **13** (1982), 255–262.
4. D. H. Fremlin, *Measure-additive coverings and measurable selectors*, Dissertationes Math. (to appear).
5. Z. Frolik and P. Holický, *Decomposability of completely Suslin-additive families*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 359–365.
6. R. W. Hansell, *Borel measurable mappings for nonseparable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **161** (1971), 145–169.
7. _____, *Generalized quotient maps that are inductively index- σ -discrete*, Pacific J. Math. **117** (1985), 99–119.
8. _____, *A measurable selection and representation theorem in non-separable spaces*, Measure Theory (Oberwolfach 1983, D. Kölzow and D. Maharam-Stone, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1089, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1984.
9. _____, *On characterizing nonseparable analytic and extended Borel sets as types of continuous images*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **28** (1974), 683–699.
10. R. W. Hansell, J. E. Jayne and C. A. Rogers, *Separation of K -analytic sets*, Mathematika (to appear).
11. F. Hausdorff, *Mengenlehre*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1937; English transl., Chelsea, New York, 1957.
12. _____, *Summen von \aleph_1 Mengen*, Fund. Math. **26** (1936), 248.
13. J. Kaniewski and R. Pol, *Borel-measurable selectors for compact-valued mappings in the nonseparable case*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **23** (1975), 1043–1050.
14. G. Koumoullis, *Cantor sets in Prohorov spaces*, Fund. Math. **124** (1984), 155–161.
15. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1966.
16. E. Michael, *On maps related to σ -locally finite and σ -discrete collections of sets*, Pacific J. Math. **98** (1982), 139–152.
17. R. Pol, *Note on decompositions of metrizable spaces*. II, Fund. Math. **100** (1978), 129–143.
18. A. H. Stone, *On σ -discreteness and Borel isomorphism*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 655–666.
19. _____, *Kernel constructions and Borel sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1963), 58–70.
20. _____, *Nonseparable Borel sets*. II, Topology Appl. **2** (1972), 249–270.