

## ON APPROXIMATION BY RATIONALS FROM A HYPERPLANE

GERHARD GIERZ AND BORIS SHEKHTMAN

ABSTRACT. Let  $E \subset C(K)$  be a subspace of continuous functions defined on a compact Hausdorff space  $K$ . We characterize those subspaces of codimension 1 for which the rational functions with denominators and enumerators from  $E$  are dense. The condition for the density of this very nonlinear set of functions turns out to be a linear separation condition.

A number of recent discoveries in approximation theory indicated some almost supernatural powers of rational approximation (see [1]). That is, starting with a linear subspace  $H \subset C([0, 1])$ , the set of rational functions with the numerator and the denominator in  $H$  seems to have density properties disproportionate to its share.

In this note we present the necessary and sufficient condition for the density of such rationals when  $H$  is a hyperplane in a  $C(X)$ -space. Surprisingly enough the condition for the density of this nonlinear set of functions turns out to be a (very linear in spirit) separation condition.

We will need some notation: Let  $X$  denote a compact Hausdorff space;  $C(X)$  the set of all continuous real-valued functions on  $X$ ;  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  the space of all regular Borel measures on  $X$ . The elements from  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  are identified with the continuous functionals on  $C(X)$ . As usual, we define the support  $\text{supp } f$  of a continuous function  $f \in C(X)$  to be the closure of the set  $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$ ; the support of a measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is defined to be the smallest closed set  $A \subset X$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subset X \setminus A$  implies  $\mu(f) = 0$ . If  $U$  is a subset of  $X$ , we let

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{f \in C(X): \text{supp } f \subset U, f \geq 0\}.$$

For every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  we use  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  to denote the orthogonal decomposition of  $\mu$  (i.e.,  $\mu^+ \wedge \mu^- = 0$ ). Recall that for every pair of measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  their infimum is given by

$$(\mu \wedge \nu)(f) = \inf\{\mu(g) + \nu(h): 0 \leq g, h, g + h = f\},$$

where  $f \in C(X)$  is positive (see [2, p. 72]). Let  $H = \{f \in C(X): \mu(f) = 0\}$  for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Let

$$R(H) = \{gh^{-1}: g, h \in H; h(x) > 0 \forall x \in X\}.$$

LEMMA. *Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  and let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq \text{supp } \mu^- \cap U$ . Then we can find functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $\mu(\varphi_1) < 0 < \mu(\varphi_2)$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $\varphi_1$  does not exist. Then for all  $g \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  we would have  $\mu(g) \geq 0$ ; i.e.,  $\mu^+(g) \geq \mu^-(g)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , and if  $f = g + h$  for positive functions

Received by the editors January 14, 1985 and, in revised form, April 15, 1985.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A20.

$g$  and  $h$ , then  $g, h \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . Thus  $\mu^+(g) + \mu^-(h) \geq \mu^-(g+h) = \mu^-(f)$ . Hence the above explicit expression for  $\mu^+ \wedge \mu^-$  yields  $0 = (\mu^+ \wedge \mu^-)(f) \geq \mu^-(f) \geq 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ . This would imply  $\mu^-(f) = 0$  whenever  $\text{supp } f \subset U$ ; i.e.,  $\text{supp } \mu^- \subset X \setminus U$ , contradicting  $\emptyset \neq U \cap \text{supp } \mu^-$ .

The proof of the existence of  $\varphi_2$  is similar.  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $1 \in H$ . For the set  $R(H)$  to be dense in  $C(X)$ , it is both necessary and sufficient that*

$$(1) \quad \text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- \neq \emptyset.$$

**PROOF.** To prove necessity we first assume that  $\text{supp } \mu^+ \wedge \text{supp } \mu^- = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$  be such that  $f|_{\text{supp } \mu^+} = 1$ ,  $f|_{\text{supp } \mu^-} = -1$ . If  $\|f - g/h\| < 1$ , then  $g$  should be positive on  $\text{supp } \mu^+$  and negative on  $\text{supp } \mu^-$  (since  $h$  is strictly positive). Then  $\mu^+(g) > 0$  and  $\mu^-(g) < 0$ , since  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  are positive measures, and hence  $g \notin H$ .

To prove sufficiency, let  $x_0 \in \text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^-$ , and let  $f$  be an arbitrary function from  $C(X)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  such that  $|(f(x_0) - f(x))| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $x \in U$ . By the Lemma, there are functions  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $a = \mu(\varphi_1) > 0$ ,  $b = \mu(\varphi_2) < 0$ . We claim that there exists a function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(U) \cap H$  with  $\varphi(x_0) > 0$ . Indeed, pick any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  with  $\gamma(x_0) = 1$  and let  $r = \mu(\gamma)$ . If  $r < 0$ , then let  $\varphi = a(a-r)^{-1} \cdot \gamma - r(a-r)^{-1} \cdot \varphi_1$ ; if  $r > 0$ , let  $\varphi = r(r-b)^{-1} \cdot \varphi_2 - b(r-b)^{-1} \cdot \gamma$ . In any case it follows that  $\mu(\varphi) = 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ .

Let  $\varphi(x_0) = \rho > 0$ . Let  $W \subset U$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  such that  $\varphi|_W \geq \rho/2$ . Using the same argument as above, we obtain a function  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(W)$  such that  $\mu(\psi) = -\mu(f)$ ; i.e.,  $f + \psi \in H$ . Clearly, for an arbitrary integer  $N$  the function

$$r = \frac{f + \psi + N \cdot f(x_0)\varphi}{1 + N\varphi} \in R(H).$$

Pick  $N \geq (4\|\psi\| - 2\varepsilon)/(\varepsilon\rho)$ . We obtain the inequality  $\|f - r\| \leq \varepsilon$ , since

- (1) For  $x \notin U$ , we have  $|f(x) - r(x)| = 0$ .
- (2)  $x \in U \setminus W$  implies

$$|f(x) - r(x)| = \frac{N\varphi(x)}{1 + N\varphi(x)}|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

- (3)  $x \in W$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - r(x)| &\leq \frac{N\varphi(x)}{1 + N\varphi(x)}|f(x) - f(x_0)| + \frac{\|\psi\|}{1 + N\rho/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\|\psi\|}{1 + N\rho/2} \leq \varepsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now obtain two easy generalizations of Theorem 1. In the first we drop the assumptions about the constants.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $H = \{f \in C(X) : \mu(f) = 0\}$  for some  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ . Then  $R(H)$  is dense in  $C(X)$  iff condition (1) holds.*

**PROOF.** Condition (1) guarantees the existence of a strictly positive function  $\varphi \in H$ : Indeed, let  $r = \mu(u)$ , where  $u$  denotes the constant function with value 1. From the Lemma (with  $U = X$ ) we conclude that there is a positive function

$\varphi_1$  with  $\mu(\varphi_1) = -r$ . The function  $\varphi = u + \varphi_1$  will be strictly positive, and this function belongs to  $H$ . Consider the hyperplane  $H_\varphi = \{f/\varphi: f \in H\}$ . Then

$$H_\varphi = \{f \in C(X): \mu_1(f) = 0\},$$

where  $\mu_1 = \varphi \cdot \mu$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu_1^+ = \varphi \mu^+$ ,  $\mu_1^- = \varphi \cdot \mu^-$  and  $1 = \varphi/\varphi \in H_\varphi$ . Hence  $\text{supp } \mu_1^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu_1^- = \text{supp } \mu^+ \cap \text{supp } \mu^- \neq \emptyset$ , and by Theorem 1,  $\overline{R(H_\varphi)} = C(X)$ . Therefore for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in C(X)$ , there exist  $g, h \in H$  such that  $\|f - (g/\varphi)/(h/\varphi)\| < \varepsilon$ , or, equivalently,  $\|f - g/h\| < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

Another obvious generalization of Theorem 1 is as follows.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $M$  be a finite subset of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  such that for any distinct  $\mu, \nu \in M$ ,  $\text{supp } \mu \cap \text{supp } \nu = \emptyset$ . Let  $H = \{f \in C(X): \mu(f) = 0 \forall \mu \in M\}$ . Then  $R(H)$  is dense in  $C(X)$  iff, for every  $\mu \in M$ , condition (1) holds.  $\square$*

We now give some examples for Theorem 2.

**EXAMPLES.** Consider the subspaces

$$H_1 = H_1(a, b) = \left\{ f \in C([0, 1]): \int_0^a f dx = \int_b^1 f dx \right\},$$

for some  $a, b \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$H_2 = H_2(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in C([0, 1]): \int_0^1 f \cdot \varphi dx = 0 \right\};$$

$\varphi \in C(\{[0, 1]\})$ , where  $\{\tau: \varphi(\tau) = 0\}$  has no interior points,

$$H_3(j) = \text{span}\{\cos k\theta\}_{k=0, k \neq j}^\infty \subset C([0, \pi]).$$

From Theorem 2 we have

$$\overline{R(H_1)} = C([0, 1]) \quad \text{iff } a = b,$$

$$\overline{R(H_2)} = C([0, 1]) \quad \text{iff } \varphi \text{ changes sign on } [0, 1],$$

$$\overline{R(H_3)} = C([0, \pi]) \quad \text{iff } j \neq 0.$$

The last example follows from the second example and the fact that  $H_3(j)$  is dense in the hyperplane

$$H = \left\{ f \in C([0, \pi]): \int_0^\pi f(\theta) \cos j\theta d\theta = 0 \right\}.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. D. J. Newman, *Approximation with rational functions*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., no. 41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1979.
2. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92521