

## STABILITY OF POLYNOMIAL CONVEXITY OF TOTALLY REAL SETS

FRANC FORSTNERIĆ<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We show that certain compact polynomially convex subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  remain polynomially convex under sufficiently small  $C^2$  perturbations.

**1. Statement of the results.** Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(M)$  the algebra of all holomorphic functions on  $M$  with the standard topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. A compact subset  $K$  of  $M$  is said to be  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex if for every point  $x \in M \setminus K$  there is a holomorphic function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(M)$  such that

$$|f(x)| > \sup_{y \in K} |f(y)|.$$

Since the holomorphic polynomials are dense in the algebra  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , an  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ -convex subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is just a polynomially convex subset.

Given a compact  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex subset  $K$  of  $M$ , an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$  and a  $C^k$  diffeomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $U$  onto an open subset  $\Psi(U)$  in  $M$ , we ask whether the set  $\Psi(K)$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex provided that  $\Psi$  is sufficiently close to the identity on  $U$  in the  $C^k$  sense. In other words, is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convexity a stable property under smooth perturbations? In general this is not so as the following example shows.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $M = \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $K = \{(z, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2: |z| \leq 1\}$ . Clearly  $K$  is convex and hence polynomially convex. The diffeomorphisms  $\Psi_\varepsilon: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  given by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(z, w) = (z, w + \varepsilon|z|^2), \quad \varepsilon \geq 0,$$

are close to the identity in the  $C^\infty$  sense for small  $\varepsilon$ , but the set

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(K) = \{(z, \varepsilon|z|^2): |z| \leq 1\}$$

is not polynomially convex for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  since it contains the boundary of the analytic disk  $\Delta_{\delta, \theta} = \{(z, \varepsilon\delta^2 e^{i\theta}): |z| \leq \delta\}$  for each  $\delta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . These disks fill an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  that is contained in the polynomial hull of  $\Psi_\varepsilon(K)$  according to the maximum principle.

---

Received by the editors February 8, 1985 and, in revised form, April 22, 1985.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32E20.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by a Sloan Foundation Predoctoral Fellowship.

Recall that a  $\mathbf{C}^1$  submanifold  $\Sigma$  of a complex manifold  $M$  is called totally real if for each point  $x \in \Sigma$  the tangent space  $T_x \Sigma$  contains no nontrivial complex subspace. If  $K$  is a compact subset of a totally real submanifold  $\Sigma$ , then by [2, p. 300] there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $K$  in  $M$  and a  $\mathbf{C}^2$  strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\rho: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad K = \{x \in U \mid \rho(x) = 0\}, \quad \rho \geq 0 \text{ strictly plurisubharmonic on } U.$$

Conversely, every compact subset  $K$  of  $M$  of the form (1.1) is locally contained in a  $\mathbf{C}^1$  totally real submanifold of  $M$  [3]. Therefore we shall say that a compact subset  $K$  of  $M$  is *totally real* if it is of the form (1.1).

**1.1 THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold and  $K$  a compact totally real subset of  $M$  that is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex. Then every sufficiently small  $\mathbf{C}^2$  perturbation of  $K$  in  $M$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex.*

We need to specify what we mean by a small  $\mathbf{C}^2$  perturbation of  $K$ . We embed the Stein manifold  $M$  in a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{C}^n$  [4, p. 125]. Let  $U$  be an open neighborhood of  $K$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , and denote by  $E$  the Banach space  $\mathbf{C}^2(U)^n$  of all  $n$ -tuples of complex valued functions  $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$  of class  $\mathbf{C}^2$  on  $U$  which have finite norm

$$(1.2) \quad \|\Psi\|_E = \sum_{j=1}^n \sup \left\{ |D^\alpha \Psi_j(z)| : z \in U, |\alpha| \leq 2 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.1 asserts that the set  $\Psi(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex for each  $\Psi$  in an open neighborhood of the identity map in  $E$  such that  $\Psi(K) \subset M$ .

**1.2 COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold, let  $N$  be a manifold of class  $\mathbf{C}^2$  and let  $B$  be an open neighborhood of 0 in some  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Suppose that  $F: N \times B \rightarrow M$  is a  $\mathbf{C}^2$  map such that  $F_0 = F(\cdot, 0)$  is a totally real embedding of  $N$  in  $M$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $N$  such that  $F_0(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex, then  $F_t(K)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex for all  $t$  in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . (Here,  $F_t = F(\cdot, t)$ .)*

**EXAMPLE 2.** If  $\Sigma$  is a totally real affine subspace of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , then every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Sigma$  is polynomially convex. This follows from the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem and from the fact that the general linear group  $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{C})$  acts transitively on the set of totally real subspaces of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  of dimension  $k$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.1, every small  $\mathbf{C}^2$  perturbation of a compact subset  $K \subset \Sigma$  is polynomially convex.

We shall consider the same question in the case when  $K$  is a subset with nonempty interior in a Stein manifold. Suppose that  $D$  is an open relatively compact subset of  $M$  whose topological boundary  $\bar{D} \setminus D$  contains a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $\Gamma$  such that  $D$  lies on the convex side of  $\Gamma$ . More precisely, we assume

that there is an open subset  $V$  of  $M$  and a strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\rho: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathbf{C}^2$  such that

- (i)  $D \cap V = \{x \in V \mid \rho(x) < 0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\Gamma \cap V = \{x \in V \mid \rho(x) = 0\} \subset \subset \Gamma$ , and
- (iii)  $d\rho \neq 0$  on the set  $\Gamma \cap V$ .

We define the *support* of a diffeomorphism  $\Psi: M \rightarrow M$  to be the closure of the set  $\{x \in M \mid \Psi(x) \neq x\}$  where  $\Psi$  differs from the identity map.

**1.3 THEOREM.** *Let  $D$  be an open relatively compact subset of a Stein manifold  $M$  that satisfies the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) above. If the set  $K = \bar{D}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex, then for every sufficiently small  $\mathbf{C}^2$  perturbation  $\Psi: M \rightarrow M$  supported in  $V$  the set  $\Psi(K)$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex.*

**1.4 COROLLARY.** *If  $D$  is a relatively compact strictly pseudoconvex domain in a Stein manifold  $M$  such that  $\bar{D}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex, then every sufficiently small  $\mathbf{C}^2$ -perturbation of  $\bar{D}$  in  $M$  is also  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex.*

In §2 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2; in §3 we prove Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4.

I wish to thank Professor Edgar Lee Stout for several helpful conversations.

**2. Polynomial convexity of totally real sets.**

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** If we embed the Stein manifold  $M$  in a complex Euclidean space  $\mathbf{C}^n$  [4], then a compact subset  $K$  of  $M \subset \mathbf{C}^n$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex if and only if it is polynomially convex. Therefore it suffices to prove the theorem in the case when  $M = \mathbf{C}^n$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $\rho$  a nonnegative strictly plurisubharmonic function on  $U$ , and let  $K = \{z \in U \mid \rho(z) = 0\}$  be a compact polynomially convex subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Choose a smooth function  $\chi$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ , such that  $\chi = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $K$  and  $\chi = 0$  outside a compact subset of  $U$ . Let  $E = \mathbf{C}^2(U)^n$  be the Banach space with the norm (1.2). Given a  $\psi \in E$  we consider the map  $\Psi: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(z) = z + \chi(z)\psi(z).$$

Clearly  $\Psi$  is proper. If the  $E$ -norm of  $\psi$  is sufficiently small, then  $\Psi$  is also regular and hence a covering projection. Since  $\Psi$  is one-to-one outside a compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , it has only one sheet and therefore it is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  onto  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . Every small perturbation of  $K$  can be achieved within  $U$  with a map of the form (2.1).

Choose a neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$ ,  $\bar{V}$  contained in  $U$ , such that  $\chi = 1$  on a neighborhood of  $\bar{V}$ . There exists a  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that  $\phi < 0$  on the set  $K$  but  $\phi > 0$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus V$  [4, p. 110]. Choose a  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$  function  $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that is equal to 0 on  $(-\infty, 0]$  and is strictly convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then the function

$$\rho' = \chi \circ \rho + ch \circ \phi: \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

is a strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function of class  $\mathbf{C}^2$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  provided that the constant  $c > 0$  is chosen sufficiently big, and  $K = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid \rho'(z) = 0\}$ .

If  $\psi \in E$  is small, the function  $\tau = \rho' \circ \Psi^{-1}$  is a small  $C^2$  perturbation of  $\rho'$ , and  $\tau = \rho'$  outside a large compact subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Hence the Levi form  $L_\tau = \Sigma(\partial^2 \rho / \partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k) dz_j \otimes d\bar{z}_k$  of  $\rho$  is a small perturbation of the Levi form  $L_{\rho'}$  of  $\rho'$ , and they agree outside  $B$ . Since the eigenvalues of  $L_{\rho'}$  are positive on the compact set  $B$ , the same is true for  $L_\tau$ . This says that  $\tau$  is a nonnegative strictly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The approximation theorem [4, p. 119, Theorem 5.2.8] implies that the zero set of  $\tau$  is polynomially convex. Since  $\Psi(K) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \tau(z) = 0\}$ , Theorem 1.1 is proved.

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2.** We may take  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$  as before. Choose an open relatively compact neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$  in  $N$ . For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^m$  close to 0 the set  $V_t = F_t(V)$  is a totally real submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and the map  $\Phi_t: V_0 \rightarrow V_t$ ,  $\Phi_t = F_t \circ F_0^{-1}$ , is close to the identity map on  $V_0$  in the  $C^2$ -sense. Since  $\Phi_t(F_0(K)) = F_t(K)$ , it suffices to show that there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $F_0(K)$  such that for each  $t$  the map  $\Phi_t$  can be extended to a map  $\Psi_t$  on  $U$  that is close to the identity in the  $C^2$ -sense on  $U$ .

The map  $\phi_t(z) = \Phi_t(z) - z$ ,  $z \in V_0$ , is small in the  $C^2$ -sense. Using a smooth partition of unity we extend  $\phi_t$  to a  $C^2$  map  $\psi_t$  on  $U$  such that

$$\|\psi_t\|_{C^2(U)} \leq c \|\phi_t\|_{C^2(V_0)},$$

where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $t$ . The map  $\Psi_t(z) = z + \psi_t$ ,  $z \in U$ , is the desired extension of  $\Phi_t$ . Corollary 1.2 now follows from Theorem 1.1.

**3. Perturbations on strictly pseudoconvex boundary points.** We shall first consider the perturbations of  $D$  that are supported in small subsets of  $V$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in \Gamma \cap V$ , an open neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $x_0$  such that  $\bar{V}_0 \subset V$ , and a strictly plurisubharmonic defining function  $\rho$  for  $D \cap V_0$ . According to [1, p. 530, Proposition 1] there exist a bounded strictly convex open set  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  ( $n = \dim M$ ) with  $C^2$  boundary, a holomorphic map  $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  and an open set  $U \subset M$ ,  $x_0 \in U \subset C \subset V_0$ , such that the following hold:

- (i)  $\Phi(D) \subset C$ ,
- (ii)  $\Phi(\{z \in U \mid \rho(z) > 0\}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \bar{C}$ ,
- (iii)  $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(U)) = U$ , and
- (iv) the restriction  $\Phi|_U$  is regular and one-to-one.

Let  $W$  be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  such that  $\bar{W} \subset U$ . If  $\Psi$  is a small  $C^2$  perturbation of  $D$  supported in  $W$ , then  $\check{\Psi} = \Phi \circ \Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}|_{\Phi(U)}$  is a small  $C^2$  perturbation of  $C$  supported in  $\Phi(U)$ . We choose  $\Psi$  so close to the identity map that the set  $\check{\Psi}(D)$  is still convex. For every point  $x \in U \setminus \overline{\check{\Psi}(D)}$  we have  $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{\check{\Psi}(C)}$  and hence there is a holomorphic function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $h(\Phi(x)) = 1$ , but  $|h| < \frac{1}{2}$  on  $\check{\Psi}(C)$ . Because of (i) and (iii) above it follows that the point  $x$  does not lie in the  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -hull of  $\overline{\check{\Psi}(D)}$ .

To simplify the notation we write  $K = \bar{D}$  and  $K' = \overline{\check{\Psi}(D)}$ . The conclusion we just made is that

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{K}' \cap U = K' \cap U,$$

where  $\hat{K}'$  is the  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex hull of  $K'$ . Since the support of  $\Psi$  is contained in  $W$ , we have  $K' \setminus W = K \setminus W$ , and hence (3.1) implies

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{K}' \cap (U \setminus W) = K \cap (U \setminus W).$$

We shall prove that  $\hat{K}' = K'$ . Assume that  $\hat{K}' \neq K'$  in order to reach a contradiction. Because of (3.1) the two sets can differ only outside  $U$ . Since  $K \setminus U = K' \setminus U$ , the set  $\hat{K}' \setminus U$  is strictly larger than  $K \setminus U$ . The polynomially convex set  $K$  has a basis of open neighborhoods  $\Omega$  that are smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains with  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex closure  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Thus we may choose  $\Omega$  with these properties that does not contain the set  $\hat{K}' \setminus U$ . By an embedding theorem for strictly pseudoconvex domains due to Fornaess [1, p. 543] and Khenkin [5, p. 668] there exists a holomorphic embedding  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and a bounded strictly convex domain  $B \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $F(\Omega) \subset B$  and  $F(M \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \subset \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \bar{B}$ . We may assume that  $0 \in B$ . Let

$$(3.3) \quad t_0 = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid F(\hat{K}' \setminus U) \subset t\bar{B} \}$$

and replace  $B$  by  $t_0 B$ . Then

$$(3.4) \quad F(\hat{K}' \setminus U) \subset \bar{B},$$

and there is a point  $p \in F(\hat{K}' \setminus U) \cap bB$ . The set  $A = \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \overline{F(W)}$  is open and contains the point  $p$ . Moreover, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that  $F(\hat{K}') \cap A \subset \bar{B}$ . This means that locally near  $p$  the polynomially convex set  $F(\hat{K}') = F(K')$  lies on the convex side of the smooth strictly convex hypersurface  $bB$ . According to [1, p. 530] there exists a holomorphic function  $g$  defined on a neighborhood of  $F(\hat{K}')$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that

$$g(p) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |g(q)| < 1 \quad \text{for } q \in F(\hat{K}') \setminus \{p\}.$$

If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, the set

$$F(\hat{K}') \cap \{|g| \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \subsetneq F(\hat{K}')$$

is polynomially convex and contains  $F(K')$ . This is a contradiction since  $F(\hat{K}') = F(K')$  is the polynomially convex hull of  $F(K')$ . This concludes the proof in the case when the support of the perturbation  $\Psi$  is sufficiently small.

It remains to consider the general case. Let  $\Gamma'$  be an open relatively compact subset of  $\Gamma \cap V$ . Using the methods introduced by Fornaess in [1] we can show that there exist an open set  $U \subset \subset V$  such that  $U \cap \Gamma = \Gamma'$ , a holomorphic map  $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  and a bounded strictly convex domain  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $\mathbb{C}^2$  boundary such that the properties (i)–(iv) above hold. Moreover, the map  $F$  is transversal to  $bC$  at every point  $x \in \Gamma'$ . It follows that every small perturbation of  $D$  supported in  $U$  can be effected by a small perturbation of  $C$  supported in a neighborhood of  $F(\Gamma')$ . The proof can be completed in the same way as above. We omit the details.

## REFERENCES

1. J. E. Fornaess, *Embedding strictly pseudoconvex domains in convex domains*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 529–569.
2. F. R. Harvey and R. O. Wells, *Holomorphic approximation and hyperfunction theory on a  $C^1$  totally real submanifold of a complex manifold*, Math. Ann. **197** (1972), 287–318.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Zero sets of non-negative strictly plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. **201** (1973), 165–170.
4. L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North-Holland, Amsterdam and London, 1973.
5. G. M. Khenkin and E. M. Čirka, *Boundary properties of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Sovremeni Problemi Mat. **4**, Moskva 1975, pp. 13–142; English transl., Soviet Math. J. **5** (1976), no. 5, 612–687. (Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195

*Current address:* Univerza E. K. V Ljubljani, FNT-Matematika, Jadranska 19, 61 000 Ljubljana, Yugoslavia