

## SIMILARITY-INVARIANT CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS ON $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$

DOMINGO A. HERRERO<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Let  $f: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function from the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  into a  $T_1$ -topological space  $X$ . If  $f(WAW^{-1}) = f(A)$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and all invertible  $W$ , then  $f$  is a constant function. The same result is true for a function  $f$  satisfying the above conditions defined on a connected open subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_0 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}): T \text{ has no normal eigenvalues}\}$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the algebra of all (bounded linear) operators acting on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The classical spectral functions ( $\sigma$  (spectrum),  $\text{sp}$  (spectral radius),  $\sigma_e$  (Calkin essential spectrum),  $\sigma_{lre}$  (Wolf essential spectrum, i.e., the complement in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  of the semi-Fredholm domain), etc.), mapping  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  (endowed with the norm-topology) into the space  $X$  of all compact subsets of  $\mathbf{C}$  (Hausdorff metric), or  $X =$  the real interval  $[0, \infty)$  (the usual topology) have a very erratic behavior.

Indeed, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is infinite dimensional, all these functions, and the uncountably many analyzed in [3], with the single exception of the spectral radius, are *continuous on a dense subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$* , and *discontinuous on another dense subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$* !

The spectral radius, on the other hand, is continuous on an open dense subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , *but not everywhere*. (We can also find certain “natural” spectral functions which are discontinuous everywhere; see the above reference.)

Is this behavior a peculiarity of our particular functions? Or, is it possible to construct some “natural” spectral function which is *continuous everywhere*? The answer is: NO. The existence of discontinuities for all these functions is in the nature of things, not a peculiarity of the special functions considered in the literature, and the deep reason is that the spectral functions are *similarity-invariant*; that is, they take the same value on all the elements of the similarity orbit

$$\mathcal{S}(T) = \{WTW^{-1}: W \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})\}$$

of an operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . (Here  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the group of all invertible operators.)

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function defined on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  ( $\mathcal{H}$  a complex separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space) with values on a  $T_1$ -topological space  $X$ .*

*Suppose that  $f(WTW^{-1}) = f(T)$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , and all  $W \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $f$  is a constant function.*

---

Received by the editors December 3, 1984 and, in revised form, April 11, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A10, 47A60.

*Key words and phrases*. Similarity-invariant continuous function, closure of a similarity orbit, spectral functions, norm-topology,  $T_1$ -topological space.

<sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by a Grant of the National Science Foundation.

The special case of the spectral radius (continuous on an open dense subset) can be explained as a sort of finite dimensional phenomenon of the following type: Given an operator  $A$ , acting on a complex infinite dimensional Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $A - B$  is a finite rank operator,  $\|A - B\| < \varepsilon$ , and  $B$  has a *normal eigenvalue*  $\mu$  (that is,  $\mu$  is an isolated point of the spectrum,  $\sigma(B)$ , of  $B$ , and the Riesz spectral subspace  $\mathcal{X}(\{\mu\}; B)$  corresponding to the clopen subset  $\{\mu\}$  of  $\sigma(B)$  is finite dimensional; see [4]).

Given  $B$  and  $\mu$  as above, there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\|B - C\| < \eta$ , then  $\sigma(C)$  does not intersect the circle  $\gamma(\mu; \delta) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: |\lambda - \mu| = \delta\}$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma(C) \cap \{\lambda: |\lambda - \mu| < \delta\} \neq \emptyset$ , and the Riesz spectral subspace  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma; C)$  corresponding to the clopen subset  $\sigma$  of  $\sigma(C)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{X}(\{\mu\}; B)$ . For practical purposes, we can directly assume that  $\mathcal{X}(\{\mu\}; B)$  and  $\mathcal{X}(\sigma; C)$  are identified with  $\mathbf{C}^n$  (where  $n = \dim \mathcal{X}(\{\mu\}; B)$ ). Let  $f$  be a similarity-invariant function defined on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , with values in a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  (for instance,  $f(T) = \text{trace}(T)$ , or  $f(T) = \sigma(T)$ , or  $f(T) = \text{sp}(T)$ , etc.); then we can define

$$g: \{C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}): \|B - C\| < \eta\} \rightarrow X$$

(by using the above identification) via  $g(C) = f(C|_{\mathcal{X}(\sigma; C)})$ .

It is easily seen that  $g$  is continuous, and  $g(WCW^{-1}) = g(C)$  for all  $C$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  and all  $W$  in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\|B - C\| < \eta$ ,  $\|B - WCW^{-1}\| < \eta$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_0 = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}): \sigma_0(T) = \emptyset\},$$

where  $\sigma_0(T)$  denotes the set of all normal eigenvalues of  $T$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_0$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  [4] (see also [1, Proposition 11.30]). We have the following result:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a complex infinite dimensional Banach space. For each  $B$  in the open dense subset  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \setminus \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_0$  (of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ ), there exists a nonconstant continuous similarity-invariant function  $g$  defined on some neighborhood of  $B$ .*

(Compare this result and its proof with Proposition 8 of [3], on the set of points of continuity of the spectral radius function.)

Similarity-invariant continuous functions from  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{C}^n)$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  admit a very simple characterization. The reader can find a short proof of this characterization in the recently published note of R. Koch [6]. (Clearly,  $\mathbf{C}$  can be replaced by any  $T_1$ -space in the above-mentioned note. The author is deeply indebted to Professor Abraham Sinkov for calling his attention to Koch's article.)

In the case when  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, Proposition 2 is the best possible result on these lines. Indeed, we have

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open (in the relative norm-topology) subset of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_0$ . If  $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a continuous function defined on  $\Omega$  with values on a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  such that  $f(WTW^{-1}) = f(T)$  for all  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_0$  and all  $W$  in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $T, WTW^{-1} \in \Omega$ , then  $f$  is a constant function.*

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** We shall need two results on approximation of operators. Recall that  $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma(\pi(T))$  ( $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ), where  $\pi: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  is the canonical projection onto the Calkin algebra. (Here  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the ideal of all compact operators.)

In what follows,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$  will denote the family of all those operators  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  with the following property: If  $\mu$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma_e(T)$  and

$$f_{\mu,k}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} (\lambda - \mu)^k & \text{on some neighborhood of } \mu, \\ 0 & \text{on some neighborhood of } \sigma_e(T) \setminus \{\mu\}, \end{cases}$$

then  $f_{\mu,k}(\pi(T)) \neq 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $f_{\mu,k}(\pi(T))$  is defined, as usual, via functional calculus).

The first result is a weak version of Theorem 9.1 of [1].

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$ . Then the closure  $\mathcal{S}(T)^-$ , of  $\mathcal{S}(T)$ , coincides with the set of all those operators  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  satisfying the conditions:*

- (S)<sub>0</sub>  $\sigma_0(A) \subset \sigma_0(T)$  and  $\dim \mathcal{X}(\{\lambda\}; A) = \dim \mathcal{X}(\{\lambda\}; T)$  for all  $\lambda$  in  $\sigma_0(A)$ ;
- (S) each component of  $\sigma_{lre}(A)$  intersects  $\sigma_e(T)$ ;
- (F) the semi-Fredholm domain  $\rho_{s-F}(A)$  [2, 5], of  $A$ , is a subset of  $\rho_{s-F}(T)$ , and  $\text{ind}(\lambda - A) = \text{ind}(\lambda - T)$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}(A)$ ;
- (F)<sub>0</sub>  $\min\{\text{nul}(\lambda - A)^k, \text{nul}(\lambda - A)^{*k}\} \geq \min\{\text{nul}(\lambda - T)^k, \text{nul}(\lambda - T)^{*k}\}$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}(A)$  and all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Furthermore, if

$$\mathcal{S}(\pi(T)) = \{\pi(W)\pi(T)\pi(W)^{-1} : \pi(W) \in \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})]\},$$

then

$$\mathcal{S}(\pi(T))^- = \{\pi(A) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}) : A \text{ satisfies (S) and (F)}\}.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ , and  $\pi[\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u]$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ .

The second result is a corollary of the first one, and a standard approximation result (see, e.g., [2, Corollary 3.50]).

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $M$  be a normal operator such that*

$$\sigma(M) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \text{dist}[\lambda, \sigma_{lre}(A)] \leq \varepsilon/2\}.$$

There exists  $A'$  similar to  $A \oplus M$  such that  $\|A - A'\| < \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, if  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$ , then  $A' \in \mathcal{S}(A)^-$ .

Let  $f$  and  $X$  be as in Theorem 1. Since the singletons are closed in  $X$ , and  $f$  is continuous and similarity-invariant, we infer that  $f|_{\mathcal{S}(T)^-}$  is constant for each  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ .

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$ . Then Proposition 4 implies that  $\mathcal{S}(A)^- \cap \mathcal{S}(B)^- \neq \emptyset$ . For instance, the intersection contains every normal operator  $M$  such that  $\sigma(M) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda| \leq \max\{\text{sp}(A), \text{sp}(B)\}\}$ . It follows that  $f(A) = f(M) = f(B)$ , and therefore  $f$  is constant on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$ .

Since, by Proposition 4,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ , we conclude that  $f$  is a constant function.  $\square$

**REMARK.** Theorem 1 remains true (by the same proof) if we merely assume that  $f$  is only defined on some ball about  $0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $A \in \Omega$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough to guarantee that the intersection  $\mathcal{B}(A; \varepsilon)$  of the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  about  $A$  with  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_0$  is included in  $\Omega$ . Let the normal operator  $M$ , and let the operator  $A'$  similar to  $A \oplus M$  be defined as in Proposition 5 (so that  $A' \in \mathcal{B}(A; \varepsilon)$ ).

By Proposition 4, we can find  $A'' \in \mathcal{B}(A; \varepsilon)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$  such that  $A, A' \in \mathcal{S}(A'')^-$ . By using the stability properties of the index and a standard compactness argument, we can find  $\eta$ ,  $0 < \eta < \varepsilon/2$ , such that  $\rho_{s-F}(B) \supset \rho_{s-F}(A') = \rho_{s-F}(A) \setminus \sigma(M)$ , and  $\text{ind}(\lambda - B) = \text{ind}(\lambda - A) (= \text{ind}(\lambda - A'))$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}(A')$  and all  $B$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  such that  $\|A - B\| < \eta$ .

We deduce, as in the proof of Theorem 1, that  $f(A) = f(A'') = f(A') = f(C)$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{B}(A; \eta) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})_u$  such that  $\min\{\text{nul}(\lambda - C), \text{nul}(\lambda - C)^*\} = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}(B)$ . (Observe that  $A' \in \mathcal{S}(C)^{-1}$ .)

By Proposition 4, every  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}(A; \eta)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}(C)^-$  for some  $C$  as above. But in this case  $f(B) = f(C) = f(A)$ , so that  $f$  is constant on  $\mathcal{B}(A; \eta)$ .

Since  $\Omega$  is connected, we conclude that  $f$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

Minor modifications of the same argument yield the following analogous result for the Calkin algebra:

**THEOREM 6.** *A continuous similarity-invariant function  $h$  defined on an open connected subset  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X})$ , with values on a  $T_1$ -space, is necessarily constant on  $\Phi$ .*

**REMARK.** Theorems 1, 3, and 6 remain true if  $\mathcal{X}$  is nonseparable. Indeed, if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  ( $\mathcal{X}$  nonseparable), we can always find a separable subspace  $\mathcal{R}$  reducing  $T$  such that  $\sigma(T|\mathcal{R}) = \sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_0(T|\mathcal{R}) = \sigma_0(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{X}(\{\lambda\}; T|\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{X}(\{\lambda\}; T)$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma_0(T)$ ,  $\sigma_e(T|\mathcal{R}) = \sigma_e(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{lre}(T|\mathcal{R}) = \sigma_{lre}(T)$ , and  $\text{ind}(\lambda - T|\mathcal{R}) = \text{ind}(\lambda - T)$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}(T)$ . Now the results can be proved exactly as in the separable case, by modifying  $A$ ,  $B$ , etc., only on a suitable separable subspace reducing both operators. The details are left to the reader.

## REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, L. A. Fialkow, D. A. Herrero and D. Voiculescu, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, Vol. II, Research Notes Math., no. 102, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London and Melbourne, 1984.
2. D. A. Herrero, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, Vol. I, Research Notes Math., no. 72, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, London and Melbourne, 1982.
3. —, *Continuity of spectral functions and the lakes of Wada*, Pacific J. Math. **113** (1984), 365–371.
4. D. A. Herrero and N. Salinas, *Operators with disconnected spectra are dense*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 525–526.
5. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
6. R. Koch, *Matrix invariants*, Amer. Math. Monthly **91** (1984), 573–575.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, ARIZONA 85287