

## INVARIANT IDEALS AND BOREL SETS

ANDRZEJ PELC

ABSTRACT. We investigate the size of the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(I)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the family of Borel sets and  $I$  is a translation invariant ideal of sets of reals. In particular the question whether  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  can contain Vitali selectors or even all sets of reals is discussed in connection with the completeness of  $I$  and its invariance.

All our considerations refer to the set  $\mathbf{R}$  of reals.  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the set of rationals and  $\mathcal{B}$  the algebra of Borel sets. A family  $I$  of subsets of a set  $A$  is called an ideal on  $A$  iff  $I$  contains all singletons, does not contain  $A$ , and is closed under the operations of finite unions and of taking subsets. An ideal  $I$  on  $A$  is called uniform iff  $X \in I$  whenever  $|X| < |A|$  and is called  $\kappa$ -complete iff  $\bigcup X \in I$  whenever  $X \subset I$  and  $|X| < \kappa$ ;  $\omega_1$ -complete ideals are called  $\sigma$ -complete. An ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  is called invariant iff  $x + A \in I$  for every  $A \in I$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . It is called  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant iff  $x + A \in I$  for every  $A \in I$  and  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ . For any ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  denotes the algebra of sets  $\{B \Delta N: B \in \mathcal{B}, N \in I\}$ .  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  denotes the set of all subsets of  $X$ .

If  $I$  is the ideal of Lebesgue measure zero sets or of meager sets then  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  does not contain Vitali selectors (selectors of the family of cosets of  $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$  in  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ ). We investigate the problem if this remains true for more general classes of invariant or  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideals. We also discuss the possibility  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$  in this context.

Our first theorem shows that for some general classes of ideals the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  does not contain Vitali selectors or other specifically constructed sets.

**THEOREM 1.** (a) *There exists a set  $X$  of reals such that  $X \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$  for any  $2^\omega$ -complete invariant ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

(b) *Assume CH. There exists a set  $X$  of reals such that  $X \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$  for any  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .*

(c) *Assume CH and Kurepa's hypothesis. For every  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  there exists a Vitali selector  $S \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$ .*

**PROOF.** (a) Let  $H = \{h_\alpha: \alpha < 2^\omega\}$  be a Hamel basis and  $S_\alpha$  the set of those reals in whose  $H$ -representation the elements  $h_\beta: \beta < \alpha$  do not appear at all and the element  $h_\alpha$  appears with coefficient 1. Hence those sets are pairwise disjoint and  $S_\alpha$  is a selector of the family of cosets of the group generated by  $\{h_\beta: \beta \leq \alpha\}$ . Let  $\{B_\alpha: \alpha < 2^\omega\}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We define  $T_\alpha = S_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha$  and  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < 2^\omega} T_\alpha$ . For any  $\alpha < 2^\omega$  we get

$$X \Delta B = (X \setminus B_\alpha) \cup (B_\alpha \setminus X) \supset T_\alpha \cup (B_\alpha \cap S_\alpha) = S_\alpha.$$

If  $I$  is a  $2^\omega$ -complete invariant ideal the sets  $S_\alpha$  are outside of  $I$ , which shows that  $X \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$ .  $\square$

---

Received by the editors October 10, 1984 and, in revised form, July 11, 1985.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 04A20, 03E05; Secondary 28A05.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

(b) Take any uncountable family of pairwise almost disjoint functions  $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$ . It gives also an uncountable family  $\{S_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of Vitali's selectors. Let  $\{B_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathcal{B}$ . We construct sets  $\{T_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  by putting

$$T_\alpha = (S_\alpha \setminus B_\alpha) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta.$$

Let finally  $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} T_\alpha$ . Hence, for any  $\alpha < \omega_1$

$$X \Delta B_\alpha = (X \setminus B_\alpha) \cup (B_\alpha \setminus X) \supset T_\alpha \cup (B_\alpha \cap S_\alpha) \supset S_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta.$$

Take any  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$ . Hence  $S_\alpha \notin I$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and since the set  $S_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta$  is countable, this shows that  $X \Delta B_\alpha \notin I$  for any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Hence  $X \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$ .  $\square$

(c) By Kurepa's hypothesis there exists a family of  $\omega_2$  pairwise almost disjoint functions  $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$ . In view of CH this leads to a family  $\{S_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_2\}$  of pairwise almost disjoint Vitali selectors. Let  $I$  be any  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\forall \alpha < \omega_2$ ,  $S_\alpha \notin I$  and  $\forall \alpha, \beta < \omega_2$   $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \in I$ . Since by CH  $\omega_2 > |\mathcal{B}|$ , this shows that for some  $\alpha < \omega_2$ ,  $S_\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}(I)$ .  $\square$

The next theorem shows that Vitali selectors may belong to  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  or even to  $I$  for some translation invariant ideals.

**THEOREM 2.** (a) *There exists a  $2^\omega$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  such that the algebra  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  contains a Vitali selector.*

(b) *There exists an invariant ideal  $I$  containing all Vitali selectors.*

**PROOF.** (a) It is enough to construct a nonempty perfect set  $P$  such that  $q + P$  is disjoint from  $P$  for every  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Then  $P$  is a subset of a Vitali selector  $S$  and the set  $\bigcup_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} q + (S \setminus P)$  generates a  $2^\omega$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  such that  $S \in \mathcal{B}(I)$ .

We construct the set  $P$  by induction simultaneously defining a function  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  and a family of closed sets  $\{A_n: n \in \omega\}$ . In the first step we chose the interval  $A_1 = [0, 1]$  and let  $f(1) = 1$ . Suppose that  $2^{n-1}$  closed subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  of length  $1/f(n)$  were chosen in the  $n$ th step and their union was called  $A_n$ . In the  $(n + 1)$  step we divide each of those intervals into  $(n + 1)$  equal closed subintervals and take the coinital one in each case. Then we divide each of thus obtained  $2^{n-1}$  intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_{2^{n-1}}$  of length  $1/f(n)(n + 1)$  into  $2^n - 1$  equal closed subintervals  $I_i^1, \dots, I_i^{2^n-1}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ . Finally we choose  $2^n$  intervals  $I_1^1, I_1^3, I_2^5, I_2^7, \dots, I_i^{4i+1}, I_i^{4i+3}, \dots, I_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n-3}, I_{2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1}$  and call their union  $A_{n+1}$ .

The descending closed sets  $A_n: n \in \omega$  are now defined by induction. We put  $P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n$ .  $P$  is clearly perfect and  $(q + P) \cap P = \emptyset$  for any  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$  because in the  $n$ th step we assured that  $(k/nf(n-1) + A_n) \cap A_n = \emptyset$  for any  $1 \leq k \leq nf(n-1)$ .  $\square$

(b) Let  $S$  be a Vitali selector and  $x_1, \dots, x_k \notin \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_k \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Consider any coset  $x + \mathbf{Q}$ , where  $x \in S$ . We show that the set  $A = (\{x_1, \dots, x_k, q_1, \dots, q_k\} + S) \cap (x + \mathbf{Q})$  is finite. Indeed suppose  $x_1 + s_1 = x + q_1$ ,  $x_1 + s_2 = x + q_2$ , where  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Hence  $s_1 - s_2 = q_1 - q_2 \in \mathbf{Q}$ , which implies  $s_1 = s_2$ . This shows that  $A$  has at most  $2k$  elements. Hence for any finite family  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  of Vitali selectors and any finite set  $C$  of reals the set  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C + S_i$  has a finite intersection with every coset of  $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$  in  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ . This implies that the family of all Vitali selectors generates an invariant ideal.  $\square$

The above results show that the degree of completeness and of invariance enjoyed by an ideal  $I$  may have major impact on the size of  $\mathcal{B}(I)$ . We will now discuss the question whether  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  may contain all sets of reals for  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant or invariant ideals  $I$ , gradually restricting attention to more and more complete ones.

If no completeness is assumed then  $I$  can be a prime ideal and hence  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  contains all subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ . In this case we can also require that  $I$  be uniform. If we restrict attention to  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideals, they cannot be prime anymore (see [3, Remark 3]) but may have the property  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ . It suffices to take the ideal generated by singletons and  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ . However this ideal is not uniform (cf. Problem 1). The problem if  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  may contain all sets of reals for some invariant ideal  $I$  remains open (Problem 2).

We next turn attention to  $\sigma$ -complete ideals. In the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant setting the existence of such ideals  $I$  for which  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  contains all sets of reals is independent of ZFC.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The statement: "There exists a  $\sigma$ -complete  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  for which  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ " is independent of ZFC.*

**PROOF.** Assuming the continuum hypothesis, for every  $\sigma$ -complete ideal  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  there is a family  $\{X_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of pairwise disjoint sets outside of  $I$  (because  $I$  cannot be  $\sigma$ -saturated). This shows that the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})/I$  has cardinality  $2^{\omega_1}$  hence greater than  $2^\omega$ , which implies  $\mathcal{B}(I) \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ . On the other hand a lemma of Silver (cf. Martin and Solovay [1]) says that if Martin's Axiom (MA) is assumed and  $A$  is a set of reals of cardinality  $< 2^\omega$  then for every  $C \subset A$  there is a Borel set  $C^*$  s.t.  $C = C^* \cap A$ . Assume MA+|CH and let  $A$  be any union of  $\omega_1$  cosets of  $(\mathbf{Q}, +)$  in  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -complete ideal  $I$  generated by  $\mathbf{R} \setminus A$  and all singletons is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant and  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ .  $\square$

**REMARK.** The ideal  $I$  constructed above is not uniform but those ideals are excluded by the following result of Taylor [4]:  $|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})/I| > 2^\omega$  for all uniform  $\sigma$ -complete ideals  $I$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $\square$

Further restriction to  $\sigma$ -complete invariant ideals prohibits  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  from containing all sets of reals.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *For every  $\sigma$ -complete invariant ideal  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(I) \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ .*

**PROOF.** We follow an argument from Pelc [2]. Let  $\{h_\alpha: \alpha < 2^\omega\}$  be a Hamel basis and for any finite sequence  $s = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  of rationals let

$$V_s = \{q_0 h_{\alpha_0} + \dots + q_n h_{\alpha_n}: \alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_n < 2^\omega\}.$$

By  $\sigma$ -completeness of  $I$ ,  $V_{s_0} \notin I$  for some finite sequence  $s_0$ . Let  $q$  be any rational which does not appear in  $s_0$ . Clearly for any  $\alpha < \beta < 2^\omega$

$$(qh_\alpha + V_{s_0}) \cap (qh_\beta + V_{s_0}) = \emptyset.$$

By invariance of  $I$  we get a family of  $2^\omega$  pairwise disjoint sets outside of  $I$ . This shows that the cardinality of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})/I$  is  $2^{2^\omega}$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}(I) \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ .  $\square$

Let us finally remark that for  $2^\omega$ -complete ideals  $I$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  cannot contain all sets of reals even if no invariance is assumed. This follows from Taylor's result mentioned above, since  $2^\omega$ -complete ideals are uniform.

We close the paper with a list of open problems. Two of them were mentioned before.

*Problem 1.* Does there exist a uniform  $\mathbf{Q}$ -invariant ideal  $I$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ ?

*Problem 2.* Does there exist an invariant ideal  $I$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ ?

The third problem is due to Brzuchowski and Cichoń [0] (unpublished). A partial solution to it is given in Theorem 2(a).

*Problem 3.* Does there exist a  $\sigma$ -complete invariant ideal  $I$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(I)$  contains a Vitali selector?

#### REFERENCES

0. J. Brzuchowski and J. Cichoń, *Miara i kategoria*, unpublished.
1. D. A. Martin and R. M. Solovay, *Internal Cohen extensions*, Ann. of Math. Logic **2** (1970), 143–178.
2. A. Pelc, *Invariant measures and ideals on discrete groups*, Dissertationes Math. (in print).
3. C. Ryll-Nardzewski and R. Telgársky, *The nonexistence of universal invariant measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 240–242.
4. A. Taylor, *On the cardinality of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I$* , handwritten notes.

DÉPARTEMENT D'INFORMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À HULL, CASE POSTALE  
1250, SUCCURSALE B, HULL, QUÉBEC J8X 3X7, CANADA