

ON THE ALGEBRA OF BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC MARTINGALES

HITOSHI ARAI

ABSTRACT. The properties of the algebra H^∞ of bounded holomorphic martingales are further studied following the work of N. Th. Varopoulos [11]. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the behavior of weak* closed algebras B with $H^\infty \subsetneq B \subsetneq L^\infty$ and the support sets of H^∞ -functions. We also give some results on the factorization theorem for H^∞ and extreme points of the unit ball of H^∞ .

1. Introduction. Let $(z_1(t): t \geq 0), \dots, (z_m(t): t \geq 0)$ be m independent complex Brownian motions on a complete probability space (Ω, P) such that

$$P(z_1(0) = \dots = z_m(0) = 0) = 1.$$

For every $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F}(t)$ denotes the σ -field generated by $\{z_j(t): 0 \leq s \leq t; j = 1, \dots, m\}$ and the P -null sets, and \mathcal{F} denotes the σ -field generated by $\bigcup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}(t)$. Let \mathcal{S} be the collection of $(\mathcal{F}(t))$ -stopping times. Then for every $T \in \mathcal{S}$, we put $\mathcal{F}(T) = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}(t) \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$.

Let us denote by $H^\infty(\Omega)$ the algebra of bounded $(\mathcal{F}(t))$ -martingales $(X_t: t \geq 0)$ which admit an Ito integral representation of the form

$$X_t = X_0 + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \alpha_j(s) dz_j(s) \quad (t \geq 0),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are predictable processes. The algebra $H^\infty(\Omega)$ was introduced by N. Th. Varopoulos [11], and it was shown that $H^\infty \equiv \{X_\infty: (X_t: t \geq 0) \in H^\infty(\Omega)\}$ is a weak* Dirichlet algebra on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) (cf. [11, Theorem 3.1]). See [10] for weak* Dirichlet algebras.

Here we investigate properties of H^∞ . The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem which implies some results as corollaries on the factorization theorem for H^∞ , extreme points of the unit ball of H^∞ and superalgebras of H^∞ .

THEOREM. (i) *Let $T \in \mathcal{S}$ with $0 < T < \infty$ a.s., and let A_T be the weak* closed algebra generated by H^∞ and $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(T), P)$. Then $H^\infty \subsetneq A_T \subsetneq L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and $A_T \cap \bar{A}_T = L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}(T), P)$ (the bar denotes conjugation, here and always). Obviously H^∞ is not weak* maximal.*

(ii) *For every $A \in \bigcup\{\mathcal{F}(T): T \in \mathcal{S}, T < \infty \text{ a.s.}\}$ and for every two positive numbers α and ε , there exists an $X \in H^\infty$ satisfying the following:*

- (a) $X_R = 0$ a.s. on the complement of A , for an arbitrary $R \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (b) $0 < |X| \leq \alpha$ a.s. on A ,
- (c) $P(A \setminus \{|X| = \alpha\}) < \varepsilon$.

Received by the editors May 25, 1984 and, in revised form, May 1, 1985..

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46J15, 60G45.

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic martingales, weak* Dirichlet algebras, Hardy spaces.

2. Notation. For every subset D of $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, $[D]$ denotes the weak* closed algebra generated by D in $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the closure of H^∞ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ will be denoted by H^p . Suppose that \mathcal{G} is a sub- σ -field of \mathcal{F} . We put $L^p(\mathcal{G}) = L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$, $H^p(\mathcal{G}) = H^p \cap L^p(\mathcal{G})$ and $L^p = L^p(\mathcal{F})$ ($0 < p \leq \infty$).

For $X \in L^p$ we write $I(X)$ for the characteristic function of the support set $\text{supp}(X)$ of X .

For every Borel set $G \subset \mathbb{C}$, let us denote by $T(G, j)$ the first time at which the Brownian motion $(z_j(t); t \geq 0)$ escapes from G ($1 \leq j \leq m$). Then we put $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{F}(T(G, j)) : G \text{ is a bounded open subset of } \mathbb{C} \text{ which contains the origin, and } j = 1, \dots, m \}$.

3. Proof of the Theorem. (1) Since the conditional expectation $E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}(T)]$ is multiplicative on A_T , the proof of Lemma 3 in [8] guarantees that $A_T \cap \bar{A}_T = L^\infty(\mathcal{F}(T))$. Thus (i) is true.

(2) We will construct a bounded holomorphic martingale $X = (X_t)$ with properties (a), (b) and (c) in statement (ii) of the Theorem.

Fix an (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping time T such that $A \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ and $T < \infty$ a.s. Let $T(n) = \inf\{t : |z_1(t)| > n\}$ and $X_t^{(n)} = z_1(T(n) \wedge t)$, $t \geq 0$; $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define a holomorphic martingale $Y^{(n)} = (Y_t^{(n)})$ by $Y^{(n)} = \int adX^{(n)}$, where

$$a(s, \omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & (0 \leq s \leq T(\omega)), \\ 1 & (T(\omega) < s) \end{cases} \quad (\omega \in \Omega).$$

Write $A_1 = A \cap \{T < T(1)\}$ and $A_n = A \cap \{T(n-1) \leq T < T(n)\}$ ($n \geq 2$), and let

$$R(n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{on } (A_n)^c, \text{ where } (A_n)^c \text{ is the complement of } A_n, \\ \infty & \text{on } A_n \end{cases}$$

($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Since A_n belongs to $\mathcal{F}(T)$, $R(n)$ is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping time. Obviously we have that $\{R(n) > T\} = \{R(n) = \infty\} = A_n \subset \{T(n) > T\}$. This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} P(R(n) > T) &= P(\{z_1(T(n)) \neq z_1(T)\} \cap \{R(n) > T\}) \\ &= P(\{z_1(T(n) \wedge R(n)) \neq z_1(T(n) \wedge T)\} \cap \{R(n) > T\}) \\ &= P(\{X_{R(n)}^{(n)} \neq X_T^{(n)}\} \cap \{R(n) > T\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the support set of $Y_{R(n)}^{(n)}$ is A_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), that is, $Y_{R(n)}^{(n)} = 0$ a.s. on $(A_n)^c$ and $Y_{R(n)}^{(n)} \neq 0$ a.s. on A_n . By this fact there exists a $\delta_n \in]0, 1[$ with

$$P(A_n \setminus \{|Y_{R(n)}^{(n)}| > \delta_n\}) < (\varepsilon/2^n).$$

Put $S(n) = \inf\{t : |Y_{R(n) \wedge t}^{(n)}| \geq \delta_n\}$. It is easy to check that the support set of $Y_{R(n) \wedge S(n)}^{(n)}$ is A_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Since A_1, A_2, \dots are mutually disjoint, we get that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k (\alpha/2^n \delta_n) Y_{R(n) \wedge S(n)}^{(n)}$ exists a.s. and $|\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\alpha/2^n \delta_n) Y_{R(n) \wedge S(n)}^{(n)}| \leq \alpha$ a.s. Hence by the Krein-Smulian consequence (cf. [2, Lemma 3.5]), we obtain that

$$X \equiv \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\alpha/2^n \delta_n) Y_{R(n) \wedge S(n)}^{(n)}$$

belongs to H^∞ . Further $A \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ and $P(A \setminus (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n)) = 0$, because $\max\{|z_1(t)(\omega)|: 0 \leq t \leq T(\omega)\} < \infty$ a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$. From this and the definition of X it follows that X satisfies desired properties.

4. Applications of the Theorem. Recently T. Nakazi introduced the following canonical algebras H_{\min}^∞ and H_{\max}^∞ which play an important role in the theory of abstract Hardy algebras [7]:

$$H_{\min}^\infty = \bigcap \{B: B \text{ is a weak}^* \text{ closed algebra with } H^\infty \subsetneq B \subset L^\infty\},$$

$$H_{\max}^\infty = [H^\infty, I(X): X \in H^\infty].$$

As an application of the Theorem we first describe the canonical algebras in the case of our algebras H^∞ .

COROLLARY 1. (1) $H_{\min}^\infty = H^\infty$. (2) $H_{\max}^\infty = L^\infty$.

PROOF. By part (i) of the Theorem and [4, p. 79, Lemma 6.1] we have $(\bigcap_{t>0} A_t) \cap (\bigcap_{t>0} A_t)^- = \bigcap_{t>0} (A_t \cap \bar{A}_t) = \bigcap_{t>0} L^\infty(\mathcal{F}(t)) = L^\infty(\mathcal{F}(0)) = \mathbf{C}$. Hence, by [6, pp. 516–517] we obtain $\bigcap_{t>0} A_t = H^\infty$, proving Corollary 1(1). Corollary 1(2) is an immediate consequence of part (ii) of the Theorem.

REMARK. Compare the above description of the canonical algebras with the following classical result: Let $H^\infty(\Gamma)$ be the classical Hardy algebra on the unit circle Γ . Then $H_{\min}^\infty(\Gamma) = L^\infty(\Gamma)$ and $H_{\max}^\infty(\Gamma) = H^\infty(\Gamma)$ (see Hoffman [3, pp. 194, 52]).

We next consider the factorization theorem of Srinivasan-Wang type for H^∞ .

COROLLARY 2. Suppose $0 < p < \infty$. Then for every $X \in L^p$ satisfying $\text{supp}(X) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $E[I(X) \log |X|] > -\infty$, there exist q, g and h such that (1) $f = q|g|h$, (2) $|q| = 1$ a.s. and $q \in [XH^\infty]_p$, (3) $h \in H^p$ and is outer, and (4) $g \in H^\infty$ and $|g| = I(X)$ a.s., where $[XH^\infty]_p$ is the L^p -closure of XH^∞ .

If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $E[\log |X|] > -\infty$, then Corollary 2 implies the usual factorization of X , because $I(X) = 1$ a.s and $\text{supp}(X) = \Omega \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a metric introduced by Gamelin and Lumer, and let H be the universal Hardy class over H^∞ (cf. [2, p. 122]). For every $u \in \text{Re}(L^1)$ we denote by $*u$ the conjugate function of u (cf. [2, pp. 124, 147]).

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2. Let $f = X + 1 - I(X)$. Define F_n as follows:

$$F_n = \begin{cases} |f| & \text{on } \{(1/n) \leq |f| \leq n\}, \\ 1/n & \text{on } \{|f| < (1/n)\}, \\ 1 & \text{on } \{n < |f|\}. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\log(F_n) - \log |f|\|_1 = 0$. We put $G_n = \exp[\log(F_n) + i^*(\log(F_n))]$ and $K_n = \exp[-\log(F_n) - i^*(\log(F_n))]$. Then $G_n, K_n \in H^\infty$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). By Kolmogorov's inequality (cf. [12]), there exists a real valued \mathcal{F} -measurable function w such that the sequence $\{i^*(\log(F_n))\}$ converges to w in measure. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = f$ a.s., there is a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\log(F_{n(k)}) + i^*(\log(F_{n(k)}))] = \log |f| + iw$ a.s. Let $h = \exp[\log |f| + iw]$ and let $K = \exp[-\log |f| - iw]$. It is not hard to see that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|G_{n(k)} - h\|_p = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(K_{n(k)}, K) = 0$. Hence $h \in H^p$, $K \in H$ and $hK = 1$. So h is outer. Let $q = Kf$. Since we have easily that $|q| = 1$ a.s. and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|K_{n(k)}f - q\|_p = 0$,

$q \in [XH^\infty]_p$. By an easy modification of the proof of part (ii) of the Theorem we obtain that there exists $g \in H^\infty$ such that $|g| = I(X)$ a.s. Thus q, h and g are the desired factorization.

From the Theorem we also obtain the following

COROLLARY 3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{S}$ with $T < \infty$ a.s. Let $X \in H^\infty$. Then X_T is an extreme point of the unit ball of H^∞ if and only if $|X_T| = 1$ a.s.*

We omit the proof.

5. Weak* closed algebras which contain H^∞ . Let $\tau = \inf\{t: |z_1(t)| \geq 1\}$. Then Corollary 1(1) yields the following

COROLLARY 4. *Let \mathcal{B} be the complete σ -field generated by $z_1(\tau)$. Let B be the weak* closed algebra between H^∞ and L^∞ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $B = H^\infty$.
- (2) $B \cap \overline{B} \subset L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$.

PROOF. Since the proof of “(1) \rightarrow (2)” is obvious, we need prove only “(2) \rightarrow (1)”. By (2) and [5, Proposition 1.4], we have $B \subset [H^\infty, z_1(\tau)^-]$. If $H^\infty \subsetneq B$, then there exists a weak* closed algebra D such that $H^\infty \subsetneq D \subsetneq B \subset [H^\infty, z_1(\tau)^-]$ by Corollary 1(1) [9, Corollary 1 and 5, p. 54]. It is easy to check that $H^\infty(\mathcal{B})$ is a maximal weak* Dirichlet algebra on (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) . Hence $D \cap L^\infty(\mathcal{B}) = H^\infty(\mathcal{B})$. Since $D \cap \overline{D} \subset B \cap \overline{B} \subset L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$, we have

$$D \cap \overline{D} = (D \cap L^\infty(\mathcal{B})) \cap (D \cap L^\infty(\mathcal{B}))^- = H^\infty(\mathcal{B}) \cap H^\infty(\mathcal{B})^- = \mathbf{C}.$$

By [6, pp. 516–517] we obtain $D = H^\infty$. But this contradicts the definition of D . Thus $B = H^\infty$.

COROLLARY 5. *Let \mathcal{G} be a sub- σ -field of \mathcal{B} . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) $E[\cdot|\mathcal{G}]$ is multiplicative on H^∞ .
- (2) \mathcal{G} is a trivial σ -field.

Hence an operator $N(X)(e^{i\theta}) = E[X|z_1(\tau) = e^{i\theta}]$ is not multiplicative on H^∞ .

REMARK. The operator N was introduced by N. Th. Varopoulos (cf. [11]). K. Carne [1] pointed out that N is not multiplicative on H^∞ . He showed more generally that there is no algebra homomorphism from H^∞ to $H^\infty(\Gamma)$. However, Corollary 5 is not a special case of Carne’s result, because we do not assume $E[H^\infty|\mathcal{G}] \subset H^\infty$.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 5. Since (2) \rightarrow (1) is a well-known result (cf. [11]), it is sufficient to show the converse (1) \rightarrow (2).

Suppose that $E[\cdot|\mathcal{G}]$ is multiplicative on H^∞ . Let $B = [H^\infty, L^\infty(\mathcal{G})]$. By the same proof as in Lemma 3 in [8] we have $B \cap \overline{B} = L^\infty(\mathcal{G}) \subset L^\infty(\mathcal{B})$. Hence $B = H^\infty$ by Corollary 4. Thus \mathcal{G} is the trivial σ -field.

REFERENCES

1. K. Carne, *The algebra of bounded holomorphic martingales*, J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), 95–108.
2. T. W. Gamelin and G. Lumer, *Theory of abstract Hardy spaces and the universal Hardy class*, Adv. in Math. **2** (1968), 118–174.

3. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
4. N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, and Kodansha, Tokyo, 1981.
5. R. Kallenborn and H. König, *An invariant subspace theorem in the abstract Hardy algebra theory*, Arch. Math. **39** (1982), 51–58.
6. P. S. Muhly, *Maximal weak* Dirichlet algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), 515–518.
7. T. Nakazi, *Superalgebras of weak* Dirichlet algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **68** (1977), 197–207.
8. ———, *Extended weak* Dirichlet algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **81** (1979), 493–513.
9. ———, *Minimal superalgebras of weak* Dirichlet algebras*, preprint.
10. T. P. Srinivasan and Ju-Kwei Wang, *Weak* Dirichlet algebras*, Proc. Internat. Sympos. on Function Algebras (Tulane Univ., 1965), Scott Foresman, Glenview, Ill., 1966, pp. 216–249.
11. N. Th. Varopoulos, *The Helson-Szegö theorem and A_p -functions for Brownian motion and several variables*, J. Funct. Anal. **39** (1980), 85–121.
12. K. Yabuta, *Kolmogorov's inequalities in the abstract Hardy space theory*, Arch. Math. **30** (1978), 418–421.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, SHINJUKU, TOKYO, JAPAN