

## ON $C^m$ RATIONAL APPROXIMATION<sup>1</sup>

JOAN VERDERA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact and let  $f$  be a compactly supported function in  $C^m(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $0 < m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\partial f / \partial \bar{z}$  vanishes on  $X$  up to order  $m - 1$ . We prove that  $f$  can be approximated in  $C^m(\mathbb{C})$  by a sequence of functions which are holomorphic in neighborhoods of  $X$ .

In this note we prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact and let  $f$  be a compactly supported continuously differentiable function on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying*

$$(\partial f / \partial \bar{z})(z) = 0, \quad z \in X.$$

*Then there exists a sequence of continuously differentiable functions  $h_n$ , each holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $X$ , such that  $h_n \rightarrow f$  and  $\nabla h_n \rightarrow \nabla f$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

This solves a problem raised by O'Farrell in [7]. For earlier related work the reader is referred to [4, 5]. See [1] and the references given there for analogous problems in several complex variables.

The proof of the theorem is simple. It depends on the Vitushkin constructive scheme for rational approximation and on Nguyen Xuan Uy's theorem [3] on the removable sets for holomorphic Lipschitz functions. We have also a higher order version of the theorem whose proof is even more elementary because Nguyen Xuan Uy's theorem is not needed. To state it conveniently we need some notation.

Define the differential operators  $\bar{\partial}$  and  $\partial$  by

$$2\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad 2\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

For a positive integer  $m$ ,  $C^m(\mathbb{C})$  is the Banach space consisting of those function on  $\mathbb{C}$  with bounded continuous derivatives up to order  $m$ . Its norm is

$$\|f\|_m = \sum \|\partial^i \bar{\partial}^j f\|_\infty,$$

the summation being over  $0 \leq i, j, i + j \leq m$ .

**$m$ -THEOREM.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact and let  $f$  be a compactly supported function in  $C^m(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying*

$$\partial^i \bar{\partial}^{j+1} f(z) = 0, \quad z \in X, \quad i + j \leq m - 1.$$

---

Received by the editors July 10, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E10.

<sup>1</sup>Work supported by grant 1593/82 (Comisión Asesoría de Investigación Científica y Técnica).

Then there is a sequence of functions  $h_n$  in  $C^m(\mathbf{C})$ , each holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $X$ , such that  $h_n \rightarrow f$  in  $C^m(\mathbf{C})$ .

PROOF OF THE  $m$ -THEOREM,  $m \geq 2$ . To simplify notation let us consider the case  $m = 2$ . Fix  $\delta > 0$  and consider the  $\delta$ -Vitushkin scheme for the approximation  $(\Delta_j, \varphi_j, f_j)$ . In other words, the following holds:

(a) The family  $(\Delta_j)$  is an almost disjoint (each  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  belongs to at most 21  $\Delta_j$ ) countable covering of the plane by open discs  $\Delta_j$  of radius  $\delta$ .

(b)  $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Delta_j)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_j$ ,  $\sum \varphi_j \equiv 1$ ,  $|\nabla^k \varphi_j| \leq C\delta^{-k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Here and throughout  $C$  denotes an absolute constant, unless otherwise specified.

(c)

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} \bar{\partial} \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

It turns out that  $f_j$  is holomorphic outside a compact subset of  $\Delta_j$ ,  $f_j \equiv 0$  if  $\Delta_j$  does not intersect  $\text{spt } f$  and  $f = \sum f_j$  (finite sum). See [2] for more details.

Let  $\sum'$  denote summation over those  $j$  such that  $\Delta_j$  does not intersect  $X$  and  $\sum''$  summation over the remaining  $j$ 's. We are going to show that  $\sum' f_j$  is a good  $C^2(\mathbf{C})$  approximation of  $f$ , that is, we will prove that  $f - \sum' f_j = \sum'' f_j$  has small  $C^2(\mathbf{C})$ -norm.

We first estimate  $\|\nabla^2 f_j\|_\infty$ . We have

$$\bar{\partial} f_j = \varphi_j \bar{\partial} f,$$

$$(1) \quad \partial f_j(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z) - \partial f(z)(\zeta - z)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \bar{\partial} \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta),$$

$$\bar{\partial}^2 f_j = \bar{\partial} \varphi_j \bar{\partial} f + \varphi_j \bar{\partial}^2 f,$$

$$\partial \bar{\partial} f_j = \partial \varphi_j \bar{\partial} f + \varphi_j \partial \bar{\partial} f,$$

(2)

$$\partial^2 f_j(z) = \text{P. V.} \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z) - \partial f(z)(\zeta - z) - \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 f(z)(\zeta - z)^2}{(\zeta - z)^3} \bar{\partial} \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta).$$

Assume now that  $\Delta_j \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$\|\bar{\partial}^2 f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta), \quad \|\partial \bar{\partial} f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta)$$

because  $\bar{\partial} f$ ,  $\bar{\partial}^2 f$  and  $\partial \bar{\partial} f$  vanish on  $X$  and  $|\nabla \varphi_j| \leq C/\delta$ .

The analogous estimate for  $\|\partial^2 f\|_\infty$  is somewhat subtler. For  $z$  in  $\Delta_j$  Taylor's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial^2 f_j(z)| &\leq C|\bar{\partial} f(z)| \left| \text{P. V.} \int \frac{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{(\zeta - z)^3} \bar{\partial} \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right| \\ &\quad + C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta) \delta^{-1} \int_{\Delta_j} |\zeta - z|^{-1} dm(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

The last term is bounded by a constant times  $\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta)$  because of the well-known inequality  $\int_{\Delta_j} |\zeta - z|^{-1} dm(\zeta) \leq C\delta$ . Since  $\bar{\partial} f$  vanishes up to order one on  $X$  and

$\Delta_j$  intersects  $X$ , we have  $|\bar{\partial}f(z)| \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta)\delta$ . On the other hand, if  $\chi$  denotes the characteristic function of  $\Delta(z, 2\delta)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{P. V.} \int \frac{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{(\zeta - z)^3} \bar{\partial}\varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right| \\ &= \left| \int \frac{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{(\zeta - z)^3} (\bar{\partial}\varphi_j(\zeta) - \bar{\partial}\varphi_j(z))\chi(\zeta) dm(\zeta) \right| \leq C\delta^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|\partial^2 f_j(z)| \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta), \quad z \in \Delta_j.$$

It follows from the maximum modulus principle that the last inequality holds for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $\|\nabla^2 f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta)$ . We need now the following lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Assume  $h$  to be holomorphic outside a compact subset of a disc of radius  $\delta$ ,  $h \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$  and  $h(\infty) = 0$ . Then  $\|h\|_\infty \leq C\delta\|\nabla h\|_\infty$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $(\Delta_j)$  be an almost disjoint finite sequence of open discs of radius  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and let  $h_j \in C(S^2)$  be holomorphic outside a compact subset of  $\Delta_j$ . Then*

- (i)  $\|\sum h_j\|_\infty \leq C\delta^{-1} \max\|h_j\|_\infty$  if each  $h_j$  vanishes at  $\infty$ .
- (ii)  $\|\sum h_j\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \max\|h_j\|_\infty$  if each  $h_j$  has a double zero at  $\infty$ .
- (iii)  $\|\sum h_j\|_\infty \leq C \max\|h_j\|_\infty$  if each  $h_j$  has a triple zero at  $\infty$ .

*The constants in parts (i) and (ii) depend on the diameter of  $\bigcup_j \Delta_j$ .*

Lemma 1 is proved in [6, p. 193], and Lemma 2(iii) in [2, p. 212] (parts (i) and (ii) follow from a similar argument).

We finish the proof of the 2-Theorem as follows:

Since the  $\Delta_j$  are almost disjoint,

$$\left\| \sum_j'' \bar{\partial}^2 f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \max\|\bar{\partial}^2 f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta),$$

and similarly  $\|\sum'' \partial \bar{\partial} f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta)$ .

By Lemma 2(iii),

$$\left\| \sum'' \partial^2 f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \max\|\partial^2 f_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta).$$

Since the  $\Delta_j$  are almost disjoint,

$$\left\| \sum'' \bar{\partial} f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \max\|\bar{\partial} f_j\|_\infty \leq C\delta\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta).$$

From Lemma 2(ii) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\left\| \sum'' \partial f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \max\|\partial f_j\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \cdot \delta\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta).$$

Finally, from Lemma 2(i) and Lemma 1 we have

$$\left\| \sum'' f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C\delta^{-1} \max\|f_j\|_\infty \leq C \max\|\nabla f_j\|_\infty \leq C\delta\omega(\nabla^2 f, \delta).$$

This completes the proof.

If we try the above argument in the case  $m = 1$ , we would get, after an application of Lemma 2(ii),

$$\left\| \sum'' \partial f_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \cdot \omega(\nabla f, \delta),$$

and the right-hand side tends to zero with  $\delta$  only if (say)  $\omega(\nabla f, \delta)$  satisfies a Dini type condition. To get around this difficulty we use Vitushkin matching coefficient technique and Nguyen Xuan Uy's theorem.

**PROOF OF THE 1-THEOREM.** Given  $\delta > 0$  consider as above the  $\delta$ -scheme  $(\Delta_j, \varphi_j, f_j)$ . Fix  $j$  with  $\Delta_j \cap X \neq \emptyset$  and expand  $f_j$  at  $\infty$ :  $f_j(z) = f'_j(\infty)/z + \dots$ . We have

$$f'_j(\infty) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int f(\zeta) \bar{\partial} \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \bar{\partial} f(\zeta) \varphi_j(\zeta) dm(\zeta)$$

and so, since  $\bar{\partial} f$  vanishes on  $X$ ,

$$|f'_j(\infty)| \leq C \omega(\nabla f, \delta) m(\Delta_j \setminus X).$$

Nguyen Xuan Uy's theorem asserts that, for all compact  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$m(K) \leq C \sup |h'(\infty)|$$

where the supremum is taken over all functions  $h$  in  $\text{Lip}(1, \mathbb{C})$ , holomorphic outside  $K$  and satisfying  $\|\nabla h\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Then we can find a function  $G_j \in \text{Lip}(1, \mathbb{C})$ , holomorphic outside a compact subset of  $\Delta_j \setminus X$ , such that  $G'_j(\infty) = 1$  and  $\|\nabla G_j\|_\infty \leq C/m(\Delta_j \setminus X)$ .

Set  $g_j = f'_j(\infty)G_j$ , so that  $g_j \in \text{Lip}(1, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $g'_j(\infty) = f'_j(\infty)$ ,  $\|\nabla g_j\|_\infty \leq C\omega(\nabla f, \delta)$ , and  $g_j$  is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of  $X$ . regularizing  $g_j$  we can assume in addition that  $g_j \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ . We claim now that  $f - \sum' f_j - \sum'' g_j = \sum'' f_j - g_j$  has small  $C^1(\mathbb{C})$  norm. Set  $h_j = f_j - g_j$ . We have

$$\left\| \bar{\partial} \left( \sum'' h_j \right) \right\|_\infty \leq C \max \|\bar{\partial} h_j\|_\infty \leq C \omega(\nabla f, \delta)$$

because the  $\Delta_j$  are almost disjoint. Estimating the integral in (1) via Taylor's formula, as we did with the integral in (2), we obtain

$$\|\partial h_j\|_\infty \leq \|\partial f_j\|_\infty + \|\partial g_j\|_\infty \leq C \omega(\nabla f, \delta).$$

Notice now that  $\partial h_j$  has a triple zero at  $\infty$  because  $h_j$  vanishes twice there. Part (iii) of Lemma 2 gives

$$\left\| \partial \left( \sum'' h_j \right) \right\|_\infty \leq C \max \|\partial h_j\|_\infty \leq C \omega(\nabla f, \delta).$$

Hence,  $\|\nabla(\sum'' h_j)\|_\infty \leq C \omega(\nabla f, \delta)$ . From Lemma 2(ii) and Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\left\| \sum'' h_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \max \|h_j\|_\infty \leq C \log \delta^{-1} \cdot \delta \omega(\nabla f, \delta),$$

and this completes the proof.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I would like to express my gratitude to the Analysis group of the UAB, specially to J. Bruna and J. M. Burgués, for their stimulating interest in the problems dealt with in this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Bruna and J. M. Burgués, *Holomorphic approximation in  $C^m$ -norms on totally real compact sets in  $C^n$* , Math. Ann. **269** (1984), 103–117.
2. T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, 2nd ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1984.
3. Nguyen Xuan Uy, *Removable sets of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Ark. Math. **17** (1979), 19–27.
4. A. G. O'Farrell, *Rational approximation in Lipschitz norms. I*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **77A** (1977), 113–115.
5. ———, *Rational approximation in Lipschitz norms. II*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **79A** (1979), 104–114.
6. ———, *Hausdorff content and rational approximation in fractional Lipschitz norms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **228** (1977), 187–206.
7. ———, *Qualitative rational approximation on plane compacta*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 995, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1983, pp. 103–122.

SECCIÓ DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, BELLATERRA  
(BARCELONA), SPAIN