

CONCERNING CONTINUITY APART FROM A MEAGER SET

JANUSZ KANIEWSKI AND ZBIGNIEW PIOTROWSKI

ABSTRACT. Given a σ -ideal \mathcal{J} of subsets of a space X , mappings $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are investigated, such that $f|X_0$ is continuous for some closed $X_0 \subset X$ with $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{J}$.

1. Introduction. Throughout the paper, X and Y are topological spaces, and \mathcal{J} is a σ -ideal of subsets of X .

The following theorem was first proved by Kuratowski in 1930. (See [K1] and [KM, p. 408]; also [EFK] for a closely related result.)

If Y is second countable, then the statement

- (a) *$f|X_0$ is continuous for some $X_0 \subset X$ with $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{J}$ is equivalent to*
- (b) *for every open $V \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(V) = (U \setminus A) \cup B$, where U is open in X , $A \in \mathcal{J}$, and $B \in \mathcal{J}$.*

We are interested in characterizing mappings that admit continuous restriction to a set $X_0 \subset X$ with an extra property in addition to $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{J}$. The present paper is to investigate the case of a *closed* X_0 .

2. The theorem. It is an easy observation that if

- (i) *$f|X_0$ is continuous for some closed $X_0 \subset X$ with $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{J}$,*
- then

- (ii) *for every open $V \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(V) = U \setminus A$ with U open in X and $A \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Indeed, let X_0 be as in (i) and take any closed $F \subset Y$. For (ii) to hold true, $f^{-1}(F)$ should have a form of $E \cup A$ with $E \subset X$ closed and $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(F) &= [f^{-1}(F) \cap X_0] \cup [f^{-1}(F) \cap (X \setminus X_0)] \\ &= (f|X_0)^{-1}(F) \cup [f^{-1}(F) \cap (X \setminus X_0)], \end{aligned}$$

this is indeed the case.

We are now going to describe circumstances where (i) and (ii) are actually equivalent. To make some statements shorter, let us agree to say that f is \mathcal{J} -*continuous* whenever (ii) is satisfied.

THEOREM. *Let Y be a regular space and assume that either*

- (I) *Y is second countable, or*
- (II) *X is hereditarily Lindelöf, or*
- (III) *\mathcal{J} consists of all meager sets in X .*

Received by the editors June 24, 1985 and, in revised form, September 26, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54C30; Secondary 26A15.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be \mathcal{I} -continuous. Then $f|X_0$ is continuous for some closed $X_0 \subset X$ with $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{I}$.

3. Examples. Before a proof, let us look at two examples showing that the hypotheses of the theorem are to some extent indispensable; namely that

- in (I), "second countable" cannot be weakened to "Lindelöf",
- in (II), "hereditarily Lindelöf" cannot be weakened to "Lindelöf",
- in (III), \mathcal{I} cannot be just any σ -ideal, and regularity of Y is essential in all three versions.

A. Let $X = Y = \mathbf{R}$ with topologies as follows. All points except for 0 are isolated in both spaces, while neighborhoods of 0 in X (respectively, in Y) are complements of finite (respectively, countable) sets. These spaces X and Y are known to have nice topological properties: X is compact Hausdorff, Y is regular Hausdorff and Lindelöf.

Let \mathcal{I} be the σ -ideal of all countable subsets of X . Although the identity function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is obviously \mathcal{I} -continuous, its restriction to any closed, co-countable set $X_0 \subset X$ is discontinuous at 0.

B. Now consider $X = \mathbf{R}$ with its usual topology. Let $Y = \mathbf{R}$ have a richer topology consisting of all sets $U \setminus A$, where U is in the usual topology of \mathbf{R} and A is a subset of $Z = \{1/n: n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Thus Y is Hausdorff and second countable.

Let \mathcal{I} be the σ -ideal of, say, all meager sets in X (or all sets of Lebesgue measure 0, or all countable sets). Since $Z \in \mathcal{I}$, the identity function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathcal{I} -continuous. On the other hand, the only closed set in X whose complement is a member of \mathcal{I} is X itself. Therefore, the only restriction of f to be considered is f itself, and that is not continuous.

4. Proof of the theorem. In case (I), let $\{V_n: n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a base for the topology of Y . By the \mathcal{I} -continuity of f , to each V_n there correspond an open set $U_n \subset X$ and a set $A_n \in \mathcal{I}$ so that

$$(1) \quad f^{-1}(V_n) = U_n \setminus A_n.$$

Put

$$(2) \quad I = \bigcup \{A_n: n \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

and

$$(3) \quad X_0 = X \setminus \text{int } I.$$

Of course, X_0 is closed in X and $X \setminus X_0 \subset I \in \mathcal{I}$. To show that $f|X_0$ is continuous, take any $x \in X_0$ and any neighborhood V of $f(x)$ in Y . Since Y is regular, there is an $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $f(x) \in V_n$ and

$$(4) \quad \text{cl } V_n \subset V.$$

We will show that the corresponding neighborhood U_n of x in X , defined by (1), satisfies $f(U_n \cap X_0) \subset V$; this will prove that $f|X_0$ is continuous at x .

Suppose that, on the contrary, $f(x') \notin V$ for some $x' \in U_n \cap X_0$. Then, by (4), $f(x') \in Y \setminus \text{cl } V_n$ and so, by the definition of a base, there is a V_m with $f(x') \in V_m$ and

$$(5) \quad V_m \cap V_n = \emptyset.$$

The corresponding set U_m contains x' ; therefore

$$(6) \quad x' \in U_m \cap U_n \cap X_0.$$

On the other hand, (1) and (2) yield $U_n \subset f^{-1}(V_n) \cup I$ and likewise, $U_m \subset f^{-1}(V_m) \cup I$. Hence, in view of (4),

$$U_n \cap U_m \subset f^{-1}(V_n \cap V_m) \cup I = I$$

and consequently, $U_n \cap U_m \subset \text{int } I$. Now (3) gives $U_n \cap U_m \cap X_0 = \emptyset$, a contradiction to (6).

Cases (II) and (III) can be given a common proof. But first, let us recall a theorem known as Banach Category Theorem. (See [B] and [O, p. 62].)

If a subset $Z \subset X$ is locally meager then Z is meager.

An immediate corollary to the Banach Category Theorem is the following:

The union of all subsets of X that are open and meager at the same time is meager.

In other words, in case (III) of the theorem, the following condition (*) is satisfied:

(*) *The union of all members of I that are open in X is a member of I .*

The above holds in case (II) as well, since the union of all such sets (in a hereditarily Lindelöf space) coincides with the union of just countably many of them, which is in \mathcal{I} by the definition of a σ -ideal. Thus (*) is a property that (II) and (III) have in common.

Let us say that f is *pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous* if, for every $x \in X$ and for every neighborhood V of $f(x)$ in Y , there exists a neighborhood U of x in X such that $U \setminus f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{I}$.

Obviously, every \mathcal{I} -continuous mapping is pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous. Therefore, to complete a proof of the theorem, it will suffice to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA. *Let Y be a regular space and let f be pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous. Put*

$$X_0 = X \setminus \bigcup \{ G : G \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } G \in \mathcal{I} \}.$$

Then $f|X_0$ is continuous.

PROOF. Consider any point $x \in X_0$ and any neighborhood V of $f(x)$ in Y . We need a neighborhood U of x in X such that $f(U \cap X_0) \subset V$.

First, using regularity of Y , we can select an open set W containing $f(x)$ with $\text{cl } W \subset V$. Since f is pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous, a required neighborhood U can now be chosen so that $U \setminus f^{-1}(W) \in \mathcal{I}$.

To show that $f(U \cap X_0) \subset V$, take any $x' \in U \cap X_0$; since $\text{cl } W \subset V$, it will be enough to prove that $f(x') \in \text{cl } W$.

Suppose that, on the contrary, there is a neighborhood W' of $f(x')$ such that $W \cap W' = \emptyset$. By the pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuity of f , we have $U' \setminus f^{-1}(W') \in \mathcal{I}$ for some neighborhood U' of x' . Hence

$$U \cap U' = (U \cap U') \setminus f^{-1}(W \cap W') \subset [U \setminus f^{-1}(W)] \cup [U' \setminus f^{-1}(W')] \in \mathcal{I},$$

and therefore $U \cap U' \subset X \setminus X_0$, by the definition of X_0 .

We have reached a contradiction, because on the other hand, $x' \in U \cap U' \cap X_0$.

5. \mathcal{I} -continuity versus pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuity. As noted, every \mathcal{I} -continuous mapping is pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous. The converse is not true in general.

For example, let $X = X_1 \times X_2$, where X_1 is a discrete uncountable space and $X_2 = \{0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ with the usual topology. Let \mathcal{I} be the σ -ideal of all countable subsets of X . Since the space X is locally countable, any mapping defined on it will automatically be pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous. Not necessarily \mathcal{I} -continuous, though, as witnessed by the characteristic function of the set $X_1 \times \{0\}$. Notice that (*) is not satisfied in this example.

For another example, let X and \mathcal{I} be same as above,¹ except that X_2 is now \mathbf{R} with the usual topology. Let Y be as in the second example of §3. It is easy to see that the mapping $f(x_1, x_2) = x_2$ is pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous but not \mathcal{I} -continuous, although (*) is now satisfied in X .

However, given both (*) in X and regularity of Y , every pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is \mathcal{I} -continuous. This follows immediately from the lemma of §4 and from the observation of §2.

The same is true regardless of regularity of Y , provided (*) is replaced with the following stronger condition.

(**) For every set $A \subset X$, if each point $x \in A$ has a neighborhood $U(x)$ such that $U(x) \cap A \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$.²

More precisely, (**) implies that

(T) the family $\mathcal{T} = \{U \setminus A: U \text{ is open in } X, A \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is closed under arbitrary unions,³

which, in turn, implies that every pointwise \mathcal{I} -continuous mapping defined on X is \mathcal{I} -continuous.

Indeed, assume (**) and consider any union $E = \bigcup\{U_s \setminus A_s: s \in S\}$ of members of \mathcal{T} . Put $U = \bigcup\{U_s: s \in S\}$ and $A = U \setminus E$. Since each $x \in A$ is in some U_s and since $U_s \cap A \subset A_s \in \mathcal{I}$, the condition (**) yields $A \in \mathcal{I}$, and therefore $E = U \setminus A \in \mathcal{T}$. The other implication is equally straightforward.

6. An application. In 1923, Sierpiński and Zygmund [SZ] defined a function $h: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ whose restriction to every set of power c is not continuous. The following is a more general setting of their result. (Compare [K2, p. 422].)

For every metric separable space X , and for every complete metric space Y of power c , there exists a mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ whose restriction to every set of power c is not continuous.

The theorem of this paper enables us to further improve that result as follows.

COROLLARY. Let X be a metric separable space and let Y be a complete metric space. Assume that \mathcal{I} contains all subsets of X having power $< c$. Then there exists a mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every set $X_0 \subset X$ with $X_0 \notin \mathcal{I}$, the restriction $f|X_0$ is not \mathcal{I}_0 -continuous, \mathcal{I}_0 being the σ -ideal $\{A: A \in \mathcal{I}, A \subset X_0\}$.

¹Alternatively, \mathcal{I} can be the σ -ideal of all meager sets in X .

²This condition is still met when either $\mathcal{I} =$ meager sets (Banach Category Theorem), or X is a hereditarily Lindelöf space.

³And therefore \mathcal{T} satisfies the axioms for a topology in X ; \mathcal{I} -continuity then means continuity with respect to that richer topology in X .

PROOF. Let h be the mapping defined by Sierpiński and Zygmund. Suppose $h|X_0$ is \mathcal{S}_0 -continuous for some $X_0 \subset X$ with $X_0 \notin \mathcal{S}$. According to our theorem (case (II)), there is a set $X_1 \subset X_0$ such that $X_0 \setminus X_1 \in \mathcal{S}_0$ and $h|X_1$ is continuous. By the choice of h , this set X_1 has power $< c$. Therefore $X_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, and consequently $X_0 = (X_0 \setminus X_1) \cup X_1 \in \mathcal{S}$. This contradiction ends the proof.

A particular case can be obtained by letting \mathcal{S} contain all sets of power $< c$ and no other sets.⁴ Then the property of the function h reads as follows.

Every set X_0 of power c contains a point x_0 such that h takes c -many points of every neighborhood of x_0 in X_0 outside some fixed neighborhood of $f(x_0)$.

7. Remarks. A. In the case when \emptyset is the only member of \mathcal{S} that is open in X , for instance if X is a Baire space and \mathcal{S} is the σ -ideal of all meager subsets of X , condition (i) of §2 coincides with continuity of f .

Also in that case, our lemma of §4 states that every (pointwise) \mathcal{S} -continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, provided Y is regular.

B. One might be tempted to expect the following two statements to be equivalent as well, at least under some reasonable assumptions on X, Y , and/or \mathcal{S} .

(i)' $f|X_0$ is continuous for some open $X_0 \subset X$ with $X \setminus X_0 \in \mathcal{S}$,

(ii)' for every open $V \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(V) = U \cup A$ with U open in X and $A \in \mathcal{S}$.

As in §2, (i)' implies (ii)' with no assumptions whatsoever. Unfortunately, the converse is false even when $X = Y = \mathbf{R}$ (with natural topology) and \mathcal{S} is any of the standard σ -ideals in \mathbf{R} . To see this, arrange all rational numbers in a sequence q_1, q_2, \dots and put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } x = q_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{S} be any proper σ -ideal containing all rationals. The inverse image of every open set $V \subset Y$ has a required form, since it is either open or a subset of the rationals. However, f is discontinuous on every open nonempty set.

REFERENCES

- [B] S. Banach, *Théorème sur les ensembles de première catégorie*, Fund. Math. **16** (1930), 395–398.
 [EFK] A. Emeryk, R. Frankiewicz and W. Kulpa, *On functions having the Baire property*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **27** (1979), 489–491.
 [K1] K. Kuratowski, *La propriété de Baire dans les espaces métriques*, Fund. Math. **16** (1930), 390–394.
 [K2] _____, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press; PWN, 1966.
 [KM] K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set theory*, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam; PWN, Warsaw, 1976.
 [O] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
 [SZ] W. Sierpiński and A. Zygmund, *Sur une fonction qui est discontinue sur tout ensemble de puissance du continu*, Fund. Math. **4** (1923), 316–318.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY, PKIN, 00 - 901 WARSAW, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY, YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO 44555

⁴This \mathcal{S} is a σ -ideal by König's theorem.