

PROPERTY C, REFINABLE MAPS AND DIMENSION RAISING MAPS

DENNIS J. GARITY AND DALE M. ROHM

ABSTRACT. We show that refinable maps defined on compacta preserve Property C. H. Kato has proved the analogous result for weakly infinite dimensional spaces. We also show that if f is a map from a compact C space X onto a non C space Y , then the set of points in Y with an uncountable number of preimages is a space that does not have Property C.

1. Introduction. Property C is a covering property introduced by Haver [H1, H2] and further investigated by Addis and Gresham [AG, Gr]. Every countable dimensional space is a C space and each infinite dimensional C space is weakly infinite dimensional. It is unknown whether Property C is equivalent to weak infinite dimensionality. However, R. Pol's example [Po] shows that Property C is not equivalent to countable dimensionality.

This example and results of F. D. Ancel [A1, A2] have focused renewed interest on C spaces. Ancel shows that any cell-like dimension raising map defined on an ANR must have a non C space as its image. A better understanding of the relationship between Property C and weak infinite dimensionality should lead to a better understanding of potential images of cell-like dimension raising maps.

Refinable maps have been investigated in [FR, FK, K1, K2, Pa, Ko1 and Ko2]. H. Kato shows that refinable maps defined on compacta preserve weak infinite dimensionality [K3]. A. Koyama in [Ko2] asks whether refinable maps on compacta preserve Property C and adds in proof a statement that they do.

The main result presented here, Theorem 1, shows that refinable maps on compacta preserve Property C. K. Sakai in a personal communication has shown that refinable maps are approximately invertible. This result combined with Ancel's work in [A2] then provides another proof of Theorem 1.

In [Ga], it is shown that a map f from a σ compact C space X onto a non C space Y has the property that Y^* is infinite dimensional. Here Y^* is $\{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ has cardinality } \geq c\}$. This parallels results for weakly infinite dimensional spaces presented in [SK and Va]. In [Le], Leibo proves the following result. If f is a map from a compact weakly infinite dimensional space X onto a strongly infinite

Received by the editors September 9, 1985 and, in revised form, October 14, 1985. Presented at the 92nd Annual Meeting of the AMS in New Orleans, Louisiana on January 10, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54F45, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. Property C, refinable map, countable dimensional, weakly infinite dimensional.

©1986 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

dimensional space Y , then Y^* is strongly infinite dimensional. In Theorem 2, we show that the analogous result holds for spaces with Property C.

Finally, we would like to thank Doug Curtis for pointing out additional references and suggesting improvements in the paper.

2. Definitions. All spaces will be separable metric. A space X has *Property C* or is a *C space* if for each sequence $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ of open covers of X , there exists a sequence $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \dots$ satisfying the following conditions:

1. Each \mathcal{V}_i is a pairwise disjoint collection of open sets.
2. Each V in \mathcal{V}_i is contained in some U in \mathcal{U}_i .
3. $\cup \mathcal{V}_i$ is a cover of X .

Such a sequence is called a *C refinement* of the sequence of covers (\mathcal{U}_i) .

A *map* between spaces is a continuous function. An *ANR* is an absolute neighborhood retract for the class of metric spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between compacta is an ϵ map for some $\epsilon > 0$ if, for each $y \in Y$, $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon$. A map $r: X \rightarrow Y$ between compacta is *refinable* if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a surjective ϵ map, called an ϵ *refinement* of r , $r_\epsilon: X \rightarrow Y$, so that $d(r, r_\epsilon) < \epsilon$.

If $\{A_i\}$, $1 \leq i < \infty$, is a sequence of closed sets in a compactum X , the $\limsup_i A_i$ is $\{x \in X \mid \text{for each open set } U \text{ containing } x, U \text{ intersects infinitely many of the } A_i\}$. Equivalently, $\limsup_i A_i = \{x \in X \mid \text{there exists } x_{i_k} \in A_{i_k} \text{ with } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{i_k} = x\}$. Note that if U is an open set in a compactum X so that $\limsup_i A_i \subset U$, then there exists an N so that $A_n \subset U$ for each $n > N$.

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map, Y^* is defined to be $\{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ has cardinality } \geq c\}$. Here c is the cardinality of \mathbf{R} .

3. Refinable maps. In proving Theorem 1, we need the following result due to Loncar and Mardesic.

LEMMA 1 [LM]. *Let $f: X \rightarrow A$ be a map from a compactum X to an ANR A . Given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ so that for any surjective δ map $g: X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a map $h: Y \rightarrow A$ with $d(f, hg) < \epsilon$.*

THEOREM 1. *Let $r: X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective refinable map between compacta. If X has Property C, then Y has Property C.*

PROOF. For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ let \mathcal{U}_i be an open cover of Y . Since Y is compact, we may assume each $\mathcal{U}_i = \{U_{ij}\}$, $1 \leq j \leq N_i$. For each $i \in \mathbf{N}$ let $r^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i) = \{r^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$. Since X is compact and has Property C, there exists an integer N and collections of open sets \mathcal{V}_i of X , $1 \leq i \leq N$, so that

1. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, $\mathcal{V}_i = \{\mathcal{V}_{ij}\}$, $1 \leq j \leq N_i$, is a collection of open sets with pairwise disjoint closures.
2. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, and for each j , $1 \leq j \leq N_i$, $V_{ij} \subset \bar{V}_{ij} \subset r^{-1}(U_{ij})$.
3. $\cup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{V}_i$ is an open cover of X .

Now for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ let A_i denote the join of N_i intervals, joined at a common endpoint. Label the remaining endpoints a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{N_i} . To avoid ambiguity, an endpoint a_j will always be referred to together with the A_i of which it is an endpoint. The A_i are of course ANRs.

For each $i = 1, \dots, N$, we can choose maps $f_i: X \rightarrow A_i$ so that, for each $j = 1, \dots, N_i$,

$$f_i^{-1}(a_j) = r^{-1}\left(Y \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq j} U_{ik}\right) \cup \bar{V}_{ij}.$$

Since $f_i^{-1}(a_j) \subset r^{-1}(U_{ij})$ we see that $f_i(r^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij}))$ is a closed set in A_i ; disjoint from the endpoint a_j .

Using complete normality, for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, we can choose open sets M_{ij} and $N_{ij}, 1 \leq j \leq N_i$, in A_i so that

1. $a_j \in N_{ij}$.
2. For each $i, \{N_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq N_i\}$ is a pairwise disjoint collection.
3. $f_i(r^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij})) \subset M_{ij}$.
4. $d(\overline{M_{ij}}, \overline{N_{ij}}) \equiv D_{ij} > 0$.

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. For each such i and k , the lemma guarantees the existence of a number $\delta_{ik} > 0$ so that if $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is any surjective δ_{ik} map then there exists a map $h_{ik}: Y \rightarrow A_i$ with $d(f_i, h_{ik} \circ g) < 1/k$. In particular, if we let $\delta_k = \min\{1/k, \delta_k\}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, then the refinability of r allows us to choose a map $r_k: X \rightarrow Y$ so that r_k is a δ_k refinement of r . In addition, since r_k is then a δ_{ik} map for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, Lemma 1 can be applied to choose maps $h_{ik}: Y \rightarrow A_i$ with $d(f_i, h_{ik} \circ r_k) < 1/k$.

We thus obtain two sequences of maps. We obtain a sequence (r_k) of maps from X onto Y where r_k is a $1/k$ refinement of r . We also obtain for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, a sequence (h_{ik}) of maps from Y to A_i so that $d(f_i, h_{ik} \circ r_k) < 1/k$.

CLAIM. $\limsup_k (r_k^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij})) \subset r^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij}) \subset f_i^{-1}(M_{ij})$.

For, let $x \in \limsup_k r_k^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij})$. Then there exists a sequence $x_{k_l} \in r_{k_l}(Y \setminus U_{ij})$ so that $x_{k_l} \rightarrow x$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Now,

$$d(r(x), Y \setminus U_{ij}) \leq d(r_{k_l}(x_{k_l}), Y \setminus U_{ij}) + d(r_{k_l}(x_{k_l}), r(x_{k_l})) + d(r(x_{k_l}), r(x)).$$

This goes to 0 as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Since $Y \setminus U_{ij}$ is closed, this completes the proof of the claim.

It is now possible to choose m large enough so that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq N$, and for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq N_i$,

$$m^{-1} < d(a_j, A_i \setminus N_{ij}), \quad m^{-1} < D_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad r_m^{-1}(Y \setminus U_{ij}) \subset f_i^{-1}(M_{ij}).$$

Finally, let $W_{ij} = h_{im}^{-1}(N_{ij})$ and $\mathscr{W}_i = \{W_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq N_i\}$.

For any fixed $i, \{N_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq N_i\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint open sets in A_i . Thus each \mathscr{W}_i is also a collection of pairwise disjoint open sets in Y . To show that Y has Property C, it only remains to show that \mathscr{W}_i refines \mathscr{U}_i for each i , and that $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathscr{W}_i$ covers Y .

Suppose $y \in W_{ij}$ and that $y \in Y \setminus U_{ij}$. Let $x \in r_m^{-1}(y)$. By our choice of $m, x \in f_i^{-1}(M_{ij})$, so that $f_i(x) \in M_{ij}$. Also, $h_{im}(y) = h_{im} \circ r_m(x) \in N_{ij}$, but then $d(f_i(x), h_{im} \circ r_m(x)) \geq d(\overline{M_{ij}}, \overline{N_{ij}}) = D_{ij} > 1/m$, which is a contradiction. Thus \mathscr{W}_i refines \mathscr{U}_i .

Next, let $y \in Y$ and $x \in r_m^{-1}(y)$. Then there exists i and j so that $x \in V_{ij}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_i(x), h_{im} \circ r_m(x)) &= d(a_j, h_{im}(y)) < 1/m \\ &< d(a_j, A_i \setminus N_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_j \in N_{ij} \subset A_i$, we have $h_{im}(y) \in N_{ij}$. Thus $y \in h_{im}^{-1}(N_{ij}) = W_{ij}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{W}_i$ covers Y . So Y has Property C. \square

In [Ro], D. Rohm shows that weak infinite dimensionality can be defined using a covering property similar to that used in defining Property C. The difference is that binary open covers rather than arbitrary open covers are used. Using this definition, the above proof provides an alternate proof of Kato's Theorem that refinable maps preserve weak infinite dimensionality [K3].

4. Dimension raising maps. If Property C is interpreted as a dimension like property, Theorem 1 can be read to say that refinable maps on C spaces do not raise dimension. Theorem 2 explains properties of closed dimension raising maps defined on C spaces.

LEMMA 2. *If X is a non C space and A is a subspace of X that has Property C, then there exists a subspace F of $X \setminus A$ that is a non C space and that is closed in X .*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{U}_i , $1 \leq i < \infty$, be a sequence of open covers of X that has no C refinement. Then the sequence of covers \mathcal{U}_{2i} , $1 \leq i < \infty$, restricted to A does have a C refinement \mathcal{V}_{2i} , $1 \leq i < \infty$. We may assume that the sets V in \mathcal{V}_{2i} are actually pairwise disjoint and open in X . Let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup \mathcal{V}_{2i})$. Then \mathcal{V} is an open subset of X that contains A . Let $F = X \setminus \mathcal{V}$. Then the sequence of covers \mathcal{U}_{2i+1} , $0 \leq i < \infty$, restricted to F cannot have a C refinement. Otherwise, the sequence of covers \mathcal{U} , $1 \leq i < \infty$, of X would have a C refinement. So F is a non C space. \square

THEOREM 2. *Let f be a closed map from a σ compact C space X onto a non C space Y . Then Y^* does not have Property C.*

PROOF. By [Ga] Y^* is nonempty. Assume that Y^* had Property C. The lemma then implies that there is a non C subspace F of $Y \setminus Y^*$ so that F is closed in Y . Let $Z = f^{-1}(F)$. Then $f|Z: Z \rightarrow F$ is a map satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. So by [Ga], there exists a $y \in F$ so that $f^{-1}(y)$ has cardinality $\geq c$. This contradicts the fact that $y \in F \subset Y \setminus Y^*$. \square

REFERENCES

- [AG] D. F. Addis and J. H. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part I: Dimension theory and Alexandroff's problem*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 195–205.
- [A1] F. D. Ancel, *The role of countable dimensionality in the theory of cell-like relations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 1–40.
- [A2] F. D. Ancel, *Proper hereditary shape equivalences preserve property C*, Topology Appl. **19** (1985), 71–74.
- [FK] J. Ford and G. Kozłowski, *Refinable maps on ANR*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 247–263.
- [FR] J. Ford and J. W. Rogers, Jr., *Refinable maps*, Colloq. Math. **39** (1978), 263–269.
- [Ga] D. J. Garity, *Property C and closed maps*, Topology Appl. (to appear).
- [Gr] J. H. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces. Part II: An extension theorem and the theory of retracts*, Fund. Math. **CVI** (1980), 237–245.

- [H1] W. E. Haver, *A covering property for metric spaces*, Topology, Conf., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (R. E. Diocman and P. Fletcher, editors), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 375, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974, pp. 108–113.
- [H2] _____, *A near selection theorem*, General Topology Appl. **9** (1978), 117–124.
- [K1] H. Kato, *Refinable maps onto locally n -connected compacta*, Tsukuba J. Math. **4** (1980), 83–88.
- [K2] _____, *Refinable maps in the theory of shape*, Fund. Math. **113** (1981), 119–129.
- [K3] _____, *A note on infinite-dimension under refinable maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 177–180.
- [Ko1] A. Koyama, *Refinable maps in dimension theory*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984), 247–255.
- [Ko2] A. Koyama, *Some topics in dimension theory, questions and answers*, Topology **1** (1983), 100–106.
- [Le] I. M. Leibo, *On closed mappings of infinite dimensional spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 1111–1113 = Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **199** (1971), No. 3.
- [LM] I. Loncar and S. Mardesic, *A note on inverse sequences of ANR's*, Glasnik Mat. **3** (23) (1968), 41–48.
- [Pa] P. R. Patten, *Refinable maps and generalized absolute neighborhood retracts*, Topology Appl. **14** (1982), 183–188.
- [Po] R. Pol, *A weakly infinite dimensional compactum which is not countable dimensional*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 634–636.
- [Ro] D. Röhm, *Hereditary shape equivalences preserve weak infinite dimensionality*, preprint.
- [Sk] E. G. Sklyarenko, *Two theorems on infinite dimensional spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 1053–1056 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 547–550.
- [Va] A. I. Vainstein, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces*, Mat. Sb. **79** (121) (1969) = Math. USSR-Sb. **8** (1969), 409–417.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS, OREGON 97331