

## A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A PROBLEM ON POINTS OF CONTINUITY IN BANACH SPACES

N. GHOUSSOUB, B. MAUREY AND W. SCHACHERMAYER

**ABSTRACT.** In a previous paper of the first two authors [GM] the space  $JT_\infty$  was constructed as a James space over a tree with infinitely many branching points. It was proved that the predual  $B_\infty$  of  $JT_\infty$  fails the “point of continuity property.”

In the present paper we show that  $B_\infty$  has the so-called “convex point of continuity property” thus answering a question of Edgar and Wheeler [EW] in the negative.

**1. Definitions, notations and preliminaries.** Recall [BR] that a Banach space  $X$  has the *point of continuity property* (PCP) if for every weakly closed bounded subset  $C$  of  $X$  there is  $x \in C$  such that the weak and norm topology—restricted to  $C$ —coincide at  $x$ . An equivalent formulation goes as follows (compare [B, Proposition 1]): For every bounded subset  $C$  of  $X$  and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a relatively weakly open subset  $U$  of  $C$  such that the norm-diameter of  $U$  is less than  $\varepsilon$ .

Previously, J. Bourgain [B] introduced (under the name of property  $(*)$ ) the following weaker concept: A Banach space  $X$  has the *convex point of continuity property* (CPCP) if every closed convex bounded subset  $C$  of  $X$  has a point  $x$  where the relative weak and norm topologies coincide. Again this may be rephrased as follows: For every convex bounded subset  $C$  of  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a relatively weakly open subset  $U$  of  $C$  of norm-diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . The question whether PCP and CPCP are in fact equivalent remained open and was explicitly asked in [EW]. We shall show that the space  $B_\infty$  furnishes an example with CPCP but failing PCP.

Recall from [GM] the definition of  $JT_\infty$ : We consider the tree with infinitely many branching points

$$T_\infty = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{N}^k.$$

If  $t = (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in T_\infty$ , set  $|t| = k$  to be the *rank* of  $t$ . If  $x = (x_t)_{t \in T_\infty}$  is a real-valued function of finite support defined on  $T_\infty$ , let

$$\|x\|_{JT_\infty} = \sup \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{t \in S_i} x_t \right)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

the supremum taken over all families  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  of disjoint segments in  $T_\infty$ .  $JT_\infty$  will be the completion of this normed space.

---

Received by the editors December 25, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46B20, 46B22, 46G10.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

As the finite vectors are dense in  $JT_\infty$ , an element  $y \in JT_\infty^*$  is characterized by its values  $y_t = y(e_t)$  on the unit vectors  $e_t$ ,  $t \in T_\infty$ . The space  $B_\infty$  will be the norm closure of the span of the coefficient functionals—again denoted  $\{e_t, t \in T_\infty\}$ —in  $JT_\infty^*$ .

We shall say that a subset  $A \subseteq T_\infty$  is full, if for each segment  $S$ , the intersection  $S \cap A$  is again a segment. In this case, the projection

$$P_A: JT_\infty \rightarrow JT_\infty, \quad \sum_{t \in T_\infty} x_t e_t \rightarrow \sum_{t \in A} x_t e_t$$

is a contraction. The adjoint of  $P_A$ —still denoted  $P_A$ —defines a contraction from  $B_\infty$  to  $B_\infty$ .

We shall need the following cases of full sets  $A \subseteq T_\infty$ : If  $\gamma$  is a branch in  $T_\infty$ , we denote by  $P_\gamma$  the projection defined by the set  $\gamma$ .  $L_n$  will denote the  $n$ th line of  $T_\infty$ , i.e.  $L_n: \{t: |t| = n\}$  and  $P_n$  the projection defined by  $L_n$ . If  $n \leq m$  we denote  $P_n^m$  the projection defined by  $L_n \cup L_{n+1} \cup \dots \cup L_m$ .

For each branch  $\gamma = \{\phi, (n_1), (n_1, n_2), \dots, (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k), \dots\}$  in  $T_\infty$ , define

$$S_\gamma: JT_\infty^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad y \rightarrow \lim_{t \in \gamma} y_t$$

which is well defined as  $P_\gamma(JT_\infty)$  is isometric to the usual James space  $J$ . The collection of branches  $\gamma$  may be identified—in an obvious way—with  $\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}$ . The following lemma is just a slight variant of Theorem 1 of [LS] and the proof carries over almost verbatim.

1.1 LEMMA. *The operator*

$$S: JT_\infty^* \rightarrow l^2(\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}), \quad y \rightarrow \left( \lim_{t \in \gamma} y_t \right)_{\gamma \in \mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{N}}}$$

is a well-defined quotient map and the kernel of  $S$  equals  $B_\infty$ .  $\square$

Note the following consequence of Lemma 1.1 which we shall use in the sequel:

1.2 LEMMA. *Let  $y \in JT_\infty^*$  such that*

$$(*) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n(y)\|_\infty = 0,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denotes the sup-norm for a function defined on  $T_\infty$ . Then  $y$  is an element of  $B_\infty$ . In particular, if  $(*)$  holds true, there cannot be an increasing sequence  $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(**) \quad \|P_{n_k+1}^{n_k+1}(y)\|_{JT_\infty^*} \geq \alpha, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

PROOF. Condition  $(*)$  implies that for each branch  $\gamma$  the limit  $S_\gamma(y)$  equals 0; hence  $S(y) = 0$  and by Lemma 1.1 we infer that  $y \in B_\infty$ .

For the second part, note that  $B_\infty$  is spanned by the coefficient functionals  $e_t$ ,  $t \in T_\infty$ , hence  $(**)$  is contradictory to  $(*)$ .  $\square$

**2. The main result.** The following lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 2.2. The proof is an interplay of a gliding hump argument with the formation of Cesàro means.

2.1 LEMMA. Let  $C$  be a convex, bounded subset of  $B_\infty$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a relatively weakly open convex subset  $U$  of  $C$  and  $N > M$  such that

$$\|P_N(U)\|_\infty = \sup\{\|P_N(y)\|_\infty : y \in U\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

PROOF. We may and do suppose that  $C$  is contained in the unit ball of  $B_\infty$  and that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ . Choose natural numbers  $n$  and  $m$  such that  $n > 2/\varepsilon$  and  $m > (8n/\varepsilon^2) + 1$  and choose  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\eta < \varepsilon/2mn$ . Fix an element  $y_0^1$  in  $C$  and choose  $N > M$  such that  $\|P_N(y_0^1)\|_\infty < \eta$ . Suppose the lemma is not true (for the  $N$  chosen above). We shall obtain a contradiction by double-induction: For  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$  we shall find  $y_i^j \in C$  and, for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,  $t_i^j \in L_N$  such that

- (i)  $|y_i^j(t_i^j)| > \varepsilon$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,
- (ii)  $y_0^j = n^{-1}(y_1^{j-1} + \dots + y_n^{j-1})$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ ,
- (iii)  $|(y_i^j - y_0^j)(t_p^q)| < \eta$ , if  $(q, p) < (j, i)$  lexicographically,  
i.e.  $q < j$  or  $q = j$  and  $p < i$ ,
- (iv)  $|y_i^j(t_p^q)| < \eta$  if  $(q, p) > (j, i)$  lexicographically.

Let us suppose for the moment that we have done the construction and finish the proof. Fix  $(q, p)$  with  $1 \leq q < m$  and  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . Note that (ii) and (iv) imply  $|y_0^q(t_p^q)| < \eta$ . Now apply (i) (for  $(j, i)$  equal to  $(q, p)$ ), (ii), (iii), and (iv) to obtain

$$|y_0^{q+1}(t_p^q)| > \varepsilon/n - 2\eta.$$

Repeated application of (ii) and (iii) shows

$$|y_0^m(t_p^q)| > \varepsilon/n - (m - q + 1)\eta \geq \varepsilon/n - m\eta \geq \varepsilon/2n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_0^m\|_{B_\infty}^2 &\geq \|P_N(y_0^m)\|_{B_\infty}^2 \geq \sum_{q=1}^{m-1} \sum_{p=1}^n |y_0^m(t_p^q)|^2 \\ &\geq (m - 1) \cdot n \cdot (\varepsilon/2n)^2 \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $C$  is contained in the unit ball of  $B_\infty$ .

So, let us do the inductive construction. We have already chosen  $y_0^1$ ; let  $C_0^1 = C$ . By assumption there is  $y_1^1 \in C_0^1$  and  $t_1^1 \in L_N$  s.t.  $|y_1^1(t_1^1)| > \varepsilon$ . Let

$$A_1^1 = \{t \in L_N : |y_1^1(t)| > \eta\}$$

and

$$C_1^1 = \{y \in C_0^1 : |(y - y_0^1)(t)| < \eta \text{ for } t \in A_1^1\}.$$

Clearly  $C_1^1$  is a relatively weakly open convex subset of  $C$ ; hence by assumption there is  $y_2^1 \in C_1^1$  and  $t_2^1 \in L_N$  s.t.  $|y_2^1(t_2^1)| > \varepsilon$ . Let

$$A_2^1 = A_1^1 \cup \{t \in L_N : |y_2^1(t)| > \eta\}$$

and

$$C_2^1 = \{y \in C_0^1 : |(y - y_0^1)(t)| < \eta \text{ for } t \in A_2^1\}.$$

Continue in an obvious way to find  $y_1^1, \dots, y_n^1$  and  $t_1^1, \dots, t_n^1$  satisfying (i), (iii), and (iv). Define

$$y_0^2 = n^{-1}(y_1^1 + \dots + y_n^1), \quad A_0^2 = A_n^1,$$

and

$$C_0^2 = \{y \in C_0^1: |(y - y_0^2)(t)| < \eta \text{ for } t \in A_0^2\}.$$

Again  $C_0^2$  is a relatively weakly open, nonempty, and convex subset of  $C$ ; hence we may find  $y_1^2 \in C_0^2$  and  $t_1^2 \in L_N$  such that  $|y_1^2(t_1^2)| > \varepsilon$ .

Note that  $t_1^2$  cannot belong to  $A_0^2$  as the elements of  $C_0^2$  are smaller than  $2\eta + n^{-1}$  on the elements of  $A_0^2$ . Hence (iv) is satisfied for  $(q, p) = (2, 1)$  and  $(i, j) < (q, p)$ . Let

$$A_1^2 = A_0^2 \cup \{t \in L_N: |y_1^2(t)| > \eta\}$$

and

$$C_1^2 = \{y \in C_0^2: |(y - y_0^2)(t)| < \eta \text{ for } t \in A_1^2\}.$$

Again by assumption there is  $y_2^2 \in C_1^2$  and  $t_2^2 \in L_N$  s.t.  $|y_2^2(t_2^2)| > \varepsilon$ , etc.; we thus find  $y_1^2, \dots, y_n^2$  and  $t_1^2, \dots, t_n^2$  satisfying (i), (iii), and (iv). Now define

$$y_0^3 = n^{-1}(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2), \quad A_0^3 = A_n^2, \\ C_0^3 = \{y \in C_0^2: |(y - y_0^3)(t)| < \eta \text{ for } t \in A_0^3\}.$$

Continue in an obvious way for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  to finish the inductive procedure and the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

2.2 THEOREM.  $B_\infty$  has CPCP.

PROOF. If the theorem were false we could find a convex bounded  $C \subseteq B_\infty$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that each relatively weakly open subset  $U$  of  $C$  has norm-diameter greater than  $3\alpha$ .

Again we shall argue inductively: Let  $y_1 \in C$ ,  $\|y_1\| > \alpha$  and find  $x_1 \in JT_\infty$ ,  $\|x_1\| = 1$  of finite support, say  $\text{supp}(x_1) \subseteq L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{M_1}$  s.t.  $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle > \alpha$ . Let

$$C_1 = \{y \in C: \langle x_1, y \rangle > \alpha\}$$

and apply Lemma 2.1 to  $C_1$  to find  $N_1 > M_1$  and a relatively weakly open, nonempty, and convex  $D_1 \subseteq C_1$  s.t.  $\|P_{N_1}(D_1)\|_\infty < 1$ . Finally note that  $P_1^{N_1}(B_\infty)$  is isomorphic to  $l^2$ , which has CPCP, hence we may find a relatively weakly open, nonempty, convex  $E_1 \subseteq D_1$  s.t.

$$\text{diam}(P_1^{N_1}(E_1)) < \alpha;$$

hence

$$\text{diam}(P_{N_1+1}^\infty(E_1)) > 2\alpha.$$

Find  $y_2 \in E_1$  and a finitely valued  $x_2 \in JT_\infty$  with the support contained in  $L_{N_1+1} \cup \dots \cup L_{M_2}$ ,  $\|x_2\| = 1$ , s.t.  $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle > \alpha$  and let

$$C_2 = \{y \in E_1: \langle x_2, y \rangle > \alpha\}.$$

Apply Lemma 2.1 to find  $N_2 > M_2$  and  $D_2 \subseteq C_2$ , s.t.  $\|P_{N_2}(D_2)\|_\infty < 1/2$ . Finally find  $E_2 \subseteq D_2$  s.t.  $\text{diam}(P_1^{N_2}(E_2)) < \alpha$ ; hence  $\text{diam}(P_{N_2+1}^\infty(E_2)) > 2\alpha$ .

Continue in an obvious way to find  $C_n \supset D_n \supset E_n \supset C_{n+1} \supset \dots$ ,  $M_n < N_n < M_{n+1} < N_{n+1} < \dots$ , and  $x_n \in JT_\infty$ ,  $\|x_n\| = 1$  with  $\text{supp}(x_n) \subseteq L_{N_{n-1}+1} \cup \dots \cup L_{M_n}$  s.t.

$$C_m \subseteq \{y \in B_\infty: \langle x_n, y \rangle > \alpha\}, \quad m > n,$$

while

$$\|P_{N_n}(C_m)\|_\infty < n^{-1}, \quad m > n.$$

Let  $\overline{C}_n^*$  be the  $\sigma(JT_\infty^*, JT_\infty)$ -closure of  $C_n$ . By weak-star compactness there is an element  $y \in JT_\infty^*$ ,

$$y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{C}_n^*.$$

This  $y$  has the (impossible) properties described in Lemma 1.2, so we arrive at a contradiction and prove the theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [BR] J. Bourgain and H. P. Rosenthal, *Applications of the theory of semi-embeddings to Banach space theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 149–188.
- [B] J. Bourgain, *Dentability and finite dimensional decompositions*, Studia Math. **67** (1980), 135–148.
- [EW] G. A. Edgar and R. F. Wheeler, *Topological properties of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **115** (1984), 317–350.
- [GM] N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey,  *$G_\delta$ -embeddings in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal. **61** (1985), 72–97.
- [LS] J. Lindenstrauss and C. Stegall, *Examples of separable spaces which do not contain  $l^1$  and whose duals are not separable*, Studia Math. **54** (1975), 81–105.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA V6T 1T4

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VII, PARIS, FRANCE

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITÄT, A-4040 LINZ, AUSTRIA