

A SUBSTITUTE OF L'HOSPITAL'S RULE FOR MATRICES

W. KRATZ

ABSTRACT. In this paper the following limit theorem is obtained: If A and B are (n, n) -matrices with $\text{rank}(A^T, B^T) = n$, $A^T B = B^T A$, then $A(A + SB)^{-1}S \rightarrow 0$ as $S \rightarrow 0+$, i.e. $S \rightarrow 0$ where S is symmetric and positive definite. Some applications of this result are given to linear algebra (the behavior of $(A + \lambda B)^{-1}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$) and to differential equations (the asymptotic behavior of Hamiltonian systems and of selfadjoint differential equations of even order).

1. Introduction. Let $A(x), B(x), C(x)$ be real (n, n) -matrices such that $A(x), B(x), C(x)$ are continuous, $B(x), C(x)$ are symmetric, and $B(x)$ is nonnegative definite for $x \in \mathbf{R}$. We consider (n, n) -matrices $U(x), V(x)$ which solve the *Hamiltonian system* $U' = AU + BV, V' = CU - A^T V$ with $U^T(x)V(x) \equiv V^T(x)U(x)$ and $\text{rank}(U^T(x), V^T(x)) \equiv n$ (so-called *conjoined basis* [1, Definition 1.2]). Moreover, we assume that the Hamiltonian system is *normal* [1, Lemma 5; 2], i.e. the focal points of $U(x)$ (those x where $U(x)$ is singular) are isolated. Observe that if $U^{-1}(x)$ exists, then $Q(x) := V(x)U^{-1}(x)$ is a symmetric matrix-function solving the Riccati equation $Q' + A^T Q + QA + QBQ - C = 0$ [1, 2, 3]. These differential systems are the basis for variational analysis of the second variation or for Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems. The following identity (due to Picone) is an essential tool, e.g. for deriving comparison and oscillation results:

$$(d/dx)\eta^T Q \eta = \eta^T C \eta + \zeta^T B \zeta - (\zeta - Q \eta)^T B (\zeta - Q \eta)$$

whenever $U^{-1}(x)$ exists and whenever $\eta(x), \zeta(x)$ belong to $C_1(\mathbf{R})$ with $\eta' = A\eta + B\zeta$ [1, Theorem 7 with $\alpha = 0$; 2, 5]. If $U(x)$ is singular for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, then we need to know the behavior of $Q(x)$ as $x \rightarrow x_0$ when applying this identity. It is shown in [1] that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u^T(x)Q(x)u(x) = d^T U^T(x_0)V(x_0)d$$

for any vector-solution $u(x), v(x)$ of the Hamiltonian system with $u(x_0) = U(x_0)d$ for some $d \in \mathbf{R}^n$. In the scalar case, i.e. $n = 1$, this limit theorem follows from l'Hospital's rule, and in this sense the theorem and also our result below may be considered as a substitute of l'Hospital's rule for matrices.

In this paper we derive a limit theorem for matrices where no differential equation is involved and which generalizes the result above. More precisely, we prove that $A(A + SB)^{-1}S \rightarrow 0$ as $S \rightarrow 0+$, i.e., $S \rightarrow 0$ and S is symmetric and positive definite, whenever A and B are (n, n) -matrices with $\text{rank}(A^T, B^T) = n$, $A^T B = B^T A$

Received by the editors January 22, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 15A24, 15A45; Secondary 34B25, 34C11, 34E99.

Key words and phrases. Hamiltonian system, Riccati equation, symmetric matrices, matrix functions, Picone identity, focal points.

(Theorem 1 of §2). In §3 and §4 we give some applications of this theorem to linear algebra (we study the behavior of $(A + \lambda B)^{-1}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$) and to differential equations (we derive the limit result above and also the asymptotic behavior of solutions of $L(y) = \lambda ry$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, where $L(y)$ is a scalar, selfadjoint differential operator of even order). In §5 we discuss the assumptions on A, B , and S made in Theorem 1.

2. Main results. We use the following notation. If A and B are (n, n) -matrices, then $A \leq B$ (resp., $A < B$) means that A and B are symmetric and $B - A$ is nonnegative definite (resp., positive definite). We denote by A^T the transpose of A , by I the identity matrix, and by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm of a vector or the induced matrix-norm (i.e., $\max_{d \neq 0} \|Ad\|/\|d\|$) of a matrix. Moreover, we use a result which is included in [1, Proposition A 1(ii), (iii), Proposition 1, and formula (7')].

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that A and B are (n, n) -matrices such that

$$(1) \quad \text{rank}(A^T, B^T) = n, \quad A^T B = B^T A.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\text{kernel}(A^T B) = \text{kernel}(A) \oplus \text{kernel}(B)$, where \oplus denotes a direct sum;
- (ii) $A + SB$ is regular for all S with $0 < S \leq \varepsilon I$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small;
- (iii) there exist matrices A_1, B_1 such that the following identities hold:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} A^T B &= B^T A, & A_1^T B_1 &= B_1^T A_1, & A A_1^T &= A_1 A^T, & B B_1^T &= B_1 B^T, \\ A B_1^T - A_1 B^T &= A^T B_1 - B^T A_1 = I. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, A_1 and B_1 may be chosen to be regular.

REMARK. Matrices A_1, B_1 according to (iii) are constructed in the proof of [1, Proposition 1] by $A_1 = -(B + \varepsilon A)K^{-1}$, $B_1 = (A - \varepsilon B)K^{-1}$ with $K = A^T A + B^T B$ and with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

The main result of this paper is given by

THEOREM 1. If A and B are (n, n) -matrices with (1), then

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} A(A + SB)^{-1} S = 0,$$

where $S \rightarrow 0+$ stands for $S \rightarrow 0$, S is a symmetric and positive definite (n, n) -matrix.

This theorem is a direct consequence of

LEMMA 1. Given (n, n) -matrices A, B with (1), there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq A(A + SB)^{-1} S \leq S + cS^2 \quad \text{for all } S \text{ with } 0 < S \leq \varepsilon I.$$

PROOF. We assume throughout that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Hence, $Q(S) := A(A + SB)^{-1} S$ exists for $0 < S \leq \varepsilon I$ by Proposition 1(ii). Since

$$(A^T + B^T S)S^{-1} Q(S)S^{-1} (A + SB) = A^T S^{-1} A + B^T A$$

(use $S^T = S$) the matrix $Q(S)$ is symmetric and ≥ 0 for all $0 < S \leq \varepsilon I$ (use that $c^T B^T A c = c_1^T B^T A c_1$, if $c = c_1 + c_2$ with $c_1 \in \text{image}(A^T)$, $c_2 \in \text{kernel}(A)$ which is

orthogonal to c_1 , and use $B^T A = A^T B$). Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and S with $0 < S \leq \varepsilon I$, and let $S(x) := S + x(\varepsilon I - S)$. Then $S = S(0) \leq S(x) \leq S(1) = \varepsilon I$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Since $Q(S) = S + S\{-B(A + SB)^{-1}\}S$ we obtain that $-B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}$ is symmetric. Moreover, if $0 \leq x \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{d}{dx}\{-B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}\} = \{B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}\}(\varepsilon I - S)\{B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}\} \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$0 \leq Q(S) \leq S + S\{-B(A + S(1)B)^{-1}\}S \leq S + cS^2,$$

where $c > 0$ is chosen such that $-B(A + \varepsilon B)^{-1} \leq cI$. \square

A first consequence of Theorem 1 is

COROLLARY 1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 let S_0 be any symmetric (n, n) -matrix. Then*

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow S_0+} (A + S_0B)(A + SB)^{-1}(A + S_0B) = A + S_0B,$$

where $S \rightarrow S_0+$ means $S \rightarrow S_0$ with $S > S_0$.

PROOF. We have $A + SB = A + S_0B + (S - S_0)B$, $\text{rank}(A^T + B^T S_0, B^T) = \text{rank}(A^T, B^T) = n$, and $(A^T + B^T S_0)B = A^T B + B^T S_0 B$ is symmetric by (1). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(A + S_0B)(A + SB)^{-1}(A + S_0B) \\ &= A + S_0B - (A + S_0B)(A + S_0B + (S - S_0)B)^{-1}(S - S_0)B \rightarrow A + S_0B \end{aligned}$$

as $S \rightarrow S_0+$ by Theorem 1 with $A + S_0B$ instead of A . \square

In applications to differential equations ([1, Theorem 4] or Propositions 4, 5 below) Theorem 1 is used in the following form.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold and that A_1, B_1 are chosen such that (2) holds (Proposition 1(iii)). Then*

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} A(A + SB)^{-1}(A_1 + SB_1)A^T = A_1 A^T.$$

PROOF. We have $\lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} A(A + SB)^{-1}A = A$ by Corollary 1. Hence

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} A(A + SB)^{-1}(A_1 + SB_1)A^T = \lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} A(A + SB)^{-1}AA_1^T = A_1 A^T$$

since $A_1 A^T = AA_1^T$ by (2). \square

This corollary shows that for $c = A^T d \in \text{image}(A^T)$,

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0+} c^T(A + SB)^{-1}(A_1 + SB_1)c$$

exists and equals $d^T A_1 A^T d$. Actually, these c 's are the only ones for which the limit exists. This fact is stated in the following theorem. We omit the proof since it follows in the same way as Corollary 7 of [1] (using essentially the minimum-maximum principle, Proposition 1(i), and the fact that

$$P(S) := (A + SB)^{-1}(A_1 + SB_1)$$

is strictly increasing, i.e., $P(S_1) < P(S_2)$ for $0 < S_1 < S_2 \leq \varepsilon I$).

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold and that A_1, B_1 are chosen such that (2) holds. Then*

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow 0^+} c^T(A + SB)^{-1}(A_1 + SB_1)c = -\infty \quad \text{for all } c \notin \text{image}(A^T).$$

REMARK. The assertions of Theorems 1 and 2 with $-\infty$ instead of $+\infty$ hold also for $S \rightarrow 0^-$ (replace B by $-B$ and A_1 by $-A_1$). But the limits have to be one-sided as is shown in §5.

3. Applications to linear algebra. The result in this section is

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A and B be (n, n) -matrices with (1). Then*

$$Q = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda(A + \lambda B)^{-1}$$

exist, and the matrices Q and $P := BQ = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda B(A + \lambda B)^{-1}$ are characterized by the properties

- (i) P is symmetric, idempotent, $\text{rank}(P) = n - \text{rank}(A)$, $PA = 0$;
- (ii) $Q = (A^T A + B^T B)^{-1} B^T P$.

PROOF. By Lemma 1 (with $S = \lambda I$) there exist $\varepsilon > 0, c > 0$ such that $0 \leq A(A + \lambda B)^{-1} \leq I + \lambda cI$, and $0 \leq A(A - \lambda B)^{-1} \leq I + \lambda cI$ (with $-B$ instead of B) for all $0 < \lambda \leq \varepsilon$. Hence, the matrix-elements of $A(A + \lambda B)^{-1}$ are bounded for $0 < |\lambda| \leq \varepsilon$. Since these matrix-elements are rational functions of λ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} A(A + \lambda B)^{-1} = I - P$$

exists, and then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda B(A + \lambda B)^{-1} = P$ with a symmetric matrix P . Since $K := A^T A + B^T B$ is regular by (1), it follows that

$$\lambda(A + \lambda B)^{-1} = K^{-1} \lambda \{A^T A(A + \lambda B)^{-1} + B^T B(A + \lambda B)^{-1}\} \rightarrow K^{-1} B^T P =: Q.$$

Then, of course, $P = BQ$, and (ii) is proved. Next, we have

$$QA = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda(A + \lambda B)^{-1} A = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} (\lambda I - \lambda^2(A + \lambda B)^{-1} B) = 0.$$

Hence $PA = 0$, and since P is symmetric, we obtain that $P(AA^T) = 0 = (AA^T)P$. Therefore P and AA^T are simultaneously orthogonally diagonalizable [4, Theorem 6.2], i.e. there exists an orthogonal matrix U such that

$$(3) \quad U^T(AA^T)U = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k), \quad \lambda_i > 0, \quad k = \text{rank}(A),$$

and

$$U^T P U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \text{diag}(\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n).$$

Finally, if $AA^T c = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (A^T + \lambda B^T)^{-1} A^T c = A(A + \lambda B)^{-1} c \quad (\text{by symmetry}) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} A(A + \lambda B)^{-1} c = c - Pc. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, every $c \in \text{kernel}(AA^T)$, $c \neq 0$, is an eigenvector of P with eigenvalue 1. This implies that $\mu_{k+1} = \dots = \mu_n = 1$, which completes the proof. \square

REMARKS. Observe first that P is uniquely determined by property (i), more precisely: $P = U^T J U$, where U is an orthogonal matrix with (3) and where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ with $(n-k, n-k)$ -identity matrix I . Thus, the matrix P depends on A only (not on B). Observe moreover, that for every (n, n) -matrix A there exists a matrix B such that (1) holds. Finally, we note as a consequence of Proposition 2 that $QA = AQ = 0$ and $\text{rank}(Q) = \text{rank}(P) = n - \text{rank}(A)$.

4. Applications to differential equations. First, we study the local behavior of matrix solutions of Hamiltonian systems resp. of Riccati equations as discussed in the introduction. We consider (n, n) -matrices $U(x), V(x)$ which solve the Hamiltonian system

$$(4) \quad U' = AU + BV, \quad V' = CU - A^T V,$$

where we assume that

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{l} A(x), B(x), C(x) \text{ are continuous, } B(x), C(x) \text{ are symmetric,} \\ B(x) \text{ is nonnegative definite for } x \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ and where the differential} \\ \text{system is normal [1].} \end{array}$$

Moreover, we assume that $U(x), V(x)$ is a *conjoined basis* of (4) [1, Definition 1, 2], i.e.,

$$(6) \quad \text{rank}(U^T(x), V^T(x)) \equiv n, \quad U^T(x)V(x) \equiv V^T(x)U(x)$$

holds.

Our first result is

PROPOSITION 3. *If $B(x_0)$ is regular (for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$), then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (x - x_0)U^{-1}(x) = Q$$

exists, and

$$Q = (U^T(x_0)B^{-1}(x_0)U(x_0) + V^T(x_0)B(x_0)V(x_0))^{-1}V^T(x_0)\sqrt{B(x_0)}P\sqrt{B^{-1}(x_0)},$$

where the matrix P is characterized by

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{l} P \text{ is symmetric, idempotent, } \text{rank}(P) = n - \text{rank}(U(x_0)), \\ \text{and } P\sqrt{B^{-1}(x_0)}U(x_0) = 0. \end{array}$$

In particular $QU(x_0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} (x - x_0)U^{-1}(x)U(x_0) = 0$ hold.

PROOF. By our assumptions $S := B(x_0) > 0$, and (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} U(x) &= U(x_0) + (x - x_0)U'(x_0) + o(1)(x - x_0) \\ &= (I + o(1))(U(x_0) + (x - x_0)SV(x_0)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow x_0. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\tilde{A} := \sqrt{S^{-1}}U(x_0), \tilde{B} = \sqrt{S}V(x_0)$. Then \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} satisfy (1), and

$$U^{-1}(x) = (\tilde{A} + (x - x_0)\tilde{B})^{-1}\sqrt{S^{-1}}(I + o(1)) \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow x_0.$$

Now, our assertions follow from Proposition 2. \square

In case $B(x_0)$ is singular, we have the following result [1, Corollaries 6 and 7], which we mentioned in the introduction.

PROPOSITION 4. *If $u_i(x), v_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are vector-solutions of (4), then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0+} u_1^T(x)V(x)U^{-1}(x)u_1(x) = +\infty$$

if $u_1(x_0) \notin \text{image}(U(x_0))$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} u_1^T(x)V(x)U^{-1}(x)u_2(x) = c_1^T V^T(x_0)U(x_0)c_2$$

if $u_i(x_0) = U(x_0)c_i \in \text{image}(U(x_0))$ for $i = 1, 2$.

PROOF. Let U_i, V_i ($i = 1, 2$) solve (4) with the initial conditions $U_1(x_0) = V_2(x_0) = 0, V_1(x_0) = -U_2(x_0) = I[\mathbf{1}, \text{formula (8)}]$, and put $S(x) = -U_2^{-1}(x)U_1(x)$ for $|x| \leq \varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ small enough). Then

$$S'(x) = U_2^{-1}(x)B(x)(U_2^{-1}(x))^T \geq 0$$

(note, $U_1^T V_2 - V_1^T U_2 \equiv I$ by the Wronskian identity [1, Lemma 2]), and therefore $S(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow x_0+$ ($S(x) > 0$ for $x > x_0$ by normality). Moreover, let \tilde{U}, \tilde{V} solve (4), where the initial conditions are chosen according to Proposition 1(iii), such that $\tilde{U}^T \tilde{V} \equiv \tilde{V}^T \tilde{U}, U^T \tilde{V} - V^T \tilde{U} \equiv I$ holds. Then

$$U(x) = U_1(x)V(x_0) - U_2(x)U(x_0), \quad \tilde{U}(x) = U_1(x)\tilde{V}(x_0) - U_2(x)\tilde{U}(x_0),$$

and therefore

$$U^{-1}(x)\tilde{U}(x) = (U(x_0) + S(x)V(x_0))^{-1}(\tilde{U}(x_0) + S(x)\tilde{V}(x_0)).$$

Now, Corollary 2 and Theorem 2 imply that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} U(x_0)U^{-1}(x)\tilde{U}(x)U^T(x_0) = \tilde{U}(x_0)U^T(x_0),$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0+} c^T U^{-1}(x)\tilde{U}(x)c = -\infty$$

for all $c \notin \text{image}(U^T(x_0))$. This result is contained in Theorem 4 and Corollary 7 of [1], and it implies our assertions as is shown essentially in [1, Corollary 6]. \square

Finally we give an application to the asymptotic behavior (as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$) of solutions of the differential equation

$$(8) \quad L(y) = \lambda r y,$$

where $L(y)$ is a selfadjoint differential operator of even order, i.e.

$$L(y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (-1)^\nu (r_\nu y^{(\nu)})^{(\nu)},$$

and where we assume that

$$(9) \quad r_\nu \in C_\nu(\mathbf{R}), \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n, \quad r \in C(\mathbf{R}), \quad \text{and } r > 0, \quad r_n > 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{R}$$

holds. For any function $y \in C_{2n-1}(\mathbf{R})$ we define column-vectors $u(x) = (u_k(x)), v(x) = (v_k(x))$ by [1; 2, p. 309]

(10)

$$u_k(x) = y^{(k)}(x), \quad v_k(x) = \sum_{\nu=k+1}^n (-1)^{\nu-k-1} (r_\nu y^{(\nu)})^{(\nu-k-1)} \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Then u, v solve a corresponding Hamiltonian system (4) with (5) iff y solves (8) [1, 2]. Now, suppose that the functions $y_{ij}(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, 2$) with corresponding columns $u_{ij}(x), v_{ij}(x)$ are solutions of (8) with the initial conditions

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} U_j(x_0) &= (u_{1j}(x_0), \dots, u_{nj}(x_0)) = A_j, \\ V_j(x_0) &= (v_{1j}(x_0), \dots, v_{nj}(x_0)) = B_j \\ &\text{with } A_j^T B_j = B_j^T A_j, A_1^T B_2 - B_1^T A_2 = I \text{ for } j = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

(see Proposition 1(iii)). Then, of course, $U_j(x) = U_j(x; \lambda)$, and the following holds.

PROPOSITION 5. *If $x > x_0$, then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} A_1 U_1^{-1}(x; \lambda) U_2(x; \lambda) A_1^T = A_2 A_1^T,$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} c^T U_1^{-1}(x; \lambda) U_2(x; \lambda) c = -\infty \text{ for all } c \notin \text{image}(A_1^T).$$

PROOF. Fix $x > x_0$, and consider the solutions $\tilde{y}_{ij}(x)$ of (8) with the initial conditions $\tilde{U}_1(x_0) = \tilde{V}_2(x_0) = 0, \tilde{V}_1(x_0) = -\tilde{U}_2(x_0) = I$ (compare the proof of Proposition 4). Then $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \tilde{U}_1^{-1}(x; \lambda) \tilde{U}_2(x; \lambda) = -\infty$, i.e.

$$S(\lambda) := -\tilde{U}_2(x; \lambda) \tilde{U}_1^{-1}(x; \lambda) \rightarrow 0 + \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow -\infty,$$

by [1, Theorem 11]. The initial conditions imply $U_j(x) = \tilde{U}_1(x) B_j - \tilde{U}_2(x) A_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, and therefore

$$U_1^{-1}(x; \lambda) U_2(x; \lambda) = (A_1 + S(\lambda) B_1)^{-1} (A_2 + S(\lambda) B_2).$$

Now, our assertions follow from Corollary 2 and Theorem 2.

REMARK. Observe that U_j, V_j ($j = 1, 2$) form a fundamental system of the Hamiltonian system (corresponding to (8)), and therefore every $u(x) = (y^{(k)}(x))$, where $y(x)$ solves (8), can be expressed in terms of U_1, U_2 , i.e. $u(x) = U_1(x) c_1 + U_2(x) c_2$ for certain $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

5. Examples and concluding remarks. In this section we discuss the assumptions of Theorem 1 and show that they are all essential for the limit to exist.

(i) The assumptions (1) on the matrices A and B are essential, since $(A + SB)^{-1}$, of course, does not exist if $\text{rank}(A^T, B^T) < n$. Moreover, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^3/3 & -x^2/2 & 0 \\ -x^2/2 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\text{rank}(A^T, B^T) = 3, S(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, but $A^T B \neq B^T A$. A simple calculation shows that $A + S(x)B$ is singular for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

(ii) The limit in Theorem 1 has to be one-sided in general. This follows from the example

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (1) holds, $S(x)$ is symmetric but indefinite for $x \neq 0$. Again, $A + S(x)B$ is singular for $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

(iii) The matrix S has to be *symmetric*, since if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = I, \quad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^3 & -x & 0 \\ x - x^3 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix},$$

then (1) holds, $c^T S(x)c > 0$ for all $c \in \mathbf{R}$, $c \neq 0$ and $0 < x < 2$, but again, $A + S(x)B$ is singular on \mathbf{R} .

Of course, in all examples, $S(x)$ may be changed 'slightly' so that $A + S(x)B$ is regular for $0 < x \leq \varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon > 0$ small), but the limit in Theorem 1 does not exist as $x \rightarrow 0+$.

Finally we give an example to show that no factor in $A(A + SB)^{-1}S$ can be dropped (as e.g. in the special case of §3). Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^7 & x^4/2 \\ x^4/2 & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then (1) holds and $S(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Hence, Theorem 1 applies, so that the matrices $A(A + S(x)B)^{-1}S(x)$, $A(A + S(x)B)^{-1}A$, $S(x)B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}A$, $S(x)B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}S(x)$ tend to a limit as $x \rightarrow 0+$. But, in this example, the matrices $A(A + S(x)B)^{-1}$, $S(x)(A + S(x)B)^{-1}A$, and then also $S(x)B(A + S(x)B)^{-1}$, $S(x)(A + S(x)B)^{-1}S(x)$ do *not converge* as $x \rightarrow 0+$.

REFERENCES

1. W. Kratz and A. Peyerimhoff, *A treatment of Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems via Picone's identity*, *Analysis* 5 (1985), 97-152.
2. W. T. Reid, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1971.
3. —, *Riccati differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
4. R. R. Stoll and E. T. Wong, *Linear algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
5. C. A. Swanson, *Picone's identity*, *Rend. Mat. (6)* 8 (1975), 373-397.

UNIVERSITÄT ULM, ABTEILUNG MATHEMATIK V, D-7900 ULM, OBERER ESELSBERG,
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY