

GENERALIZATIONS OF PALEY-WIENER'S THEOREM FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

SABUROU SAITOH

ABSTRACT. An interpretation and generalizations of the Paley-Wiener theorem for entire functions of exponential type are given in connection with the Fourier-Laplace transform.

1. Introduction. The important generalization of the Paley-Wiener theorem [6] for entire functions of exponential type was given by Plancherel and Pólya [7] (see Fuks [3] and Ronkin [8]). A further extension of Plancherel and Pólya was given by Martin [5] in the case of functions f analytic on the octant $\text{Im } z_k > 0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). In this paper, we discuss Martin's theorem in a general situation following the idea of the general theory [9, 10, 11] of integral transforms.

The author wishes to thank Professor T. G. Genchev for pleasant and fruitful discussions in Bulgaria.

2. Fourier-Laplace transform and a fundamental problem. We set

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n, \\ z_j &= x_j + iy_j \quad (x_j, y_j \in \mathbf{R}), \\ x &= (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n, \\ dx &= dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n, \quad dy = dy_1 dy_2 \cdots dy_n, \\ t &= (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad (z, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j t_j. \end{aligned}$$

For some domains $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, and for functions F of $L_2(D, dt)$, we consider the Fourier-Laplace transform

$$(2.1) \quad f(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \int_D F(t) e^{-i(z,t)} dt.$$

When we consider the images $f(z)$ of $L_2(D, dt)$ functions F by (2.1), we should consider the following expression:

$$(2.2) \quad K(z, \bar{w}; \Omega, D) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^n \int_D e^{-i(z,t)} e^{i(\bar{w},t)} dt.$$

Received by the editors December 18, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 32A07, 42B10, 44A10; Secondary 30C40.

Key words and phrases. Paley-Wiener's theorem, entire function of exponential type, Fourier-Laplace transform, general theory of integral transforms, reproducing kernel, tube domain, convex domain.

Research partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 60540086.

See the general theory [9, 10, 11] of integral transforms for this idea. Thus, we first assume that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_D e^{2(y,t)} dt < \infty \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Note that (2.2) exists on $\Omega \times \bar{\Omega}$ if and only if (2.3) is valid and, further, the existence domain of $K(z, \bar{w}; \Omega, D)$ is independent of x . Hence, we can naturally consider Ω as a tube domain of the form $T_G = R^n + iG \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, we set

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{G}_D = \left\{ y \in R^n, \int_D e^{2(y,t)} dt < \infty \right\}$$

and we can consider the maximal domain $T_{\hat{G}_D}$ as Ω . Of course, \hat{G}_D is a convex domain on R^n . We thus consider the function $K(z, \bar{w}; T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ on $T_{\hat{G}_D} \times \overline{T_{\hat{G}_D}}$. This function is a positive matrix on $T_{\hat{G}_D}$ in the sense of E. M. Moore and so there exists a uniquely determined Hilbert space $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ composed of functions on $T_{\hat{G}_D}$ admitting the reproducing kernel $K(z, \bar{w}; T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ (see Aronszajn [1, 2]). This space $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ is composed of holomorphic functions $f(z)$ on $T_{\hat{G}_D}$ which are expressible in the form

$$(2.5) \quad f(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_D F(t) e^{-i(z,t)} dt$$

for $L_2(D, dt)$ functions F and with the norm

$$(2.6) \quad \|f\|_{H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)}^2 = \int_D |F(t)|^2 dt$$

[9, 10, 11]. On the other hand, from Parseval's equation we have

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_D |F(t)|^2 dt.$$

Hence,

$$(2.8) \quad \|f\|_{H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)}^2 = \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

We thus see that the functions $f(z)$ of $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ are analytic on $T_{\hat{G}_D}$, $L_2(R^n, dx)$ -integrable, and the norms of f in $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ are given by (2.8). In this situation, we can regard that when D is a bounded interval in R and when D is a bounded convex domain in R^n , the theorems of Paley-Wiener [6] and Plancherel-Pólya [7] give characterizations of the members $f(z)$ of $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ in terms of the growth of $f(z)$ at infinity, respectively. We thus, in general, propose a fundamental problem in our situation.

A fundamental problem. In the above situation, give a characterization of the members of $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ in terms of the domain D .

In order to give a reasonable solution for this problem, we will assume that D is a convex domain and ∂D is a smooth hypersurface on R^n . When $D = \prod_{j=1}^n (-\infty, a)$ ($a > 0$), Martin [5] discussed the growth of the functions of $H_K(T_{\hat{G}_D}, D)$ at infinity, but he did not give a complete answer for the above problem in his situation.

3. On ranges. Let O be the origin of coordinates in the t -space R^n and let the hyperplanes $\{\Gamma\}$ pass through it and lie parallel to the limiting positions of the tangent hyperplanes of ∂D . We consider the convex cone with vertex at the origin enveloped by these hyperplanes. The nappe of this cone lying on the same side of the hyperplanes $\{\Gamma\}$ as the domain D is called the asymptotic cone of T_D . As the asymptotic cone of a bounded domain D , we take the set $\{0\}$; that is, the origin. When V is the asymptotic cone of T_D , we will say that the domain T_D is of type V .

We consider the conjugate cone V^* of V ; that is,

$$V^* = \left\{ (t_1^*, t_2^*, \dots, t_n^*) \in R^n; \sum_{j=1}^n t_j^* t_j > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \bar{V}, t \neq 0 \right\}.$$

When D contains a whole line, V^* does not contain any n -dimensional sphere. Further then, since $\hat{G}_D = \{\emptyset\}$, in the sequel we assume that D does not contain any whole line.

We will consider V^* in the y -space R^n . Then, note that

$$(3.1) \quad e^{(y,t)} \text{ is bounded on } t \in D$$

if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad -y \in V^* \quad \text{or} \quad y \in -V^*.$$

We define the support function of the convex set \bar{D} by

$$(3.3) \quad H_D(y) = \max_{t \in \bar{D}} (y, t).$$

Then we obtain

THEOREM 3.1. $\hat{G}_D = -V^*$.

PROOF. For any fixed point $y^{(0)} \in -V^*$, we set

$$(y^{(0)}, t) = |y^{(0)}| |t| \cos \theta_0(t) < 0 \quad \text{on } V,$$

where, of course, $\theta_0(t)$ ($|\theta_0(t)| \leq \pi$) is the angle between the two vectors $y^{(0)}$ and t in the same space R^n . Hence, there exists Θ such that

$$(3.4) \quad |\theta_0(t)| \geq \Theta > \pi/2 \quad \text{on } V.$$

Hence, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad |\theta_0(t)| \geq \Theta - \varepsilon > \pi/2 \quad \text{on } D \cap \{|t| \geq M\}.$$

Then, from the identity

$$\int_{|x| < N} f(|x|) dx = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi^n}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^N x^{n-1} f(x) dx$$

[4, p. 623], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_D e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt &\leq \int_{D \cap \{|t| \leq M\}} e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt + \int_{D \cap \{|t| \geq M\}} e^{2|y^{(0)}||t| \cos(\Theta - \varepsilon)} dt \\
 &\leq \int_{D \cap \{|t| \leq M\}} e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt + \int_{R^n} e^{2|y^{(0)}||t| \cos(\Theta - \varepsilon)} dt \\
 (3.6) \quad &\leq \int_{D \cap \{|t| \leq M\}} e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt \\
 &\quad + \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\sqrt{\pi^n}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \int_0^N t^{n-1} e^{t[2|y^{(0)}| \cos(\Theta - \varepsilon)]} dt \\
 &< \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\hat{G}_D \supset -V^*$.

On the other hand, for any point $y^{(0)} \in (-V^*)^c$, the complement, by the definition of V^* there exists a point $t^{(0)} \in V$ such that $(y^{(0)}, t^{(0)}) > 0$. Then, there exists a narrow nondegenerate (i.e. contains an n -dimensional sphere) convex cone $\Gamma(t^{(0)})$ with vertex 0 such that

$$(3.7) \quad (y^{(0)}, t) > 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t^{(0)})$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad D \supset \Gamma(t^{(0)}) \cap \{|t| \geq M\} \quad \text{for some } M > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_D e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt &\geq \int_{\Gamma(t^{(0)}) \cap \{|t| \geq M\}} e^{2(y^{(0)},t)} dt \\
 (3.9) \quad &\geq \int_{\Gamma(t^{(0)}) \cap \{|t| \geq M\}} dt = \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\hat{G}_D \subset -V^*$, and we have the desired result.

In Theorem 3.1, we will give a characterization of the functions $f \in H_K(T_{-V^*}, D)$.

4. Necessity condition. We set

$$y = \rho\lambda \quad (y_j = \rho\lambda_j, \rho > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda| = 1.$$

From the identity (2.5), we have, by Parseval's equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{R^n} |f(x + i\rho\lambda)|^2 dx &= \int_D |F(t)|^2 e^{2\rho(\lambda,t)} dt \\
 (4.1) \quad &\leq e^{2\rho H_D(\lambda)} \int_D |F(t)|^2 dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\rho\lambda \in -V^*$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \int_{R^n} |f(x + i\rho\lambda)|^2 dx \leq H_D(\lambda).$$

Further, when $f(t) \neq 0$ a.e. on D we can prove that the actual limit exists and that it is equal to $H_D(\lambda)$ as in Martin [5].

5. Sufficiency condition. In our situation, we will give a complete answer for our fundamental problem:

THEOREM 5.1. *f(z) belongs to $H_K(T_{-V^*}, D)$ if and only if*

(5.1) *f(z) is holomorphic on T_{-V^*} and $L_2(R^n, dx)$ -integrable, and further, for any $y \in -V^*$, the integral*

$$\int_{R^n} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx$$

exists,

and

(5.2)
$$\frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \int_{R^n} |f(x + i\rho\lambda)|^2 dx \leq H_D(\lambda).$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that any function $f(z)$ satisfying (5.1) and (5.2) is an image by the Fourier-Laplace transform (2.1) of an $L_2(D, dt)$ function. Since $f(x) \in L_2(R^n, dx)$, we can define the $L_2(R^n, dt)$ function $\tilde{F}(t)$ by

(5.3)
$$\tilde{F}(t) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{|x_j| < N} f(x) e^{i(x,t)} dx.$$

Of course,

(5.4)
$$f(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{|t_j| < N} \tilde{F}(t) e^{-i(x,t)} dt,$$

in the framework of L_2 spaces.

We first assume that in addition $f(x) \in L_1(R^n, dx)$. Then, (5.3) exists in the ordinary sense

$$\tilde{F}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{R^n} f(x) e^{i(x,t)} dx.$$

By condition (5.2), since the integrals $\int_{R^n} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx$ exist for all $y \in -V^*$, the integrals

(5.5)
$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{R^n} f(x + iy) e^{i(x+iy,t)} dx$$

also exist for all $y \in -V^*$. Moreover, by using the Cauchy integral theorem, we see that the integrals (5.5) are independent of $y \in -V^*$. Hence, we set

$$\tilde{\tilde{F}}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_{R^n} f(x + iy) e^{i(x+iy,t)} dx.$$

(See [12, pp. 98-101] for this argument.) Then, we see immediately that $\tilde{\tilde{F}}(t)$ is continuous on R^n and $\tilde{\tilde{F}}(t) = \tilde{F}(t)$ on R^n . Hence, by Parseval's equation, we have

$$\int_{R^n} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx = \int_{R^n} |\tilde{\tilde{F}}(t)|^2 e^{2(y,t)} dt.$$

Hence, for $y = \rho\lambda \in -V^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.6) \quad H_D(\lambda) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \int_{R^n} |f(x + i\rho\lambda)|^2 dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \int_{R^n} |\tilde{F}(t)|^2 e^{2\rho(\lambda, t)} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

For any $t_0 \in \overline{D}^c$, we will show that $\tilde{F}(t_0) = 0$. Since $\tilde{F}(t)$ is continuous on R^n , when $\tilde{F}(t_0) \neq 0$, for some closed sphere $\overline{S(t_0)}$ with the center $t_0 (\subset \overline{D}^c)$,

$$(5.7) \quad |\tilde{F}(t)| \geq m > 0 \quad \text{on } \overline{S(t_0)} \text{ for some constant } m.$$

Further then, since

$$(5.8) \quad (\lambda, t) > H_D(\lambda) \quad \text{on } \overline{S(t_0)},$$

there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad (\lambda, t) \geq H_D(\lambda) + \varepsilon \quad \text{on } \overline{S(t_0)}.$$

Hence, from (5.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.10) \quad H_D(\lambda) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \int_{\overline{S(t_0)}} |\tilde{F}(t)|^2 e^{2\rho(\lambda, t)} dt \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left\{ m^2 e^{2\rho(H_D(\lambda) + \varepsilon)} \int_{\overline{S(t_0)}} dt \right\} \\
 &= H_D(\lambda) + \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies a contradiction. Hence, the support of \tilde{F} is contained in \overline{D} . Further, since (2.3) is valid for any $y \in -V^*$, in (5.4) we obtain the desired expression

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_D \tilde{F}(t) e^{-i(z, t)} dt.$$

When $f(x)$ is in $L_2(R^n, dx)$ but not necessarily in $L_1(R^n, dx)$ we set

$$f_\varepsilon(z) = f(z) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sin \varepsilon z_j}{\varepsilon z_j}.$$

Then we have $f_\varepsilon \in L_1(R^n, dx)$. Hence, the Fourier transform $\tilde{F}_\varepsilon(t)$ of $f_\varepsilon(z)$ vanishes outside the convex set \overline{D} . From the relation

$$\tilde{F}_\varepsilon(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right)^n \int_{t_1 - \varepsilon}^{t_1 + \varepsilon} \dots \int_{t_n - \varepsilon}^{t_n + \varepsilon} \tilde{F}(\hat{t}) d\hat{t}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{F}_\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{F}(t)$$

for almost all $t \in R^n$. Hence, the support of \tilde{F} is contained in \overline{D} . We thus complete the proof of the theorem.

ADDED IN PROOF. In Theorem 5.1, $f(x)$ are also considered as the boundary values such that

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow 0 \\ y \in -V^*}} f(x + iy) = f(x)$$

in the sense of the L_2 norm (see [12, Chapter III]).

REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn, *La theorie des noyaux reproduisants et ses applications*. I, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **39** (1943), 113–153.
2. ———, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404.
3. B. A. Fuks, *Introduction to the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962; English transl., Transl. Math. Mono., Vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963.
4. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, series, and products*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
5. W. T. Martin, *Analytic functions and multiple Fourier integrals*, Amer. J. Math. **62** (1940), 673–679.
6. R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex plane*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1934.
7. M. Plancherel and G. Pólya, *Fonctions entières et integrals de Fourier multiple*, Comment. Math. Helv. **9** (1936–37), 224–248; **10** (1937–38), 110–163.
8. L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to the theory of entire functions of several variables*, Transl. Math. Mono., Vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974.
9. S. Saitoh, *Integral transforms in Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58** (1982), 361–364.
10. ———, *Hilbert spaces induced by Hilbert space valued functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 74–78.
11. ———, *A general theory of integral transforms and its applications*, Math. Vesnik **37** (1985), 121–133.
12. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, GUNMA UNIVERSITY, KIRYU, 376, JAPAN

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260