

## JULIA'S LEMMA AND WOLFF'S THEOREM FOR $J^*$ -ALGEBRAS

KAZIMIERZ WŁODARCZYK

ABSTRACT. Julia's lemma and Wolff's theorem are established for (Fréchet-) holomorphic maps of bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional complex Banach spaces called  $J^*$ -algebras.

**1. Introduction.** In [11] V. P. Potapov extended the classical lemma of G. Julia [8, p. 87] to matrix-valued holomorphic maps of a complex variable. Next, I. Glicksberg [6] and K. Fan [5] proved the versions of Julia's lemma for function algebras and for holomorphic maps of proper contraction operators in the sense of functional calculus, respectively.

In another paper [4], K. Fan extended the classical theorem of J. Wolff [14] on iterates of self-maps to holomorphic maps of proper contraction operators in the sense of functional calculus. Similar extensions of Wolff's theorem to (Fréchet-) holomorphic maps of the open unit ball and the generalized upper half-plane in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  were given by G. N. Chen [1]. Furthermore, Y. Kubota [9] and B. D. MacCluer [10], using differnet methods, proved some results on iterates of Wolff-Denjoy type [14, 2] in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

The main results of this paper are of the above two types (see §2). We first prove a general version of Julia's lemma for (Fréchet-)holomorphic maps of bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional complex Banach spaces called  $J^*$ -algebras. We next prove, as an application of this, the extension of Wolff's theorem. In particular, our results imply Julia's lemma and Wolff's theorem for arbitrary complex Hilbert spaces (see §5),  $B^*$ -algebras,  $C^*$ -algebras, and ternary algebras.

**2. Notions. Main results.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators  $X$  from  $H$  to  $K$  with the operator norm, and let  $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  be a  $J^*$ -algebra (see L. A. Harris [7]), i.e. a normed complex linear subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$  closed under the operation  $X \rightarrow XX^*X$ .

Let

$$\mathfrak{A}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{A} : \|X\| < 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_1 = \{X \in \mathfrak{A} : \|X\| \leq 1\},$$

and, for  $X \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ,  $Z \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ , and  $\alpha \geq 1$ , let

$$W_Z(X) = (I_H - Z^*X)A_X^{-1}(I_H - X^*Z), \\ c_\alpha(Z, X) = \{I_H \alpha \|W_Z(X)\| + ZZ^*\}^{-1},$$

---

Received by the editors January 27, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 46G20.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$$r_\alpha(Z, X) = \|c_\alpha(Z, X)\|^{1/2} \|I_H\{\alpha\|W_Z(X)\| - 1\} + Z^*c_\alpha(Z, X)Z\|^{1/2},$$

where  $I_H$  is the identity map on  $H$  and  $A_X = I_H - X^*X$ .

We shall use these notations in proving the following Julia-type lemma for  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $F: \mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_0$  be a holomorphic map in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ . If  $\{z_m\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  is such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_m - V\| = 0$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|F(Z_m) - V\| = 0$$

for some  $V \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{A}_0$ , and if

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|A_{F(Z_m)}\|}{1 - \|Z_m\|^2} = \alpha \neq \infty,$$

then

$$(2.4) \quad \|X - c_1(V, X)V\| \leq r_1(V, X),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|W_V[F(X)]\| \leq \alpha \|W_V(X)\|,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \|F(X) - c_\alpha(V, X)V\| \leq r_\alpha(V, X)$$

hold for all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ .

We can also use Lemma 2.1 to obtain a Wolff-type theorem for  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $F: \mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_0$  be a compact holomorphic map having no fixed point in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and let  $F^{[n]}$  denote the  $n$ th iterate of  $F$  (i.e.,  $F^{[1]} = F$  and  $F^{[n]} = F \circ F^{[n-1]}$  for  $n \geq 2$ ). Then there exist  $\{Z_m\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{A}_0$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_m - V\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(V) = V.$$

Moreover,  $\|X - c_1(V, X)V\| \leq r_1(V, X)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ , and if

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|A_{Z_m}\|}{1 - \|Z_m\|^2} = \alpha \neq \infty,$$

then

$$(2.8) \quad \|W_V[F^{[n]}(X)]\| \leq \alpha \|W_V(X)\|$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \|F^{[n]}(X) - c_\alpha(V, X)V\| \leq r_\alpha(V, X)$$

hold for all  $x \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

For the special case when  $\mathfrak{A} = H$ , see §5.

### 3. Proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $F: \mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_0$  is a holomorphic map in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \|W_{F(Z)}[F(X)]\| \leq \frac{\|A_{F(Z)}\|}{1 - \|Z\|^2} \|W_Z(X)\|$$

for all  $X, Z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $X, Z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ . It follows from [13, Theorem 1(a)] that

$$(3.2) \quad \|A_{F(Z)}^{-1/2} W_{F(Z)}[F(X)] A_{F(Z)}^{-1/2}\| \leq \|A_Z^{-1/2} W_Z(X) A_Z^{-1/2}\|.$$

But

$$(3.3) \quad \|A_Z^{-1/2}\|^2 = \|A_Z^{-1}\| = (1 - \|Z\|^2)^{-1}$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad I_H \|A_{F(Z)}^{1/2}\|^{-1} \leq A_{F(Z)}^{-1/2} \quad \text{since } I_H \leq A_{F(Z)}^{-1/2}.$$

Thus, using (3.3) and (3.4), from (3.2) we get (3.1). This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $X \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ ,  $Z \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ , and  $D$  satisfy*

$$(3.5) \quad \|W_Z(X)\| \leq D,$$

then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|X - (I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z\| \\ & \leq \|(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1}\|^{1/2} \|I_H(D - 1) + Z^*(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z\|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Inequality (3.5) implies

$$\|A_X^{-1/2}(I_H - X^* Z)(I_H - Z^* X) A_X^{-1/2}\| \leq D$$

or, equivalently,

$$(I_H - X^* Z)(I_H - Z^* X) \leq A_X D.$$

Further, the above inequality is identical to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{X^* - Z^*(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1}\}(I_H D + Z Z^*)\{X - (I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z\} \\ & \leq I_H(D - 1) + Z^*(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{1/2}\{X - (I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z\}\|^2 \leq \|I_H(D - 1) + Z^*(I_H D + Z Z^*)^{-1} Z\|.$$

Hence (3.6) follows. This completes the proof.

Now, we assume that  $\{Z_m\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  satisfies (2.1)–(2.3). Then, from Lemma 3.1 it follows that (2.5) holds, and (2.4) and (2.6) follow from the relations  $\|W_Z(X)\| = D_1$  and  $\|W_V[F(X)]\| \leq D_\alpha$ ,  $D_\alpha = \alpha \|W_V(X)\|$ , respectively, if we use Lemma 3.2.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.2.**

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $F: \mathfrak{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_0$  is a compact holomorphic map having no fixed point in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ , then there exist  $\{Z_m\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  and a fixed point  $V \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{A}_0$  of  $F$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_m - V\| = 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $F_m = \alpha_m F$ ,  $0 < \alpha_m < 1$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m = 1$ . Let  $\{Z_m\} \subset \mathfrak{A}_0$  be such that  $F_m(Z_m) = Z_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ; such a sequence exists by the Earle-Hamilton theorem [3]. Since  $F(\mathfrak{A}_0)$  is contained in a compact subset of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $F$  has no fixed points in  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and  $F(Z_m) = Z_m/\alpha_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , we may assume that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_m - V\| = 0$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|F(Z_m) - V\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|Z_m/\alpha_m - V\| = 0$  for some  $V \in \mathfrak{A}_1 \setminus \mathfrak{A}_0$ . This completes the proof.

Let now  $X \in \mathfrak{A}_0$  and let  $F_m^{[n]}$  denote the  $n$ th iterate of  $F_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Lemma 4.1 and (3.1),

$$(4.1) \quad \|W_{Z_m}[F_m^{[n]}(X)]\| \leq \frac{\|A_{Z_m}\|}{1 - \|Z_m\|^2} \|W_{Z_m}(X)\|.$$

Furthermore (see [12, formula (4.6), p. 158]),

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|F_m^{[n]}(X) - F^{[n]}(X)\| = 0.$$

Thus, if (2.7) holds, from (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain (2.8). By Lemma 3.2, inequality (2.8) implies (2.9).

**5. Concluding remarks.** Let

$$H_0 = \{x \in H : \|x\| < 1\}, \quad H_1 = \{x \in H : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

and, for  $x \in H_0, v \in H_1 \setminus H_0$ , and  $\alpha \geq 1$ , let

$$c_\alpha(v, x) = \frac{1 - \|x\|^2}{\alpha|1 - \langle x, v \rangle|^2 + 1 - \|x\|^2},$$

$$r_\alpha(v, x) = \frac{\alpha|1 - \langle x, v \rangle|^2}{\alpha|1 - \langle x, v \rangle|^2 + 1 - \|x\|^2}.$$

Identifying  $H$  with  $\mathcal{L}(C, H)$ , as corollaries from our main results and their proofs we get the following Julia's lemma and Wolff's theorem for arbitrary complex Hilbert spaces.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $F: H_0 \rightarrow H_0$  be a holomorphic map in  $H_0$ . If  $\{z_m\} \subset H_0$  is such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|z_m - v\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|F(z_m) - v\| = 0$$

for some  $v \in H_1 \setminus H_0$ , and if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \|F(z_m)\|^2}{1 - \|z_m\|^2} = \alpha \neq \infty,$$

then

$$\|x - c_1(v, x)v\| = r_1(v, x),$$

$$\frac{|1 - \langle F(x), v \rangle|^2}{1 - \|F(x)\|^2} \leq \alpha \frac{|1 - \langle x, v \rangle|^2}{1 - \|x\|^2},$$

and

$$\|F(x) - c_\alpha(v, x)v\| \leq r_\alpha(v, x)$$

for all  $x \in H_0$ .

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $F: H_0 \rightarrow H_0$  be a compact holomorphic map having no fixed points in  $H_0$  and let  $F^{[n]}$  denote the  $n$ th iterate of  $F$ . Then there exists  $v \in H_1 \setminus H_0$  such that  $F(v) = v$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - c_1(v, x)v\| &= r_1(v, x), \\ \frac{|1 - \langle F^{[n]}(x), v \rangle|^2}{1 - \|F^{[n]}(x)\|^2} &\leq \frac{|1 - \langle x, v \rangle|^2}{1 - \|x\|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|F^{[n]}(x) - c_1(v, x)v\| \leq r_1(v, x)$$

for all  $x \in H_0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**REMARKS.** If  $H = \mathbf{C}$ , Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 imply the results of Julia [8, p. 87] and Wolff [14], respectively.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. N. Chen, *Iteration for holomorphic maps of the open unit ball and the generalized upper half-plane in  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **98** (1984), 305–313.
2. A. Denjoy, *Sur l'iteration des fonctions analytiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **182** (1926), 255–257.
3. C. J. Earle and R. S. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings*, Global Analysis (Berkeley, Calif., 1968), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 61–65.
4. K. Fan, *Iteration of analytic functions of operators*, Math. Z. **179** (1982), 293–298.
5. —, *Julia's lemma for operators*, Math. Ann. **239** (1979), 241–245.
6. I. Glicksberg, *Julia's lemma for function algebras*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), 277–284.
7. L. A. Harris, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1974, pp. 13–40.
8. G. Julia, *Principes geometriques d'analyse*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1930.
9. Y. Kubota, *Iteration of holomorphic maps of the unit ball into itself*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 476–480.
10. B. D. MacCluer, *Iterates of holomorphic self-maps of the unit ball in  $\mathbf{C}^N$* , Michigan Math. J. **30** (1983), 97–106.
11. V. P. Potapov, *The multiplicative structure of  $J$ -contractive matrix functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **15** (1960), 131–243.
12. K. Włodarczyk, *Iterations of holomorphic maps of infinite dimensional homogeneous domains*, Monatsh. Math. **99** (1985), 153–160.
13. —, *Pick-Julia theorems for holomorphic maps in  $J^*$ -algebras and Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **448** (1986).
14. J. Wolff, *Sur une généralisation d'un théorème de Schwarz*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **182** (1926), 918–920; **183** (1926), 500–502.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, BANACHA 22, P-90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND