

## SYMMETRIZATION AND OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

CHARLES VOAS AND DANIEL YANIRO

ABSTRACT. We consider an optimal control problem where  $u(x)$  satisfies  $-\operatorname{div}(H(x)\nabla u) = 1$  in  $\Omega$  and  $H(x)$  is a control. We introduce the functional  $J_\Omega(H) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(x) dx$  and show using a symmetrization argument that if the distribution function of  $H$  is fixed, then  $J_\Omega(H)$  is largest when  $\Omega$  is a ball and  $H$  is radial and decreasing on radii.

**0. Introduction.** In [4], Murat and Tartar considered the following problem: Let  $B$  be a ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and let  $u(x)$  satisfy

$$(0.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H(x)\nabla u) = 1 \quad \text{in } B, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B,$$

where  $H(x)$  is a control variable in a class  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ H \in L^\infty(B) : \alpha \leq H(x) \leq \beta, \int_B H dx = \gamma \right\},$$

and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are fixed positive constants. Determine the control  $H_0(x)$  which maximizes

$$J_B(H) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u(x) dx$$

over the class  $\mathcal{H}$ . They find that  $H_0(x) = \beta\chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha\chi_{B/B_\rho}$ , where  $B_\rho$  is a ball of radius  $\rho$ , concentric with  $B$ , and  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of the set  $E$ .

In this paper we extend the class of controls  $\mathcal{H}$  to be

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ H \in L^\infty(B), \alpha(x) \leq H(x) \leq \beta(x), \int_B H dx = \gamma \right\},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are fixed bounded functions which are radial, decreasing on radii, and satisfy  $0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$  a.e. in  $B$ . We find that the unique optimal control has the form

$$H_0(x) = \beta(x)\chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha(x)\chi_{B/B_\rho}.$$

While Murat and Tartar deduce their result as an application of homogenization, we employ a comparison theorem (Theorem 1.1 below) for solutions of (0.1) involving rearrangement of the control  $H$ . This result enables us to reduce  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to consist of radial functions, in which case the characterization of the optimal control is straightforward.

The comparison theorem has a long history dating back to 1856 when Saint Venant [6] conjectured the result in the case that  $H$  is constant. A proof of the theorem in this case was obtained by Pólya in 1948 [5].

---

Received by the editors September 3, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 49B22, 35J25; Secondary 35B05.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**1. Main results.** If  $H$  is a positive function in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $H \geq \alpha > 0$ , equation (0.1) has a unique weak solution in the Sobolev space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . This solution  $u$  is characterized by the identity

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} H \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \zeta \, dx \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is known [2] that the solution  $u$  is actually locally Hölder continuous.

If  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is the weak solution of (0.1), we define

$$(1.2) \quad J_{\Omega}(H) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u \, dx,$$

where  $|\Omega|$  denotes the measure of  $\Omega$ . We will frequently drop the domain dependence in denoting  $J$  if there is no danger of ambiguity.

Suppose  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain and let  $f$  be a positive measurable function on  $\Omega$ . We denote the decreasing rearrangement of  $f$  by  $f^*$ . Further, if  $B$  is the ball centered at the origin such that  $|B| = |\Omega|$ , the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of  $f$  is the function  $f^\#$  defined on  $B$  by the formula

$$f^\#(x) = f^*(C_n|x|^n), \quad x \in B,$$

where  $C_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(1 + n/2)$  is the measure of the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We shall also need the following inequality of Hardy and Littlewood [3]. If  $f$  and  $g$  are nonnegative on  $\Omega$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} fg \, dx \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} f^*(s)g^*(s) \, ds.$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) and  $H$  be a measurable function on  $\Omega$  so  $\alpha \leq H \leq \beta$  for positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . If  $H^\#$  is the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of  $H$  on a ball  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , then*

$$(1.4) \quad J_{\Omega}(H) \leq J_B(H^\#).$$

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is modelled after the argument of Talenti [8]. We note, however, that since our functional  $J$  is lower semicontinuous [1], we can avoid the geometric measure theory in [8] and reduce to the case  $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** If  $n = 1$ , the theorem is easily proven so we take  $n > 1$ . Let  $R$  denote the radius of  $B$ , so  $C_n R^n = |B| = |\Omega|$ . Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $v \in H_0^1(B)$  be the weak solutions of

$$(1.5) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H \nabla u) = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$(1.6) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H^\# \nabla v) = 1 \quad \text{in } B, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B.$$

Note that since  $H^\#$  is radial,  $v$  is radial and we have

$$(1.7) \quad \int_B v \, dx = \frac{C_n}{n} \int_0^R \frac{r^{n+1}}{H^\#(r)} \, dr = \frac{1}{n^2 C_n^{2/n}} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} \, ds.$$

To obtain the estimate (1.4), we assume at first that  $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ . This restriction will be removed later by a passage to the limit. Since  $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  (see [2, p. 109]). By the maximum principle,  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$ , and if  $\mu(\lambda) = |\{u > \lambda\}|$  is the distribution function of  $u$ , then  $\mu$  is strictly decreasing, so  $u^* = \mu^{-1}$  on the range

of  $\mu$ . If  $\lambda > 0$  is not a critical value of  $u$ , then the open set  $\{u > \lambda\}$  is bounded by the smooth compact manifold  $\{u = \lambda\}$  which is contained in  $\Omega$ . We denote by  $d\sigma$  the surface element on this surface. Note that by Sard's Theorem [7], the set of critical values of  $u$  has measure zero.

If  $\lambda > 0$  is not a critical value of  $u$ , we may integrate both sides of (1.5) over the set  $\{u > \lambda\}$  and apply the divergence theorem to obtain

$$\mu(\lambda) = \int_{u>\lambda} dx = - \int_{u>\lambda} \operatorname{div}(H\nabla u) dx = - \int_{u=\lambda} H\nabla u \cdot n d\sigma,$$

where  $n$  is the outward unit normal to  $\{u = \lambda\}$ . Since  $n = -\nabla u/|\nabla u|$ , we see that

$$(1.8) \quad \mu(\lambda) = \int_{u=\lambda} H|\nabla u| d\sigma.$$

We now apply the isoperimetric inequality to estimate the surface area of  $\{u = \lambda\}$ . Since this set bounds a region of measure  $\mu(\lambda)$ , we find that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{u=\lambda} d\sigma \geq nC_n^{1/n} \mu(\lambda)^{1-1/n}.$$

Note that the boundary condition (1.5) is essential to this estimate.

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{u=\lambda} d\sigma \leq \left( \int_{u=\lambda} H|\nabla u| d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Inequalities (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) yield

$$(1.11) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} \leq \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma.$$

Integrating both sides of (1.11) between 0 and  $t$ , we obtain

$$(1.12) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^t \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^t \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma dt.$$

But  $(1/|\nabla u|) d\sigma d\lambda$  is the volume element in  $\Omega$ , so if we apply the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (1.3), we see that the right-hand side of (1.12) may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma d\lambda &= \int_{u<t} \frac{1}{H} dx \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) \chi_{\{u<t\}}^*(w) dw \\ &= \int_0^{|\Omega|-\mu(t)} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1.13) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^t \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|-\mu(t)} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw.$$

Making the change of variables  $s = \mu(t)$  in (1.13), we find that for  $0 \leq s \leq |\Omega|$ ,

$$(1.14) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|-s} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw.$$

Next we multiply both sides of (1.14) by  $s^{2/n-1}$  and integrate from 0 to  $|\Omega|$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda ds \\ & \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{|\Omega|-s} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw ds \\ & = \frac{n}{2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(|\Omega|-s) ds \\ & = \frac{n}{2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} ds = \frac{n^3}{2} C_n^{2/n} \int_B v dx \quad (\text{by (1.7)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used  $(1/H)^*(|\Omega|-s) = 1/H^*(s)$ , since the two functions are increasing with the same distribution function.

Canceling constants in the preceding inequality and changing the order of integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B v dx & \geq \frac{2}{n} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda ds \\ & = \frac{2}{n} \int_0^{u^*(0)} \int_0^{\mu(\lambda)} s^{2/n-1} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} ds d\lambda \\ & = \int_0^{u^*(0)} \mu(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^{|\Omega|} u^*(s) ds = \int_\Omega u dx, \end{aligned}$$

which was to be shown.

To deduce the theorem for measurable  $H$ , we use the fact that the functional  $H \mapsto J(H)$  is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak\* convergence in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  (see [1, Theorem 2.1]). Let  $H \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $a \leq H \leq b$  for positive constants  $a$  and  $b$ . Choose a sequence  $H_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  so

- (a)  $H_m \rightarrow H$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and a.e.,
- (b)  $a \leq H_m \leq b$  for all  $m$ .

Then  $H_m \rightarrow H$  weak\* in  $L^\infty$ . Further, it follows from (1.3) that  $H_m^* \rightarrow H^*$  in  $L^2(0, |\Omega|)$ , so, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

- (c)  $H_m^* \rightarrow H^*$  a.e. on  $(0, |\Omega|)$ .

Now let  $u_m \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $v_m \in H_0^1(B)$  be the weak solutions to

$$-\operatorname{div}(H_m \nabla u_m) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -\operatorname{div}(H_m^\# \nabla v_m) = 1.$$

We obtain, using the lower semicontinuity of  $J$  and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega u dx & \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega u_m dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_B v_m dx \quad (\text{since } H_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)) \\ & = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_n^{-2/n}}{n^2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H_m^*(s)} ds \quad (\text{by (1.7)}) \\ & = \frac{C_n^{-2/n}}{n^2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} ds = \int_B v dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $J_\Omega(H) \leq J_B(H^\#)$  as was to be shown.

REMARK. If we take  $s = 0$  in (1.14) we obtain

$$n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{u^*(0)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^* dx = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{H} dx.$$

This inequality is particularly simple if  $n = 2$ , in which case it becomes

$$\|u\|_\infty = u^*(0) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{H} dx.$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $B$  be a ball centered at the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of radius  $R$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be radial functions in  $L^\infty(B)$  which are decreasing on radii, and which satisfy  $\text{ess inf } \alpha(x) > 0$  and  $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$  a.e. Let  $\gamma$  be a constant so*

$$(1.15) \quad \int_B \alpha dx \leq \gamma \leq \int_B \beta dx.$$

*Let  $\mathcal{X}_1$  denote the subset of  $L^\infty(B)$  defined in (0.2). Then there exists a unique  $\rho$ ,  $0 \leq \rho \leq R$ , so if  $H_0 = \beta \chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha \chi_{B/B_\rho}$ , where  $B_\rho$  denotes the ball centered at the origin of radius  $\rho$ , then  $H_0 \in \mathcal{X}_1$  and*

$$(1.16) \quad J_B(H) \leq J_B(H_0) \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathcal{X}_1$$

*with equality if and only if  $H = H_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $r = |x|$  denote the radial variable in  $\Omega$ . We observe that there exists a unique  $\rho \in [0, R]$  so the function  $H_0(x) = \beta(x)\chi_{B_\rho}(x) + \alpha(x)\chi_{B/B_\rho}(x)$  is in  $\mathcal{X}_1$ . Indeed, the function

$$\phi(\rho) = \int_{B_\rho} \beta dx + \int_{B/B_\rho} \alpha dx, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R,$$

is a strictly increasing absolutely continuous function, and since  $\phi(0) \leq \gamma \leq \phi(R)$  by (1.15), there exists a unique  $\rho$  so  $\phi(\rho) = \gamma$ .

Now let  $H \in \mathcal{X}_1$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are radial and decreasing, we have  $\alpha = \alpha^\#$  and  $\beta = \beta^\#$  a.e. in  $B$ , and one verifies easily that since  $\alpha \leq H \leq \beta$ , we have  $\alpha^\# \leq H^\# \leq \beta^\#$ . Since  $\int_B H dx = \int_B H^\# dx$ , we have  $H^\# \in \mathcal{H}_1$ , and by Theorem 1.1,  $J(H) \leq J(H^\#)$ . This observation enables us to reduce our consideration to the case that  $H = H(r)$  is a radial function which is decreasing for  $0 \leq r \leq R$ . If  $H \in \mathcal{X}_1$  is such a function, we show that  $J(H) \leq J(H_0)$  with equality only if  $H = H_0$ . This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Thus assume that  $H \in \mathcal{X}_1$  is radial and decreasing. Using (1.7) we calculate that

$$J(H_0) - J(H) = \frac{1}{nR^n} \int_0^R r^{n+1} \frac{(H - H_0)}{H_0 H} dr.$$

Since  $\int_0^R H r^{n-1} dr = \int_0^R H_0 r^{n-1} dr$ , we may rewrite this equation as

$$(1.17) \quad J(H_0) - J(H) = \frac{1}{nR^n} \int_0^R r^{n-1} (H - H_0) \left( \frac{r^2}{H H_0} - c \right) dr,$$

where  $c$  is any constant. Since  $r^2/H H_0$  is increasing on  $(0, R)$ , we may choose  $c$  so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \rho^-} \frac{r^2}{H(r)H_0(r)} \leq c \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \rho^+} \frac{r^2}{H(r)H_0(r)}.$$

Then since  $(H - H_0)(r) \leq 0$  if  $r < \rho$  and  $(H - H_0)(r) \geq 0$  if  $r > \rho$ , we find that the integrand in (1.17) is nonnegative, so  $J(H_0) \geq J(H)$ .

If  $J(H) = J(H_0)$  for some  $H \neq H_0$ , then we see from (1.17) that  $HH_0 = r^2/c$  on the set where  $H \neq H_0$ . Thus  $HH_0$  is strictly increasing on a set of positive measure, which is a contradiction. Thus  $J(H) = J(H_0)$  implies  $H = H_0$  a.e., and the proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Cea and K. Malanowski, *An example of a max-min problem in partial differential equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **8** (1970), 305–316.
2. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
3. G. H. Hardy, J. F. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1967.
4. F. Murat and L. Tartar, *Calcul de variations et homogenization*, Cours de l'Ecole d'Ete d'Analyse Numerique, 1984.
5. G. Pólya, *Torsional rigidity, principal frequency, electrostatic capacity and symmetrization*, Quart. Appl. Math. **6** (1948), 267–277.
6. B. de Saint-Venant, *Memoire sur la torsion des prismes*, Memoires Presentes par Divers Savants a l'Academie des Sciences, t. 14, 1856, pp. 233–560.
7. S. Sternberg, *Lectures in differential geometry*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
8. G. Talenti, *Elliptic equations and rearrangements*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **3** (1976), 697–718.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA 18015 (Current address of Charles Voas)

*Current address* (Daniel Yaniro): AT&T Bell Laboratories, Crawford Corner Road, Holmdel, New Jersey 07733