

SYMMETRIZATION AND OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

CHARLES VOAS AND DANIEL YANIRO

ABSTRACT. We consider an optimal control problem where $u(x)$ satisfies $-\operatorname{div}(H(x)\nabla u) = 1$ in Ω and $H(x)$ is a control. We introduce the functional $J_\Omega(H) = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega u(x) dx$ and show using a symmetrization argument that if the distribution function of H is fixed, then $J_\Omega(H)$ is largest when Ω is a ball and H is radial and decreasing on radii.

0. Introduction. In [4], Murat and Tartar considered the following problem: Let B be a ball in \mathbf{R}^n and let $u(x)$ satisfy

$$(0.1) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H(x)\nabla u) = 1 \quad \text{in } B, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B,$$

where $H(x)$ is a control variable in a class \mathcal{H} ,

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ H \in L^\infty(B) : \alpha \leq H(x) \leq \beta, \int_B H dx = \gamma \right\},$$

and α, β, γ are fixed positive constants. Determine the control $H_0(x)$ which maximizes

$$J_B(H) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u(x) dx$$

over the class \mathcal{H} . They find that $H_0(x) = \beta\chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha\chi_{B/B_\rho}$, where B_ρ is a ball of radius ρ , concentric with B , and χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E .

In this paper we extend the class of controls \mathcal{H} to be

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \left\{ H \in L^\infty(B), \alpha(x) \leq H(x) \leq \beta(x), \int_B H dx = \gamma \right\},$$

where α and β are fixed bounded functions which are radial, decreasing on radii, and satisfy $0 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ a.e. in B . We find that the unique optimal control has the form

$$H_0(x) = \beta(x)\chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha(x)\chi_{B/B_\rho}.$$

While Murat and Tartar deduce their result as an application of homogenization, we employ a comparison theorem (Theorem 1.1 below) for solutions of (0.1) involving rearrangement of the control H . This result enables us to reduce \mathcal{H}_1 to consist of radial functions, in which case the characterization of the optimal control is straightforward.

The comparison theorem has a long history dating back to 1856 when Saint Venant [6] conjectured the result in the case that H is constant. A proof of the theorem in this case was obtained by Pólya in 1948 [5].

Received by the editors September 3, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 49B22, 35J25; Secondary 35B05.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

1. Main results. If H is a positive function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, $H \geq \alpha > 0$, equation (0.1) has a unique weak solution in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This solution u is characterized by the identity

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} H \nabla u \cdot \nabla \zeta \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \zeta \, dx \quad \forall \zeta \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is known [2] that the solution u is actually locally Hölder continuous.

If $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the weak solution of (0.1), we define

$$(1.2) \quad J_{\Omega}(H) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u \, dx,$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the measure of Ω . We will frequently drop the domain dependence in denoting J if there is no danger of ambiguity.

Suppose Ω is a bounded domain and let f be a positive measurable function on Ω . We denote the decreasing rearrangement of f by f^* . Further, if B is the ball centered at the origin such that $|B| = |\Omega|$, the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of f is the function $f^\#$ defined on B by the formula

$$f^\#(x) = f^*(C_n|x|^n), \quad x \in B,$$

where $C_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(1 + n/2)$ is the measure of the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . We shall also need the following inequality of Hardy and Littlewood [3]. If f and g are nonnegative on Ω , then

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} fg \, dx \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} f^*(s)g^*(s) \, ds.$$

THEOREM 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n ($n \geq 1$) and H be a measurable function on Ω so $\alpha \leq H \leq \beta$ for positive constants α and β . If $H^\#$ is the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of H on a ball $B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad J_{\Omega}(H) \leq J_B(H^\#).$$

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is modelled after the argument of Talenti [8]. We note, however, that since our functional J is lower semicontinuous [1], we can avoid the geometric measure theory in [8] and reduce to the case $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. If $n = 1$, the theorem is easily proven so we take $n > 1$. Let R denote the radius of B , so $C_n R^n = |B| = |\Omega|$. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $v \in H_0^1(B)$ be the weak solutions of

$$(1.5) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H \nabla u) = 1 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$(1.6) \quad -\operatorname{div}(H^\# \nabla v) = 1 \quad \text{in } B, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B.$$

Note that since $H^\#$ is radial, v is radial and we have

$$(1.7) \quad \int_B v \, dx = \frac{C_n}{n} \int_0^R \frac{r^{n+1}}{H^\#(r)} \, dr = \frac{1}{n^2 C_n^{2/n}} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} \, ds.$$

To obtain the estimate (1.4), we assume at first that $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. This restriction will be removed later by a passage to the limit. Since $H \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ (see [2, p. 109]). By the maximum principle, $u > 0$ in Ω , and if $\mu(\lambda) = |\{u > \lambda\}|$ is the distribution function of u , then μ is strictly decreasing, so $u^* = \mu^{-1}$ on the range

of μ . If $\lambda > 0$ is not a critical value of u , then the open set $\{u > \lambda\}$ is bounded by the smooth compact manifold $\{u = \lambda\}$ which is contained in Ω . We denote by $d\sigma$ the surface element on this surface. Note that by Sard's Theorem [7], the set of critical values of u has measure zero.

If $\lambda > 0$ is not a critical value of u , we may integrate both sides of (1.5) over the set $\{u > \lambda\}$ and apply the divergence theorem to obtain

$$\mu(\lambda) = \int_{u>\lambda} dx = - \int_{u>\lambda} \operatorname{div}(H\nabla u) dx = - \int_{u=\lambda} H\nabla u \cdot n d\sigma,$$

where n is the outward unit normal to $\{u = \lambda\}$. Since $n = -\nabla u/|\nabla u|$, we see that

$$(1.8) \quad \mu(\lambda) = \int_{u=\lambda} H|\nabla u| d\sigma.$$

We now apply the isoperimetric inequality to estimate the surface area of $\{u = \lambda\}$. Since this set bounds a region of measure $\mu(\lambda)$, we find that

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{u=\lambda} d\sigma \geq nC_n^{1/n} \mu(\lambda)^{1-1/n}.$$

Note that the boundary condition (1.5) is essential to this estimate.

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{u=\lambda} d\sigma \leq \left(\int_{u=\lambda} H|\nabla u| d\sigma \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma \right)^{1/2}.$$

Inequalities (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) yield

$$(1.11) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} \leq \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma.$$

Integrating both sides of (1.11) between 0 and t , we obtain

$$(1.12) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^t \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^t \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma dt.$$

But $(1/|\nabla u|) d\sigma d\lambda$ is the volume element in Ω , so if we apply the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (1.3), we see that the right-hand side of (1.12) may be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{u=\lambda} \frac{1}{H} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} d\sigma d\lambda &= \int_{u<t} \frac{1}{H} dx \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) \chi_{\{u<t\}}^*(w) dw \\ &= \int_0^{|\Omega|-\mu(t)} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1.13) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^t \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|-\mu(t)} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw.$$

Making the change of variables $s = \mu(t)$ in (1.13), we find that for $0 \leq s \leq |\Omega|$,

$$(1.14) \quad n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|-s} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw.$$

Next we multiply both sides of (1.14) by $s^{2/n-1}$ and integrate from 0 to $|\Omega|$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda ds \\ & \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{|\Omega|-s} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(w) dw ds \\ & = \frac{n}{2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^*(|\Omega|-s) ds \\ & = \frac{n}{2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} ds = \frac{n^3}{2} C_n^{2/n} \int_B v dx \quad (\text{by (1.7)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used $(1/H)^*(|\Omega|-s) = 1/H^*(s)$, since the two functions are increasing with the same distribution function.

Canceling constants in the preceding inequality and changing the order of integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B v dx & \geq \frac{2}{n} \int_0^{|\Omega|} s^{2/n-1} \int_0^{u^*(s)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda ds \\ & = \frac{2}{n} \int_0^{u^*(0)} \int_0^{\mu(\lambda)} s^{2/n-1} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} ds d\lambda \\ & = \int_0^{u^*(0)} \mu(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_0^{|\Omega|} u^*(s) ds = \int_\Omega u dx, \end{aligned}$$

which was to be shown.

To deduce the theorem for measurable H , we use the fact that the functional $H \mapsto J(H)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak* convergence in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ (see [1, Theorem 2.1]). Let $H \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $a \leq H \leq b$ for positive constants a and b . Choose a sequence $H_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ so

- (a) $H_m \rightarrow H$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and a.e.,
- (b) $a \leq H_m \leq b$ for all m .

Then $H_m \rightarrow H$ weak* in L^∞ . Further, it follows from (1.3) that $H_m^* \rightarrow H^*$ in $L^2(0, |\Omega|)$, so, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

- (c) $H_m^* \rightarrow H^*$ a.e. on $(0, |\Omega|)$.

Now let $u_m \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $v_m \in H_0^1(B)$ be the weak solutions to

$$-\operatorname{div}(H_m \nabla u_m) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -\operatorname{div}(H_m^\# \nabla v_m) = 1.$$

We obtain, using the lower semicontinuity of J and the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega u dx & \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega u_m dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_B v_m dx \quad (\text{since } H_m \in C^\infty(\Omega)) \\ & = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_n^{-2/n}}{n^2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H_m^*(s)} ds \quad (\text{by (1.7)}) \\ & = \frac{C_n^{-2/n}}{n^2} \int_0^{|\Omega|} \frac{s^{2/n}}{H^*(s)} ds = \int_B v dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $J_\Omega(H) \leq J_B(H^\#)$ as was to be shown.

REMARK. If we take $s = 0$ in (1.14) we obtain

$$n^2 C_n^{2/n} \int_0^{u^*(0)} \mu(\lambda)^{1-2/n} d\lambda \leq \int_0^{|\Omega|} \left(\frac{1}{H}\right)^* dx = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{H} dx.$$

This inequality is particularly simple if $n = 2$, in which case it becomes

$$\|u\|_\infty = u^*(0) \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{H} dx.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *Let B be a ball centered at the origin in \mathbf{R}^n of radius R . Let α, β be radial functions in $L^\infty(B)$ which are decreasing on radii, and which satisfy $\text{ess inf } \alpha(x) > 0$ and $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$ a.e. Let γ be a constant so*

$$(1.15) \quad \int_B \alpha dx \leq \gamma \leq \int_B \beta dx.$$

Let \mathfrak{X}_1 denote the subset of $L^\infty(B)$ defined in (0.2). Then there exists a unique ρ , $0 \leq \rho \leq R$, so if $H_0 = \beta \chi_{B_\rho} + \alpha \chi_{B/B_\rho}$, where B_ρ denotes the ball centered at the origin of radius ρ , then $H_0 \in \mathfrak{X}_1$ and

$$(1.16) \quad J_B(H) \leq J_B(H_0) \quad \text{for all } H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$$

with equality if and only if $H = H_0$.

PROOF. Let $r = |x|$ denote the radial variable in Ω . We observe that there exists a unique $\rho \in [0, R]$ so the function $H_0(x) = \beta(x)\chi_{B_\rho}(x) + \alpha(x)\chi_{B/B_\rho}(x)$ is in \mathfrak{X}_1 . Indeed, the function

$$\phi(\rho) = \int_{B_\rho} \beta dx + \int_{B/B_\rho} \alpha dx, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R,$$

is a strictly increasing absolutely continuous function, and since $\phi(0) \leq \gamma \leq \phi(R)$ by (1.15), there exists a unique ρ so $\phi(\rho) = \gamma$.

Now let $H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$. Since α and β are radial and decreasing, we have $\alpha = \alpha^\#$ and $\beta = \beta^\#$ a.e. in B , and one verifies easily that since $\alpha \leq H \leq \beta$, we have $\alpha^\# \leq H^\# \leq \beta^\#$. Since $\int_B H dx = \int_B H^\# dx$, we have $H^\# \in \mathfrak{X}_1$, and by Theorem 1.1, $J(H) \leq J(H^\#)$. This observation enables us to reduce our consideration to the case that $H = H(r)$ is a radial function which is decreasing for $0 \leq r \leq R$. If $H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$ is such a function, we show that $J(H) \leq J(H_0)$ with equality only if $H = H_0$. This will complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Thus assume that $H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$ is radial and decreasing. Using (1.7) we calculate that

$$J(H_0) - J(H) = \frac{1}{nR^n} \int_0^R r^{n+1} \frac{(H - H_0)}{H_0 H} dr.$$

Since $\int_0^R H r^{n-1} dr = \int_0^R H_0 r^{n-1} dr$, we may rewrite this equation as

$$(1.17) \quad J(H_0) - J(H) = \frac{1}{nR^n} \int_0^R r^{n-1} (H - H_0) \left(\frac{r^2}{H H_0} - c \right) dr,$$

where c is any constant. Since r^2/HH_0 is increasing on $(0, R)$, we may choose c so that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \rho^-} \frac{r^2}{H(r)H_0(r)} \leq c \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow \rho^+} \frac{r^2}{H(r)H_0(r)}.$$

Then since $(H - H_0)(r) \leq 0$ if $r < \rho$ and $(H - H_0)(r) \geq 0$ if $r > \rho$, we find that the integrand in (1.17) is nonnegative, so $J(H_0) \geq J(H)$.

If $J(H) = J(H_0)$ for some $H \neq H_0$, then we see from (1.17) that $HH_0 = r^2/c$ on the set where $H \neq H_0$. Thus HH_0 is strictly increasing on a set of positive measure, which is a contradiction. Thus $J(H) = J(H_0)$ implies $H = H_0$ a.e., and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. J. Cea and K. Malanowski, *An example of a max-min problem in partial differential equations*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **8** (1970), 305–316.
2. D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
3. G. H. Hardy, J. F. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1967.
4. F. Murat and L. Tartar, *Calcul de variations et homogenization*, Cours de l'Ecole d'Ete d'Analyse Numerique, 1984.
5. G. Pólya, *Torsional rigidity, principal frequency, electrostatic capacity and symmetrization*, Quart. Appl. Math. **6** (1948), 267–277.
6. B. de Saint-Venant, *Memoire sur la torsion des prismes*, Memoires Presentes par Divers Savants a l'Academie des Sciences, t. 14, 1856, pp. 233–560.
7. S. Sternberg, *Lectures in differential geometry*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.
8. G. Talenti, *Elliptic equations and rearrangements*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **3** (1976), 697–718.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PENNSYLVANIA 18015 (Current address of Charles Voas)

Current address (Daniel Yaniro): AT&T Bell Laboratories, Crawford Corner Road, Holmdel, New Jersey 07733