

## A NOTE ON THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM

DAVID GAULD

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of all maps from  $S^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  topologized by the usual metric, and  $\mathcal{B}$  the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $S^n$  invariant with respect to the antipodal map. Let  $\beta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  assign to each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  the set of all  $x$  for which  $f(x) = f(-x)$ . The largest topology on  $\mathcal{B}$  for which  $\beta$  is continuous is identified: it is the upper semifinite topology.

Let  $d$  denote the usual Pythagorean metric on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or  $S^n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all maps from  $S^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and by  $\mathcal{B}$  the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $S^n$  which are invariant with respect to the antipodal map of  $S^n$ . The Borsuk-Ulam theorem asserts that for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there is some point  $x \in S^n$  for which  $f(x) = f(-x)$ ; it is readily shown that the set of all such points is a member of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus there is a function  $\beta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$\beta(f) = \{x \in S^n : f(x) = f(-x)\}.$$

This note considers the continuity of the function  $\beta$ .

Topologize  $\mathcal{F}$  using the metric derived from the usual metric on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  in the usual way, and  $\mathcal{B}$  by the upper semifinite topology. The upper semifinite topology, defined by Michael in [2] on the collection of all nonempty closed subsets of a topological space, has as basis  $\{V^\# : V \text{ is an open subset of } S^n\}$ , where  $V^\# = \{C \in \mathcal{B} : C \subset V\}$ . This topology is very weak; it is not even  $T_1$ .

**THEOREM.** *The function  $\beta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is continuous. Moreover when  $\mathcal{F}$  has the usual metric topology, the upper semifinite topology is the largest topology on  $\mathcal{B}$  for which  $\beta$  is continuous.*

**PROOF.** Firstly it is shown that  $\beta$  is continuous. Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $V$  is an open subset of  $S^n$  with  $\beta(f) \subset V$ . Let

$$\varepsilon = \min\{d(f(x), f(-x)) : x \in S^n - V\}.$$

Then  $\varepsilon > 0$  and if  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  is within  $\varepsilon/2$  of  $f$ , then  $\beta(g) \subset V$ . Thus  $\beta^{-1}(V^\#)$  is a neighborhood of  $f$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

To show that the upper semifinite topology is the largest topology, let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $\beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  is open. It will be shown that  $\mathcal{U}$  is open in the upper semifinite topology, i.e.

$$\forall C \in \mathcal{U}, \exists \text{ open } V \subset S^n \text{ with } C \subset V \text{ and } V^\# \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

Let  $C \in \mathcal{U}$ .

---

Received by the editors December 10, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54H25; Secondary 54C35, 54C60.

*Key words and phrases.* Borsuk-Ulam theorem, graph topology, upper semifinite topology.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

For each  $x \in S^n$ , let  $\sigma_x: S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be some stereographic projection from  $-x$  of the closed hemisphere centered at  $x$  onto  $B^n$  and if  $y$  is in the complementary hemisphere let  $\sigma_x(y) = -\sigma_x(-y)$ . Then  $\sigma_x(y) = \sigma_x(-y)$  if and only if  $y = \pm x$ .

For each  $x \in C$  define  $f_x: S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $f_x(y) = d(y, C)\sigma_x(y)$ . Then  $f_x \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\beta(f_x) = C$  so  $f_x \in \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . Thus  $\exists \varepsilon_x > 0$  such that if  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  is within  $2\varepsilon_x$  of  $f_x$ , then  $g \in \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . Let

$$U_x = \{y \in S^n : d(y, C) < \varepsilon_x\}$$

and choose  $\delta_x \in (0, 1/2)$  so that  $B(x; 2\delta_x) \subset U_x$ , where  $B(x; r)$  denotes the open ball in  $S^n$  of radius  $r$ . Let  $\{B(x_i; \delta_{x_i}) : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a finite subcover of the open cover  $\{B(x; \delta_x) : x \in C\}$  of  $C$ .

Set

$$V = \bigcap_{i=1}^m U_{x_i} \cap \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i; \delta_{x_i}) \right].$$

The set  $V$  is open and contains  $C$ . Suppose  $D \in V^\#$ . Since  $D \subset V$  and  $D \neq \emptyset$ , there is an index  $i$  with  $D \cap B(x_i; \delta_{x_i}) \neq \emptyset$ , say  $x \in D \cap B(x_i; \delta_{x_i})$ . Note also that  $D \subset U_{x_i}$ . Define  $\varphi: S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\varphi(y) = \max\{d(y, C), \varepsilon_{x_i}\}$$

and  $\psi: S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{x_i}(y) & \text{if } y \in S^n - B(\pm x_i; 2\delta_{x_i}), \\ 0 & \text{if } y = \pm x, \\ \frac{d(\sigma_{x_i}(y), \sigma_{x_i}(\pm x))}{d(\sigma_{x_i}(z), \sigma_{x_i}(\pm x))} \sigma_{x_i}(z) & \text{if } y \in B(\pm x_i; 2\delta_{x_i}) - \{\pm x\}. \end{cases}$$

In the last line,  $z \in \partial B(\pm x_i; 2\delta_{x_i})$  is chosen so that  $\sigma_{x_i}(\pm x)$ ,  $\sigma_{x_i}(y)$ , and  $\sigma_{x_i}(z)$  are collinear and in that order. It is readily checked that  $\psi$  is continuous and that  $\psi(y) = \psi(-y)$  if and only if  $y = \pm x$ .

Define  $g: S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by

$$g(y) = \frac{d(y, D)}{d(y, D) + d(y, S^n - U_{x_i})} \varphi(y) \psi(y).$$

Then  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  and is within  $2\varepsilon_{x_i}$  of  $f_{x_i}$  so  $g \in \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  and hence  $\beta(g) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\beta(g) = D$  it follows that  $D \in \mathcal{U}$  so  $V^\# \subset \mathcal{U}$  as required. This completes the proof.

The theorem above may be compared with the results contained in [1], where cohomological bounds are obtained for sets derived from the Borsuk-Ulam sets of a parametrized family of maps.

It follows from the theorem that  $\beta$  is surjective, i.e. every nonempty closed subset of  $S^n$  which is invariant with respect to the antipodal map is the Borsuk-Ulam set of some map  $S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . This fact is comparable with Theorem 1 of [3].

### REFERENCES

1. Jan Jaworowski, *A continuous version of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 112-114.
2. Ernest Michael, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 152-182.
3. Herbert Robbins, *Some complements to Brouwer's fixed point theorem*, Israel J. Math. **5** (1967), 225-226.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF AUCKLAND, AUCKLAND, NEW ZEALAND