

POINTWISE CONVERGENT APPROXIMATE IDENTITIES OF DILATED RADIALLY DECREASING KERNELS

R. A. KERMAN

ABSTRACT. Let ϕ be integrable on R^n with $\int_{R^n} \phi(y) dy = 1$. It is shown that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\phi_\epsilon^* f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \epsilon^{-n} \int_{R^n} \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) f(y) dy = f(x)$$

a.e. on R^n , whenever the least radially decreasing majorant of ϕ , defined by $\psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\phi(y)|$, is such that $|x|^n \psi(x) = |x|^n \psi(|x|)$ is nonincreasing in $|x|$ when $|x|$ is large and $(\psi_{\epsilon_0}^* |f|)(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$.

1. Introduction. A well-known result of Calderón and Zygmund [1, p. 111, Lemma 1] asserts that if the least radially decreasing majorant Ψ of a Lebesgue-measurable function ϕ on R^n , $\Psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\phi(y)|$, is integrable, then, for all $f \in L^p(R^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\phi_\epsilon^* f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \epsilon^{-n} \int_{R^n} \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) f(y) dy = f(x) \int_{R^n} \phi(y) dy$$

for a.e. $x \in R^n$. In this note we prove the simple additional requirement that $|x|^n \psi(x) = |x|^n \psi(|x|)$ be nonincreasing in $|x|$ for $|x|$ large guarantees (1.1) whenever $\phi_\epsilon^* f$ is defined for small ϵ . Examples of such ϕ are the Poisson and Weierstrass kernels considered for R^1 in Hardy [2, 3, 4] (see also Titchmarsh [6, pp. 30–32] and Hirschman-Widder [5, p. 175, pp. 188–189]).

In §2 we prove our theorem and in §3 give an example to show that some restriction like the one put on ψ is necessary.

As usual, C_n will denote a positive dimensional constant that may change from line to line.

2. The theorem. We begin with a simple lemma that will ensure $\phi_\epsilon^* f$ is defined when ϵ is small. As our theorem reduces to that of Calderón and Zygmund when ϕ has compact support (and f is locally integrable), we will assume $\psi > 0$.

LEMMA. Suppose $\Psi > 0$ is a radially decreasing, integrable function on R^n such that

$$(2.1) \quad |x|^n \Psi(|x|) \text{ is nonincreasing in } |x| \text{ for } |x| \text{ large.}$$

If $(\Psi_{\epsilon_0}^* |f|)(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$, then, for a.e. $x \in R^n$, $(\Psi_\epsilon^* |f|)(x) < \infty$ whenever $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$.

Received by the editors June 10, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42B99; Secondary 44A35.

Research partly supported by NSERC grant A4021.

PROOF. We have

$$(\Psi_\varepsilon^*|f|)(x) = \int_{R^n} \Psi_\varepsilon(|x-y|)|f(y)| dy = \int_{|x-y| \leq M} + \int_{|x-y| > M} = I + J.$$

Now,

$$J = \int_{|x-y| > M} \psi_{\varepsilon_0}(|x_0-y|)|f(y)|g(y) dy,$$

where

$$g(y) = [r^n \Psi(r)/r_0^n \Psi(r_0)] \left[\frac{|x_0-y|}{|x-y|} \right]^n, \quad r = |x-y|/\varepsilon, \quad r_0 = |x_0-y|/\varepsilon_0$$

is bounded, given $M > 0$ sufficiently large and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, in view of (2.1).

Again, letting

$$E_k = \{y \in R^n: 2^k \varepsilon \leq |x-y| < 2^{k+1} \varepsilon\}, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \int_{E_k} \Psi_\varepsilon(|x-y|)|f(y)| dy, \quad \alpha(\varepsilon) = [\log_2 M/\varepsilon], \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} \Psi_\varepsilon(2^k \varepsilon) \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq 2^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\alpha(\varepsilon)} 2^{kn} \psi(2^k) [2^{k+1} \varepsilon]^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon} |f(y)| dy \\ &\leq 2^n \left[\sup_{0 < \alpha < 2M} \alpha^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \leq \alpha} |f(y)| dy \right] \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{kn} \Psi(2^k), \end{aligned}$$

is finite for a.e. x (for example, x in the set of Lebesgue points of the locally-integrable function f), since Ψ is radially decreasing and integrable.

THEOREM. Let ϕ be an integrable function of R^n with $\int_{R^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$. Suppose that $\Psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\phi(y)|$, the least radially decreasing majorant of ϕ , is positive, integrable, and satisfies (2.1) when $|x| \geq r_0 > 0$. Then, given Lebesgue-measurable f on R^n , the averages $(\phi_\varepsilon^* f)(x)$ are defined at almost all $x \in R^n$ when ε is close to 0 and

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \phi_\varepsilon^* f = f \quad \text{a.e.}$$

provided

$$(2.3) \quad (\Psi_{\varepsilon_0}^*|f|)(x_0) < \infty$$

for some $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. In particular, if ϕ is radially decreasing so that $\phi = \Psi$, then (2.3) is necessary and sufficient for (2.2).

PROOF. To begin the proof we observe that (2.3) implies f is locally-integrable. This means, by the Lemma, that $\phi_\varepsilon^* f$ is defined at all $x \in L_f$, the Lebesgue set of f , when $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Now, fixing a positive $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $x \in L_f$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\phi_\varepsilon^* f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq \int_{R^n} \Psi_\varepsilon(|x-y|)|f(y) - f(x)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} + \int_{|x-y| > 1} = I_\varepsilon + J_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the Lemma, we obtain

$$I_\epsilon \leq 2^n \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\alpha(\epsilon)} 2^{kn} \Psi(2^k) [2^{k+1}\epsilon]^{-n} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1}\epsilon} |f(y) - f(x)| dy,$$

with $\alpha(\epsilon) = [\log_2 1/\epsilon]$. Hence, $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} I_\epsilon = 0$, whenever $x \in L_f$, by the dominated convergence theorem, since $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{kn} \Psi(2^k) < \infty$.

As

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{|x-y| \geq a} \Psi_\epsilon(|x-y|) dy = \int_{|z| \geq a/\epsilon} \Psi(|z|) dz \rightarrow 0$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$ for each fixed $a > 0$, it suffices to show

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{|x-y| > 1} \Psi_\epsilon(|x-y|) |f(y)| dy = 0$$

in order to obtain $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} J_\epsilon = 0$. But,

$$\Psi_\epsilon(y) \leq C_n |y|^{-n} \int_{|y|/2 \leq |z| \leq |y|} \Psi_\epsilon(|z|) dz \rightarrow 0, \quad R^n \ni y \neq 0,$$

by (2.4), so (2.5) follows by dominated convergence since

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\epsilon(|x-y|) |f(y)| &= [|x-y|/\epsilon]^n \Psi(|x-y|/\epsilon) |f(y)| / |x-y|^n \\ &\leq C_n \Psi_\delta(|x-y|) |f(y)| \end{aligned}$$

when $0 < \epsilon \leq \delta = \min[\epsilon_0/2, r_0^{-1}]$.

REMARKS. 1. Essentially the same proof shows that when μ is a Borel measure on R^n , with total variation, $|\mu|$, finite on compact sets,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \phi_\epsilon^* \mu = D\mu,$$

the Radon-Nikodým derivative of μ , a.e., provided $(\Psi_{\epsilon_0}^* |\mu|)(x_0) < \infty$ for some $x_0 \in R^n$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Here, of course,

$$(\phi_\epsilon^* \mu)(x) = \epsilon^{-n} \int_{R^n} \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right) d\mu(y).$$

2. Easy modifications of the argument of the Theorem yield (2.2) when condition (2.1) is replaced by $f \in L^\infty(R^n)$ or $\int_{R^n} (|f(y)|/(1+|y|^n)) dy < \infty$. The latter condition holds, for example, if $f \in L^p(R^n)$ for some $p, 1 \leq p < \infty$.

3. **The example.** We show that in the absence of restrictions on f like those in Remark 2 above, a condition on ϕ such as (2.1) is necessary. To this end, we construct nonnegative, locally-integrable functions f and ϕ on R^n , ϕ radially decreasing and integrable, such that 0 is a Lebesgue point of f and

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} (\phi_\epsilon^* f)(0) < \infty,$$

yet

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} (\phi_\epsilon^* f)(0) \neq f(0) \int_{R^n} \phi(y) dy.$$

These functions will be constant on the annuli

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n: 2^k \leq |y| < 2^{k+1}\},$$

say $f = f_k$ and $\phi = \phi_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with $f = 0$, $\phi = \phi_0$, when $|y| < 1$.

It is enough to consider the sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$, $\varepsilon_j = 2^{-j}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, instead of the continuous variable ε . In this case, letting $a_k = 2^{nk}\phi_k$, (3.1) and (3.2) amount to

$$(3.1)' \quad \sup_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+j} f_k < \infty$$

and

$$(3.2)' \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+j} f_k \neq 0.$$

Since (2.1) is not to hold, we do not want the sequence $\{a_k\}$ nonincreasing; however, we do want $\{\phi_k\} = \{2^{-nk}a_k\}$ nonincreasing and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k < \infty$. Thus, we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1/3^n, & a_1 &= 2^n/3^n, \\ a_2 &= 1/3^{2n}, & a_3 &= 2^n/3^{2n}, & a_4 &= 2^{2n}/3^{2n}, \\ a_5 &= 1/3^{3n}, & a_6 &= 2^n/3^{3n}, & a_7 &= 2^{2n}/3^{3n}, & a_8 &= 2^{3n}/3^{3n} \end{aligned}$$

and so on. We take $f_2 = 1/a_4$, $f_5 = 1/a_8, \dots$ and $f_k = 0$ for all other k . This ensures the sum in (3.2)' is greater than 1 for all $j = 2, 3, \dots$.

It only remains to verify (3.1)'. Fix j . Let $k(1) = 0$, $k(2) = 2$, $k(3) = 5$, $k(4) = 9, \dots$. Then,

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+j} f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{k(i)+j} f_{k(i)}.$$

Now, when $j \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_{k(i)+j} f_{k(i)} = 1 + 1/2^n + 1/2^{2n} + \dots = 2^n/(2^m - 1).$$

As for the first $j - 1$ terms on the right side of (3.3), they are $j - 1$ distinct terms in the convergent series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^m 2^{nl}/3^{nm}$.

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On the existence of certain singular integrals*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139.
2. G. H. Hardy, *Further researches in the theory of divergent series and integrals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **21** (1908), 1–48.
3. _____, *Fourier's double integral and the theory of divergent integrals*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **21** (1911), 427–451.
4. _____, *Notes on some points in the integral calculus (XLII): On Weierstrass's singular integral and on a theorem of Lerch*, Messenger Math. **46** (1917), 43–48.
5. I. I. Hirschman and D. V. Widder, *The convolution transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
6. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Theory of Fourier integrals*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1962.