

## A THEOREM ON WEIGHTED $L^1$ -APPROXIMATION

DARRELL SCHMIDT

ABSTRACT. It is proven that the  $A$ -property is necessary for a finite-dimensional subspace to be Chebyshev in  $C(K)$  with respect to the weighted  $L^1$ -norm  $\|f\|_w = \int_K w|f| d\mu$  for all weight functions  $w$  in certain classes of functions.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}^s$  ( $s \geq 1$ ) satisfying  $K = \overline{\text{Int}(K)}$  and denote by  $C(K)$  the space of all continuous, real-valued functions on  $K$ . Let  $W_\infty = \{w \in L^\infty(K) : w > 0 \text{ on } K\}$ , and for  $w \in W_\infty$  let  $C_w(K)$  denote the space  $C(K)$  with the  $w$ -weighted  $L^1$ -norm

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_w = \int_K w|f| d\mu,$$

where  $\mu$  denotes Lebesgue measure. We say that a finite-dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $C(K)$  is *Chebyshev* in  $C_w(K)$  if every  $f \in C(K)$  has a unique best approximation from  $U$  with respect to the norm (1).

Recent interest in the Chebyshev subspaces of  $C(K)$  with  $L^1$ -norms was inspired by the discoveries that spaces of spline functions are Chebyshev in  $C_1[0, 1]$  in addition to the subspaces of  $C[0, 1]$  that satisfy the Haar condition on  $(0, 1)$  (see [8] and its references). A unifying feature of these spaces is the so called  $A$ -property (defined in §2), and Strauss [10] proved that if  $U$  satisfies the  $A$ -property, then  $U$  is Chebyshev in  $C_1[0, 1]$ . This result is easily generalized for any  $w \in W_\infty$  and any  $K$  as above. When  $K = [0, 1]$ , Kroó [1] established a converse showing that if  $U$  is Chebyshev in  $C_w[0, 1]$  for all  $w \in W_B = \{w \in W_\infty : \inf w > 0\}$ , then  $U$  is an  $A$ -space, and Sommer [7] generalized this result to the multivariate setting. Independent of Kroó, Pinkus [6] sought a converse using only the continuous weight functions and succeeded under the additional assumption that  $\mu(Z(u)) = \mu(\text{Int}(Z(u)))$  for all  $u \in U$  where  $Z(u) = \{x \in K : u(x) = 0\}$ . Subsequently, Kroó [3] removed this condition for any  $K$  as above showing that the  $A$ -property is necessary for  $U$  to be Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$  for all  $w \in W_C = \{w \in C(K) : w > 0 \text{ on } K\}$ . It is natural to ask whether the analytic or even the polynomial weight functions suffice. Indeed, when  $K = [0, 1]$  and  $U$  satisfied Pinkus' condition, Kroó [2] showed that the  $C^\infty$ -weight functions suffice. In this note we give general conditions on  $W \subseteq W_\infty$  so that the  $A$ -property is necessary for  $U$  to be Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$  for all  $w \in W$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $W$  be a convex cone in  $W_\infty$  satisfying the condition*

$$(2) \quad \text{if } q \text{ is a bounded, measurable function and } \int_K wq d\mu \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \in W, \text{ then } q \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } K.$$

---

Received by the editors May 21, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 41A52.

If a finite-dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $C(K)$  is Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$  for all  $w \in W$ , then  $U$  is an  $A$ -space.

Evidently  $W_\infty$  satisfies condition (2) and an argument using Lusin's theorem shows that  $W_C$  also satisfies (2). Moreover any convex cone  $W$  in  $W_\infty$  whose  $L^\infty$ -closure contains  $W_C$  also satisfies (2). In particular,  $W_P = \{w \in W_C : w \text{ is a polynomial in } s \text{ variables}\}$  and, when  $K = [0, 1]$ ,  $W_S = \{w \in W_\infty : w \text{ is a step function}\}$  satisfy (2). Hence, we answer the question above in the affirmative. Our proof involves an application of the Lyapunov theorem on vector measures which not only yields a more far reaching result than those of Kroó and Pinkus but also simplifies their proofs substantially.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** We shall make use of a lemma on moments which is somewhat more general than a similar lemma used by Kroó [4].

LEMMA. Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  be a finite, positive measure space, let

$$S = \text{span}\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$$

be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , let  $W$  be a convex cone in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfying Condition (2) (with  $K = \Omega$ ), and let

$$A_n = \left\{ \left( \int_{\Omega} w s_i d\nu \right)_{i=1}^n : w \in W \right\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n.$$

If  $S$  contains no nontrivial functions that are nonnegative  $\nu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$ , then  $A_n = \mathbf{R}^n$ .

PROOF. Since  $W$  is a convex cone and is nonempty by (2),  $A_n$  is a nonempty convex cone in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Suppose  $A_n \neq \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then  $A_n$  has boundary point, say  $x$ . By the supporting hyperplane theorem, there exists a nontrivial linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , given by  $\varphi(\xi_i)_{i=1}^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \xi_i$ , such that  $\varphi(x) = \inf \varphi(A_n)$ . Since  $A_n$  is a cone and  $\varphi$  is bounded below on  $A_n$ ,  $\inf \varphi(A_n) = 0$ , and thus

$$0 \leq \varphi \left( \int_{\Omega} w s_i d\nu \right)_{i=1}^n = \int_{\Omega} w \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i s_i \right) d\nu$$

for all  $w \in W$ . By (2),  $s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i s_i \geq 0$   $\nu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$  which is a contradiction, and the lemma is proven.

We now define the  $A$ -property. For  $f \in C(K)$ , let  $Z(f) = \{x \in K : f(x) = 0\}$  and  $\text{supp}(f) = K \setminus Z(f)$ . For a subspace  $U$  of  $C(K)$ , let

$$U^* = \{u^* \in C(K) : |u^*| \equiv |u| \text{ on } K \text{ for some } u \in U\}.$$

DEFINITION. We say that a finite-dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $C(K)$  satisfies the  $A$ -property (or is an  $A$ -space) if for every  $u^* \in U^* \setminus \{0\}$  there exists  $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $Z(u^*)$  and  $uu^* \geq 0$  on  $K$ .

We shall use a standard characterization of best  $L^1$ -approximations and a characterization of the Chebyshev subspaces of  $C_w(K)$  for fixed  $w \in W_\infty$  due to Strauss [9]. Actually, Strauss proved Theorem 3 for  $w = 1$  and  $K = [0, 1]$ , but his proof readily yields the more general version.

THEOREM 2. Let  $U$  be a subspace of  $C(K)$ ,  $w \in W_\infty$ , and  $f \in C(K) \setminus U$ . Then  $0$  is a best approximation to  $f$  from  $U$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_w$  if and only if

there exists  $\psi \in L^\infty(Z(f))$  with  $|\psi| \leq 1$  such that

$$\int_{\text{supp}(f)} wu \operatorname{sgn} f \, d\mu + \int_{Z(f)} wu\psi \, d\mu = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *A finite-dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $C(K)$  is Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$ ,  $w \in W_\infty$ , if and only if for every  $u^* \in U^* \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $0$  is not a best approximation to  $u^*$  from  $U$  relative to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_w$ .*

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Suppose  $U$  is Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$  for every  $w \in W$ , and let  $u^* \in U^* \setminus \{0\}$ . We have that  $\sigma = \operatorname{sgn} u^*$  is continuous at each point of  $\text{supp}(u^*)$ . Let  $U_1 = \{u \in U : u = 0 \text{ a.e. on } Z(u^*)\}$ . We need to show that there exists  $u_1 \in U_1 \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\sigma u_1 \geq 0$  on  $\text{supp}(u^*)$ . Assume that no such  $u_1$  exists. Let  $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  be a basis for  $U_1$ , and choose  $g_{k+1}, \dots, g_n \in U$  so that  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  is a basis for  $U$ . Letting  $U_2 = \text{span}\{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_n\}$ , we have that  $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . By definition of  $U_1$ , if  $u_2 \in U_2$  and  $u_2 = 0$  a.e. on  $Z(u^*)$ , then  $u_2 = 0$ . We apply the Lyapunov theorem on vector measures to  $g_{k+1}, \dots, g_n$  on  $Z(u^*)$  to obtain a measurable function  $\psi: Z(u^*) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{Z(u^*)} u_2 \psi \, d\mu = 0$$

for all  $u_2 \in U_2$ . (See Lemma 2 in [5] for the precise version of Lyapunov's theorem used here.) For simplicity, we redefine  $\sigma = \psi$  on  $Z(u^*)$ . By (3), if  $u_2 \in U_2$  and  $\sigma u_2 \geq 0$  a.e. on  $Z(u^*)$ , then  $u_2 = 0$ .

We now have that if  $u \in U$  and  $\sigma u \geq 0$  a.e. on  $K$ , then  $u = 0$ . To see this, write  $u = u_1 + u_2$  whence  $u_1 \in U_1$  and  $u_2 \in U_2$  and suppose that  $\sigma u \geq 0$  a.e. on  $K$ . Then  $\sigma u_2 \geq 0$  a.e. on  $Z(u^*)$  and thus  $u_2 = 0$ . Thus  $\sigma u_1 \geq 0$  a.e. on  $K$ . Since  $\sigma$  is continuous at each point of  $\text{supp}(u^*)$ ,  $\sigma u_1 \geq 0$  on  $\text{supp}(u^*)$ , and by assumption,  $u_1 = 0$ .

We have that the finite-dimensional subspace  $S = \{\sigma u : u \in U\}$  contains nontrivial elements that are nonnegative a.e. on  $K$ . Moreover, each element of  $S$  is bounded, and by (2) and the Lemma there exists  $w \in W$  such that

$$(4) \quad \int_K wu\sigma \, d\mu = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ . Since  $\sigma = \operatorname{sgn} u^*$  on  $\text{supp}(u^*)$ , (4) and Theorem 2 imply that  $0$  is a best approximation to  $u^*$  from  $U$  relative to  $\|\cdot\|_w$ , and by Theorem 3,  $U$  is not Chebyshev in  $C_w(K)$ , a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Kroó, *On an  $L_1$ -approximation problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 406–410.
2. —, *A general approach to the study of Chebyshev subspaces in  $L_1$ -approximation of continuous functions*, J. Approx. Theory (to appear).
3. —, *Best  $L_1$ -approximation with varying weights*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1987), 66–70.
4. —, *Chebyshev rank in  $L_1$ -approximation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), 301–313.
5. R. R. Phelps, *Chebyshev subspaces of finite dimension in  $L_1$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 646–652.
6. A. Pinkus, *Unicity subspaces in  $L_1$ -approximation*, J. Approx. Theory **48** (1986), 226–250.

7. M. Sommer, *Uniqueness of best  $L_1$ -approximations of continuous functions*, Delay Equations, Approximation and Applications (G. Meinardus and G. Nurnberger, eds.), Birkhäuser-Verlag, Stuttgart, 1985, pp. 264–281.
8. H. Strauss,  *$L_1$ -Approximation mit Splinefunktionen*, Numerische Methoden der Approximationstheorie (J. Collatz and G. Meinardus, eds.), Birkhäuser-Verlag, Stuttgart, 1975, pp. 151–162.
9. ———, *Eindeutigkeit in der  $L_1$ -Approximation*, Math. Z. **176** (1981), 63–74.
10. ———, *Best  $L_1$ -approximation*, J. Approx. Theory **41** (1984), 297–308.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, OAKLAND UNIVERSITY, ROCHESTER,  
MICHIGAN 48063