

## ON THE INTERSECTION OF VARIETIES WITH A TOTALLY REAL SUBMANIFOLD

HOWARD JACOBOWITZ

**ABSTRACT.** In their work on uniqueness in the Cauchy problem for CR functions, Baouendi and Treves have utilized a condition on a totally real submanifold  $M$  in a neighborhood of one of its points  $p$ : There should exist a variety  $X$  such that the component containing  $p$  of  $M - (M \cap X)$  has compact closure in  $M$ . All real analytic submanifolds satisfy this condition. In this paper, a  $C^\infty$  submanifold is constructed which does not. Uniqueness in the corresponding Cauchy problem remains unresolved.

The generic intersection of two submanifolds of  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , each of dimension two, is a single point. Of course in any particular case other intersections are possible. For instance, the totally real submanifold  $\{(z_1, z_2) : z_1 \text{ and } z_2 \text{ are real}\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  intersects the complex curve  $\{(z_1, z_2) : z_1^2 + z_2^2 = 1\}$  in a circle. From this we may see that for any totally real, real analytic surface  $M^2$  in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  which contains the origin and for any neighborhood  $V$  of the origin there exists a smaller neighborhood  $U$  and a function  $F$  holomorphic on  $U$  such that  $M^2 \cap F^{-1}(0)$  contains a closed curve about the origin.

The following condition has been shown by Baouendi and Treves to imply a uniqueness result for CR functions [BT]. We state this condition as it arises in the uniqueness result for four-dimensional CR submanifolds in  $\mathbf{C}^3$ . Here  $\Sigma$  is a totally real two-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  and  $p$  is some point of  $\Sigma$ .

*Condition A.* Given any sufficiently small neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $\Sigma$  there is a function  $F$  holomorphic in an open set of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  containing  $V$  such that  $F(p) \neq 0$  and the connected component of  $p$  in the set  $V \cap \{q : F(q) \neq 0\}$  has compact closure contained in  $V$ .

Note that if for a particular  $V$  such a function  $F$  exists, then  $F$  also suffices for a somewhat smaller  $V'$  and now  $F$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{V'}$ . Hence to provide a  $\Sigma$  which does not satisfy Condition A we need only show that  $\Sigma$  does not satisfy the somewhat more restrictive condition where "containing  $V$ " is replaced by "containing  $\overline{V}$ ."

By the remarks above, Condition A and hence also the uniqueness result in [BT] hold when  $\Sigma$  is real analytic. In this paper we construct a  $\Sigma$  of class  $C^\infty$  for which Condition A fails. It is not known if the uniqueness result also fails. In our proof that Condition A is not valid for this particular  $\Sigma$ , we use the following two lemmas.

---

Received by the editors May 28, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 32B99; Secondary 35F10.

*Key words and phrases.* Cauchy problem for CR functions, totally real submanifolds.

This research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 8402631. It is a pleasure to acknowledge that this version of the example was worked out during a visit to Purdue University and that various improvements emerged in conversations with M. S. Baouendi.

LEMMA 1. Let  $U$  and  $U_1$  be open sets in  $\mathbf{C}^2$  with  $\bar{U} \subset U_1$  and let  $F$  be a holomorphic function on  $U_1$ ,  $F$  not identically zero on any component of  $U$ . Then for each  $q \in U \cap F^{-1}(0)$ , except for finitely many, there exists an open neighborhood  $U_q$  of  $q$  and a function  $F_q$  holomorphic on  $U_q$  such that

- (a)  $F_q$  is either of the form  $z_1 - f(z_2)$  or  $z_2 - f(z_1)$ ,
- (b)  $U_q \cap F^{-1}(0) = U_q \cap F_q^{-1}(0)$ .

PROOF. Recall that a point  $q$  on the variety  $F^{-1}(0)$  is called a regular point if there is a neighborhood  $V_q$  of  $q$  such that  $F^{-1}(0) \cap V_q$  is a complex submanifold of  $V_q$  [GR]. On some smaller neighborhood  $U_q$ , this submanifold must be given either by  $z_1 = f(z_2)$  or  $z_2 = f(z_1)$ . Thus we need show that there can only be a finite number of singular points of  $F^{-1}(0) \cap U$ . This is true because the singular points form a variety of dimension less than that of  $F^{-1}(0)$  [W, p. 92] and, as is easily seen, any variety of dimension zero is a discrete set of points.

LEMMA 2. Let  $F$  be a complex valued, real analytic function near the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . There exist a neighborhood  $U$  of the origin and an integer  $N$  such that on each line segment  $\{(x, y): y = c\} \cap U$  either  $F$  has less than  $N$  zeros or  $F$  is identically zero.

This follows from, for instance, the much stronger results in [H].

The totally real two-dimensional submanifold  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  which we seek will be of the form

$$\Sigma = \{(z_1, z_2): z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2 + i\phi(x_1)\},$$

where  $\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$  function which we now describe. Let  $p_j = 1/j$  and let  $\{B_{j,k}\}$  be a set of disjoint intervals with  $B_{j,k}$  converging to the point  $p_j$  as  $k$  goes to infinity. Let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  be positive in each  $B_{j,k}$ , zero at each  $p_j$ , and with  $\text{supp } \phi = \overline{\bigcup_{j,k} B_{j,k}}$ . Let  $S_{j,k} = \{(x_1, x_2): x_1 \in B_{j,k}\}$  and  $l_j = \{(x_1, x_2): x_1 = p_j\}$ . Then as a function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $\text{supp } \phi = \overline{\bigcup_{j,k} S_{j,k}}$ . Note that for any  $j$  and any integer  $N$  there exists an  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\phi(x) = \mu$  has more than  $N$  solutions in  $\bigcup_k B_{j,k}$  provided  $0 < \mu < \varepsilon$ . Also note that  $\Sigma$  is totally real because at any point  $p \in \Sigma$  the tangent space  $T_p\Sigma$  is spanned by  $\partial/\partial x_2$  and  $\partial/\partial x_1 + \phi'(x_1)\partial/\partial y_2$ , and so  $T_p\Sigma \cap J(T_p\Sigma) = \{0\}$  for the complex structure operator  $J$ .

THEOREM. Let  $V$  be any neighborhood of the origin in  $\Sigma$  and  $F$  any function holomorphic in a open set of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  containing  $\bar{V}$  with  $F(0) \neq 0$ . There exists a piecewise smooth curve  $\gamma(t)$  in  $\Sigma$  with  $\gamma(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(1) \in \partial V$ , and  $F(\gamma(t))$  different from zero for each  $t$  in  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

PROOF. We may replace  $V$  by its connected component containing the origin. Let  $F$  be holomorphic on some  $U_1$  and let  $U$  be a connected open set with  $\bar{U} \subset U \subset \bar{U} \subset U_1$ . We apply Lemma 1 to find some  $j$  for which  $l_j$  does not contain any of the finite number of points excluded in this lemma. We also take  $j$  large enough so that  $F(x, 0) \neq 0$  for  $x$  satisfying  $0 \leq x \leq 1/j$ . In particular  $F$  cannot be identically zero on the component of  $l_j \cap U$  which contains  $(1/j, 0)$  and so  $F$  can have only a finite number of zeros on the component of  $l_j \cap \bar{U}$  which contains this point. If we enlarge  $V$  a little then we also have  $F$  is different from zero at the two endpoints of this component. (We may enlarge  $V$ , since if the theorem holds for some  $V'$  with

$\bar{V} \subset V'$ , then it clearly also holds for  $V$ .) For this choice of  $j$  let  $p$  be the point  $(1/j, 0)$ ,  $l$  the line  $l_j$ , and  $S_k$  the strip  $S_{j,k}$ . To summarize, we now have

- (a)  $F$  has only finitely many zeros on the component of  $l \cap V$  which contains  $p$ ;
- (b) near each of these zeros, the zero set of  $F$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is given by either  $z_1 = f(z_2)$  or  $z_2 = f(z_1)$ ; and
- (c)  $F \neq 0$  at the two boundary points of this component of  $l$ .

Since there is a curve in  $\Sigma$  from the origin to  $p$  on which  $F$  is not zero, it suffices to find such a curve from  $p$  to one of the boundary points of this component of  $l$ . This curve will proceed along  $l$  until it approaches a zero of  $F$ . The next lemma is used to avoid this zero along a curve which returns to  $l$  beyond this zero. A finite number of such detours then provides the curve  $\gamma(t)$  in the theorem. For convenience we introduce new coordinates so that  $l$  is the  $x_2$ -axis,  $p$  is the origin, and  $I$  is the line segment  $I = \{(0, s) : 0 \leq s < 1\}$  which lies in  $V$  with endpoint  $(0, 1)$  on  $\partial V$ . We have  $F$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $I$ ;  $F(0, 0) \neq 0$ ;  $F(0, 1) \neq 0$ ; and  $F$  has only a finite number of zeros on  $I$ . Let  $q = (0, s)$  be any one of these zeros and for some small  $\delta$  take numbers  $s_-$  and  $s_+$  with  $s - \delta < s_- < s < s_+ < s + \delta$ . Let  $q_{\pm} = (0, s_{\pm})$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $\delta$  is small enough, there exists a piecewise smooth curve  $\sigma(t)$  in  $\Sigma$  with  $\sigma(0) = q_-$ ,  $\sigma(1) = q_+$ , and  $F(\sigma(t))$  different from zero for each  $t$  in  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .*

PROOF. Find an  $\varepsilon$  such that in the ball  $B(q, \varepsilon)$  the zero set of  $F$  is given by

- (i)  $z_1 = f(z_2)$  or
- (ii)  $z_2 = f(z_1)$ .

Now choose  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\delta$  so small that  $B(q_-, \varepsilon_1)$  and  $B(q_+, \varepsilon_1)$  are contained in  $B(q, \varepsilon)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the "rectangle" in  $\Sigma$  given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, x_2 + i\phi(x_1) : 0 \leq x_1 \leq \varepsilon_1, s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+\}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{D}$  is bounded by a segment of  $l$ , a line segment parallel to  $l$ , and by curves passing through  $q_-$  and  $q_+$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D} \subset B(q, \varepsilon)$  and so one of the forms (i) or (ii) is valid throughout  $\mathcal{D}$ . Also note that as long as  $\varepsilon_1$  is small enough we have that  $F$  is nonzero on the top and bottom boundaries of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Thus, to prove the lemma, we need only find a segment in  $\mathcal{D}$  parallel to  $l$  along which  $F$  is never zero.

The proof that such a segment exists is divided into two cases corresponding to the equations  $z_1 = f(z_2)$  and  $z_2 = f(z_1)$  for the zero set.

Case (i). The zero set of  $F$  is given by  $z_1 = f(z_2)$ . Let  $v(x_2, y_2) = \text{Im } f(x_2 + iy_2)$ . We claim that  $v$  is not identically zero. This is so because if it were, then  $f(z_2)$  would be a constant but  $f(s) = 0$  and  $f(s_-) \neq 0$ . Thus there exists a sequence of real numbers  $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$  such that  $v(x_2, \mu_k)$  is not identically zero on the interval  $s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+$ . Lemma 2 then guarantees that for some integer  $N$  each equation  $v(x_2, \mu_k) = 0$ , for  $k$  large, can have no more than  $N$  solutions  $x_2 = x_2(\mu_k)$  in this interval. (The application of this lemma might necessitate shrinking  $\varepsilon$ , and hence also  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\delta$ .) Clearly we may take each  $\mu_k$  to be positive. We want to show that  $F$  is everywhere different from zero for some segment in  $\mathcal{D}$ . We reason by contradiction and so start with the assumption that for each  $x_1$  there is some  $x_2$  in  $s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+$  such that  $F$  has a zero at the point  $(x_1, x_2 + i\phi(x_1))$ . Thus for each  $x_1$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq \varepsilon_1$  there is at least one  $x_2$  in  $s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+$  with  $x_1 = f(x_2 + i\phi(x_1))$ . For each  $\mu$  let  $N(\mu)$  denote the number of solutions to  $\phi(x_1) = \mu$  in  $0 \leq x_1 \leq \varepsilon_1$ .

As we have already noted,  $N(\mu) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  with  $\mu > 0$ . Thus the equation  $x_1 = f(x_2 + i\mu)$  has  $N(\mu)$  solution pairs  $(x_1, x_2)$ . Further, no two solution pairs with different values of  $x_1$  can have the same  $x_2$ . Thus along the line segment in the  $z_2$ -plane given by  $s_- \leq \operatorname{Re} z_2 \leq s_+$  and  $\operatorname{Im} z_2 = \mu$ , the holomorphic function  $f$  is real for at least  $N(\mu)$  points. But then  $N(\mu) \rightarrow \infty$  contradicts the existence of the bound  $N$  for solutions to  $\operatorname{Im} f(x_2 + i\mu_k) = 0$ .

*Case (ii).* The zero set of  $F$  is given by  $z_2 = f(z_1)$ . If  $F$  does not have a zero on some segment  $\{(x_1, x_2): x_1 = c \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi, s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+\}$ , then we are done. So we now show that if  $F$  does have a zero on each such segment then  $F$  cannot have any zeros in the interior of the support of  $\phi$  and thus any segment in this set provides the desired curve. So let us assume that for each  $x_1 \notin \operatorname{supp} \phi$  there is some  $x_2$  with  $x_2 = f(x_1)$ . Thus  $f$  is real valued on an interval of the real axis. Hence it is real valued on the entire piece of the  $x_1$ -axis in  $B(q, \varepsilon)$ . Thus  $x_2 + i\phi(x_1) = f(x_1)$  can be satisfied only when  $\phi(x_1) = 0$ . Hence  $f$  has no zeros in  $\{(x_1, x_2 + i\phi(x_1)): \phi(x_1) \neq 0, s_- \leq x_2 \leq s_+\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [BT] M. S. Baouendi and F. Trèves, *Unique continuation in CR manifolds and in hypo-analytic structures*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
- [GR] R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.
- [H] R. Hardt, *Slicing and intersection theory for chains associated with real analytic varieties*, Acta Math. **129** (1972), 75–136.
- [W] H. Whitney, *Complex analytic varieties*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102