

## BRANCHED COVERS AND CONTACT STRUCTURES

JESUS GONZALO

ABSTRACT. The object of this paper is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Every closed, orientable three-manifold has a parallelization by three contact forms.*

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper,  $M$  stands for a closed, oriented three-manifold.

DEFINITION. A 1-form  $\omega$  on an odd-dimensional manifold is called a contact form if  $\omega \wedge d\omega \wedge \cdots \wedge d\omega$  is a volume form.

REMARK. For any function  $f$  and any 1-form  $\omega$ , we have the identity  $f\omega \wedge (d(f\omega))^n = f^{n+1}\omega \wedge (d\omega)^n$ . So if  $f$  has no zeros then  $f\omega$  is a contact form if and only if  $\omega$  is a contact form. This means that the property of being a contact form is determined by the hyperplane distribution of the kernels of  $\omega$  at each point.

DEFINITION. A contact structure is a hyperplane distribution which is locally the kernel of contact forms, i.e. every point has a neighborhood and a contact form on that neighborhood which annihilates the hyperplanes of the distribution.

DEFINITION. Let  $N_1, N_2$  be manifolds of the same odd dimension, with contact forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  respectively. Then  $\omega_1$  is equivalent to  $\omega_2$  if there is a diffeomorphism  $\Phi: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  and there is a nowhere zero function  $f$  on  $N_1$  such that the equality  $\Phi^*\omega_2 = f\omega_1$  is satisfied. Equivalently, the diffeomorphism takes the hyperplane distribution  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  into  $\text{Ker } \omega_2$ .

In  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , with coordinates  $x, y, z$ , the standard contact form is  $xdy + dz$ .

Let  $S^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^4$  be the usual inclusion, with component functions  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ ; then the standard contact form on the sphere  $S^3$  is  $x_1dx_2 - x_2dx_1 + x_3dx_4 - x_4dx_3$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $N$  be a manifold of dimension  $2n + 1$ . An almost contact structure on  $N$  is a pair  $(\omega, \Omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is a 1-form on  $N$  and  $\Omega$  is a 2-form on  $N$ , such that  $\omega \wedge \Omega^n$  is a volume form.

The space of almost contact structures on  $N$  is homotopy equivalent to the space of reductions of the structure group of  $TN$  to  $U(n)$ , acting on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n+1}$  in the obvious way determined by the factorization  $\mathbf{R}^{2n+1} = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{C}^n$ .

In 1966 Chern [3] posed the question of the existence of a contact form on  $S^1 \times S^2 \# \mathbf{R}P^3$ .

---

Received by the editors July 30, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53C15; Secondary 57M12.

*Key words and phrases.* Contact form, branched cover, plane bundle, framing.

Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 84-03201.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

In 1969 Gromov [4] proved that for *open* odd-dimensional manifolds the inclusion of the space of contact forms into that of almost contact structures is a weak homotopy equivalence.

Martinet [9] gave the first affirmative answer for closed manifolds: he proved that every closed, orientable manifold of dimension 3 has a contact form. He used methods from Lutz [7] and a surgery description [6, 12] of  $M$ .

Some time later, Thurston and Winkelnkemper [11] gave another proof using an open book decomposition [1, 13] of  $M$ .

In addition to the problem of existence of a contact form, there is the problem of existence of several nonequivalent contact forms, in the sense of the previous definition. Note that it is not the same as being *isomorphic as forms*, in which case we have an equality  $\Phi^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$ .

In 1982 Bennequin [2] showed that a certain contact form on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  is not equivalent to the standard one. He also found a contact form on  $S^3$  which is nonequivalent to the standard form, yet homotopic to it through nowhere zero 1-forms.

There remains the question, for a *closed* odd-dimensional manifold, of the existence of a contact form in each homotopy class of almost contact structures.

In this paper, we give a short proof of the existence of three everywhere independent contact forms on  $M$ .

REMARK. It is also our purpose to point out that a not everywhere smooth map can sometimes lift a differential form.

We use as a main tool the theorem of Hilden, Montesinos, and Thickstun [5] that describes  $M$  as a 3-fold simple branched cover of  $S^3$ , such that the covering map  $M \rightarrow S^3$  fails to be a local diffeomorphism only along a curve  $C$  which is the boundary of a nonsingular disk.

Our construction is as follows: Let  $\omega$  be the standard contact form on  $S^3$  and let  $h: M \rightarrow S^3$  be such a branched cover. It is possible to modify  $\omega$  and  $h$  slightly to get a contact form  $\omega'$  and a branched cover  $H$  that is  $C^\infty$  except at the points of  $C$ , and such that  $\omega_1 = H^*\omega' \in \Lambda^1(M - C)$  extends, just by continuity, to a  $C^\infty$  contact form on all of  $M$ . Also, the plane distribution  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  is a trivial 2-plane bundle on  $M$  and we only have to apply the following:

PROPOSITION 1. *For a contact form  $\omega_1$  on  $M$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There are contact forms  $\omega_2, \omega_3$  with  $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$  nowhere zero.*
- (2) *There are arbitrary 1-forms  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\omega_1 \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta$  nowhere zero.*
- (3) *The 2-plane bundle  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  is trivial.*

The classical example of a contact form is the Liouville-Cartan form. If  $N$  is a surface with a Riemann metric and if  $\pi: S^*N \rightarrow N$  is its cotangent sphere bundle, define a 1-form  $\xi$  on  $S^*N$  by

$$\xi_{v^*} = v^* \circ \pi_* \quad \text{for all } v^* \in S^*N.$$

Then  $\xi$  is called the Liouville-Cartan form of  $S^*N$  and it is always a contact form.

Now assume  $N$  is oriented, and let  $\rho: FN \rightarrow N$  be the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames on  $N$ . This defines an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $N$  by the

conditions

$$\|Jv\| = \|v\|,$$

if  $v \neq 0$ , then  $\{v, Jv\}$  is an oriented orthogonal frame.

Consider the bundle equivalences  $\Phi, \Psi: S^*N \rightarrow FN$ , given by

$$\Phi(v^*) = \{v, Jv\}, \quad \Psi(v^*) = \{-Jv, v\}, \quad v^* = \langle v, \cdot \rangle,$$

and consider the canonical 1-forms  $\theta^1$  and  $\theta^2$  on  $FN$ . Then

$$\Phi^*\theta^1 = \xi, \quad \Psi^*\theta^2 = \xi;$$

thus  $\theta^1$  and  $\theta^2$  are isomorphic to the Liouville-Cartan form, therefore contact forms.

If  $\eta$  is the connection form and if  $\Omega$  is the curvature form, then  $\eta \wedge d\eta = \eta \wedge \Omega$  and  $\eta \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$  is nowhere zero. Now if  $N$  has genus not equal to 1 then it possesses a metric with nowhere zero curvature and  $\eta$  is a contact form. If  $N$  is a torus, then take  $\omega = \eta + (\rho^*f)\theta^1 + (\rho^*g)\theta^2$ , with  $f, g \in C^\infty(N)$ ; now  $\omega \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$  has no zeros and it is shown in [8] that  $f$  and  $g$  can be so chosen that  $\omega$  is a contact form.

In summary,  $FN$  is the classical example of the theorem we prove in this paper. Indeed,  $FN$  has specific relations:

$$d\theta^1 = -\eta \wedge \theta^2, \quad d\theta^2 = \eta \wedge \theta^1.$$

The parallelization we shall obtain for general  $M$  does not necessarily satisfy these structure equations.

## 2. Proof of the theorem.

**DEFINITION.** An  $n$ -fold branched cover of a 3-manifold  $M_1$  by another one  $M$  is a map  $h: M \rightarrow M_1$  such that there are links  $L \subset M$ ,  $L_1 \subset M_1$  satisfying

(i)  $L = h^{-1}(L_1)$ .

(ii) The restriction  $h: M - L \rightarrow M_1 - L_1$  is an ordinary  $n$ -fold covering map.

We say that  $h$  is branched over  $L_1$ .

One can assume further that there are tubular neighborhoods  $U \supset L$  and  $U_1 \supset L_1$ , with polar coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$  and  $(r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1)$  respectively, where  $\theta$  corresponds to longitude and  $\varphi$  to meridian, such that the expression of  $h$  in these coordinates is

$$h(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0) = (r_0, l\theta_0, m\varphi_0 + k\theta_0)$$

for some integers  $l, m, k$ , with  $l, m > 0$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $h: M \rightarrow M_1$  be an  $n$ -fold cover, branched over  $L_1 \subset M_1$ . Given a point  $P \in M_1 - L_1$ , there is a canonical action of the group  $\pi_1(M_1 - L_1, P)$  on the set of  $n$  elements represented by  $h^{-1}(P)$ , thus giving a representation of the group  $\pi_1(M_1 - L_1, P)$  into the symmetric group of  $n$  letters. The branched cover is called *simple* if every meridian of  $L_1$  is represented by a transposition.

Our main tool will be the following [5, 10]:

**BRANCHED COVER THEOREM.** *There exists a 3-fold simple cover  $h: M \rightarrow S^3$ , branched over a knot  $K \subset S^3$ , such that the set  $C$  of points where  $h$  is not a local diffeomorphism bounds in  $M$  an embedded locally flat disk. Thus there is a smoothly embedded 3-ball  $B \subset M$  with  $C \subset \partial B$ .*

Let  $L = h^{-1}(K)$ , link whose components will be denoted by  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_s$ , and  $C_0 = C$  above. Consider a local expression of  $h$  around a component  $C_i$ :

$$h(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0) = (r_0, l\theta_0, m\varphi_0 + k\theta_0).$$

If  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , then  $h$  is a local diffeomorphism at the points of  $C_i$  and so  $m = 1$ . If  $i = 0$ , we must have  $m > 1$  so  $h$  is not a local diffeomorphism, also  $lm \leq 3$  and the possibilities are  $m = 2$  or  $m = 3$ , with  $l = 1$  in either case. It is easy to see that for  $m = 3$  a meridian of  $K$  in  $S^3$  induces a permutation which is a cycle of length three, thus it is an even permutation and it cannot be conjugate to a transposition, in contradiction with  $h$  being simple.

As a consequence, we have  $m = 2$ . We also conclude that  $s = 1$ .

Thus  $L$  has two components:  $L = C \cup C_1$ ,  $h$  is a diffeomorphism in a whole neighborhood of  $C_1$  and its local expression around  $C$  is

$$h(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0) = (r_0, \theta_0, 2\varphi_0 + k\theta_0).$$

Let  $\omega = x_1dx_2 - x_2dx_1 + x_3dx_4 - x_4dx_3$  be the standard contact form on  $S^3$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1\}$ ; this is a circle transverse to  $\omega$ , i.e.  $\omega(\dot{\Gamma}) > 0$ . By [1], we can assume that  $K$  is a braid contained in a tubular neighborhood  $W$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Now shrink  $W$  towards  $\Gamma$  by an ambient isotopy. This takes  $K$  to a braid  $K'$  whose tangent lines are close to those of  $\Gamma$ . So we can also assume that  $K$  is transverse to  $\omega$ .

Our next tool is the following result [9, p. 151]:

**PROPOSITION 2 (MARTINET).** *Let  $K$  be a simple closed curve transverse to a contact form  $\omega$ . There is a tubular neighborhood of  $K$ , with coordinates  $(\theta, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , where  $\theta$  is the angular coordinate and  $x_i, y_i$  vanish along  $K$ , and there is a nonvanishing function  $f$  on this neighborhood such that*

$$f\omega = d\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{K} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right|_K.$$

A brief summary of Martinet’s argument is the following: First, find a function  $g$  such that along  $K$  we have the equalities  $g\omega(\dot{K}) = 1$  and  $\dot{K} \lrcorner d(g\omega) = 0$ .

Second, let  $U$  be a tube around  $K$  thin enough so there is a diffeomorphism  $\Psi: U \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{2n}$  taking  $\dot{K}$  to  $\dot{K}_0$ , where  $K_0 = S^1 \times 0$ , and satisfying  $\Psi^*(\sum dx_i \wedge dy_i) = d\omega$  along  $K$ . This is possible because the symplectic group  $SP(2n, \mathbf{R})$  is connected. Now, the forms

$$\omega_0 = \Psi^*\left(d\theta + \sum (x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i)\right), \quad \omega_1 = g\omega$$

satisfy  $\omega_0 = \omega_1$  and  $d\omega_0 = d\omega_1$  along  $K$ .

Third, consider the family  $\omega_t = (1 - t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1$  and apply to it the proof of Gray’s stability theorem, as it appears in [8, p. 1], or equivalently in [9]. We get an isotopy  $\Phi_t$  such that

$$\Phi_t(P) = P \quad \text{for all } t \text{ and for } P \in K,$$

$$\Phi_t^*\omega_0 = f_t\omega_t \quad \text{for some function } f_t.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1g)\omega &= f_1\omega_1 = \Phi_1^*\omega_0 \\ &= (\Psi\Phi_1)^*(d\theta + \sum(x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i))\end{aligned}$$

and  $\Psi\Phi_1$  provides the desired coordinates. This proves Martinet's result.

In our case, we have a branched cover  $h: M \rightarrow S^3$  which is a local diffeomorphism except at the points of the circle  $C \subset M$ . The knot  $K \subset S^3$ , over which  $h$  is branched, has a coordinate tube  $(U_1, (\theta, x, y))$  where  $f\omega = d\theta + xdy - ydx$  for some function  $f$ . Extend  $f$  to a positive function on all of  $S^3$ , and define  $\omega' = f\omega$ . If we denote by  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  the polar coordinates corresponding to  $(\theta, x, y)$ , then

$$\omega' = d\theta + r^2d\varphi \quad \text{in } U_1 - K.$$

For  $U_1$  thin enough, we find polar coordinates  $(r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1)$  on  $U_1$  and polar coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$  on a tube  $U$  around  $C$ , such that the local expression of  $h$  is

$$h(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0) = (r_0, \theta_0, 2\varphi_0 + k\theta_0).$$

Now the coordinate systems  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$  and  $(r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1)$  on  $U_1$  can be supposed to satisfy  $\theta = \theta_1$  along  $K$ , and they define isotopic diffeomorphisms of  $U_1$  onto  $S^1 \times B^2$ , rel  $K$ , if and only if they determine equivalent framings of  $K$ . This means that for some integer  $p$  the coordinate systems on  $U_1$ ,

$$(r, \theta, \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad (r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1 + p\theta_1),$$

are isotopic by an isotopy preserving the values of  $\theta$  along  $K$  and also preserving the condition  $r = 0$  along  $K$ .

In the coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$  and  $(r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1 + p\theta_1)$ , the local expression of  $h$  is

$$h(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0) = (r_0, \theta_0, 2\varphi_0 + (k + p)\theta_0).$$

Because of these considerations, it is possible to construct a global isotopy  $\Phi_t$  of  $S^3$ , supported in  $U_1$ , fixing the points of  $K$ , and with  $\Phi_0 = \text{Id}$ , such that the branched cover  $H = \Phi_1 \circ h$  has the following local expression in the coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$  and  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ , in smaller neighborhoods also denoted  $U$ ,  $U_1$ :

$$r = r_0, \quad \theta = \theta_0, \quad \varphi = 2\varphi_0 + (k + p)\theta_0.$$

On  $M - C$ , the map  $H$  is a local diffeomorphism, so the form defined as  $\omega_1 = H^*\omega'$  is a contact form on  $M - C$ . Its local expression in the coordinates  $(r_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= d\theta_0 + r_0^2d(\varphi_0 + (k + p)\theta_0) \\ &= (1 + (k + p)r_0^2)d\theta_0 + r_0^2d\varphi_0, \quad \text{on } U - C.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\omega_1$  extends to all of  $U$  as a  $C^\infty$  contact form. We now have a contact form on all of  $M$  which we also denote  $\omega_1$ .

REMARK. If we try to use the smooth version of the branched cover,

$$r = r_0^2, \quad \theta = \theta_0, \quad \varphi = 2\varphi_0 + (k + p)\theta_0,$$

then the resulting form is not a contact form at the points of  $C$ . Thus it is the nonsmooth version of  $H$ , the one with  $r = r_0$ , the convenient one for our purpose.

We will prove now that  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  is a trivial 2-plane bundle. First note that  $\text{Ker } \omega'$  is trivial, because 2-plane bundles over  $S^3$  are classified by the homotopy group  $\pi_2(S^1) = 0$ . Now take a 3-ball  $B \subset M$  with  $C \subset \dot{B}$ . Then  $H$  is a local diffeomorphism on  $M - \dot{B}$ , thus  $(\text{Ker } \omega_1)|_{M-\dot{B}}$  is trivial since  $\omega_1 = H^*\omega'$ . Finally  $[\partial B, S^1] = 0$  implies  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  is trivial.

In order to finish the proof of the theorem, we only have to prove Proposition 1.

**3. Proof of Proposition 1.** That (2) and (3) are equivalent is a standard fact. That (1) implies (2) is obvious. That (2) implies (1) follows from the fact that for any number  $\delta > 0$  the forms  $\omega_1, \omega_1 + \delta\alpha, \omega_1 + \delta\beta$  are everywhere independent, and for small  $\delta$  they are  $C^1$ -close to  $\omega_1$ , hence contact forms.

Another way to see this is to take two everywhere independent vector fields  $X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega_1$  and to realize that because the product  $\omega_1 \wedge d\omega_1$  is nowhere zero the same is true for the product  $\omega_1 \wedge L_X\omega_1 \wedge L_Y\omega_1$ . Thus if  $F_t$  is the flow of  $X$  and if  $G_t$  is the flow of  $Y$  then for small  $t$  the differential form  $\omega_1 \wedge F_t^*\omega_1 \wedge G_t^*\omega_1$  has no zeros. Being isomorphic to  $\omega_1$ , the forms  $F_t^*\omega_1$  and  $G_t^*\omega_1$  are contact forms.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Giving  $M$  a contact form  $\omega$  with  $\text{Ker } \omega$  trivial is equivalent to giving  $M$  a parallelization by vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  such that  $[X, Y] = Z$ .*

In one direction, if  $X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega$  are everywhere independent then  $\omega \wedge d\omega$  nowhere zero implies that  $Z = [X, Y]$  is nowhere tangent to  $\text{Ker } \omega$ , thus independent of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

In the other direction, if there are vector fields  $X, Y$  such that  $X, Y$ , and  $[X, Y]$  are everywhere independent, then the form  $\omega$  given by

$$\omega(Z) = 1, \quad \omega(X) = \omega(Y) = 0$$

is a contact form and  $\text{Ker } \omega$  is trivial.

#### REFERENCES

1. J.\*W. Alexander, *A lemma on systems of knotted curves*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **9** (1923), 93–95.
2. D. Bennequin, *Entrelacements et équations de Pfaff*, Astérisque, #107–108 (III<sup>e</sup> rencontre de géométrie du Schnepfenried, Vol. 1), Soc. Math. France, 1983, pp. 87–161.
3. S. S. Chern, *The geometry of G-structures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 167–219.
4. M. Gromov, *Stable mappings of foliations into manifolds*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **33** (1969), no. 4, 671–694.
5. H. M. Hilden, J. M. Montesinos and T. Thickstun, *Closed oriented 3-manifolds as 3-fold branched coverings of  $S^3$  of special type*, Pacific J. Math. **65** (1976), 65–76.
6. W. B. R. Lickorish, *A representation of orientable combinatorial 3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 531–538.
7. R. Lutz, *Sur quelques propriétés des formes différentielles en dimension 3*, Thesis, Strasbourg, 1971.
8. ———, *Structures de contact sur les fibrés principaux en cercles de dimension 3*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **27** (1977), 1–15.
9. J. Martinet, *Formes de contact sur les variétés de dimension 3*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 209 (Liverpool Singularities Symposium, 1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971, pp. 142–163.
10. J. M. Montesinos, *Lectures on 3-fold simple coverings and 3-manifolds*, Contemp. Math., vol. 44, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1985, pp. 157–177.
11. W. P. Thurston and H. E. Winkelnkemper, *On the existence of contact forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1975), 345–347.
12. A. H. Wallace, *Modifications and cobounding manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. **12** (1960), 503–528.
13. H. E. Winkelnkemper, *Manifolds as open books*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 45–51.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720