

## CLOSED SUBSPACES OF FINITE CODIMENSION IN SOME FUNCTION ALGEBRAS

RAMESH V. GARIMELLA AND N. V. RAO

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

**ABSTRACT.** We characterize all closed subspaces of finite codimension in some specific types of function algebras e.g. these include  $C(X)$ : algebra of continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space,  $C^n[a, b]$ : the algebra of  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ . Our work is a generalization of the well-known Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko theorem [3, 6] for subspaces of codimension one in arbitrary unitary Banach algebras.

**0. Introduction.** In [5], K. Jarosz settled a conjecture of Warner and Whitley [10] by proving the following: Let  $S$  be a compact Hausdorff space such that each point of  $S$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set and  $M$  be a closed subspace of finite codimension in  $\mathcal{C}(S)$ , the algebra of continuous functions on  $S$  with the sup norm. Let  $k$  be any positive integer. Suppose that for every  $f$  in  $M$ ,  $f$  has a least  $k$  distinct zeroes in  $S$ . Then there exist  $k$  distinct points  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$  in  $S$  such that for every  $f$  in  $M$ ;  $f(s_1) = f(s_2) = \dots = f(s_k) = 0$ .

In this paper, we generalize this theorem as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $M$  be a closed subspace of finite codimension in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  such that for every  $f$  in  $M$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  for some  $x$  in  $X$ . Then (a) There exists an  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x_0) = 0$  for every  $f$  in  $M$ ; (b) there exists finitely many points  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  such that  $f(x_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and these are the only common zeroes of  $M$  in the following sense: If  $C$  is any compact  $G_\delta$ -set containing  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ , then there exists an  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x$  in  $X \setminus C$ .*

Also, in this paper, we prove the polynomial lemma.

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $K$  be any closed subset of the complex plane such that  $K^0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $p_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , be nonconstant polynomials of one complex variable  $z$ . Further assume  $\sum_{j=1}^k w_j p_j$  has a zero in  $K$  for any given complex numbers  $w_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . Then there exists a  $z_0$  in  $K$  such that  $p_j(z_0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .*

This is a generalization of a lemma in [5] and this allows us to obtain theorems of type 3.1 for algebras  $C^n[a, b]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R})$  as well as  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . Recently C. P. Chen and P. J. Cohen [2] have proved that conclusions of Theorem 3.1 hold for selfadjoint regular  $n$ -point spectral Banach algebras. These include  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  [5] and also  $L^1(G)$  where  $G$  is any locally compact metrizable abelian group. Thus this solves both

---

Received by the editors March 24, 1986 and, in revised form, August 15, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 40J15; Secondary 40J10, 40J20.

*Key words and phrases.*  $G_\delta$ -set, polynomial ring, irreducible polynomial, Unique factorization domain, function algebras, ideals of finite codimension.

conjectures of Warner and Whitley [10]. But [2 and 5] do not cover algebras of type  $C^n[a, b]$ , because these are not spectral. One of us in [8] has removed the condition of spectrality in the Chen and Cohen theorem, which then applies to algebras of  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions. The results in this paper have some overlap with results from [2, 5 and 8], but the method given here is different and more direct. Further, our results apply to a more general class of algebras e.g., Fréchet algebras. For related results, refer to [1, 3, 6 and 9].

**1. Proof of the polynomial Lemma 1.1 (as stated in the introduction).** Suppose that  $p_j(z)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , have no common zeroes in  $K$ . If  $p_0(z)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $p_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ; by our supposition,  $p_0$  does not vanish on  $K$ . Thus replacing  $p_j$  by  $p_j/p_0$ , we can assume that any complex linear combination of  $p_j$  has a zero in  $K$  but  $\{p_j\}$  do not have a common zero in the complex plane.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(z, w) = \sum_{j=1}^k w_j p_j(z)$  where  $w$  denotes the  $k$  tuple  $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k)$ ;  $R$  denotes the polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $k$  indeterminates  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$ ;  $Q$  be the quotient field of  $R$ ; and  $R[z], Q[z]$  be the polynomial rings in one indeterminate  $z$  over the rings  $R$  and  $Q$  respectively.

We claim that  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  is irreducible in the ring  $R[z]$ . If not, there exist polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_1(z, w), \mathcal{P}_2(z, w)$  nonconstant and belonging to  $R[z]$  so that  $\mathcal{P}(z, w) = \mathcal{P}_1(z, w)\mathcal{P}_2(z, w)$ . We can write

$$\mathcal{P}_i(z, w) = \sum_{0 \leq |j| \leq N} p_{ij} w^j$$

where  $w^j = w_1^{j_1} w_2^{j_2} \dots w_k^{j_k}$ ,  $j$  is the multi-index  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ ,  $|j| = \sum_{\lambda=1}^k j_\lambda$ ,  $p_{i,j}(z)$  is a polynomial in  $z$  with constant coefficients for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus we consider  $\mathcal{P}_i(z, w)$  as polynomials in  $w$  over the integral domain  $\mathbb{C}[z]$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  is a linear polynomial in  $w_j$ ; we see that at least one of  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  must be independent of  $w$ . Let us assume  $\mathcal{P}_1$  to be independent of  $w$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  to be linear in  $w$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) = \mathcal{P}_1(z, w)$ . Thus  $p_j(z) = \mathcal{P}_1(z) \cdot p_{2,j}(z)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , that is,  $p_j(z)$  have a common divisor  $\mathcal{P}_1(z)$ . By our assumption, they do not have any common divisor except constants and on the other hand  $\mathcal{P}_1(z) = \mathcal{P}_1(z, w)$  is nonconstant, which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  is irreducible. Also,  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  is primitive as a polynomial over  $R$  by the same reasoning.

We now show that  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  is irreducible in the ring  $Q[z]$ . If not, then  $\mathcal{P}(z, w) = \mathcal{P}_1(z, w)\mathcal{P}_2(z, w)$  where  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  are nonconstant polynomials in  $z$  with coefficients in  $Q$ . Since  $R$  is a unique factorization domain [11, p. 45] we can find  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  in  $R$  such that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are coprime;  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  are coprime and

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \mathcal{P}_1, \quad \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} \mathcal{P} \text{ belong to } R[z]$$

and are primitive polynomials. By Gauss' Lemma [11, p. 46], the product of primitive polynomials is primitive and so

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \beta_1}{\alpha_2 \beta_2} \mathcal{P}(z, w)$$

is a primitive polynomial. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is also primitive, we see that  $\alpha_1 \beta_1 / \alpha_2 \beta_2$  is a unit and since  $\alpha_1 \beta_1, \alpha_2 \beta_2$  are coprime, all of  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$  are units in the ring  $R$

i.e. they are constants. This means that  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$  belong to  $R[z]$ . But  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_2$  is irreducible in  $R[z]$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{P}$  is irreducible in  $Q[z]$ . But then since  $Q[z]$  is a Euclidean domain there exist  $A, B$  in  $Q[z]$  such that

$$A\mathcal{P} + B\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}}{\partial z} = 1.$$

Thus there exists a nonzero  $D$  in  $R$  such that  $DA, DB$  belong to  $R[z]$  and

$$DAP + DB\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}}{\partial z} = D$$

i.e.

$$A_1P + B_1\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}}{\partial z} = D.$$

Since  $D$  is a polynomial in  $w_j$ , we can choose a ball  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  on which  $D(w) \neq 0$ . Thus for every  $w$  in  $\Omega$ , the polynomial  $\mathcal{P}(z, w)$  has  $k$  distinct roots  $z_j(w)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and we may assume that  $z_j$  are holomorphic in  $\Omega$  [4, p. 11]. Let  $S_j = \{\omega \in \Omega; z_j(\omega) \in K\}$ . One of the  $z_j$  belongs to  $K$  for any given  $w$  in  $\Omega$ . So  $\Omega = \bigcup S_j$ . Since each  $S_j$  is closed in  $\Omega$ , one of the  $S_j$  say  $S_1$ , must have nonempty interior. By the open mapping theorem, we see that  $z_1(w)$  is locally constant on  $S_1$  or  $z_1(S_1)$  has nonempty interior. But  $K^0 = \emptyset$ . Thus  $z_1$  is constant on  $\Omega$ . Hence there exists a  $z_0$  such that  $P(z_0, w) \equiv 0$  on  $\Omega$  and by analytic continuation on all of  $\mathbb{C}^k$ . Thus  $p_j(z_0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , which is a contradiction. This proves Lemma 1.1.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If  $M$  is any subspace of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{C}[z]$  and  $Z$  is the set of common zeroes of  $M$  allowing multiplicity, then there exists a polynomial  $p$  in  $M$  such that  $p \neq 0$  on  $K \setminus Z$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that for every  $p$  in  $M$ ,  $p$  has a zero in  $K$ . Let  $Z_p$  be the set of zeroes of  $p$  in  $K$  counting multiplicity. Then by Lemma 1.1, the family of  $\{Z_p; p \in M\}$  is family of compact sets with the finite intersection property. Hence  $Z = \bigcap_{p \in M} Z_p \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $Z = \{z_j, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$  and  $q = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_k)$ ;  $M_0 = \{p \in \mathbb{C}[z]; qp \in M\}$ . Now if every  $p$  in  $M_0$  has a zero in  $K$ , once again by Lemma 1.1, there exists a  $z_0$  in  $K$  such that  $p(z_0) = 0$  for every  $p$  in  $M_0$ . But then  $z_0$  is a common zero of  $M$  and so  $z_0$  belongs to  $Z$ . This increases the multiplicity of  $z_0$  for  $M$ , which is a contradiction. Thus there exists a  $p_0$  in  $M_0$  such that  $p_0 \neq 0$  on  $K$  and  $qp_0$  belongs to  $M$  and  $qp_0 \neq 0$  in  $K \setminus Z$ .

**2. Applications.**

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $K^0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of functions on  $K$  provided with a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space structure in which evaluation at any point in  $K$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the algebra of polynomials in  $z$ . If for every  $f$  in  $M$ , there exists a  $z$  in  $K$  such that  $f(z) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{P} \cap M$  is dense in  $M$ ; then the set  $Z$  of common zeroes of  $M$  is finite and nonempty, and there exists an  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $f \neq 0$  on  $K \setminus Z$ .*

**PROOF.** By our assumptions and the polynomial lemma, we obtain that functions in  $\mathcal{P} \cap M$  have at least one common zero. Since point evaluations are continuous and  $\mathcal{P} \cap M$  is dense in  $M$ , all functions in  $M$  have at least one common zero.

So the set  $Z$  of common zeroes of  $M$  is finite and nonempty. Further, by Corollary 1.2, there exists a  $p$  in  $\mathcal{P} \cap M$  such that  $p \neq 0$  on  $K \setminus Z$ . Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $K = [a, b]$ , a closed interval in the real line, and  $C^n(K)$  be the algebra of  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions with the standard Banach algebra structure. Suppose  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $C^n(K)$  of finite codimension. Then the set  $Z$  of all common zeroes of  $M$  is finite (possibly empty) and there exists a function  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $f \neq 0$  in  $K \setminus Z$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the algebra of polynomials in  $z$ . Since  $M$  is of finite codimension and  $\mathcal{P}$  is dense in  $C^n(K)$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \cap M$  is dense in  $M$ . Applying Theorem 2.1, we obtain the result.

**REMARK 2.3.** The proof above also applies to  $C^\infty(R)$ , the Fréchet algebra of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra of functions on  $\mathcal{R}$  which are the Fourier transforms of  $L^1$ -functions on  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $f = \hat{g}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define  $\|f\| = \|g\|_1$ ;  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra. Let  $M$  be a closed subspace of finite codimension in  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $Z$  is the set of common zeroes of  $M$ , then  $Z$  is finite (possibly empty) and there exists an  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $f \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{R} \setminus Z$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p \text{ a polynomial}; p(t)e^{-t^2/2} \in M\}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = e^{-t^2/2}\mathcal{P}$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\mathcal{D} \cap M$  is dense in  $M$ . Thus  $t$  is a common zero of  $M$  if and only if it is a common zero of  $\mathcal{D} \cap M$  and hence of  $\mathcal{P}$ . But by the polynomial lemma, it follows that if  $Z$  is the set of common zeroes of  $\mathcal{P}$  allowing multiplicity, then  $Z$  is finite (possibly empty) and there exists  $p$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $p(t) \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{R} \setminus Z$ . Q.E.D.

**3. Proof of Theorem 3.1 (see the introduction for the formulation).** By Theorem 1 of Jarosz [5], all functions in  $M$  have a common zero in  $X$ . Since  $M$  is of finite codimension and closed, there can only be finitely many common zeroes (say)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ . Also there exist finitely many Borel measures  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , of bounded variation on  $X$  such that  $f$  belongs to  $M$  if and only if  $f(x_j) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $\int f d\mu_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ .

We may assume that  $|\mu_j|(Z) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$  where  $Z$  is the set of all zeroes of  $M$ . Let

$$\tilde{M} = \left\{ f \in C(X); \int f d\mu_j = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq l \right\}.$$

By Theorem 1 of [5], either there exists an  $f$  in  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $f \neq 0$  on  $X$  or all functions in  $\tilde{M}$  have a common zero  $x_0$ . But such an  $x_0$  would belong to  $Z$  and the Dirac measure  $\delta_{x_0}$  would belong to the linear span of  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . This is impossible since  $\delta_x$ ,  $x$  in  $Z$ , and  $\mu_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , are linearly independent. Hence there exists an  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $f \neq 0$  on  $X$ .

Now let us choose  $f_j$  so that  $f_j(Z) = 0$  and  $\int f_i d\mu_j = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ . Choose an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| > 0$  on  $X$  and an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $Z$  such that

$$|\mu_j|(V) < \varepsilon / \text{Max}_x \left( |f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| \right).$$

Choose a compact  $G_\delta$  set  $C_0$  such that  $Z \subset C_0 \subset V$  and a function  $g$  in  $C(X)$  such that  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ ,  $g = 1$  only on  $C_0$ ,  $g = 0$  outside  $V$ . This is possible only because  $C_0$  is a  $G_\delta$  set.

Let  $\psi = g(|f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j|)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_j \psi| &\leq |\mu_j|(V) \text{Max } |\psi| \\ &< \left( \varepsilon / \text{Max} \left( |f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| \right) \right) \cdot \text{Max} \left( |f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $f - \psi + \sum \mu_j(\psi) f_j = h$  satisfies

$$|h| \geq |f| - |\psi| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| = (1 - g) \left( |f| - \varepsilon \sum |f_j| \right)$$

and so  $|h| > 0$  on  $X \setminus C_0$ . Further  $h(Z) = 0$ ,  $\int h d\mu_j = -\mu_j(\psi) + \mu_j(\psi) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ . So  $h$  belongs to  $M$ . Q.E.D.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. P. Chen, *A generalization of the Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **107** (1983), 81-87.
2. C. P. Chen and P. J. Cohen, *Ideals of finite codimension in commutative Banach algebras* (preprint).
3. A. M. Gleason, *A characterization of maximal ideals*, J. Analyse Math. **19** (1967), 171-172.
4. M. Herve, *Several complex variables, local theory*, Oxford Univ. Press and TIFR, 1963.
5. K. Jarosz, *Finite codimensional ideals in function algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1985), 779-785.
6. J. P. Kahane and W. Żelazko, *A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 339-343.
7. Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, Dover, 1968.
8. N. V. Rao, *Closed subspaces of finite codimension in regular selfadjoint Banach algebras* (preprint).
9. C. R. Warner and R. Whitley, *A characterization of regular maximal ideals*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 277-281.
10. ———, *Ideals of finite codimension in  $C[0, 1]$  and  $L^1(\mathcal{R})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 263-267.
11. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, vol. I, Van Nostrand, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, TOLEDO, OHIO 43606 (Current address of N. V. Rao)

*Current address* (R. V. Garimella): Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville, Missouri 64468