

HEREDITARY C^* -SUBALGEBRAS OF C^* -CROSSED PRODUCTS

MASAHIRO KUSUDA

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

ABSTRACT. Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. Assume that B is an α -invariant C^* -subalgebra of A . Then we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for $B \times_\alpha G$ to be a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$, where $B \times_\alpha G$ (resp. $A \times_\alpha G$) denotes a C^* -crossed product of B (resp. A) by a locally compact group G . Moreover, we shall show that if B is an α -invariant hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A , then $B \times_\alpha G$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$.

1. Introduction. Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system, namely, a C^* -algebra A and a homomorphism α from a locally compact group G into the automorphism group of A such that $G \ni t \rightarrow \alpha_t(x)$ is continuous for each x in A . For a given (A, G, α) , we can construct a new C^* -algebra, called the C^* -crossed product of A by G and denoted by $A \times_\alpha G$ (see [4] for the details). Let B be an α -invariant C^* -subalgebra of A . We very often require that $B \times_\alpha G$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$ in studying the C^* -crossed products or more widely objects in C^* -dynamical systems. If G is amenable, $B \times_\alpha G$ is always a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$ (see [4, 7.7.7 and 7.7.9]). But $B \times_\alpha G$ is not necessarily a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$ if G is not amenable. It is known that if B is an α -invariant ideal of A , then $B \times_\alpha G$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$ (see [2, Proposition 12]).

In §3, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for $B \times_\alpha G$ to be a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$. Moreover, we shall show that if B is an α -invariant hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A , then $B \times_\alpha G$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$. Finally, we shall state an example where $B \times_\alpha G$ is not a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$.

2. Preliminaries. Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. A C^* -crossed product $A \times_\alpha G$ for (A, G, α) is defined as the enveloping C^* -algebra of $L^1(A, G)$, the set of all Bochner integrable A -valued functions on G equipped with the following Banach $*$ -algebra structure:

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)(t) &= \int_G x(s)\alpha_s(y(s^{-1}t))ds, \\ x^*(t) &= \Delta(t)^{-1}\alpha_t(x(t^{-1}))^*, \\ \|x\|_1 &= \int_G \|x(s)\|ds, \end{aligned}$$

where ds is the left Haar measure of G and $\Delta(t)$ is the associated modular function on G .

Received by the editors July 17, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 46L55.

Key words and phrases. C^* -crossed product, hereditary C^* -subalgebra, C^* -dynamical system.

Let $G \ni t \rightarrow u_t$ be the canonical unitary representation of G into the multiplier algebra $M(A \times_\alpha G)$ of $A \times_\alpha G$ with $\alpha_t(\cdot) = u_t \cdot u_t^*$. For each φ in $(A \times_\alpha G)^*$, there corresponds a function $\Phi: G \rightarrow A^*$ given by

$$\Phi(t)(x) = \varphi(xu_t)$$

for all t in G and x in A . The set of such functions is denoted by $B(A \times_\alpha G)$, and each element in $B(A \times_\alpha G)$ arising from a positive linear functional of $A \times_\alpha G$ is said to be *positive definite* with respect to α (Pedersen [4, 7.6.7]). Since we conversely see that

$$\varphi(y) = \int_G \Phi(t)(y(t)) dt$$

for y in $L^1(A, G)$, the correspondence $\varphi \rightarrow \Phi$ is a bijection from $(A \times_\alpha G)^*$ onto $B(A \times_\alpha G)$ (see [4, 7.6.7] for the details). Now we denote by $B_+(A \times_\alpha G)$ the set of positive definite functions with respect to α in $B(A \times_\alpha G)$.

3. Results.

THEOREM 1. *Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system, and let B be an α -invariant C^* -subalgebra of A . Then the following statements (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

- (i) *$B \times_\alpha G$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$.*
- (ii) *For any Φ in $B_+(B \times_\alpha G)$, there exists a positive definite function Ψ in $B_+(A \times_\alpha G)$ such that $\Psi(t)|_B = \Phi(t)$ for all t in G and $\|\Psi(e)\| = \|\Phi(e)\|$ for the identity e of G .*

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Identifying A with its image in $M(A \times_\alpha G)$, we denote by u the canonical unitary representation of G into $M(A \times_\alpha G)$ satisfying $\alpha_t(a) = u_t a u_t^*$ for all a in A . Identifying $B \times_\alpha G$ with the image under its universal representation and denoting by λ the canonical unitary representation of G into $M(B \times_\alpha G)$ satisfying $\alpha_t(b) = \lambda_t b \lambda_t^*$ for all b in B , there exists an isomorphism ρ from $B \times_\alpha G$ onto its image under the universal representation of $A \times_\alpha G$ such that $\rho(b) = b$ for all b in B and $\rho(\lambda_t) = u_t$ for all t in G (cf. [4, 7.6.6]).

Take a positive linear functional φ of $B \times_\alpha G$ corresponding to Φ . Then there exists a positive linear functional ψ of $A \times_\alpha G$ such that $\psi|_{\rho(B \times_\alpha G)} = \varphi \circ \rho^{-1}$ and $\|\psi\| = \|\varphi\|$. We define a positive definite function Ψ in $B_+(A \times_\alpha G)$ by

$$\Psi(t)(x) = \psi(xu_t)$$

for all t in G and x in A . For b in B , we then have

$$\Psi(t)(b) = \psi(bu_t) = \varphi(\rho^{-1}(bu_t)) = \varphi(b\lambda_t) = \Phi(t)(b).$$

For x in A and f in $L^1(G)$, put $y(t) = f(t)x$, so y in $L^1(A, G)$, which is identified with

$$y = \int_G xu_t f(t) dt.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we easily see that

$$|\psi(y)|^2 \leq \|\psi\| \|f\|_1^2 \psi(xx^*).$$

When x and f range over an approximate identity for A and an approximate identity for $L^1(G)$ respectively, it follows from [1, 2.1.5 and 2.7.5] that $\|\psi\| \leq \|\psi|_A\| = \|\Psi(e)\|$. This means that $\|\psi\| = \|\Psi(e)\|$. Similarly, we see that $\|\varphi\| = \|\Phi(e)\|$. Thus we obtain $\|\Psi(e)\| = \|\Phi(e)\|$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Take any positive linear functional φ of $B \times_\alpha G$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. We denote by Φ a positive definite function in $B_+(B \times_\alpha G)$ corresponding to φ . By the assumption, we can choose a positive definite function Ψ in $B_+(A \times_\alpha G)$ satisfying $\Psi(t)|_B = \Phi(t)$ for all t in G and $\|\Psi(e)\| = \|\Phi(e)\|$. Then there corresponds a positive linear functional ψ of $A \times_\alpha G$ to Ψ . For any x in $L^1(B, G)$, we denote by $\|x\|_{B \times_\alpha G}$ (resp. $\|x\|_{A \times_\alpha G}$) the C^* -norm of x in $B \times_\alpha G$ (resp. $A \times_\alpha G$). In order to prove the statement (i), it suffices to show that $\|x\|_{B \times_\alpha G} = \|x\|_{A \times_\alpha G}$. Now we have

$$\varphi(x^*x) = \int_G \Phi(t)(x^*x(t)) dt = \int_G \Psi(t)(x^*x(t)) dt = \psi(x^*x).$$

Since we have

$$\|\varphi\| = \|\Phi(e)\| = \|\Psi(e)\| = \|\psi\|,$$

we see that $\|\psi\| \leq 1$. Thus we conclude that $\|x\|_{B \times_\alpha G} \leq \|x\|_{A \times_\alpha G}$. Since the reverse inequality is clear, we complete the proof. Q.E.D.

Let (B, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. If α_t^{**} denotes the double transpose of α_t , then the map $G \ni t \rightarrow \alpha_t^{**}$ is a homomorphism of G into the automorphism group of the enveloping von Neumann algebra B^{**} of B .

LEMMA 2. *Let (B, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. Take any Φ from $B_+(B \times_\alpha G)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1}s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(x_i^*x_j) \rangle \geq 0$$

for finite sets $\{s_i\}$ in G and $\{x_i\}$ in B^{**} .

PROOF. For x_i in B^{**} , there exists a net $\{x_{i(k)}\}_k$ in B with $\|x_{i(k)}\| \leq \|x_i\|$ for all k such that the net $\{x_{i(k)}\}_k$ is σ -strongly* convergent to x_i (cf. [5, II, Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 4.8]). Then we see that $\{x_{i(k)}^*x_{j(k)}\}_k$ is σ -weakly convergent to $x_i^*x_j$. Since $\Phi(s_i^{-1}s_j)$ is an element in B^* and α_t^{**} is normal for all t in G , using [4, 7.6.8] we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1}s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}(x_{i(k)}^*x_{j(k)}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1}s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(x_{i(k)}^*x_{j(k)}) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1}s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(x_i^*x_j) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain the desired result. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3. *Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. Let B be an α -invariant hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A . Then $B \times_\alpha G$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$.*

PROOF. Let E be a conditional expectation from A^{**} onto B^{**} . E may be given by $E(x) = pxp$ for all x in A^{**} , where p is an α^{**} -invariant projection in A^{**}

with $B^{**} = pA^{**}p$. First we remark that

$$\alpha_t^{**}(E(x)) = E(\alpha_t(x))$$

for all x in A and t in G .

For any Φ in $B_+(B \times_\alpha G)$, we define a function $\Psi: G \rightarrow A^*$ by

$$\Psi(t)(x) = \langle \Phi(t), E(x) \rangle$$

for all x in A and t in G . Take finite sets $\{s_i\}$ from G and $\{x_i\}$ from A . Since E is completely positive, we have

$$E(x_i^* x_j) = \sum_k y_{i(k)}^* y_{j(k)}$$

for some $\{y_{i(k)}\}_k$ in B^{**} (cf. [5, IV. Lemma 3.1]). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ij} \Psi(s_i^{-1} s_j)(\alpha_{s_i^{-1}}(x_i^* x_j)) &= \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1} s_j), E(\alpha_{s_i^{-1}}(x_i^* x_j)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1} s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(E(x_i^* x_j)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1} s_j), \sum_k \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(y_{i(k)}^* y_{j(k)}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi(s_i^{-1} s_j), \alpha_{s_i^{-1}}^{**}(y_{i(k)}^* y_{j(k)}) \rangle \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last inequality follows from Lemma 2. Since Φ is bounded and norm continuous on G , it is easy to check the boundedness and norm continuity of Ψ on G . Therefore it follows from [4, 7.6.8] that Ψ is positive definite with respect to α . Since the routine observations show that $\Psi(t)|_B = \Phi(t)$ for all t in G and $\|\Psi(e)\| = \|\Phi(e)\|$, we obtain the desired result from Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4. *Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system. Let B be an α -invariant hereditary C^* -subalgebra of A . Then $B \times_\alpha G$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_\alpha G$.*

PROOF. In order to prove our result, it suffices to show

$$(B \times_\alpha G)^{**} = p(A \times_\alpha G)^{**}p$$

for some open projection p in $(A \times_\alpha G)^{**}$.

Now we may write the universal representation of $A \times_\alpha G$ as the induced representation $(\pi \times u, H)$ via some covariant representation (π, u, H) of A (see [4, 7.6.4] for the notation of $(\pi \times u, H)$). Here we note that

$$\overline{(\pi \times u)(A \times_\alpha G)}^w = (A \times_\alpha G)^{**},$$

where $\overline{(\quad)}^w$ denotes the weak closure of (\quad) . Since B is a hereditary subalgebra of A , we have $B^{**} = qA^{**}q$ for some open projection q in A^{**} . We denote by π^{**} the normal extension of π from A^{**} onto $\overline{\pi(A)}^w$ and put $p = \pi^{**}(q)$. Then we easily see that

$$\overline{\pi(B)}^w = p \overline{\pi(A)}^w p.$$

Since π^{**} is normal, p is a strong limit of a monotone increasing net of positive elements in $\pi(A)$. Hence, applying [4, 3.11.9 and 3.12.9], we easily see that p is an open projection in $(A \times_{\alpha} G)^{**}$. Since (π, u, H) is a covariant representation of A and q is α^{**} -invariant, we see that $u_t p u_t^* = p$ for all t in G . Hence, if we put

$$u_f = \int_G f(t) u_t dt$$

for all f in $L^1(G)$, we obtain

$$p u_f = u_f p.$$

Since $\overline{(\pi \times u)(A \times_{\alpha} G)}^w$ (resp. $\overline{(\pi \times u)(B \times_{\alpha} G)}^w$) is generated by $\{\pi(x)u_f | x \in A, f \in L^1(G)\}$ (resp. $\{\pi(x)u_f | x \in B, f \in L^1(G)\}$), the formula

$$p\pi(x)u_f p = p\pi(x)p u_f$$

for any x in A shows

$$\overline{p(\pi \times u)(A \times_{\alpha} G)}^w p = \overline{(\pi \times u)(B \times_{\alpha} G)}^w = (B \times_{\alpha} G)^{**}.$$

Thus we complete the proof. Q.E.D.

REMARK 5. As an alternative proof of the above theorem, it is also possible that we directly show by a few computations that $B \times_{\alpha} G$ is a closed linear span of $(B \times_{\alpha} G)(A \times_{\alpha} G)(B \times_{\alpha} G)$.

We end this paper by stating an example where $B \times_{\alpha} G$ is not a C^* -subalgebra of $A \times_{\alpha} G$.

EXAMPLE 6. Let G be a locally compact group whose enveloping group C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ is not nuclear. Hence, it follows from [3, Theorem A] that there exist a C^* -algebra A and a C^* -subalgebra B of A such that the projective C^* -tensor product $B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$ can not be embedded in the projective C^* -tensor product $A \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$. Consider a C^* -dynamical system (A, G, α) , where α is the trivial action on G . Then $A \times_{\alpha} G$ and $B \times_{\alpha} G$ are nothing but $A \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$ and $B \otimes_{\max} C^*(G)$, respectively.

Note also that when we consider a suitable nonamenable discrete group such as the free group on two generators, it is possible to find a nontrivial action for which the above is valid.

For the theory of C^* -tensor products of C^* -algebras, the reader is referred to [3, or 5].

REFERENCES

1. J. Dixmier, *C^* -algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, and Oxford, 1982.
2. P. Green, *The local structure of twisted covariance algebras*, Acta Math. **140** (1978), 191–250.
3. C. Lance, *Tensor products and nuclear C^* -algebras*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 38, Part I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1982, pp. 379–399.
4. G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1979.
5. M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1979.