

DERIVATIONS AND (HYPER)INVARIANT SUBSPACES OF A BOUNDED OPERATOR

SHUANG ZHANG

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

ABSTRACT. Let X be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the set of bounded linear operators on X . For $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, a derivation Δ_T is defined by $\Delta_T A = TA - AT$ for $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. By induction, $\Delta_T^m = \Delta_T \circ \Delta_T^{m-1}$ is defined for each integer $m \geq 2$. We call the kernel of Δ_T^m the m -commutant of T . For a polynomially compact operator T , we consider the (hyper)invariant subspace problem for operators in the m -commutant of T for $m \geq 1$. It is easily seen that the m -commutant ($m > 1$) of T could be much larger than $\text{Ker}(\Delta_T)$. So our idea is a variation of Lomonosov's theorem in [6]. We start with several identities on derivations, and then prove our results on the existence of (hyper)invariant subspaces. Theorem 2 in [5] is generalized.

In this paper, we always assume that $\dim X = \infty$. For a bounded operator T on X and a complex number α , we denote by $X_T(\alpha)$ the norm closure of the linear manifold $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(T - \alpha)^n$. If A is a nonscalar bounded operator on X , we say that $\text{Lat } A$ is nontrivial if A has a nontrivial (closed) invariant subspace. Similarly we say that $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial if A has a nontrivial (closed) hyperinvariant subspace. For the sake of brevity, we state our main results in one theorem as follows.

THEOREM. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a polynomially compact operator with minimal polynomial $p(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k (z - \alpha_i)^{n_i}$ ($n_i \geq 1$ for each i , $k \geq 1$; $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$ if $1 \leq i < j \leq k$), and if A is a nonscalar bounded operator on X which is in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T for some $m \geq 0$, then we have the following conclusions:*

- (a) *if T is algebraic and $\sigma(T)$ has at least two elements, then $\text{Lat } A$ is nontrivial;*
- (b) *if $\sigma(p(T)) \neq \{0\}$, then $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial;*
- (c) *if $\sigma(p(T)) = \{0\}$, $k > 1$, and $0 < \text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$, then $\text{Lat } A$ is nontrivial;*

For the special cases $m \leq 1$, we have

- (d) *if T is not algebraic and $\text{rank } \Delta_T A < \infty$, then $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial;*
- (e) *if $\text{rank } \Delta_T A = n_0 < \infty$ and $q(T) = [\prod_{i=1}^k (T - \alpha_i)]^{m_0} \neq 0$, where $m_0 = \max\{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k\}$, then $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial.*

Because the proof of the theorem is long, we divide it into several steps. We begin with some algebraic identities.

IDENTITY I.

$$\Delta_T^n A^m = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{n-i-1} A^{m-j-1} (\Delta_T A) A^j T^i$$

for any integer $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Received by the editors March 15, 1986 and, in revised form, July 3, 1986 and September 11, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47A15, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Invariant subspaces, commutators, derivations.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{T^n} A &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{n-i-1} (\Delta_T A) T^i \quad (\text{by induction}), \\ \Delta_{T^n} A^m &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{n-i-1} (\Delta_T A^m) T^i, \\ \Delta_T A^m &= -\Delta_{A^m} T = -\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^{m-j-1} (\Delta_T A) A^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^{m-j-1} (\Delta_T A) A^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Delta_{T^n} A^m = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{n-i-1} A^{m-j-1} (\Delta_T A) A^j T^i. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

IDENTITY II. $\Delta_T^n A = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} T^{n-i} A T^i$.

PROOF. By induction. Q.E.D.

IDENTITY III.

$$\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A = \sum_{\substack{k_i+k'_i=k-1; 1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq k_i, k'_i \leq k-1}} (T-\alpha)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_m} (\Delta_T^m A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1+\dots+k'_m}.$$

PROOF. We use induction on m .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k} A &= \sum_{k_1+k'_1=k-1} (T-\alpha)^{k_1} (\Delta_{T-\alpha} A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1} \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k'_1=k-1} (T-\alpha)^{k_1} (\Delta_T A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1} \end{aligned}$$

by Identity I and the fact that $\Delta_{T-\alpha} = \Delta_T$ for any scalar α . Assume

$$\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^{m-1} A = \sum_{\substack{k_i+k'_i=k-1; \\ 1 \leq i \leq m-1}} (T-\alpha)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{m-1}} (\Delta_T^{m-1} A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1+\dots+k'_{m-1}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A &= \Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k} [\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^{m-1} A] \\ &= \Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k} \left[\sum_{\substack{k_i+k'_i=k-1; \\ 1 \leq i \leq m-1}} (T-\alpha)^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_{m-1}} (\Delta_T^{m-1} A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1+\dots+k'_{m-1}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_i+k'_i=k-1; \\ 1 \leq i \leq m-1}} (T-\alpha)^{k_1+\dots+k_{m-1}} [\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k} (\Delta_T^{m-1} A)] (T-\alpha)^{k'_1+\dots+k'_{m-1}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_i+k'_i=k-1 \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} (T-\alpha)^{k_1+\dots+k_m} (\Delta_T^m A) (T-\alpha)^{k'_1+\dots+k'_m} \end{aligned}$$

by the case $m = 1$. Q.E.D.

IDENTITY IV.

$$\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A = [k(T-\alpha)^{k-1}]^m (\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A) [k(T-\alpha)^{k-1}]^m$$

if $\Delta_T^{m+1} A = 0$ ($k, m \geq 1$).

PROOF. $(T-\alpha)^p (\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A) (T-\alpha)^p$ for any integer $p \geq 0$ by the hypothesis $\Delta_T^{m+1} A = 0$. Then Identity IV follows from Identity III. Q.E.D.

IDENTITY V. Let p be any polynomial. If $\Delta_T^{m+1} A = 0$ for some integer $m \geq 1$, then

$$\Delta_{p(T)}^m A = (p'(T))^m (\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A) (p'(T))^m,$$

where p' is the derivative of p (Identity IV is a special case of Identity V).

PROOF. Note the following facts:

(1) If $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$, then $\Delta_{T_1} \circ \Delta_{T_2} = \Delta_{T_2} \circ \Delta_{T_1}$ just by the definitions of Δ_{T_1} and Δ_{T_2} . Consequently $\Delta_{T_1}^p \circ \Delta_{T_2}^q = \Delta_{T_2}^q \circ \Delta_{T_1}^p$ for any integers $p, q \geq 1$.

(2) If T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n are commutative elements, then by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha_1 T_1 + \alpha_2 T_2 + \dots + \alpha_n T_n}^m A &= (\alpha_1 \Delta_{T_1} + \alpha_2 \Delta_{T_2} + \dots + \alpha_n \Delta_{T_n})^m A \\ &= \left[\sum_{\substack{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = m \\ 0 \leq i_j \leq n}} \frac{m!}{i_1! i_2! \dots i_n!} \alpha_1^{i_1} \alpha_2^{i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_n} \Delta_{T_1}^{i_1} \Delta_{T_2}^{i_2} \dots \Delta_{T_n}^{i_n} \right] A. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p(T) = \alpha_1 T^{k_1} + \alpha_2 T^{k_2} + \dots + \alpha_n T^{k_n}$. Then

$$\Delta_{p(T)}^m A = \left[\sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_n = m \\ 0 \leq i_j \leq m}} \frac{m!}{i_1! i_2! \dots i_n!} \alpha_1^{i_1} \alpha_2^{i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_n} \Delta_{T^{k_1}}^{i_1} \Delta_{T^{k_2}}^{i_2} \dots \Delta_{T^{k_n}}^{i_n} \right] A$$

by facts (1) and (2). We may assume $k_i \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$, since $\Delta \beta = 0$ for any scalar β .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{T^{k_1}}^{i_1} \Delta_{T^{k_2}}^{i_2} \dots \Delta_{T^{k_n}}^{i_n} A &= \Delta_{T^{k_1}}^{i_1} \dots \Delta_{T^{k_{n-1}}}^{i_{n-1}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{\substack{j_p(n) + j'_p(n) = k_n - 1; 1 \leq p \leq i_n}} T^{j_1(n) + \dots + j_{i_n}(n)} (\Delta_{T^{k_n}}^{i_n} A) T^{j'_1(n) + \dots + j'_{i_n}(n)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_p(n) + j'_p(n) = k_n - 1 \\ 1 \leq p \leq i_n}} \dots \sum_{\substack{j_p(1) + j'_p(1) = k_1 - 1 \\ 1 \leq p \leq i_1}} T^{r_1 + \dots + r_n} (\Delta_{T^{k_1}}^{i_1} \dots \Delta_{T^{k_n}}^{i_n} A) T^{r'_1 + \dots + r'_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_l = \sum_{t=1}^{i_l} j_t(l), r'_l = \sum_{t=1}^{i_l} j'_t(l)$. (Here we repeatedly used Identity III.)

Note that

$$\sum_{l=1}^n (r_l + r'_l) = i_1(k_1 - 1) + \dots + i_n(k_n - 1),$$

$$i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n = m, T(\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A)T.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{T^{k_1}}^{i_1} \dots \Delta_{T^{k_n}}^{i_n} A &= k_1^{i_1} \dots k_n^{i_n} T^{i_1(k_1-1)} T^{i_2(k_2-1)} \dots T^{i_n(k_n-1)} (\Delta_T^m A) \\ &= [k_1 T^{k_1-1}]^{i_1} [k_2 T^{k_2-1}]^{i_2} \dots [k_n T^{k_n-1}]^{i_n} (\Delta_T^m A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{p(T)}^m A &= \left[\sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n=m \\ 0 \leq i_j \leq m}} \frac{m!}{i_1!i_2! \dots i_n!} (\alpha_1 k_1 T^{k_1-1})^{i_1} \dots (\alpha_n k_n T^{k_n-1})^{i_n} \right] (\Delta_T^m A) \\ &= [\alpha_1 k_1 T^{k_1-1} + \alpha_2 k_2 T^{k_2-1} + \dots + \alpha_n k_n T^{k_n-1}]^m (\Delta_T^m A) \\ &= [p'(T)]^m (\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A) [p'(T)]^m. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY. *The following three conditions are equivalent for any fixed $m \geq 0$.*

- (i) $\Delta_T^{m+1} A = 0$;
- (ii) $\Delta_{p(T)}^{m+1} A = 0$ for each polynomial p ;
- (iii) $\Delta_S^{m+1} A = 0$ if S is in the norm closed algebra generated by T and I .

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) by Identity V.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) by the continuity of the operator $\Delta_{(\cdot)}^{m+1} A$ in the norm topology of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

LEMMA. *If $\exists T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ having an eigenvalue α such that $X_T(\alpha) \neq X$, and A is in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T for some $m \geq 0$, then $\text{Lat } A$ is nontrivial.*

If, moreover, $\exists \alpha$ such that either $0 < \dim X_T(\alpha) < \infty$ or $0 < \dim X_{T^}(\alpha) < \infty$, then $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial.*

PROOF. If $m = 0$, then A is in the commutant of T . This implies that $(T - \alpha)^n A = A(T - \alpha)^n$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. Hence $\ker(T - \alpha)^n$ ($n \geq 1$) are nontrivial invariant subspaces of A by the hypothesis on α .

If $m > 0$, by Identity II,

$$\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A = \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} (T - \alpha)^{k(m-i)} A (T - \alpha)^{ki} + (T - \alpha)^{km} A$$

for any integer $k \geq 1$.

On the other hand, by Identity IV, we have $\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A = k^m (\Delta_T^m A) (T - \alpha)^{(k-1)m}$ for any integer $k \geq 1$ since A is in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T .

Comparing the two expressions of $\Delta_{(T-\alpha)^k}^m A$, we find

$$(T - \alpha)^{km} A = k^m (\Delta_T^m A) (T - \alpha)^{(k-1)m} - \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} (T - \alpha)^{k(m-i)} A (T - \alpha)^{ki}$$

for any $k \geq 1$.

If $k \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (T - \alpha)^{km} A &= \left[k^m (\Delta_T^m A) (T - \alpha)^{(k-1)(m-1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} (T - \alpha)^{k(m-i)} A (T - \alpha)^{ki-k+1} \right] (T - \alpha)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A(\ker(T - \alpha)^{k-1}) \subset \ker(T - \alpha)^{km}$ for any $k \geq 2$; and so

$$A \left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(T - \alpha)^n \right) \subset \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(T - \alpha)^n.$$

The continuity of A implies that $X_T(\alpha)$ is a nontrivial invariant subspace of A . If $0 < \dim X_T(\alpha) < \infty$, then $A(X_T(\alpha)) \subset X_T(\alpha)$ implies that A has an eigenvalue λ . It follows that $\ker(A - \lambda)$ is a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace of A since A is nonscalar.

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_T^m \cdot A^* &= \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} T^{*m-i} A^* T^{*i} \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} T^i A T^{m-i} \right]^* = (-1)^m (\Delta_T^m A)^*. \end{aligned}$$

So A is in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T iff A^* is in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T^* .

If $0 < \dim X_{T^*}(\alpha) < \infty$, then $A^*(X_{T^*}(\alpha)) \subset X_{T^*}(\alpha)$ by the above argument. Hence A^* has an eigenvalue μ and the closure of the range of $A - \mu$ is a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace of A . Q.E.D.

Now, we prove our theorem.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. (a) Let $p_a(z) = \prod_{j=1}^l (z - \beta_j)^{m_j}$ be the minimal annihilating polynomial of T , where $\beta_{j_1} \neq \beta_{j_2}$ if $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq l$ and $m_j \geq 1$ if $1 \leq j \leq l$.

First of all, it is easily seen that $\sigma(T) = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l\}$, $\sigma_e(T) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$, and $l \geq k$. If there is $\beta \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_e(T)$, it is well known that $0 < \dim X_T(\beta) < \infty$. The lemma applies and H -Lat A is nontrivial. We may assume that $\sigma(T) = \sigma_e(T)$ and $\beta_i = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k = l$, and so $m_i \geq n_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

$p_a(T) = 0$ implies that every $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ is an eigenvalue of T . For any $1 \leq i_0 \leq k$, pick a small neighborhood U of α_{i_0} such that $U \cap (\sigma(T) \setminus \{\alpha_{i_0}\}) = \emptyset$.

Define

$$P_{i_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} (z - T)^{-1} dz.$$

Let X_{i_0} be the corresponding subspace of X , i.e. $X_{i_0} = \overline{P_{i_0} X}$. Then X_{i_0} is a nontrivial subspace of X by the hypothesis $k \geq 2$. Moreover, $X_{i_0} = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - \alpha_{i_0})^n x\|^{1/n} = 0\}$.

Clearly, $X_T(\alpha_{i_0}) \subset X_{i_0}$. Hence $\{0\} \neq X_T(\alpha_{i_0}) \neq X$. Now the lemma applies and (a) is proved.

(b) By hypothesis, $\exists 0 \neq \alpha \in \sigma(p(T))$. It is well known that $0 < \dim X_{p(T)}(\alpha) < \infty$ since $p(T)$ is compact. Since A in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T implies A in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of $p(T)$ by the corollary, the conclusion again follows from the lemma.

(c) $0 < \text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$ is the hypothesis. A in the $(m + 1)$ -commutant of T implies that $T(\Delta_T^m A) = (\Delta_T^m A)T$. Then T has an eigenvalue α since $0 < \text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$. Since $k \geq 2$, we may once more use the lemma.

(d) Since T is not algebraic, $p(T)^N \neq 0$ for any integer $N \geq 1$. If $m = 0$, then $TA = AT$, and so $p(T)A = Ap(T)$. Lomonosov's Theorem applies. If $m = 1$, then $\Delta_T^2 A = 0$. The case $\text{rank } \Delta_T A = 0$ still follows from Lomonosov's Theorem. Let

$0 < \text{rank } \Delta_T A < \infty$. By (b) we may also assume that $\sigma(p(T)) = \{0\}$. By the corollary of Identity V, we have $\Delta_{p(T)^N}^2 A = 0$ for any integer $N \geq 1$. In particular, $p(T)(\Delta_{p(T)} A) = (\Delta_{p(T)} A)p(T)$. Note that $\text{rank}(\Delta_T A) < \infty$ implies $\text{rank } \Delta_{p(T)} A < \infty$ for $\Delta_{p(T)} A = p'(T)(\Delta_T A)$ by Identity V (actually $\text{rank } \Delta_{p(T)} A \leq \text{rank } \Delta_T A$). Let V be the range of $\Delta_{p(T)} A$, then V is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace of $p(T)$. If $V = \{0\}$, i.e. $\Delta_{p(T)} A = 0$, then Lomonosov's Theorem applies. Let $V \neq \{0\}$. Since $\sigma(p(T)) = \{0\}$ and $\sigma(p(T)|_V) \subset \eta(\sigma(p(T))) = \sigma(p(T))$ (where $\eta(\sigma(S))$ means the complement of the unbounded component of $\rho(S)$), then $p(T)|_V$ is quasinilpotent, and so $p(T)|_V$ is nilpotent since $\dim V < \infty$. Moreover, the order of $p(T)|_V$ is at most $\dim V$. Hence $[p(T)|_V]^N = 0$ if $N \geq \dim V$.

On the other hand, by Identity IV, it follows that

$$\Delta_{p(T)^{N+1}} A = (N + 1)p'(T)p(T)^N(\Delta_{p(T)} A) = 0$$

if $N \geq \dim V$, i.e. $p(T)^{N+1} A = Ap(T)^{N+1}$, where $p(T)^{N+1} \neq 0$. Now, we can use Lomonosov's Theorem. (d) is proved.

(e) The case $n_0 = \text{rank } \Delta_T A = 0$ is trivial by Lomonosov's Theorem. Let $1 \leq n_0 = \text{rank } \Delta_T A < \infty$. Also we may assume $m = 1$. By the hypothesis, $T(\Delta_T A) = (\Delta_T A)T$. Let W be the range of $\Delta_T A$; then $W \in \text{Lat } T$. $n_0 < \infty$ implies that $\sigma(T|_W)$ contains only eigenvalues, and also $\sigma(T|_W) \subset \eta(\sigma(T)) = \sigma(T)$. As in the proof of (a), we may assume $\sigma(T) = \sigma_e(T)$.

Let $\sigma(T|_W) = \{\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_p}\}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$, $1 \leq p \leq k$. If $p = 1$, i.e. $\sigma(T|_W)$ has only one element, then $(T|_W - \alpha_{i_1})^{n_0} = 0$. Since $m_0 \geq n_0$, $(T|_W - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0} = 0$. Hence $\text{rank}(T|_W - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0-1} \leq 1$. Identity V implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{q(T)} A &= q'(T)(\Delta_T A) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_0(T - \alpha_i)^{m_0-1} \prod_{j \neq i} (T - \alpha_j)^{m_0} \right] (\Delta_T A) \\ &= m_0 \left[\prod_{j \neq i_1} (T - \alpha_j)^{m_0} \right] (T - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0-1} (\Delta_T A) \end{aligned}$$

since $(T|_W - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0} = 0$ implies $(T - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0}(\Delta_T A) = 0$. $\text{Rank}(T|_W - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0-1} \leq 1$ implies $\text{rank}(T - \alpha_{i_1})^{m_0-1}(\Delta_T A) \leq 1$. Therefore

$$\text{rank}(\Delta_{q(T)} A) = \text{rank}(q(T)A - Aq(T)) \leq 1.$$

Note that $m_0 \geq n_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and so $q(T)$ is compact. Now we can use Theorem 2 in [4]; $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial.

If $1 < p \leq k$, first note that the eigenvectors for distinct eigenvalues of $T|_W$ are linearly independent. $\dim W = n_0$ implies that $(T|_W - \alpha_{i_l})^{n_0-1} = 0$ for each $1 \leq l \leq p$. Hence $(T|_W - \alpha_{i_l})^{m_0-1} = 0$, $1 \leq l \leq p$. This implies that $(T - \alpha_{i_l})^{m_0-1}(\Delta_T A) = 0$ for each $1 \leq l \leq p$.

$$\Delta_{q(T)} A = \left[\sum_{i=1}^k m_0(T - \alpha_i)^{m_0-1} \prod_{j \neq i} (T - \alpha_j)^{m_0} \right] (\Delta_T A) = 0$$

since each summand in the above sum has a factor $\prod_{l=1}^p (T - \alpha_{i_l})^{m_0-1}$ which kills $(\Delta_T A)$. Hence $\Delta_{q(T)} A = q(T)A - Aq(T) = 0$. Since $q(T) \neq 0$ is compact, the conclusion holds by Lomonosov's Theorem. (e) is proved. Q.E.D.

REMARKS AND QUESTIONS. 1. In conclusion (a), $k > 1$ is essential. In [5], it was pointed out that there always is a nilpotent element T of order 2 such that A is in the 2-commutant of T .

2. Concerning (c), we have the following question: Can we remove the hypothesis $\text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$? If $\ker(p(T)) \neq \{0\}$, then T has an eigenvalue. As in the proof of (a), we can prove that $\text{Lat } A$ is nontrivial without the assumption $\text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$. What about the case that T is not algebraic and $\ker(p(T)) = \{0\}$? For this case, can we expect that $H\text{-Lat } A$ is nontrivial? Also if we keep the condition $\text{rank } \Delta_T^m A < \infty$, can we remove the condition $k > 1$? For the case $m \leq 1$, (d) says "yes."

3. If $\exists m \geq 0$ and a nonzero compact operator T such that $\Delta_T^m A$ is a rank one operator, what can we say about the (hyper)invariant subspace of A ? For $m = 0$, see [4].

4. Combining (b) and (e) above we obtain an improvement of Theorem 2 in [5].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank the referee and Professor L. Brown for reading this paper and giving him some corrections and advice.

REFERENCES

1. S. W. Brown, *Connections between an operator and a compact operator that yield hyperinvariant subspaces*, J. Operator Theory **1** (1979), 117–121.
2. J. Daughtry, *An invariant subspace theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 267–269.
3. D. A. Herrero, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, vol. 1, Pitman, Boston, London, Melbourne, 1982.
4. H. W. Kim, C. M. Pearcy, and A. L. Shields, *Rank-one commutators and hyperinvariant subspaces*, Michigan Math. J. **22** (1975), 193–194.
5. H. Lin, *Small commutators and hyperinvariant subspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 443–446.
6. V. Lomonosov, *Invariant subspace for operators commuting with compact operators*, Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen. **7** (1973), 55–56. (Russian)
7. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, p. 8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907