

## A NOTE ON THE CUP PRODUCT FOR PRO- $p$ GROUPS

TILMANN WÜRFEL

(Communicated by Bhama Srinivasan)

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group and  $g \in H^1(G)$ . We give a group-theoretic description of the kernel of the cup product  $- \cup g: H^1(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$ .

In this note we exhibit a connection between the cup product  $H^1(G) \times H^1(G) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(G)$  for a pro- $p$  group  $G$  and certain subgroups of its Frattini group  $G^*$ . A typical result is the following (Corollary 1): If  $S$  and  $T$  are two different maximal subgroups of  $G$ , then  $H^1(G/S) \cup H^1(G/T) = 0$  in  $H^2(G)$  if and only if  $S^*T^* \neq G^*$ . We actually compute the annihilator of  $H^1(G/S)$ , with respect to the cup product, as  $H^1(G/\hat{S})$  where  $\hat{S}$  is determined by certain commutator conditions (Proposition 1).

We use standard notations. The basic facts about pro- $p$  groups and their cohomology used here can be found in [1]. In particular,  $p$  always denotes a prime,  $G_i$  is the descending central series of a pro- $p$  group  $G$ ,  $G^* = G^p G_2$ ,  $H^i(G) = H^i(G, \mathbb{Z}/(p))$ ,  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ , and  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes closed subgroups.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group and  $S$  a maximal subgroup of  $G$ . Fix an element  $g \in H^1(G)$  such that  $S = \text{Ker}(g)$  and let  $A_S = \{f \in H^1(G) \mid f \cup g = 0\}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{S}$  the subgroup  $S^* \langle G^p \rangle G_3$  if  $p$  is odd, or  $S^* G_3$  if  $p = 2$ . Then  $A_S = H^1(G/\hat{S})$ , where  $\hat{S} = \{s \in S \mid [G, s] \subset \tilde{S}\}$  if  $p$  is odd or if  $p = 2$  and  $S^* G_2 \neq G^*$ , and  $\hat{S} = \{x \in G \mid [G, x] \subset \tilde{S}\}$  if  $p = 2$  and  $S^* G_2 = G^*$ .*

The proof will follow from Proposition 2. First, we need some information about certain maximal subgroups of the Frattini group.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group and  $S \leq G$  a maximal subgroup.*

(a) *If  $T \leq G$  is a maximal subgroup different from  $S$ , then  $S^*T^*$  is maximal in or equal to  $G^*$  and contains  $G_3$ . If  $p$  is odd, then  $G^p \subset S^*T^*$ . If  $p = 2$  and  $S^*T^* \neq G^*$ , then  $G_2 \not\subset S^*T^*$ .*

(b) *Let  $p$  be odd. If  $W \leq G^*$  is a maximal subgroup containing  $S^* \langle G^p \rangle G_3$ , then there exists a maximal  $T \leq G$  such that  $T \neq S$  and  $W = S^*T^*$ .*

(c) *Let  $p = 2$ . Every maximal subgroup  $W \leq G^*$  such that  $S^* G_3 \leq W$  and  $G_2 \not\subset W$  is of the form  $W = S^*T^*$  with some maximal  $T \leq G$  different from  $S$ .*

(d)  *$S^* G_2$  is maximal in or equal to  $G^*$ ; if  $p = 2$ , then this depends on whether or not  $g \cup g$  is zero where  $g \in H^1(G)$  with  $\text{Ker}(g) = S$ .*

---

Received by the editors March 26, 1986 and, in revised form, December 18, 1986.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 20E18, 20J05.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

PROOF. Everything follows from the congruence (cf. [1, Proposition 5])

$$(1) \quad (st)^p \equiv s^p t^p [t, s]^{\binom{p}{2}} \pmod{(G^*)^p [G^*, G]},$$

where  $[G^*, G] = (G_2)^p G_3$ .

(a) To see that  $G_3 \leq S^*T^*$ , let  $\overline{G} = G/S^*T^*$ . Since  $G = ST$ , we can write  $\overline{G} = \overline{S}\overline{T}$  with  $\overline{S}, \overline{T}$  abelian and normal in  $\overline{G}$ . So  $\overline{G}_2 = [\overline{S}, \overline{T}] \leq \overline{S} \cap \overline{T}$  which is contained in the center of  $\overline{G}$ . Hence  $\overline{G}_3 = 1$ .

Now pick an element  $t \in T \setminus S$  and define the map  $k: G \rightarrow G^*/S^*T^*$  by  $k(x) = \overline{[t, x]}$ . Since  $G_3 \leq S^*T^*$ ,  $k$  is homomorphism with  $T \leq \text{Ker}(k)$ . If  $p$  is odd, then (1) yields  $G^p \subset S^*T^*$ . Since  $G = \langle t \rangle S$ , we can write  $G_2 = [G, S] = [t, S]S_2G_3$  which implies that  $k$  is surjective. So  $S^*T^*$  is maximal in or equal to  $G^*$  if  $p$  is odd. If  $p = 2$ , surjectivity of  $k$  follows from the congruence  $(t^a s)^2 \equiv t^{2a} s^2 [s, t^a] \equiv [t, s^{-a}] \pmod{S^*T^*}$ . Still in the case  $p = 2$ , assume that  $G_2 \leq S^*T^*$ . Then the congruence  $(st)^2 \equiv s^2 t^2 [s, t] \equiv 1 \pmod{S^*T^*}$  shows that  $S^*T^* = G^*$ .

(b) Since  $G^p \subset W$ ,  $G_2 = [G, S] \not\subset W$  and so there is a  $t \in G$  with  $[t, S] \not\subset W$ . Then  $t \notin S$  and the map  $k: G \rightarrow G^*/W$  with  $k(x) = \overline{[t, x]}$  is an epimorphism as in part (a) of the proof. The subgroup  $T = \text{Ker}(k)$  is maximal in  $G$  and different from  $S$ . Using  $T = \langle t \rangle (T \cap S)$  we deduce that  $T_2 \leq W$ , so  $S^*T^* = W$  by (a).

(c) As in the proof of (b) there is an element  $u \in G$  so that  $[u, S] \not\subset W$ . The homomorphism  $k: G \rightarrow G^*/W$ , defined by  $k(x) = \overline{[u, x]}$ , is then surjective on  $S$  already. So there is an element  $s \in S$  with  $[u, s] \equiv u^{-2} \pmod{W}$ . Let  $t = su$ . We then have  $t^2 \equiv s^2 u^2 [u, s] \equiv 1 \pmod{W}$  by (1) and because  $G_3 \leq W$ . The modified homomorphism  $\tilde{k}: G \rightarrow G^*/W$  with  $\tilde{k}(x) = \overline{[t, x]}$  now furnishes the required maximal subgroup  $T = \text{Ker}(\tilde{k})$  of  $G$ . Indeed, for  $y \in S$  we have  $[t, y] = [s, y][[s, y], u][u, y] \equiv [u, y] \pmod{S_2}$ , hence  $\tilde{k}$  and  $k$  coincide on  $S$ ,  $t \notin S$ , and  $\tilde{k}$  is surjective. It remains to verify that  $T^2 \subset W$ . Write  $v \in T$  as  $v = t^a y$  with  $y \in T \cap S$ . Then  $v^2 \equiv t^{2a} y^2 [y, t^a] \equiv [t, y^{-a}] \equiv 1 \pmod{W}$ .

(d) The assignment  $x \mapsto x^p$  induces an epimorphism  $G \rightarrow G^*/S^*G_2$  whose kernel contains  $S$ . To prove the second statement, let  $p = 2$  and consider the five term cohomology sequence associated with the group extension  $1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow G \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 1$  where  $\overline{G} = G/S$ , together with the respective cup product homomorphisms  $\cup_G$  and  $\cup_{\overline{G}}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(G) & \xrightarrow{r} & H^1(S)^{\overline{G}} & \longrightarrow & H^2(\overline{G}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G) \\ & & & & \cup_{\overline{G}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \cup_G \\ & & & & H^1(\overline{G})^{\otimes 2} & \longrightarrow & H^1(G)^{\otimes 2} \end{array}$$

Since  $H^2(\overline{G}) = \mathbb{Z}/(2)$  and  $\cup_{\overline{G}}$  is an isomorphism, we see that  $g \cup_G g = 0$  if and only if the restriction  $r$  is not surjective which in turn is equivalent to  $G^* \neq S^*G_2$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2. *Using the notation of Proposition 1, there is an exact sequence induced by the differential*

$$0 \rightarrow K_S \rightarrow A_S \xrightarrow{d} H^1(G^*/\tilde{S}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $K_S = H^1(G/S)$  if  $p$  is odd, and  $K_S = 0$  if  $p = 2$ .

PROOF. We begin by constructing the homomorphism  $d: A_S \rightarrow H^1(G^*)$ . If  $f \in A_S$ , then there is a continuous map  $d_f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p)$  such that

$$(2) \quad f(x)g(y) = d_f(x) + d_f(y) - d_f(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

It follows that  $d_f$  is multiplicative on  $S$  as well as on  $\text{Ker}(f)$ . So, in particular, the restricted map  $d_f|_{G^\bullet}$  lies in  $H^1(G^*)$ . If  $\tilde{d}_f$  is another map satisfying (2), then  $d_f - \tilde{d}_f$  is in  $H^1(G)$  and hence vanishes on  $G^*$ . We can thus define the map  $d$  by setting  $d(f) = d_f|_{G^\bullet}$ . By the linearity of (2),  $d$  is a homomorphism.

We show next that  $\text{Ker}(d) \subset H^1(G/S)$ . Assume  $d(f) = 0$ , i.e.,  $d_f(G^*) = 0$ . Since  $d_f|_S \in H^1(S)$ , there is then a map  $c \in H^1(G)$  such that  $c|_S = d_f|_S$ . The modified map  $\tilde{d}_f = d_f - c$  satisfies (2) and vanishes on  $S$ . So we may assume that  $d_f(S) = 0$ . We want to show that  $f(S) = 0$ . To this end, pick some  $s \in S$  and let  $z \in G$  be such that  $g(z) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ . Then, by (2),  $f(s) = d_f(z) - d_f(sz)$  and also  $d_f(sz) = d_f(zs^2) = d_f(z)$ . Hence  $f(s) = 0$ .

If  $p$  is odd, then the formula  $g(x)g(y) = \frac{1}{2}(g(xy)^2 - g(x)^2 - g(y)^2)$  shows that  $d(g) = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ker}(d) = H^1(G/S)$  in this case.

Let  $p = 2$ . If  $g \cup g \neq 0$ , then  $H^1(G/S) \cap A_S = 0$  and so  $\text{Ker}(d) = 0$  by the above. If  $g \cup g = 0$ , then (2) implies that  $g(x) = g(x)^2 = d_g(x^2)$  for all  $x \in G$ . But  $G^* = \langle G^2 \rangle$  in this case (by (1)), hence  $d(g) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ker}(d) = 0$ .

To see that  $d$  actually lands in  $H^1(G^*/\tilde{S})$ , let  $f \in A_S \setminus K_S$  and put  $T = \text{Ker}(f)$ . Then  $d_f(S^*T^*) = 0$ . If  $T \neq S$ , then  $\tilde{S} \leq S^*T^*$  by (a) of the Lemma. If  $T = S$ , then  $p = 2$  and we may assume  $f = g$ . Thus  $g \cup g = 0$  and (2) implies that  $d_g(xy) = d_f(yx)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since  $d_g|_S$  is a homomorphism, it follows that  $d_g([s, x]) = d_g(s^{-1}) + d_g(s^x) = 0$  for all  $s \in S, x \in G$ . Hence  $d_g(G_2) = 0$  and, in particular,

$$(3) \quad d_g(\tilde{S}) = 0.$$

To show that  $d(A_S) = H^1(G^*/\tilde{S})$ , we deal with odd  $p$  first. Let  $0 \neq \varphi \in H^1(G^*)$  be such that  $\varphi(\tilde{S}) = 0$ . We want to produce an  $f \in H^1(G)$  such that  $f \cup g = 0$  and  $d(f) = \varphi$ . Let  $z \in G$  be such that  $g(z) = 1$  and define  $f$  by  $f(x) = \varphi([z, x])$ . Then  $f \in H^1(G)$  because  $G_3 \leq \tilde{S}$ . Let  $\theta = \sigma\pi$  where  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/S$  is the natural map and  $\sigma: G/S = \langle \bar{z} \rangle \rightarrow G$  is the section defined by  $\sigma(\bar{z}^i) = z^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ . Since  $S/\tilde{S}$  is  $p$ -elementary, we may assume that  $\varphi$  is actually in  $H^1(S)$ , and can thus define a continuous map  $c: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(p)$  by setting  $c(x) = \varphi(\theta(x)^{-1}x)$ . Then  $c(xs) = c(x) + \varphi(s)$  for all  $x \in G, s \in S$ . So  $c|_{G^\bullet} = \varphi$ . We want to show that  $f(x)g(y) = c(x) + c(y) - c(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Write  $x = z^i r$  and  $y = z^j s$  where  $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$  and  $r, s \in S$ . Then  $f(x)g(y) = jf(x)$  and

$$c(x) + c(y) - c(xy) = \varphi(r) + \varphi(s) - c(z^i r z^j) - \varphi(s) = \varphi(r) - c(z^i r z^j).$$

Write  $i + j = ap + m$  with  $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ . Then  $z^i r z^j = z^m z^{ap} r [r, z^j]$  and, since  $\varphi(z^p) = 0, c(z^i r z^j) = \varphi(r) + \varphi([r, z^j]) = \varphi(r) - jf(r)$  which is what we need because  $f(r) = f(x)$ .

To end the proof, let  $p = 2$  and let  $\varphi$  be as above. If  $G_2 \not\leq \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ , then, by (c) of the Lemma,  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = T^*S^*$  with some maximal  $T \leq G$  different from  $S$ . So there is an element  $z \in T$  such that  $g(z) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(z^2) = 0$  and we can proceed as above. If  $G_2 \leq \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ , then  $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = S^*G_2$  and  $g \cup g = 0$  by (d) of the Lemma. In this case, by (3), we have  $d_g(\tilde{S}) = 0$ . Since  $d_g(G^*) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $d_g|_{G^\bullet} = \varphi$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group and  $f, g \in H^1(G)$  be linearly independent with  $T = \text{Ker}(f)$ ,  $S = \text{Ker}(g)$ . Then  $f \cup g = 0$  if and only if  $S^*T^* \neq G^*$ . Hence  $f \cup g \neq 0$  if  $G$  is abelian.*

**PROOF.**  $S \neq T$  by assumption. If  $f \cup g = 0$ , then, by Proposition 2,  $\varphi = d(f) \neq 0$ . Since  $\varphi(S^*T^*) = 0$ , we have that  $S^*T^* \neq G^*$ . Conversely, if this latter condition holds, then there is a nonzero  $\varphi \in H^1(G^*)$  with  $\varphi(S^*T^*) = 0$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $G_2 \not\subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi)$  by (a) of the Lemma. Define  $\tilde{f} \in H^1(G)$  by  $\tilde{f}(x) = \varphi([z, x])$  where  $z \in T$  is such that  $g(z) = 1$ . Then  $\tilde{f}(T) = 0$ , so  $\tilde{f} = af$  with some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ . By the proof of Proposition 2, we have  $\tilde{f} \cup g = 0$  and  $d(\tilde{f}) = \varphi \neq 0$ . Hence  $a \neq 0$  and  $f \cup g = 0$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a pro- $p$  group of finite rank with minimal pro- $p$  free presentation  $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $S \leq G$  be a maximal subgroup and put  $U = \pi^{-1}(S)$ . Then  $H^1(G/S)$  is contained in the radical of the cup product  $H^1(G) \times H^1(G) \rightarrow H^2(G)$  if and only if  $R \leq U^*\langle F^p \rangle F_3$  if  $p$  is odd, or  $R \leq U^*F_3$  if  $p = 2$ .*

**PROOF.** Define  $\tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{U}$  as in Proposition 1. Then  $\pi$  induces an epimorphism  $\bar{\pi}: F^*/\tilde{U} \rightarrow G^*/\tilde{S}$  whose kernel is  $R\tilde{U}/\tilde{U}$ . Therefore,  $R \leq \tilde{U}$  if and only if the groups  $F^*/\tilde{U}$  and  $G^*/\tilde{S}$  are of the same rank. Let  $n$  denote the rank of  $G$  and  $F$ . Since  $H^2(F) = 0$ , Proposition 2 shows that the rank of  $F^*/\tilde{U}$  is  $n - 1$  if  $p$  is odd and  $n$  if  $p = 2$ . On the other hand,  $H^1(G/S)$  is contained in the radical of the cup product for  $G$  if and only if  $A_S = H^1(G)$  which, by Proposition 2, is equivalent to  $G^*/\tilde{S}$  having rank  $n - 1$  if  $p$  is odd and rank  $n$  if  $p = 2$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.** We refer to the proof of Proposition 2. Let  $z \in G$  be such that  $g(z) = 1$ . The map  $s \mapsto [z, s]$  then induces a homomorphism  $k: S/G^* \rightarrow G^*/\tilde{S}$  which does not depend on the choice of  $z$ . By the second part of the proof of Proposition 2, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_s & \xrightarrow{d} & H^1(G^*/\tilde{S}) \\ \downarrow & & \bar{k} \downarrow \\ H^1(G) & \xrightarrow{r} & H^1(S/G^*) \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{k}$  is the dual of  $k$  and  $r$  is restriction. The snake lemma applied to this diagram enlarged by the appropriate kernels and cokernels now yields that  $A_s = H^1(G/\hat{S})$  if  $p$  is odd, or if  $p = 2$  and  $S^*G_2 \neq G^*$ , hence  $H^1(G^*/S^*G_2) = H^1(G/S)$ .

If  $p = 2$  and  $S^*G_2 = G^*$ , then, in a way similar to the proof of (c) of the Lemma, an element  $z \in G$  such that  $g(z) = 1$  can be found which satisfies  $z^2 \in \tilde{S}$ . Let  $k: G \rightarrow G^*/\tilde{S}$  be the homomorphism induced by  $x \mapsto [z, x]$ . Then the proof of Proposition 2 shows that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_S & \xrightarrow{d} & H^1(G^*/\tilde{S}) \\ \downarrow & \swarrow \bar{k} & \\ H^1(G) & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence  $A_S = H^1(G/\hat{S})$  in this case, too.  $\square$

REMARK. Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  a pro- $p$  group with a maximal subgroup  $S$ . By Proposition 2, the annihilator  $A_S$ , with respect to the cup product, of  $H^1(G/S)$  in  $H^1(G)$  is smallest possible, i.e.,  $A_S = H^1(G/S)$ , exactly when  $G^* = S_2\langle G^p \rangle G_3$ . Let (C) denote this condition if it holds for all maximal  $S \leq G$ . It is obviously satisfied if  $G_2 \leq \langle G^p \rangle$  in which case  $G$  is called powerful in [2]. The converse holds if the rank  $n_G$  of  $G$  is  $\leq 3$ , but fails for  $n_G = 4$ .

PROOF. (i) Let  $G$  satisfy (C) and  $n_G \leq 3$ . We can assume that  $G^p = 1$  and  $G_3 = 1$  because  $G$  satisfies (C) if and only if  $G/\langle G^p \rangle G_3$  does, and the same is true for powerfulness. So it remains to show that  $G$  is abelian. We have  $S_2 = G_2$  for all maximal  $S \leq G$ . This settles the case  $n_G = 2$  because  $S/S_2 \hookrightarrow G/G_2$  implies that  $n_S = n_G - 1 = 1$ , hence  $S_2 = 1$ . Now let  $n_G = 3$ ,  $G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$ , and assume that  $G_2 \neq 1$ . Then  $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$  by using some maximal subgroup as above. Let  $G_2 = \langle [x_1, x_2] \rangle$ , say. Then  $[x_3, x_1] = [x_2, x_1]^a = [x_2^a, x_1]$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{x}_3 = x_3 x_2^{-a}$ . Then  $[\tilde{x}_3, x_1] = 1$  and  $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \tilde{x}_3 \rangle$ . The subgroup  $S = \langle x_1, \tilde{x}_3 \rangle G_2$  is maximal because  $G = \langle x_2 \rangle S$ , but  $S_2 = 1$ .

(ii) Let  $G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$  satisfy the relations  $G^p = 1$ ,  $G_3 = 1$ ,  $[\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle, \langle x_3, x_4 \rangle] = 1$ , and  $[x_1, x_2] = [x_3, x_4] (\neq 1)$ . Then  $G$  is not powerful. Since  $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ , condition (C) just means that  $S_2 \neq 1$  for all maximal  $S \leq G$ . Assume there is an  $S$  with  $S_2 = 1$ . Then  $S = C_G(s)$ , the centralizer of any  $s \in S$  which is not in the center  $Z(G)$ . We have that  $x_1 \notin S$  because otherwise, since  $x_1 \notin Z(G)$ ,  $x_3$  and  $x_4$  would be in  $S$  and would hence commute. Therefore,  $G = \langle x_1 \rangle S$  and  $x_2 = x_1^a s$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s \in S$ . Then  $s = x_1^{-a} x_2 \notin Z(G)$  because  $[s, x_1] = [x_2, x_1]$ . But  $x_3, x_4 \in C_G(s) = S$  which is impossible.  $\square$

ADDED IN PROOF. After submitting this note we became aware that there is an overlap with two papers by J. A. Hillman, *The kernel of the cup product*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **32** (1985), 261–274 and J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **43** (1987), 10–15. The paper by Lubotzky and Mann has appeared in J. Algebra **105** (1987), 484–515.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. P. Labute, *Demuškin groups of rank  $\aleph_0$* , Bull. Soc. Math. France **94** (1966), 211–244.
2. A. Lubotzky and A. Mann, *Powerful  $p$ -groups*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

*Current address:* Pennsylvania State University, Delaware County Campus, Media, Pennsylvania 19063