

## A RIBBON KNOT GROUP WHICH HAS NO FREE BASE

KATSUYUKI YOSHIKAWA

(Communicated by Haynes R. Miller)

**ABSTRACT.** We consider the following problem: If a group  $G$  satisfies the conditions (1)  $G$  has a finite presentation with  $r + 1$  generators and  $r$  relators, and (2) there exists an element  $x$  of  $G$  such that  $G = \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle^G$  where  $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle^G$  is the normal closure of  $x$  in  $G$ , then is  $G$  an HNN (Higman-Neumann-Neumann) extension of a free group of finite rank? In this paper, we give a negative answer to the problem. Thus it follows that there exists a ribbon  $n$ -knot group ( $n \geq 2$ ) which has no free base.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a 2-knot group, i.e., the group of some 2-sphere smoothly embedded in the 4-sphere  $S^4$ . Then it is easily seen that if  $G$  is an HNN extension of some free group of finite rank, then  $G$  has deficiency one. Conversely, the following conjecture is raised:

**CONJECTURE.** *If the deficiency of  $G$  is one, then  $G$  has a free base of finite rank.*

The purpose of this paper is to give counterexamples to this conjecture, that is,

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group presented by*

$$(1.1) \quad \langle a, b, t : a^p = b^q, ta^\alpha t^{-1} = b^\beta \rangle,$$

where  $p, q, \alpha, \beta$  are nonzero integers such that  $p\beta - q\alpha = \pm 1$  and  $p, q, \alpha, \beta \neq \pm 1$ . Then,

- (1)  $G$  is a ribbon 2-knot group, i.e., a 2-knot group with Wirtinger presentation of deficiency one, and
- (2)  $G$  has no free base of finite rank.

Thus, our examples show that Gutierrez's theorem [2, p. 287, Theorem (iii)] is false. In §4, we prove that any 2-knot group with one-relator Wirtinger presentation has a free base of finite rank.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\{A_i, \theta_{jk}\}$  be a collection of groups  $A_i$  and isomorphisms  $\theta_{jk}: U_{jk} \rightarrow U_{kj}$  associated with certain pairs of  $A_j, A_k$  such that  $\theta_{jk} = \theta_{kj}^{-1}$ , where  $U_{jk}$  and  $U_{kj}$  are subgroups of  $A_j$  and  $A_k$  respectively. With the collection  $\{A_i, \theta_{jk}\}$  we associate a linear graph each of whose vertices corresponds to a group  $A_i$  and each of whose edges joins two vertices  $A_j$  and  $A_k$  if there exists  $\theta_{jk}$  (and hence  $\theta_{kj}$ ).

---

Received by the editors October 29, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 57Q45; Secondary 20E06, 20F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Knot group, HNN extension.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

If this graph is a tree, the group defined by

$$\left\langle \prod_i *A_i : U_{jk} = \theta_{jk}(U_{jk}) \text{ for all edges } e_{jk} \right\rangle$$

is called a *tree product of the factors*  $A_i$  (with the subgroups  $U_{jk}$  and  $U_{kj}$  amalgamated under  $\theta_{jk}$ ) [4].

An HNN extension with more than one stable letter is defined as follows [4, 5]: Let  $H$  be a group and let  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}, \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$  be sets of subgroups of  $H$  with isomorphisms  $\phi_i: A_i \rightarrow B_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the *HNN extension of the base  $H$  with stable letters  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  and associated subgroups  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$*  is the group given by

$$\langle H, t_1, \dots, t_n : t_i a_i t_i^{-1} = \phi_i(a_i), a_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n \rangle.$$

Finally, we give some lemmas. Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $H = gp\{a, b\} = \langle a, b : a^p = b^q \rangle$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *The element  $b$  is not conjugate to  $a^{\alpha k}$  or  $b^{\beta k}$  in  $H$  for any integer  $k$ . Similarly,  $a$  is not conjugate to  $a^{\alpha k}$  or  $b^{\beta k}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f$  be the homomorphism of  $H$  onto the infinite cyclic group  $Z = \langle z : \cdot \rangle$  defined by  $f(a) = z^q$  and  $f(b) = z^p$ . Then, since  $p\beta - q\alpha = \pm 1$  and  $p, q, \alpha, \beta \neq \pm 1$ , it follows that  $f(a^{\alpha k})$  and  $f(b^{\beta k})$  are different from  $z^p$  and  $z^q$ . Therefore the lemma holds.

LEMMA 2.2. *For any  $S \in G, a$  does not commute  $SbS^{-1}$  in  $G$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S = g_0 t^{\epsilon_1} g_1 \dots t^{\epsilon_n} g_n$  be reduced, that is,  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1, g_i \in H$ , and there is no subword  $t g_i t^{-1}$  with  $g_i \in gp\{a^\alpha\}$  or  $t^{-1} g_i t$  with  $g_i \in gp\{b^\beta\}$  (cf. [5]). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad & a(SbS^{-1})a^{-1}(Sb^{-1}S^{-1}) \\ & = a(g_0 t^{\epsilon_1} \dots t^{\epsilon_n} g_n) b (g_0 t^{\epsilon_1} \dots t^{\epsilon_n} g_n)^{-1} \\ & \quad \cdot a^{-1}(g_0 t^{\epsilon_1} \dots t^{\epsilon_n} g_n) b^{-1}(g_0 t^{\epsilon_1} \dots t^{\epsilon_n} g_n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we can see that

$$g_n b^{\pm 1} g_n^{-1} \notin gp\{a^\alpha\} \cup gp\{b^\beta\},$$

and

$$g_0^{-1} a^{-1} g_0 \notin gp\{a^\alpha\} \cup gp\{b^\beta\}.$$

Therefore, (2.1) is reduced. If  $n \geq 1$ , then it follows from Britton's lemma [5] that equation (2.1) does not define the identity element. When  $n = 0$ , (2.1) yields  $ag_0 b g_0^{-1} a^{-1} g_0 b^{-1} g_0^{-1}$ . Then, from [6, Theorem 4.5], this is not the identity element in  $H$ , and so in  $G$ . Thus we complete the proof.

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that  $H$  is also described as a tree product  $T = \{A_i, \theta_{jk}\}$  of the infinite cyclic groups  $A_i$ . Then,  $H$  is a tree product of two vertices, say  $A_1, A_2$ , of  $\{A_i\}$  and the edge is given by  $a_1^p \rightarrow a_2^q$ , where  $a_i$  is a generator of  $A_i, i = 1, 2$ .*

PROOF. Since  $H$  is finitely generated, we may assume that the number of the vertices  $\{A_i\}$  is finite and the tree product  $T$  is proper, i.e., each of the amalgamated subgroups is properly contained in the adjoining vertices [4, p. 237].

Let  $H^*$  be the normal closure of the nonextremal vertices of  $\{A_i\}$  in  $H$ . Then, since  $T$  is proper, the factor group  $H/H^*$  is a free product of nontrivial cyclic groups and the rank (i.e., minimum number of generators) of  $H/H^*$  is equal to the number of extremal vertices of  $\{A_i\}$ . Since the rank of  $H$  is 2, it follows that  $T$  has exactly two extremal vertices (i.e.,  $T$  is a stem product). Thus, from [9, p. 97, Theorem 2] and [7, p. 320, Theorem 1], we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *The induced presentation  $\langle a, b, t: a^p = b^q, ta^\alpha t^{-1} = b^\beta, t \rangle$  of (1.1) is AC-equivalent to the trivial presentation  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ .*

PROOF. The lemma is an immediate consequence of [1, Theorem 2.1].

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** From Lemma 2.4 and [13], the first assertion holds. We proceed to the proof of the second assertion. We will prove only the case of  $p = 2, q = 3, \alpha = 3, \beta = 4$ , and the other cases can be shown by the same arguments.

Assume that  $G$  is an HNN extension of a free group  $F$  of finite rank  $d$  with a single stable letter  $t$ . Then the commutator subgroup  $G'$  of  $G$  is the amalgamated free product of infinitely many factors

$$(3.1) \quad \cdots *_{F_{-10}} F_0 *_{F_{01}} F_1 *_{F_{12}} F_2 * \cdots,$$

where  $F_i$  are copies of  $F$ . Let  $F(i, j)$  denote the subgroup  $F_i *_{F_{i,i+1}} \cdots *_{F_{j-1,j}} F_j, i \leq j$ . On the other hand, from (1.1),  $G'$  is also the amalgamated free product

$$(3.2) \quad \cdots *_{H_{-10}} H_0 *_{H_{01}} H_1 *_{H_{12}} H_2 * \cdots,$$

where  $H_i = \langle a_i, b_i: a_i^2 = b_i^3 \rangle, H_{i,i+1} = gp\{a_{i+1}^3\} = gp\{b_i^4\}, a_i = t^i a t^{-i}$  and  $b_i = t^i b t^{-i}$ ; each amalgamation is given by mapping  $a_{i+1}^3 \rightarrow b_i^4$ . Let  $H(i, j)$  denote the subgroup  $H_i *_{H_{i,i+1}} \cdots *_{H_{j-1,j}} H_j, i \leq j$ .

Now,  $a_0^2$  is an element of the commutator subgroup  $G'$ . Hence, by (3.1), there exist integers  $r, s$  ( $r \leq s$ ) such that  $a_0^2 \in F(r, s)$ . Moreover, since  $F(r, s)$  is finitely generated, it follows from (3.2) that there exist integers  $n, m$  ( $n \leq m$ ) such that  $F(r, s) \subset H(n, m)$ . Here we may assume that  $n \leq 0 < m$ .

The center  $C(H_i)$  of  $H_i$  is the infinite cyclic group generated by  $a_i^2$  ( $= b_i^3$ ). Therefore, from [6, p. 211], we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(H(n, n + 1)) &= gp\{b_n^3\} \cap gp\{a_{n+1}^2\} \cap H_{n,n+1} \\ &= gp\{(b_n^3)^8\} = gp\{(a_n^2)^8\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$C(H(n, m)) = gp\{(a_n^2)^{8^{m-n}}\},$$

and it is infinite cyclic. Since  $(a_0^2)^9 = (a_{-1}^2)^8$ , we can see that

$$\{(a_0^2)^{9^{-n}}\}^{8^m} = \{(a_n^2)^{8^{-n}}\}^{8^m} = (a_n^2)^{8^{m-n}} \in C(H(n, m)).$$

Thus, since  $\{(a_0^2)^{9^{-n}}\}^{8^m} \in F(r, s) \subset H(n, m)$ , it follows that  $\{(a_0^2)^{9^{-n}}\}^{8^m} (\neq 1)$  is in the center  $C(F(r, s))$ . Hence  $C(F(r, s))$  is nontrivial. Thus, from [6, p. 211], the free group  $F_r$  must have the nontrivial center. Therefore, we have  $d = 1$ , and  $G$  is presented by  $\langle c, x: xc^k x^{-1} = c^l \rangle$ . Now the Alexander polynomial  $\Delta(t)$  of  $G$  is  $9t - 8$ . Hence we get  $k = \pm 9, l = \pm 8$  or  $k = \pm 8, l = \pm 9$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $k = 9, l = 8$ .

Thus, if  $G$  is an HNN extension of a free group of finite rank, then  $G$  must be isomorphic to the group  $G^*$  presented by

$$\langle c, x : xc^9x^{-1} = c^8 \rangle.$$

To complete the proof, we will show the following:

CLAIM.  $G$  cannot be isomorphic to  $G^*$ .

We assume that there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $G$  onto  $G^*$ . Let  $H = gp\{a, b\}$  in  $G$ . We consider  $G^*$  as an HNN extension of  $F (= \langle c : \rangle)$  with associated subgroups  $gp\{c^9\}$  and  $gp\{c^8\}$ . Using the subgroup theorem for HNN extensions in [3], we can describe the subgroup  $\Phi(H)$  of  $G^*$  as follows:

$\Phi(H)$  is an HNN extension with stable letters  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) whose base is a tree product of vertices  $dFd^{-1} \cap \Phi(H)$  where  $d$  ranges over a double coset representative system for  $G^* \text{ mod } (\Phi(H), F)$ ; the amalgamated and associated subgroups are contained in vertices of this base.

We can see the following:

- (1)  $dFd^{-1} \cap \Phi(H) \cong 1$  or  $Z$ .
- (2)  $n = 0$ .

The first assertion follows immediately from the fact that  $F \cong Z$ . Since  $H_1(\Phi(H)) \cong Z$ , it follows that  $n$  is at most one. If  $n = 1$ , then its associated subgroups must be isomorphic to 1 or  $Z$ . However, this is impossible for the group  $\Phi(H)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $n = 0$ .

Thus,  $\Phi(H)$  is a tree product of infinite cyclic groups  $dFd^{-1} \cap \Phi(H)$ . Therefore, from Lemma 2.3,  $\Phi(H)$  is an amalgamated product of two vertices  $d_1F d_1^{-1} \cap \Phi(H)$  and  $d_2F d_2^{-1} \cap \Phi(H)$  with subgroups  $gp\{u_1^2\}$  and  $gp\{u_2^3\}$  amalgamated under  $u_1^2 \rightarrow u_2^3$ , where  $u_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is a generator of  $d_iF d_i^{-1} \cap \Phi(H)$ . Thus, we have

$$\Phi(H) = \langle u_1, u_2 : u_1^2 = u_2^3 \rangle.$$

Hence, there exists an automorphism  $f$  of  $\Phi(H)$  such that  $\Phi(a) = f(u_1)$  and  $\Phi(b) = f(u_2)$ . From [12], the automorphism  $f$  of  $\Phi(H)$  is given by the form

$$f(u_1) = Wu_1^\varepsilon W^{-1}, \quad f(u_2) = Wu_2^\varepsilon W^{-1},$$

where  $W \in \Phi(H)$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . Thus we have

$$\Phi(a) = Wu_1^\varepsilon W^{-1}, \quad \Phi(b) = Wu_2^\varepsilon W^{-1}.$$

Now, since  $F = \langle c : \rangle$ , it follows that  $u_i = d_i c^{\nu_i} d_i^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\nu_i$  are nonzero integers. Hence we can easily see that

$$u_1(d_1 d_2^{-1} u_2 d_2 d_1^{-1}) u_1^{-1} = d_1 d_2^{-1} u_2 d_2 d_1^{-1}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \Phi^{-1}(Wu_1 d_1 d_2^{-1} u_2 d_2 d_1^{-1} u_1^{-1} d_1 d_2^{-1} u_2^{-1} d_2 d_1^{-1} W^{-1}) \\ &= a^\varepsilon \Phi^{-1}(S) b^\varepsilon \Phi^{-1}(S^{-1}) a^{-\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}(S) b^{-\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1}(S^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $S = W d_1 d_2^{-1} W^{-1}$ . Therefore, there exists  $\tilde{S} \in G$  such that

$$a(\tilde{S} b \tilde{S}^{-1}) a^{-1} (\tilde{S} b^{-1} \tilde{S}^{-1}) = 1.$$

However, this contradicts Lemma 2.2. Hence, there cannot exist an isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G^*$ . Thus, we complete the proof of the claim, and therefore Theorem 1.

REMARKS. (1) It can be shown that if an  $n$ -knot group  $G$  is an HNN extension of a free group of infinite rank, then  $G$  has a free base of finite rank (cf. [14]). Therefore, the groups in Theorem 1 have no free base.

(2) Each group in Theorem 1 is a ribbon  $n$ -knot group ( $n \geq 2$ ) but not a 1-knot group because its Alexander polynomial has degree one. L. Neuwirth [8] proved that if the commutator subgroup of a 1-knot group is finitely generated, then it is free of finite rank. It still remains open whether there exists a 1-knot group which has no free base.

**4. Knot groups with one-relator Wirtinger presentation.** In this section, we will show that

**THEOREM 2.** *Any 2-knot group with one-relator Wirtinger presentation has a free base of finite rank.*

PROOF. Let  $G$  be a group presented by

$$(4.1) \quad \langle x, y : y = W(x, y)xW(x, y)^{-1} \rangle,$$

where  $W(x, y)$  is a reduced word ( $\neq 1$ ) which does not begin in  $y$  or  $y^{-1}$  and does not end in  $x$  or  $x^{-1}$ . Setting  $a = yx^{-1}$  and deleting  $y$  in (4.1), we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= \langle x, a : ax = W(x, ax)xW(x, ax)^{-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle x, a : xW'x^{-1} = a^{-1}W' \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W'$  is the reduced word of  $W(x, ax)$ . We notice that

$$(*) \quad W' \text{ begins in neither } a \text{ nor } x^{-1}a^{-1}.$$

Let  $\tilde{W}(a_i)$  be the word obtained by rewriting  $W'x^{-k}$ , where  $k$  is the exponent sum of  $W'$  on  $x$ , in terms of  $a_i = x^i a x^{-i}$ . Let  $m$  be the minimum and  $M$  the maximum subscript  $j$  such that  $a_j$  occurs in  $\tilde{W}(a_i)$ . If  $M \geq 0$ , then we rewrite the relation in (4.2) as follows:

$$x\tilde{W}(a_i)x^{-1} = a_0^{-1}\tilde{W}(a_i).$$

If  $M < 0$ , then we have

$$x(axW'x^{-1}W'^{-1})x^{-1} = xa_0\tilde{W}(a_{i+1})x^{-1}\tilde{W}(a_{i+1})^{-1} = 1.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$G = \langle a_\delta, a_{\delta+1}, \dots, a_D, x : xa_\delta x^{-1} = a_{\delta+1}, \dots, xa_{D-1}x^{-1} = a_D, xPx^{-1} = Q \rangle,$$

where  $D = \max\{M, 0\}$ , and  $\delta, P, Q$  are given by

$$(1) \text{ if } M \geq 0, \text{ then } \delta = \min\{m, 0\}, P = \tilde{W}(a_i), Q = a_0^{-1}\tilde{W}(a_i),$$

$$(2) \text{ if } M < 0, \text{ then } \delta = m + 1, P = a_0\tilde{W}(a_{i+1}), Q = \tilde{W}(a_{i+1}).$$

From (\*),  $\tilde{W}(a_i)$  does not begin in  $a_0$ , and  $\tilde{W}(a_{i+1})$  does not begin in  $a_0^{-1}$ . Therefore, in both cases of (1), (2),  $P$  involves  $a_D$  and  $Q$  involves  $a_\delta$ . Consequently,  $\{a_\delta, \dots, a_{D-1}, P\}$  and  $\{a_{\delta+1}, \dots, a_D, Q\}$  freely generate free subgroups of rank  $D - \delta + 1$  in the free group  $F$  on  $a_\delta, \dots, a_D$ , respectively. It follows that  $F$  is a free base of  $G$ . Thus we obtain the theorem.

REMARKS. (1) There exists a "one-relator" 2-knot group which has no free base. For example, in the case of  $p = 2, q = 3, \alpha = 3, \beta = 4$ , the group given by (1.1) is a one-relator group.

(2) In [11, p. 125], E. S. Rapaport showed that for any one-relator 2-knot group  $G$ , its commutator subgroup  $G'$  is finitely generated if and only if  $G'$  is free.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Andrews and M. Curtis, *Extended Nielsen operations in free groups*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 21–28.
2. M. A. Gutierrez, *On the Seifert manifold of a 2-knot*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **240** (1978), 287–294.
3. A. Karrass, A. Pietrowski and D. Solitar, *An improved subgroup theorem for HNN groups with some applications*, Canad. J. Math. **26** (1974), 214–224.
4. A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *The subgroups of a free product of two groups with an amalgamated subgroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 227–255.
5. R. C. Lyndon and P. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1977.
6. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 13, Interscience, New York, 1966.
7. S. Meskin, A. Pietrowski and A. Steinberg, *One-relator groups with center*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **16** (1973), 319–323.
8. L. Neuwirth, *The algebraic determination of the groups of knots*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 791–798.
9. A. Pietrowski, *The isomorphism problem for one-relator groups with non-trivial centre*, Math. Z. **136** (1974), 95–106.
10. E. S. Rapaport, *Remarks on groups of order 1*, Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 714–720.
11. —, *Knot-like groups*, Knots, Groups and 3-Manifolds, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 88, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975, pp. 119–133.
12. O. Schreier, *Über die Gruppen  $A^a B^b = 1$* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **3** (1923), 167–169.
13. K. Yoshikawa, *A note on Levine's conditions for knot groups*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. **10** (1982), 633–636.
14. —, *On  $n$ -knot groups which have abelian bases*, preprint.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KWANSEI GAKUIN UNIVERSITY, NISHINOMIYA, HYOGO 662,  
JAPAN