

## THE SCHUR SUBGROUP OF THE BRAUER GROUP OF CYCLOTOMIC RINGS OF INTEGERS

C. RIEHM

(Communicated by Bhama Srinivasan)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $K$  be a finite abelian extension of the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be a finite set of primes of  $K$  including the infinite ones, and let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be the ring of  $\mathbf{S}$ -integers in  $K$ . Then the Schur subgroup  $S(\mathfrak{o})$  of the Brauer group  $B(\mathfrak{o})$  is defined, in analogy with  $S(K)$ , via representations of finite groups on finitely generated projective  $\mathfrak{o}$ -modules. It is easy to see that  $S(\mathfrak{o}) \subseteq S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o})$ . We shall show that there is equality in the case of  $K$  a purely cyclotomic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_m)$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (where  $\varepsilon_m$  is an  $m$ th root of 1).

**Introduction.** For any commutative ring  $\mathfrak{o}$ , one can define the Schur subgroup  $S(\mathfrak{o})$  of the Brauer group  $B(\mathfrak{o})$  as follows: Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(M)$  a homomorphism of  $G$  to the automorphism group of a projective module  $M$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$  with the property that the  $\mathfrak{o}$ -span  $\mathfrak{o}\rho G$  of  $\rho G$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $\mathfrak{o}$ . In this case we denote the Brauer class  $[\mathfrak{o}\rho(G)]$  by  $\beta(\rho)$ . Then  $S(\mathfrak{o})$  consists of the Brauer classes  $\beta(\rho)$  as  $\rho$  runs over all such homomorphisms of all finite groups  $G$ . Alternatively, one can define  $S(\mathfrak{o})$  to be the set of all Brauer classes  $[\Lambda]$  where  $\Lambda$  runs over all Azumaya algebras which are homomorphic images of some group algebra  $\mathfrak{o}G$  ( $G$  finite). It is easy to see, as in the case  $\mathfrak{o} =$  a field, that  $S(\mathfrak{o})$  is indeed a subgroup of  $B(\mathfrak{o})$  (see [D-M 1]).

Suppose now that the canonical map  $B(\mathfrak{o}) \rightarrow B(K)$  is injective (this is the case if  $\mathfrak{o}$  is a Dedekind domain, e.g., see [O-S]). Then it is clear that

$$(1) \quad S(\mathfrak{o}) \subseteq S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o})$$

and E. B. Williams has asked for conditions under which there is equality in the following situation:  $K$  is a finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the ring of  $\mathbf{S}$ -integers in  $K$  for a finite set of places  $\mathbf{S}$  of  $K$ . We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be a cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_m)$  where  $m$  is a positive integer, and let  $\mathbf{S}$  be any finite set of primes (containing the infinite primes). Then if  $\mathfrak{o}$  is the ring of  $\mathbf{S}$ -integers,*

$$S(\mathfrak{o}) = S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o}).$$

For other results on  $S(\mathfrak{o})$ , see [D-M 1 and D-M 2].

---

Received by the editors March 19, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 20C10, 16A26; Secondary 12A60, 16A16, 16A18, 12A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Schur subgroup, Brauer group, Azumaya algebras, integral representations, representations of finite groups.

Support by N.S.E.R.C. grant #A8778 gratefully acknowledged.

**The proof.** For any abelian group  $A$  and any positive integer  $q$ , let  $A_q$  denote the  $q$ -primary subgroup of  $A$ —that is, the subgroup of  $A$  of those elements whose order divides some power of  $q$ .

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_m)$ . We may assume that  $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . We must show that an arbitrary element  $\alpha \in S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o})$  is in  $S(\mathfrak{o})$ . Since  $B(K)$  is a torsion group, we may write  $\alpha = \sum \alpha_q$  where  $q$  runs over the rational primes and  $\alpha_q$  is the  $q$ -primary component of  $\alpha$ . This allows us to assume that  $\alpha = \alpha_q$ , i.e. that  $\alpha$  is  $q$ -primary. By the “root of unity theorem” of Benard (see Theorem 6.1, [Y]), we can also assume that  $q|2m$ .

If  $p$  is any rational prime, possibly  $\infty$ , and  $L$  is an algebraic number field, then the  $p$ -local invariants of a Brauer class  $\gamma \in B(L)$  are, by definition, the Hasse invariants (with values in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ ) of  $\gamma$  at the primes of  $L$  which lie above  $p$ —see Definition 6.4, [Y]. The Benard-Schacher theorem says that the Hasse invariants of each  $\gamma$  in  $S(K)$  are “uniformly distributed”, i.e. for each  $p$ , the  $p$ -local invariants of  $\gamma$  are determined (in a very explicit way) by any one of them (Theorem 6.1, [Y]). The  $p$ -local component of  $\gamma$  is defined to be the Brauer class  $\gamma(p)$  whose  $p$ -invariants coincide with those of  $\gamma$  and whose other Hasse invariants are 0. Thus  $\gamma = \sum_p \gamma(p)$ .

Let  $M/L$  be a Galois extension with Galois group  $\mathcal{G}(M/L)$ . We shall denote the cohomology group  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(M/L), M^*)$  by  $H^2(M/L)$  and identify it with a subgroup of  $B(L)$  in the usual way. Let  $\mu(M)$  denote the group of roots of unity in  $M$ . There is a canonical map of  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(M/L), \mu(M))$  into  $H^2(\mathcal{G}(M/L), M^*)$  whose image will be denoted by  $H_c^2(M/L)$ . The Brauer-Witt theorem (Chapter 3, [Y]) says that  $S(L)$  is the union of all  $H_c^2(M/L)$  as  $M$  runs over all cyclotomic extensions  $M = L(\varepsilon_n)$  of  $L$ .

Suppose that  $q^r \parallel m$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *The  $p$ -components  $\alpha(p)$  of  $\alpha$  are also in  $S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o})$ . Moreover  $\alpha(p) = K \otimes \beta$  where  $\beta \in H_c^2(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{pq^r})/\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))$  is a  $p$ -local ( $\beta = \beta(p)$ ) Brauer class of  $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r})$ .*

Here  $K \otimes \beta$  stands for the Brauer class of  $K$  obtained from  $\beta$  by “restriction” (extension of scalars).

**PROOF.** By a theorem of Janusz [J], there is a  $\beta \in S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  such that  $\alpha = K \otimes \beta$ . Benard, Schacher, and Yamada have characterized  $S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  in terms of Hasse invariants (pp.135–139, [Y]). Namely  $S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  consists of all uniformly distributed Brauer classes in  $B(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))$  whose  $p$ -local invariants have order dividing  $(p-1, q^r)$  for each (rational) prime  $p$ ; there is one exception: if  $q=2$  and  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^r}$ , then the  $p$ -local invariant is 0. It follows that  $S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  is the direct sum of its  $p$ -local components—the latter are the (cyclic) subgroups of  $S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  which are 0 locally at all primes of  $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r})$  not above  $p$ . Therefore if we express  $\beta$  as the sum  $\sum \beta(p)$  of its  $p$ -local components, each component lies in  $S(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))_q$  and furthermore we can assume it is 0 if the corresponding  $p$ -component  $\alpha(p)$  of  $\alpha$  is 0. It is also clear that  $\alpha(p) = K \otimes \beta(p)$  and, in particular that  $\alpha(p) \in S(K)$ . Since  $B(\mathfrak{o})$  consists of the classes in  $B(K)$  whose Hasse invariants are 0 outside of  $\mathfrak{S}$  (cf. Theorem 6.33 and Proposition 6.34, [O-S]), it follows at once that  $\alpha(p) \in S(K) \cap B(\mathfrak{o})$ . It follows from Lemma 8.5 and Theorem 8.6, [Y], that  $\beta(p) \in H_c^2(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{pq^r})/\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r}))$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 1.

By Lemma 1, we can now assume that  $\alpha$  is  $p$ -local as well being  $q$ -primary.

LEMMA 2. *The cohomology class  $\alpha$  contains a cocycle  $f \in Z^2(K(\varepsilon_p)/K)$  all of whose values are in  $\langle \varepsilon_{q^r} \rangle$ .*

PROOF. Because of our identifications,

$$H_c^2(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{pq^r})/\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r})) \subseteq H_c^2(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{p^m})/\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_{q^r})).$$

Therefore since  $\alpha = K \otimes \beta$  where  $\beta$  is as in Lemma 1, we get  $\alpha \in H_c^2(K(\varepsilon_p)/K)$  and so Lemma 2 follows at once since  $\alpha$  is  $q$ -primary.

LEMMA 3. *If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $p$  lies below a prime of  $\mathbf{S}$  and does not divide  $m$ .*

PROOF. If  $p$  were a divisor of  $m$ , then  $K$  would contain  $\varepsilon_p$  resp.  $\varepsilon_4$  if  $p = 2$ ; by Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 5.4 of [Y], the Schur subgroup of a completion  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $K$ , at any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  lying over  $p$ , would then be trivial, so  $\alpha$  also would be trivial. On the other hand, the fact that  $\alpha \in B(\mathfrak{o})$  means exactly that its local components are 0 at all  $\mathfrak{p}$  not in  $\mathbf{S}$ .  $\square$

We now define  $A$  be the the crossed-product algebra

$$A = (K(\varepsilon_p)/K, f) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} K(\varepsilon_p)u_{\sigma}$$

where  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(K(\varepsilon_p)/K)$ . Of course  $A \in \alpha$ . Similarly we define the order

$$\Lambda = (\mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]/\mathfrak{o}, f) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]u_{\sigma}.$$

Thus  $A = K \otimes \Lambda$ .

LEMMA 4.  *$\Lambda$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $\mathfrak{o}$  with Brauer class  $[\Lambda] = \alpha$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be any prime not in  $\mathbf{S}$ . Then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \Lambda = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} \hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]u_{\sigma}$$

is an  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -order in the  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra

$$K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes A = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes K(\varepsilon_p)u_{\sigma}.$$

Let  $L_1, \dots, L_g$  be the completions of  $L = K(\varepsilon_p)$  at the primes lying above  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then the  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes K(\varepsilon_p)$  is (isomorphic to) the direct sum  $L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_g$ . We shall now show that  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]$  is likewise the direct sum of the rings of integers  $\mathcal{D}_i$  of the  $L_i$ , after identifying by means of this isomorphism.

Since  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p] \subseteq \bigoplus \mathcal{D}_i$  and both are  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orders on a separable algebra, it suffices to show that they have the same discriminant (cf. [MO]). Now the discriminant of  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]$  is  $d(\mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]/\mathfrak{o})\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  where  $d(\mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]/\mathfrak{o})$  is the discriminant of  $\mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]/\mathfrak{o}$ . The discriminant of  $\bigoplus \mathcal{D}_i$  is the product of the  $d(\mathcal{D}_i/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and thus is equal to  $d(\mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]/\mathfrak{o})\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by Proposition 5, Chapter I, [C-F].

Let  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  be the stabilizer of the summand  $L_1$ —it is the decomposition group of the prime belonging to  $L_1$ . Consider the crossed-product order  $\Lambda_1 = (\mathcal{D}_1/\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}}, f_1)$  where  $f_1$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . Now  $f_1$  is split since  $f$  splits at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not ramify in  $\mathcal{D}_1$ ,  $\Lambda_1$  is a maximal order by a theorem of Auslander and Goldman—see Theorem 28.5, [C-RI]. Since  $\hat{\mathfrak{o}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathfrak{o}[\varepsilon_p]$  is the direct sum of the  $\mathcal{D}_i$ , it

follows that  $\Lambda$  is also maximal by a theorem of Merklen (Proposition 1, (iv), [M]). Since  $K \otimes \Lambda = A$  and the local Hasse invariants of  $A$  are all 0 at the primes of  $\mathfrak{o}$  (i.e. the primes  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathbf{S}$ ), it follows that  $\Lambda$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\Lambda \in \alpha$  (cf. [D-I]).  $\square$

Thus to prove our theorem, it suffices to prove that  $\Lambda \in S(\mathfrak{o})$ .

Let  $G$  be the extension of  $\mathcal{G}$  by  $\mu(L)$ , corresponding to the cocycle  $f$ . Then we can view  $G$  as the group generated by  $\mu(L)$  and the  $u_\sigma$  in  $\Lambda$ . Moreover it is clear that  $G$  spans  $\Lambda$  over  $\mathfrak{o}$ , and so  $\Lambda \in S(\mathfrak{o})$  as desired.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [C-F] J. W. S. Cassels and A. Frohlich, *Algebraic number theory*, Thompson Book, Washington, D. C., 1967.
- [C-RI] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory with applications to groups and orders*, vol. I, Pure and Appl. Math., Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [D-I] F. DeMeyer and E. Ingraham, *Separable algebras over commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 181, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
- [D-M 1] F. R. DeMeyer and R. A. Mollin, *The Schur group of a commutative ring*, J. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1985), 117–122.
- [D-M 2] ———, *The Schur group*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1142, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, pp. 205–210.
- [J] G. J. Janusz, *The Schur group of cyclotomic fields*, J. Number Theory **7** (1975), 345–352.
- [M] H. Merklen, *Hereditary crossed product orders*, Pacific J. Math. **74** (1978), 391–406.
- [MO] I. Reiner, *Maximal orders*, L. M. S. Monographs 5, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [O-S] M. Orzech and C. Small, *The Brauer group of commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 11, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1975.
- [Y] T. Yamada, *The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 397, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1, CANADA