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ABSTRACT. We construct n-dimensional versions of the Beurling and Selberg majorizing and minorizing functions and use them to prove results on trigonometric approximation and to prove an n-dimensional version of the Erdös-Turán inequality. Finally, an application is given to counting solutions of polynomial congruences.

In this paper we construct n-dimensional versions of the Beurling and Selberg majorizing and minorizing functions and use them to prove a theorem on trigonometric approximation and to prove an n-dimensional version of the Erdös-Turán inequality. Let \( e(x) = e^{2\pi i x} \), \( T = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \), \( n \) be a positive integer, \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), and \( \chi_S \) denote the characteristic function of a set \( S \). For real numbers \( a_i < b_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), let \( B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i] \) denote the cartesian product of the closed intervals \([a_i, b_i]\), \( \overline{B} \) denote the image of \( B \) in \( \mathbb{T}^n \), and \( v(B) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i) \).

Our main result on trigonometric approximation is

**Theorem 1.** For any positive integers \( K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n \) and any box \( B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i] \) with \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i) < 1 \), there exist trigonometric polynomials

\[
T_i(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq K_i} \alpha_i(k) e(k \cdot x), \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

such that \( T_1(x) \leq \chi_{\overline{B}}(x) \leq T_2(x) \), for \( x \in \mathbb{T}^n \),

\[
(1) \quad v(B) - \alpha_1(\emptyset) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{2}{K_i + 1} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{1}{K_i + 1} \right),
\]

and

\[
(2) \quad \alpha_2(\emptyset) - v(B) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{1}{K_i + 1} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i).
\]

From Theorem 1 we deduce the following n-dimensional version of the Erdös-Turán inequality.

**Theorem 2.** For any positive integers \( K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n \), any box \( B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i] \) with \( v(B) < 1 \), and any finite set of points \( \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N\} \) in \( \mathbb{T}^n \), we have

\[
(3) \quad \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{\overline{B}}(\mathbf{x}_i) - v(B) \right| \leq \Delta_1 + \sum_{|k| \leq K_i} \left( \Delta_1 + \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{k,i} \right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e(k \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)
\]
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where $\Delta_1$ is the quantity on the right-hand side of (1), and

$$P_{k,i} = \min \left( \frac{1}{\pi |k_i|}, b_i - a_i, 1 - (b_i - a_i) \right).$$

Comparable versions of the $n$-dimensional Erdős-Turan inequality were obtained earlier by Szüsz [5] and Koksma [4]. Szüsz showed that for any positive integer $K$, (4)

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{B}(x_i) - v(B) \right| \leq c_n \left[ \frac{1}{K+1} + \sum_{k \neq 0 \atop |k_i| \leq K} n \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{|k_i|+1} \right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e(k \cdot x_i) \right]$$

where $c_n$ is a constant depending only on $n$. For comparison with (3) we note that if $K_i = K, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then $\Delta_1 = n/(K + 1) + O_n(1/K^2)$, where the big $O_n$ indicates a constant depending on $n$. Koksma’s result is that for any real numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_{B}(x_i) - v(B) \right| \leq \Delta'_1 + \sum_{k \neq 0 \atop |k_i| \leq K} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{k,i} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e(k \cdot x_i)$$

where

$$\Delta'_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{75}{\lambda_i} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i),$$

$$K_i = \lambda_i \log(e \min(n, \lambda_i)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$P_{0,i} = b_i - a_i + \frac{75}{\lambda_i}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$P_{k,i} = \min \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{75}{\lambda_i}, 1 - (b_i - a_i) + \frac{75}{\lambda_i}, 30 \right), \quad k_i \neq 0.$$ 

All three inequalities (3), (4) and (5) are essentially of the same order of magnitude but we note that $\Delta_1 < \Delta'_1$ and that for our application below Theorem 2 yields the best result.

Inequality (3) is significant only when $\Delta_1 < v(B)$. One way to assure this is as follows. Define

$$f_n(\beta) = (1 + 2\beta/n)^n - (1 + \beta/n)^n.$$ 

It is easy to show that $f_1(\beta) < 1$ for $0 < \beta < 1$, that $f_2(\beta) < 1$ for $0 < \beta < \frac{3}{2}$ and from the inequality $f_n(\beta) < e^{2\beta} - (1 + \beta + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2)$ for $n \geq 3$, that

$$f_n(\beta) < 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \beta < .46, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots .$$

Suppose that $(K_i + 1)(b_i - a_i) \geq n/\beta$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\Delta_1 < f_n(\beta)v(B)$, and hence

$$\Delta_1 < v(B) \quad \text{if } (K_i + 1)(b_i - a_i) \geq 2.2n, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$ 

Going a step further we obtain the following corollary.
COROLLARY. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be real numbers with $\alpha \geq 1$ and $f_n(\beta) < 1$. Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_N\}$ be a set of points in $\mathbb{T}^n$. Suppose that $|\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{ik \cdot z_i}| \leq A$ for $k \neq 0$, and $|k_i| \leq n/\beta(b_i - a_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for any box $B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i]$ such that $v(B) < 1$ and

$$v(B) > \alpha \left(1 + \frac{2n}{\beta}\right)^n \left(1 + f_n(\beta)\right)^n A/N,$$

we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_B(z_i) - v(B)\right| \leq v(B) \left[f_n(\beta) + \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 - f_n(\beta))\right].$$

We wish to thank Hugh L. Montgomery for suggesting this problem and the method of proof. We have followed the method that Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan use to prove the one-dimensional analogues of Theorems 1 and 2 in their forthcoming book. We refer the reader to Vaaler [6] for a treatment of the one-dimensional cases.

MAJORIZING AND MINORIZING FUNCTIONS. Let $H(z)$ be the entire function defined by

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\text{sgn}(n)}{(z - n)^2 + \frac{2}{z}}\right],$$

where $\text{sgn}(n) = 1$ or $-1$ according as $n$ is positive or negative, $\text{sgn}(0) = 0$, and $H(0) = 0$. Let

$$K(z) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2, \quad K(0) = 1.$$

Using the identity

$$\left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z - n)^2} = 1,$$

we can write

$$H(z) = 1 - 2 \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z + n)^2} + \frac{1}{2z^2} - \frac{1}{z}\right],$$

and deduce from the inequality

$$\frac{1}{x} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x + n)^2} \geq \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^2}, \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

that

$$1 - K(x) \leq H(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

$$-1 \leq H(x) \leq -1 + K(x) \quad \text{for } x \leq 0.$$

The latter inequality follows from the fact that $H(z)$ is an odd function.

For any interval $[a, b]$, let

$$V(z) = V_{[a,b]}(z) = \frac{1}{2} [H(z - a) + H(b - z)],$$

and

$$E(z) = E_{[a,b]}(z) = \frac{1}{2} [K(z - a) + K(b - z)].$$

For any function $f(z)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ let $\hat{f}(\xi)$ denote its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z) e^{-\xi \cdot z} \, dz$. 


LEMMA 1. The functions $V(z)$ and $E(z)$ have the properties

(i) $0 \leq 1 - E(x) \leq V(x) \leq 1$ for $a \leq x \leq b$
    $|V(x)| \leq E(x)$ for $x \leq a$ or $x \geq b$.

(ii) $V(s) = E(s) = 0$ for $|s| \geq 1$.

(iii) $V(0) = b - a, \hat{E}(0) = 1$.

PROOF. Part (i) follows from (7). Part (ii) follows from [3, VI Theorem 7.4], using the facts that $E(z)$ and $V(z)$ are both entire functions bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ (when restricted to $\mathbb{R}$), and are both $o(e^{2\pi |\text{Im} z|})$. For part (iii) we note that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \int_{t-a}^{b-t} H(u) \, du + \int_{t-b}^{b+t} H(u) \, du \right]
= -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \int_{t-a}^{t+a} H(u) \, du - \int_{t-b}^{t+b} H(u) \, du \right] \quad \text{(since $H$ is odd)},
= b - a,
\]

the latter equality following from (7). One obtains $\hat{E}(0) = 1$ in a similar manner using the fact that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sin^2 \pi x)/\pi^2 x^2 = 1$.

Let $B = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i]$ be a box in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $V_i = V[a_i, b_i](z_i), E_i = E[a_i, b_i](z_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Our minorizing function for $\chi_B$ is defined by

\[
F_1(\vec{z}) = F_1(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} (V_i + E_i).
\]

LEMMA 2. $F_1(\vec{z})$ is an entire function (that is, entire in each variable), such that

(i) $F_1(\vec{z}) \leq \chi_B(\vec{z})$ for $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

(ii) $\hat{F}_1(\vec{s}) = 0$ if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |s_i| \geq 1$, and

(iii) $v(B) - \hat{F}_1(\vec{0}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i + 2) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i + 1)$.

PROOF. To show (i) we suppose first that $\vec{z}$ is in $B$, so that $0 \leq V_i \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $F_1(\vec{z}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_i \leq 1$. Suppose now that $\vec{z}$ is not in $B$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_i \not\in [a_i, b_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and that $x_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ for $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$, for some $k$. By Lemma 1(i) we have $|V_i| \leq E_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, so that

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{k} E_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^{k} V_i.
\]
For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $V_i + E_i \geq 0$, and so
\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} ((V_i + E_i) + E_i) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) \\
\geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} (V_i + E_i) + \prod_{i=k+1}^{k} E_i \right) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) \\
= \prod_{i=1}^{k} (V_i + E_i) \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) + \prod_{i=k+1}^{k} E_i \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} (V_i + 2E_i) \\
\geq \prod_{i=1}^{n} (V_i + E_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} V_i, \quad \text{by (8)}.
\]
Thus $F_1(\bar{z}) \leq 0$.

Parts (ii) and (iii) follow from parts (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 1 and the observation that
\[
\hat{F}_1(\bar{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \hat{V}_i(s_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{V}_i + 2\hat{E}_i)(s_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{V}_i + \hat{E}_i)(s_i).
\]

Our majorizing function for $\chi_B$ is defined by
\[
F_2(\bar{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (V_i + E_i).
\]

**LEMMA 3.** $F_2(\bar{z})$ is an entire function such that
(i) $F_2(\bar{z}) \geq \chi_B(\bar{z})$ for $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
(ii) $F_2(\bar{z}) = 0$ if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |s_i| \geq 1$, and
(iii) $F_2(\bar{z}) - v(B) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i + 1) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i)$.

**PROOF.** Part (i) follows from the fact that $V_i + E_i \geq 0$ for $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $V_i + E_i \geq 1$ for $x_i \in [a_i, b_i]$. Parts (ii) and (iii) follow as in the proof of Lemma 2.

**LEMMA 4.** For any positive numbers $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ and any box $B = [a_i, b_i] \times [a_i, b_i]$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exist entire functions $G_1(\bar{z})$ and $G_2(\bar{z})$ such that
(i) $G_1(\bar{z}) \leq \chi_B(\bar{z}) \leq G_2(\bar{z})$ for $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
(ii) $G_1(\bar{z}) = G_2(\bar{z}) = 0$ if $|s_i| \geq L_i$ for some $i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,
(iii) $v(B) - \hat{G}_1(\bar{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{2}{L_i} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{1}{L_i} \right)$,
\[
G_2(\bar{z}) - \hat{G}_2(\bar{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( b_i - a_i + \frac{1}{L_i} \right) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (b_i - a_i).
\]

**PROOF.** We simply apply Lemmas 2 and 3 to the box $[a_i, b_i] \times [a_i, b_i]$ and take $G_i(\bar{z}) = F_i(L_1 z_1, L_2 z_2, \ldots, L_n z_n), i = 1, 2$.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Let $G_1, G_2$ be as given in Lemma 4 with $L_i = K_i + 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and set
\[
T_i(\bar{z}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} G_i(\bar{z} + m), \quad i = 1, 2.
\]
Since $G_1$ and $G_2$ are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (by Lemma 4(iii)), the sums for $T_1$ and $T_2$ converge almost everywhere to periodic functions modulo one with Fourier coefficients
\[
\alpha_i(k) = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 T_i(x)e(-k \cdot x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_i(x)e(-k \cdot x) \, dx, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
that is,
\[
(9) \quad \alpha_i(k) = \hat{G}_i(k), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^n.
\]
Thus, by Lemma 4(ii), $\alpha_i(k) = 0$ if $|k_j| \geq K_j + 1$ for some $j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. By uniqueness of Fourier series we conclude that
\[
T_i(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq K_j} \alpha_i(k)e(k \cdot x), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

Now if $x \in B$ modulo one, then there is a unique $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $x + m \in B$ and for that $m$, $G_1(x + m) \leq 1 \leq G_2(x + m)$. For all other integral $m$, $G_1(x + m) \leq 0 \leq G_2(x + m)$. If $x \not\in B$ modulo one then the latter inequality holds for all integral $m$. Hence $T_1(x) \leq \chi_B(x) \leq T_2(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Equations (1) and (2) follow from Lemma 4(iii) and (9).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The function $\chi_B$ has a Fourier expansion
\[
\chi_B(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \alpha(k)e(k \cdot x)
\]
where $\alpha(0) = \nu(B)$ and
\[
|\alpha(k)| = \prod_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\sin \pi(b_i - a_i)k_i}{\pi k_i} \right|, \quad \text{for } k \neq 0.
\]

Let $T_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be as given in Theorem 1. Then
\[
|\alpha_i(k) - \alpha(k)| \leq \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 |T_i(x) - \chi_B(x)| \, dx \leq \Delta_1,
\]
for $i = 1, 2$, and all $k$ with $|k_j| \leq K_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus for $k \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$, we have
\[
(10) \quad |\alpha_i(k)| \leq \Delta_1 + |\alpha(k)| \leq \Delta_1 + \prod_{i=1}^n P_{k_i,i}.
\]

Now
\[
\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_B(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N T_2(x_i) = N\alpha_2(0) + \sum_{|k| \neq 0 \atop |k_i| \leq K_i} \alpha_2(k) \sum_{i=1}^N e(k \cdot x_i),
\]
so that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_B(x_i) - N\nu(B) \leq N\Delta_1 + \sum_{|k| \neq 0 \atop |k_i| \leq K_i} |\alpha_2(k)| \left| \sum_{i=1}^N e(k \cdot x_i) \right|.
\]
One obtains a similar lower bound using \( T_1 \) instead of \( T_2 \). Combining these bounds with (10) yields the theorem.

**Proof of Corollary.** Let \( K_i = [n/\beta(b_i - a_i)] \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Then \( \Delta_1 < v(B)f_n(\beta) \), and using Theorem 2 with the bound \( P_{k,i} \leq b_i - a_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we have

\[
\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \chi_B(x_i) - v(B) \right| \leq \Delta_1 + \frac{A}{N}(v(B) + \Delta_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n}(2K_i + 1)
\]

\[
\leq f_n(\beta)v(B) + \frac{A}{N}(1 + f_n(\beta)) \left( \frac{2n}{\beta} + 1 \right)^n
\]

\[
\leq f_n(\beta)v(B) + \frac{(1 - f_n(\beta))v(B)}{\alpha},
\]

the latter inequality following from (6).

The corollary can be applied to polynomial congruences as follows. Let \( f(x) \) be a polynomial of degree \( d \) with integer coefficients, \( p \) be a prime, \( V \) be the set of solutions of the congruence \( f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \) and \( \{z_i\}_{i=1}^{N} = V/p = \{z \in \mathbb{P} : x \in V\} \). For integers \( A_i, B_i \) with \( 0 < B_i - A_i \leq p \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), let \( B \) be the box of integral points \( x \) with \( A_i \leq x_i < B_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), and \( B/p = \prod_{i=1}^{n}[A_i/p, B_i/p] \). Suppose that \( f(z) \) is nonsingular at infinity \( \pmod{p} \). Then by Deligne’s work [2, Theorem 3.4] on the Riemann Hypothesis for varieties over finite fields we have

\[
N = pn^{-1}(1 + \theta)
\]

for some \( \theta \) with \( |\theta| \leq (d - 1)^{1/p^{n/2}} \), and

\[
\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} e(k \cdot z_i) \right| \leq (d - 1)^{1/p^{n/2}}, \quad \text{for } k \neq 0 \pmod{p}.
\]

Applying the corollary to the box \( B/p \) with \( \beta = .25 \) and .05 respectively, and using the bounds in (11) and (12) we have

(i) if \( |B| \geq \alpha(2.22)(1 + 8n)^{n}(d - 1)^{n}(1 + \theta)^{-1}p^{n/2+1} \), then

\[
|V \cap B| \geq (.622) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) N \frac{|B|}{p^n},
\]

and

(ii) if \( |B| \geq \alpha(1.12)(1 + 40n)^{n}(d - 1)^{n}(1 + \theta)^{-1}p^{n/2+1} \), then

\[
|V \cap B| \geq .947 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) N \frac{|B|}{p^n}.
\]

As a comparison, we note that in the more elementary manner of [1, (3.2)] one can show that if \( |B| \geq \alpha2^n(d - 1)^{n}p^{n/2+1} \) then

\[
|V \cap B| \geq \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 + \theta)^{-1}N \frac{|B|}{p^n}.
\]

Inequality (15) is not as strong as (13) or (14) but it is valid for boxes of slightly smaller cardinality.
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