

SUPER-RIGID FAMILIES OF STRONGLY BLACKWELL SPACES

MANFRED DROSTE

(Communicated by R. Daniel Mauldin)

ABSTRACT. We construct a complete subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$, of pairwise non-Borel-isomorphic rigid strong Blackwell subsets of \mathbf{R} such that there are only 'very few' measurable functions between any two members of \mathcal{F} . As a consequence, we obtain large chains and antichains of non-isomorphic rigid strong Blackwell subsets of \mathbf{R} . Also, there is a collection of continuously many dense subsets of \mathbf{R} such that any two of them differ only by two elements, but none of them is a continuous image of any other.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we will construct a large subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ with the following properties. Any two members $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ which are nonempty proper subsets of \mathbf{R} will be nonanalytic strong Blackwell sets (definitions below) with only 'very few' measurable functions both from A into B (or vice versa) or from A into itself. In particular, the spaces (A, \mathcal{B}_A) and (B, \mathcal{B}_B) (both sets equipped with the natural Borel structure) will be nonisomorphic if $A \neq B$, and (A, \mathcal{B}_A) will be a rigid Borel space in the sense of [1], i.e., any isomorphism of (A, \mathcal{B}_A) onto itself moves at most countably many points. In fact, we show that for any topologically sufficiently large Blackwell subset X of \mathbf{R} , there exists a complete subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with the above properties.

Let \mathcal{A} be a separable σ -algebra on a set A , i.e. \mathcal{A} is countably generated and contains all singletons. Then (A, \mathcal{A}) is a *Blackwell space*, if the only separable substructure of \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A} itself. Also, (A, \mathcal{A}) is a *strong Blackwell space* if any two countably generated sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{A} with the same atoms coincide. Subsets of \mathbf{R} will always be endowed with the natural Borel- σ -field, and we say that a subset A of \mathbf{R} is a (*strong*) *Blackwell set* if (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is a (strong) Blackwell space. Ramachandran [11] discusses strong Blackwell spaces as a natural model for probability theory on which various concepts of independence of random variables coincide. Already Blackwell [3] and also Mackey [9], in a study of group representations, showed that any analytic subset of the reals is a (strong) Blackwell set. Answering a question of Blackwell [3], Orkin [10] constructed a nonanalytic Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} . Ryll-Nardzewski [13] (see also [1]) found a subset X of \mathbf{R} such that both X and $Y = \mathbf{R} \setminus X$ are nonanalytic strong Blackwell sets; by a recent result of Shortt [16], (X, \mathcal{B}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{B}_Y) must then be nonisomorphic. On the other hand, Bhaskara Rao and Rao [1] constructed a subset X of \mathbf{R} such that (X, \mathcal{B}_X) is a rigid Borel space. In the present paper, we wish to sharpen these results as indicated qualitatively above. Shortt [14] calls a subset X of \mathbf{R} *Borel-dense*, if X intersects each

Received by the editors May 26, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 28A20; Secondary 28A05, 60A99, 54C05.

Key words and phrases. Blackwell space, strong Blackwell space, rigid Borel space, separable space, measurable function.

uncountable Borel set of \mathbf{R} , and shows that under the assumption of Borel-density various Blackwell concepts coincide; in particular any Borel-dense Blackwell subset X of \mathbf{R} is strongly Blackwell. In fact, many of the strongly Blackwell spaces constructed in the literature can be shown to be Borel-dense in some suitable Polish space and hence without loss of generality in \mathbf{R} , cf. [1, 2, 5–7, 10, 13–15].

Before stating our main result, let us introduce some more notation. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a measurable function. Then $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X: x \neq f(x)\}$, the *support of f* . We call f *inessential*, if $f(\text{supp}(f))$ is countable, and otherwise f is *essential*. (For the origin of this notion (in a different context) we refer the reader to Dugas and Göbel [4, p. 458].) As there are always many inessential measurable functions acting on X , we can only hope to construct subsets X of \mathbf{R} with no essential measurable mappings. Observe in the following that if f has uncountable support and, for instance, either f is injective or f maps X onto X , then f is essential. A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of \mathbf{R} will be called *super-rigid* if for any two members $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ there is no essential measurable mapping f of A into B . Also, we say that a subset A of \mathbf{R} is super-rigid if $\{A\}$ is super-rigid; then in particular (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is rigid. A subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is *complete*, if it is closed under arbitrary unions. We will show:

THEOREM 1. *Let X be any Borel-dense Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} . There exists a complete subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *Each non-empty set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ is a Borel-dense strong Blackwell set.*
- (2) *$\mathcal{F} \setminus \{\emptyset, X\}$ is super-rigid.*
- (3) *\mathcal{F} is isomorphic (as a Boolean algebra) to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$.*

Note that in particular $X = \mathbf{R}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. We list a few immediate consequences of Theorem 1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ be nonempty proper subsets of X .

(1) Both A and $X \setminus A$ are nonanalytic (in fact, universally nonmeasurable). If $A \not\subseteq B$, there is no measurable injection $f: A \rightarrow B$ and also no measurable mapping of B onto A , as $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}$ is uncountable. Whenever $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an order-preserving injection, then $A \subseteq B$ and f is the identity. Hence Theorem 1 contains a classical result of Sierpiński [17] (cf. also [12, §9.2]) on rigid dense order types contained in (\mathbf{R}, \leq) . Moreover, if $f: A \rightarrow A$ is onto and nondecreasing, then f is again the identity, showing that (A, \leq) is a Hopfian order in the sense of Ash [12, p. 155].

(2) Let P, Q be any two nonatomic measures on (A, \mathcal{B}_A) such that $Q = f(P)$ for some measurable mapping f of A into itself. As f is inessential, it follows that $P = Q$.

(3) If A and B are disjoint, there are no two nonatomic measures P and Q on (A, \mathcal{B}_A) and (B, \mathcal{B}_B) , respectively, such that Q is the image of P under some measurable mapping of A into B .

(4) Let c denote the cardinality of the continuum. $\{\mathcal{B}_A: A \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{\emptyset, X\}\}$ constitutes a family of 2^c pairwise nonisomorphic countably generated σ -algebras. Clearly, 2^c is the maximal possible size of such a family.

Further consequences of Theorem 1 are given in §2.

2. Proof of Theorem 1 and further consequences. In this section we wish to prove Theorem 1 and derive a few further consequences from it. The following

notions developed by R. M. Shortt (see, e.g., [2, 14]) will be useful for us. A subset X of \mathbf{R} is called *Borel-dense (of order 1)* in \mathbf{R} if X intersects each uncountable member of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Any such set X has cardinality c . A subset R of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ is *reticulate* if $R \subseteq (C \times \mathbf{R}) \cup (\mathbf{R} \times C)$ for some countable subset $C \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. A subset X or \mathbf{R} is *Borel-dense of order 2* if $X \times X$ intersects every set R in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}$ which is not reticulate; in this case X is Borel-dense of order 1. We also have, among others, the following equivalences:

LEMMA 2.1 (SHORTT [14]). *For any subset X of \mathbf{R} the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is Borel-dense of order 2 in \mathbf{R} .
- (2) X is Borel-dense and a Blackwell set.
- (3) X is Borel-dense and a strong Blackwell set.

As usual we identify each cardinal with the least ordinal of its cardinality. We first need a few preparations.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let X be a Borel-dense subset of \mathbf{R} and $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ an essential measurable function. There exists a subset A of $X \cap \text{supp}(f)$ of cardinality c such that f acts injectively on A .*

PROOF. Let $S = \text{supp}(f) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}: x \neq f(x)\}$, f' be the restriction of f to S , and $T = f(S) = f'(S)$. Thus T is uncountable and analytic. Let T^* be the set of all $t \in T$ for which $f'^{-1}(\{t\})$ is uncountable. Then T^* is analytic (see [8, p. 496]). If T^* is uncountable, choose for each $t \in T^*$ an element $x_t \in X \cap f'^{-1}(\{t\})$ and put $A = \{x_t: t \in T^*\}$. Then $|A| = |T^*| = c$. On the other hand, if T^* is countable, $T \setminus T^*$ is uncountable and analytic and hence $|X \cap f'^{-1}(T \setminus T^*)| = c$. As $f'^{-1}(\{t\})$ is countable for each $t \in T \setminus T^*$, we can select a subset A of $X \cap f'^{-1}(T \setminus T^*)$ of cardinality c on which f acts injectively. In either case, the result follows.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X be Borel-dense of order 2 in \mathbf{R} and R any nonreticulate member of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}$. Then $|(X \times X) \cap R| = c$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that there is a system $\{R_i: i < c\}$ of c pairwise disjoint subsets R_i of R , each being a nonreticulate member of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}$. Let π_1 (π_2) be the canonical projection of R onto its first (second) coordinate, respectively. Let $A_i = \{x \in \mathbf{R}: \pi_i^{-1}(\{x\})\}$ is uncountable; then A_i is analytic ($i = 1, 2$). If A_1 is uncountable, we can choose a system $\{B_i: i < c\}$ of c pairwise disjoint subsets B_i of cardinality c of A_1 with $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Put $R_i = \pi_1^{-1}(B_i)$ for each $i < c$ to obtain the result. Therefore let us assume that A_1 and A_2 are both countable. Put $R^* = R \setminus ((A_1 \times \mathbf{R}) \cup (\mathbf{R} \times A_2))$. As $\pi_1(R^*)$ is uncountable and analytic, we can now choose c pairwise disjoint subsets B_i ($i < c$) of cardinality c of $\pi_1(R^*)$ each belonging to $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Again put $R_i = \pi_1^{-1}(B_i)$ ($i < c$) to obtain the result.

If $q \in A \times B \times C \times D$ is a quadruple and, for instance, $b \in B$, we write $q = (*, b, *, *)$ to denote that $q = (a, b, c, d)$ for some $a \in A, c \in C, d \in D$. Now we show:

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X be any Borel-dense Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} . There exists a decomposition $X = \bigcup_{i < c} X_i$ of X into c pairwise disjoint subsets X_i with the following properties:*

- (1) Each subset X_i ($i < c$) is Borel-dense of order 2 in \mathbf{R} .

(2) Whenever $i, j < c$, $X_i \subseteq Y \subseteq X$, and $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an essential measurable function, then $|f(X_i) \cap (X_j \cup (\mathbf{R} \setminus X))| = c$.

PROOF. Let $\{q_\alpha: \alpha < c\}$ be a list of all quadruples $q = (g, i, j, R)$, where $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an essential measurable function, the ordinals i, j are less than c , and R is a nonreticulate member of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}$. We also write $q_\alpha = (g_\alpha, i_\alpha, j_\alpha, R_\alpha)$. By Lemma 2.2, choose for each $\alpha < c$ a subset A_α of $X \cap \text{supp}(g_\alpha)$ of cardinality c on which g_α acts injectively. We now choose elements $x_\alpha, u_\alpha, v_\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ ($\alpha < c$) inductively as follows. Assume that $\alpha < c$ and for each $\beta < \alpha$ we have found elements $x_\beta \in A_\beta$ and $u_\beta, v_\beta \in X$ such that $(u_\beta, v_\beta) \in R_\beta$ and the elements

$$(*) \quad x_\beta, g_\beta(x_\beta), u_\beta, v_\beta \quad (\beta < \alpha)$$

are all different from each other except that possibly $u_\beta = v_\beta$ for some $\beta < \alpha$. As $|A_\alpha| = c$, there exists $x_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ such that x_α and $g_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ are different from all elements listed in (*). Next, as $|R_\alpha \cap (X \times X)| = c$ by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we can choose $u_\alpha, v_\alpha \in X$ different from $x_\alpha, g_\alpha(x_\alpha)$, and all elements of (*) such that $(u_\alpha, v_\alpha) \in R_\alpha$.

Now put

$$X'_i = \{x_\alpha, u_\alpha, v_\alpha: q_\alpha = (*, i, *, *) , \alpha < c\} \\ \cup (X \cap \{g_\alpha(x_\alpha): q_\alpha = (g_\alpha, *, i, *) , \alpha < c\})$$

for each $i < c$. By construction, these sets X'_i are pairwise disjoint. Let $Z = X \setminus \bigcup_{i < c} X'_i$ and put $X_0 = X'_0 \cup Z$, $X_i = X'_i$ for each $0 < i < c$, where $0 = \min\{i: i < c\}$. Then clearly condition (1) is satisfied.

Now let $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be any essential measurable mapping where $X_i \subseteq Y \subseteq X$, and let $i, j < c$. We can extend f to a measurable function g defined on all of \mathbf{R} (cf. [8, p. 434]). Then g is essential, and for any nonreticulate set R in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}$ there exists $\alpha = \alpha(R) < c$ with $(g, i, j, R) = q_\alpha$. Thus $x_\alpha \in X_i$ and $g(x_\alpha) \in X_j \cup (\mathbf{R} \setminus X)$. Since there are precisely c such nonreticulates R , we obtain $|f(X_i) \cap (X_j \cup (\mathbf{R} \setminus X))| = c$.

Note that each superset (in \mathbf{R}) of any of the sets X_i of Theorem 2.4 is a strong Blackwell set by Lemma 2.1. Now we give the

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Choose a decomposition $X = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{R}} X_i$ of X into c pairwise disjoint subsets X_i with all the properties of Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be the system of all unions $U_A = \bigcup_{i \in A} X_i$ where $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. Now suppose that A, B are nonempty proper subsets of \mathbf{R} and $f: U_A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an essential measurable function. Then $f(U_A)$ intersects $U_{\mathbf{R} \setminus B} \cap (\mathbf{R} \setminus X)$. Observing Lemma 2.1, it follows that \mathcal{F} satisfies all the requirements of the theorem.

The following result, which is immediate both by Theorem 2.4 (and Lemma 2.1) and Theorem 1, generalizes Bhaskara Rao and Rao [1, Proposition 12] and Rosenstein [12, Theorem 9.6].

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let X be any Borel-dense Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} and κ any finite or infinite cardinal number with $2 \leq \kappa \leq c$. Then there exists a decomposition $X = \bigcup_{i < \kappa} X_i$ of X into κ pairwise disjoint nonanalytic super-rigid strong Blackwell subsets X_i such that, for any $i, j < \kappa$ with $i \neq j$, there is no measurable mapping of X_i into X_j with uncountable range.*

We also note the following related result.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let X be any Borel-dense Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} . There exists a super-rigid family \mathcal{A} , closed under complementation in X , of 2^c (nonanalytic) strong Blackwell subsets of X such that whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \neq B$, there exists no measurable mapping $f: A \rightarrow B$ which is either injective or surjective.*

PROOF. First choose a subfield \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ with the properties (1)–(3) of Theorem 1, and let φ be an isomorphism from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ onto \mathcal{F} . Next split $\mathbf{R} = R_1 \cup R_2$ into two disjoint subsets of equal cardinality, and let $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a bijection. For each subset $A \subseteq R_1$ put $S_A = A \cup (R_2 \setminus f(A))$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \{S_A: A \subseteq R_1\}$ is closed under complementation, has size 2^c , and consists only of pairwise incomparable elements. Now put $\mathcal{A} = \varphi(\mathcal{S})$ to obtain the result.

In view of Corollary 2.5, we wish to show that X is also the union of a well ordered chain of length c of pairwise nonisomorphic strong Blackwell subsets. In fact we have:

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let X be any Borel-dense Blackwell subset of \mathbf{R} , and let (I, \leq) be any linearly ordered set with $|I| \leq c$ and without a greatest or a smallest element. Then there exists a super-rigid family \mathcal{C} of strong Blackwell subsets of \mathbf{R} such that:*

- (1) (\mathcal{C}, \subseteq) is a chain isomorphic to (I, \leq) .
- (2) Whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ with $A \subsetneq B$, there is no measurable injection of B into A , and also no measurable surjection of A onto B .
- (3) $X = \bigcup\{A: A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and $\bigcap\{A: A \in \mathcal{C}\} = \emptyset$.

PROOF. Split $\mathbf{R} = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i$ into $|I|$ pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets X_i . For each $i \in I$ let $A_i = \bigcup\{X_j: j \in I, j \leq i\}$, and put $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i: i \in I\}$. Clearly (I, \leq) and (\mathcal{A}, \subseteq) are order-isomorphic. Now let \mathcal{C} be the image of \mathcal{A} under any isomorphism from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R})$ onto \mathcal{F} , where \mathcal{F} is the subfield of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of Theorem 1. The result follows.

Let us say that two sets of reals have *incomparable continuity type*, if none of them is a continuous image of the other (cf. [8, p. 428]). The following observation is in the spirit of a result of Sierpiński [17] (cf. [12, Theorem 9.10(3)]) dealing with order types of subsets of \mathbf{R} .

COROLLARY 2.8. *There are c Borel-dense subsets of \mathbf{R} any two of which have incomparable continuity types but differ by only two elements.*

PROOF. Let X, Y be two of the subsets X_i of \mathbf{R} of Theorem 2.4. We claim that the sets $X_y = X \cup \{y\} (y \in Y)$ satisfy our requirements. Suppose that $y, z \in Y$ with $y \neq z$ and $f: X_y \rightarrow X_z$ is continuous and onto. Let $x \in X_y$ with $f(x) = z$. There is a neighborhood U of x in X_y which is disjoint with $f(U)$. Hence $U \setminus \{y\} \subseteq f(\text{supp}(f))$. As X is Borel-dense, U is uncountable and f is essential. But then $|X_z \cap Y| = c$ by Theorem 2.4, a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao and B. V. Rao, *Borel spaces*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **190** (1981), 1–63.
2. K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao and R. M. Shortt, *Generalised Lusin sets with the Blackwell property*, Fund. Math. **127** (1986), 9–39.

3. D. Blackwell, *On the class of probability spaces*, Proc. Third Berkeley Sympos. on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, vol. 2, Univ. of California Press, 1956, pp. 1–6.
4. M. Dugas and R. Göbel, *Every cotorsion-free algebra is an endomorphism algebra*, Math. Z. **181** (1982), 451–470.
5. D. Fremlin, *On Blackwell algebras* (unpublished manuscript, 1980).
6. J. Hoffman-Jørgensen, *The theory of analytic spaces*, Various publication series, no. 10, Aarhus Univ., 1970.
7. J. Jasiński, *On the combinatorial properties of Blackwell sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 657–660.
8. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. I, Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warszawa, 1966.
9. G. W. Mackey, *Borel structures in groups and their duals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 134–165.
10. M. Orkin, *A Blackwell space which is not analytic*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **20** (1972), 437–438.
11. D. Ramachandran, *Perfect measures*, Macmillan-India, New Delhi, 1979.
12. J. Rosenstein, *Linear orderings*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
13. H. Sarbadhikari, *Some contributions to descriptive set theory*, Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1977.
14. R. M. Shortt, *Borel-dense Blackwell spaces are strongly Blackwell*, Colloq. Math. **53** (1987), 35–41.
15. ———, *Notions of independence for random variables*, Probab. Math. Stat. (to appear).
16. ———, *A separation principle for Blackwell sets*, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci. Math. **34** (1986), 643–645.
17. W. Sierpiński, *Sur les types d'ordre des ensembles linéaires*, Fund. Math. **37** (1950), 253–264.

FACHBEREICH 6—MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT GHS ESSEN, 4300 ESSEN 1, WEST GERMANY